

ARISTOCRACY / OLIGARCHY BEHIND CLIMATECHANGE / AGENDA 21 SMART CITIES & THE NEW SLAVE SOCIETY



Have you heard of AGENDA 21? It is an agenda to take over everything on the planet – all property rights, all rights of water, food, and even the air itself under the lie of manmade global warming/climate change. Carbon Tax will transfer all wealth and property from the remaining middle class/poor to the super wealthy elite... you will never own property or a business nor will you ever be able to save, credit will disappear at the end of each month under the new social credit system which is a Pavlovian Soviet style punish and reward system. THE TRAINING YOU WILL HAVE TO UNDERGO TO KEEP YOUR THINKING IN LINE WITH WHAT THEY WANT YOU TO THINK WILL BE CONSTANT!!!



Governments no longer have power and have been circumvented by foundations, charities, lobby groups, non-governmental organisations and many groups which appear to be grass roots speaking out for you and for humanity, are actually owned and controlled by ARISTOCRATIC ELITE OLIGARCHS. They use inter-generational bureaucrats to do their dirty work against the rest of humanity. We are their business! The Rockefeller Foundation, George Soros are just a couple amongst thousands and they fund many of Rebellion. these organisations from **Extinction** Greenpeace. International, and all their foundations [list the top ones] are leading us into the **global village** with the **United Nations**, the apparent/front authority. Their aim is to remove property rights from individuals, remove wealth from those that are not their own and take us into a totally controlled society within Smart Cities controlled by The Internet of Things where everyone will be under a **Communist style social credit system** you will not receive pay unless you give up your freedom of thought, action and speech. If you are creative and think as an individual this will be seen as a "contaminated mind" or "wrong think". The individual thinker is what they fear the most – someone with a big idea – everyone will be made to think the same – Groupthink or Hivemind set. They have been working on the removal of the part of the brain that deals with conscious thought and creativity for years... Arthur Koestler's The Ghost in the Machine is one book on this and another is Left in the Dark by Tony Wright. Arthur Koesler worked for the UN and there is much disclosure in that book on how they've been working on lobotomising the public and they have always been obsessed with merging us with Al to make us robotic slaves. When they talk of peace, that is their idea of peace... when the thinking man has gone. This is a worldwide plan implemented at local level through councils headed by a mayor who is unelected - you will be rewarded for complying and punished if you do not. The same UN that claims the need to 'reduce' the human population imposes forced migration but only in first world countries where the people are European. The plan is to bring all nations except one, to fourth world levels. This one nation that also controls the UN through its international globalist hegemony is the only nation permitted to be nationalistic and is the very country that will control **The Internet of Things** and for this it will need **5G** in place worldwide. It controls all nations through the Promis software that Robert Maxwell (and

he was just one of their double agents) sold to all governments, this software had the backdoor through the Intel chip which every single computer has and we all know where Intels head office is and who has the kill switch to shut down infrastructure of governments. This was allowed to happen.

The plan for enslavement firstly needs you to believe you caused it and that man is bad which the authors and culture creators working for the big boys at the top have been pushing on us whilst our guard is down when we think we are being entertained. Have you noticed the hero worship that is being pushed for David Attenborough lately? That comes from the top down just as everything does.

Here are a few quotes from members of the top think tanks where they came up with these ideas...

"The common enemy of humanity is man. In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill. All these dangers are caused by human intervention, and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome. The real enemy then, is humanity itself."

- Club of Rome, premier environmental think-tank, consultants to the United Nations

"We need to get some broad based support, to capture the public's imagination... So we have to offer up scary scenarios, make simplified, dramatic statements and make little mention of any doubts... Each of us has to decide what the right balance is between being effective and being honest."

- Prof. Stephen Schneider, Stanford Professor of Climatology, lead author of many IPCC reports

"The data doesn't matter. We're not basing our recommendations on the data. We're basing them on the climate models."

- Prof. Chris Folland, Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research

"The models are convenient fictions that provide something very useful."

- Dr David Frame, climate modeler, Oxford University

"I believe it is appropriate to have an 'over-representation' of the facts on how dangerous it is, as a predicate for opening up the audience."

- Al Gore, Climate Change activist (Al Gore's father was a communist with links to known communist Armand Hammer – read more in the book called Dossier)

"It doesn't matter what is true, it only matters what people believe is true."

- Paul Watson, co-founder of Greenpeace

"The only way to get our society to truly change is to frighten people with the possibility of a catastrophe."

- emeritus professor Daniel Botkin

"We are on the verge of a global transformation. All we need is the right major crisis..."

- David Rockefeller, Club of Rome executive member

"We are getting close to catastrophic tipping points, despite the fact that most people barely notice the warming yet."

- Dr James Hansen, ex-NASA researcher who claimed there was "global cooling" in the

- "Democracy is not a panacea. It cannot organize everything and it is unaware of its own limits. These facts must be faced squarely. Sacriligious though this may sound, democracy is no longer well suited for the tasks ahead. The complexity and the technical nature of many of today's problems do not always allow elected representatives to make competent decisions at the right time."
- Club of Rome, The First Global Revolution
- "Regionalism must precede globalism. We foresee a seamless system of governance from local communities, individual states, regional unions and up through to the United Nations itself."
- UN Commission on Global Governance
- "A keen and anxious awareness is evolving to suggest that fundamental changes will have to take place in the world order and its power structures, in the distribution of wealth and income. Perhaps only a new and enlightened humanism can permit mankind to negotiate this transition."
- Club of Rome, Mankind at the Turning Point
- "The goal now is a socialist, redistributionist society, which is nature's proper steward and society's only hope."
- David Brower, founder of Friends of the Earth
- "If we don't overthrow capitalism, we don't have a chance of saving the world ecologically. I think it is possible to have an ecologically sound society under socialism. I don't think it is possible under capitalism"
- Judi Bari, principal organiser of Earth First!
- "Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilizations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring that about?"
- Maurice Strong, founder of the UN Environment Programme
- "The prospect of cheap fusion energy is the worst thing that could happen to the planet."
- Jeremy Rifkin, Greenhouse Crisis Foundation
- "Giving society cheap, abundant energy would be the equivalent of giving an idiot child a machine qun."
- Prof Paul Ehrlich, Stanford University (he and his wife, were members of the Club of Rome and produced a paper called Eco Science.
- "The Earth has cancer and the cancer is Man."
- Club of Rome, Mankind at the Turning Point
- "A reasonable estimate for an industrialized world society at the present North American material standard of living would be 1 billion. At the more frugal European standard of living, 2 to 3 billion would be possible."
- United Nations, Global Biodiversity Assessment
- "A total population of 250-300 million people, a 95% decline from present levels, would be ideal."
- Ted Turner, founder of CNN and major UN donor (this is the guy who had 5 children!)

- "... the resultant ideal sustainable population is hence more than 500 million but less than one billion."
- Club of Rome, Goals for Mankind

"What if a small group of world leaders were to conclude that the principal risk to the Earth comes from the actions of the rich countries? In order to save the planet, the group decides: Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialised civilisations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring that about? - Maurice Strong

UNIVERSITIES DO NOT GET THEIR FUNDING IF THEY DO NOT PUSH THIS BANKER/ARISTOCRACY LED DEPOPULATION PROJECT!!!

Over population is nonsense – the same people have been pushing this for centuries going back to 1700's when **Thomas Malthus** the precursor to **John Stuart Mill**, who also was **an economist** for **The British East India Company** who pushed the very same nonsense. Malthus printed a book on population control two years before the British did its first census. **He even quoted fake figures** as the census hadn't been done at that point.

Michael Gorbachev ex-head of the Soviet Union spoke about the creation of a new religion which is based on earth worship (NEO-PAGANISM!!!), that would be necessary to bring in a new world order. If we look at the previous Soviet regime and Communism in itself, it had all the earmarks of a religion and just as intolerant against anyone who complained about it or questioned it. It had its dogma and had all its ritualistic parades and its hierarchies and you might call them their priests—the ones who would bring people in to question them to see they had right-think or wrong-think. In this scientifically run society you'll have dumbed down populations who can't read or write and they'll be given this religion where they'll be brainwashed to get themselves entirely sterilised, (to save Mother Earth of course), and to make sure that there are no surplus mouths to feed. There are many movies funded by those same big boys that show this distopian future.

Mikhail Gorbachev was knighted by the Queen and became a Knight of Lazarus wearing the Templar Cross, only it was green. His function was to side with Maurice Strong and push the Earth Charter and the Green movement. He wrote a book, or at least had it written for him, called "Mikhail Gorbachev, The Search for a New Beginning," subtitled "Developing a New Civilisation" and he used the term "the greening of politics" and that's where the Green Party came from. In the Soviet blocks the Green Party was started up by a right hand man of Stalin. His granddaughter took it over to Europe. Quote from Gorbachev:

"The greening of politics is an affirmation of the priority of values common to humanity enriching education and upbringing with ecological content from childhood onwards in developing a new and modern attitude towards nature. At the same time, the greening of politics is a return to human kind of the awareness of humanity as a part of nature."

Now that rings a bell if you read the "Bio-Diversity Treaty" where you have no more rights than an ant. In fact, they have more rights than you do.

Humanism believes that man is God because there is nothing else, so that the most advanced intellectuals have the right to rule over those less advanced; and ecology is to do with the planet and economy as well, all lumped into one of scientific socialism. **Gorbachev**

is reiterating the very values that Bertrand Russell, H.G. Wells and all the rest of the Fabian Society was spouting out back in the 1800's.

Mikhail goes on elsewhere to talk about the choices facing humankind.

"Today, humankind is facing a choice. It is time for every individual, nation and state to rethink its place and role in world affairs. We need an intellectual breakthrough into a new dimension." ... repeating Bertrand Russell's "a world run by experts" these so called experts are merely intergenerational families with mental illness, control freaks who fear the most intelligent of us knowing what they have done and are doing! In Charles Galton Darwin's book The Next Million years you will see that he refers to those at the top as "the Wild men" untouched by any sort of conditioning... they certainly eat meat and have the best for their own.

And on to **Extinction Rebellion.** It came to prominence with the assistance of suspected spook, **George Monbiot** - Gatekeeper of the Guardian Newspaper. George's father, **Raymond Geoffrey Monbiot**, is a businessman who headed the Conservative Party's trade and industry forum, while his mother, **Rosalie**—the elder daughter of Conservative **MP Roger Gresham Cooke**—was a Conservative councillor who led South Oxfordshire District Council for a decade. When speaking publicly, George is often 'leading the opposition' to the excesses of... well, of his parents and their business networks - his oratory skills, combined with his constant exposure in the mainstream press, have made him into a well-known character. Commanding a broad support-base, for many, he has become the go-to critic of globalism and 'business as usual' neo-liberal corporatism.

So, what are his motivations? "Our task is not to overthrow globalisation, but to capture it, and to use it as a vehicle for humanity's first global democratic revolution." Age of Consent, Monbiot.

George's ancestors lost their land over 200 years ago. The family is descended from the French Ducs de Coutard, who fled their estates outside Tours in the Loire Valley in 1789, when the local peasants, stirred by news of Revolution in Paris, began redistributing fields and occupying chateaux. The family slipped across to England and changed their name from **Beaumont** to **Monbiot**.

The man suspected as being George's handler, and who made him a Visiting Fellow of Green College, Oxford is **Sir Crispin Tickell**, a **United Nations diplomat**. Tickell was Permanent Secretary of the Overseas Development Administration (now Department for International Development) (1984–1987), and British Ambassador to the United Nations and Permanent Representative on the UN Security Council (1987–1990). **Chairman Emeritus of the Climate Institute**, in Washington DC, and patron of the controversial **(de)population charity**, Population Matters (formerly known as the Optimum Population Trust), Crispin said in an interview he gave to the the BBC's Radio 4's programme, **that the ideal population for the British Isles would be around 20 million**. If that was the case, why the need for mass immigration? Perhaps this group use ethnic minorities against the majority whom have loyalty to nations to weaken them.

Tickell is the son of writer **Jerrard Tickell** and **Renée Tickell née Haynes (a great-granddaughter of Thomas Henry Huxley**). Thomas Henry Huxley was known as **Darwin's Bulldog**. In his **1977 book Climatic Change and World Affairs**, he argued that mandatory international pollution control would eventually be necessary. Margaret Thatcher credits Tickell for persuading her to make a speech on global

climate change to the Royal Society in September 1988 (though the speech was written by Thatcher and George Guise).

Climate change (for and against), is a family business... Crispin's son **Oliver Tickell**, is editor of **the Ecologist**...Oliver Tickell is a British journalist, author and campaigner on health and environment issues, and writes for **New Scientist**, **New Statesman** and is the author of the book **Kyoto2** which sets out a blueprint for effective global climate governance.

Then you have George's family...

Cousin: **Dominic Lawson**: Lawson joined the BBC as a researcher, and then wrote for the Financial Times. From 1990 until 1995 he was editor of The Spectator magazine, a post his father had occupied from 1966 to 1970; Dominic's sister, is TV-chef Nigella Lawson and his father was Chancellor of the Exchequer between 1983 and 1989. "Mr Dominic Lawson, editor of the Sunday Telegraph, acknowledged that articles written under a false name when he was editor of the Spectator magazine were "probably" written by an MI6 officer. Mr Lawson was alleged in the Commons to have been an MI6 "asset" who was paid for his services for British intelligence. Mr Lawson denied ever having been "an agent, either paid or unpaid, of MI6 or any other government agency" - because spooks often admit to their being so?! Uncle: Nigel Lawson: Served in the cabinet of Margaret Thatcher from 1981 to 1989. Financial Secretary to the Treasury from May 1979 until his promotion to Secretary of State for Energy. Chancellor of the Exchequer 1983-1989. In both Cabinet posts, Lawson was a key proponent of Thatcher's policies of privatisation of several key industries. Lawson oversaw the sudden deregulation of financial markets in 1986, commonly referred to as the "Big Bang". What it was, in fact, was the wholesale robbery of the Former United Kingdom, and an act of financial terrorism. Lawson was a backbencher from 1989 until he retired in 1992, and now sits in the House of Lords... because in British politics, no bad deed goes unrewarded.

On 23 November 2009 Lawson became chairman of a new think tank, the Global Warming Policy Foundation, a think tank/registered education charity, involved in promoting climate change scepticism. He is married to Rosa Monckton, the daughter of the 2nd Viscount Monckton of Brenchley, since 1991. One of the most active establishment-promoted campaigners AGAINST the notion of CO2-driven anthropogenic global warming... because, controlling the oppositions narrative, is the intelligence services' M.O. George's other cousin: is none other than Fiona Sara Shackleton, Baroness Shackleton of Belgravia LVO (née Charkham) the goto solicitor of the 'British' royal family ...and this is the family you look to, to 'lead the rebellion'?! Who is it... exactly... you think they're rebelling against? their own parents, cousins, uncles and business associates?

Who is **Al Gore**? Al Gore's father was a communist with associations to Armand Hammer a known communist. (Expand here, mention Climategate emails)

They fund and train youth to be **World Revolutionaries** aka **Change Agents**, **Social Entrepreneurs**, **Disruptors** just as Stalin, Trotsky and Mao did using the very same scientific methods thought up by behavioural scientists at the Tavistock Institute. They tell these change agents to **Lead Beyond their Authority** and to **disrupt from within** (Google **Common Purpose Exposed**).

Arthur Koestler who worked with UN departments admits in his book "The Ghost in the Machine" that biological warfare has been successfully used through inoculations to attack specific parts of the brain, "*The Ghost in the Machine*," refers to the part of the brain that makes you a **creative individual**, of any age, any gender, is the **part of the brain which has been under most of the attack**. It's been stated in Koestler's book and others, that if they can destroy that part of the brain, "*The Ghost in the Machine*" as they call it, then they will have conquered the world and they can bring in **THEIR UTOPIA** — which many New Agers have all been taught to look forward to (ie. "**Oneness**")—is the elimination of conscious individualistic thought.

The Administrators of the elite are an interbred bureaucracy often for several generations have been working for these elites. Eric Blair (George Orwell) was one of them. As he realised the failings of their utopia he turned on them and wrote 1984. A fascinating letter between George Orwell and Aldous Huxley (who was his French teacher at Eton), often referred to as the Ultimate Revolution letter (see below).

Letter from Aldous Huxley to George Orwell (aka Eric Blair)

Dear Mr. Orwell.

It was very kind of you to tell your publishers to send me a copy of your book. It arrived as I was in the midst of a piece of work that required much reading and consulting of references; and since poor sight makes it necessary for me to ration my reading, I had to wait a long time before being able to embark on Nineteen Eighty-Four.

Agreeing with all that the critics have written of it, I need not tell you, yet once more, how fine and how profoundly important the book is. May I speak instead of the thing with which the book deals --- the ultimate revolution? The first hints of a philosophy of the ultimate revolution --- the revolution which lies beyond politics and economics, and which aims at total subversion of the individual's psychology and physiology --- are to be found in the Marquis de Sade, who regarded himself as the continuator, the consummator, of Robespierre and Babeuf. The philosophy of the ruling minority in 1984 is a sadism which has been carried to its logical conclusion by going beyond sex and denying it. Whether in actual fact the policy of the boot-on-the-face can go on indefinitely seems doubtful. My own belief is that the ruling oligarchy will find less arduous and wasteful ways of governing and of satisfying its lust for power, and these ways will resemble those which I described in Brave New World. I have had occasion recently to look into the history of animal magnetism and hypnotism, and have been greatly struck by the way in which, for a hundred and fifty years, the world has refused to take serious cognizance of the discoveries of Mesmer, Braid, Esdaile, and the rest.

Partly because of the prevailing materialism and partly because of prevailing respectability, nineteenth-century philosophers and men of science were not willing to investigate the odder facts of psychology for practical men, such as politicians, soldiers and policemen, to apply in the field of government. Thanks to the voluntary ignorance of our fathers, the advent of the ultimate revolution was delayed for five or six generations. Another lucky accident was Freud's inability to hypnotise successfully and his consequent disparagement of hypnotism. This delayed the general application of hypnotism to psychiatry for at least forty years. But now psycho-analysis is being combined with hypnosis; and hypnosis has been made easy and indefinitely extensible through the use of barbiturates, which induce a hypnoid and suggestible state in even the most recalcitrant subjects.

Within the next generation I believe that the world's rulers will discover that infant conditioning and narco-hypnosis are more efficient, as instruments of government, than clubs and prisons, and that the lust for power can be just as completely satisfied by suggesting people into loving their servitude as by flogging and kicking them into obedience. In other words, I feel that the nightmare of Nineteen Eighty-Four is destined to modulate into the nightmare of a world having more resemblance to that which I imagined in Brave New World. The change will be brought about as a result of a felt need for increased efficiency. Meanwhile, of course, there may be a large-scale biological and atomic war --- in which case we shall have nightmares of other and scarcely imaginable kinds.

Thank you once again for the book.

Aldous Huxley

So he admits there is a dominant minority and that there always has been and he also admits their aims of "ultimate revolution" which fit very well with events we have today.

Quotes from Bertrand Russell 1872 – 1970

British philosopher, mathematician, and an **adamant internationalist**. Books: The Scientific Outlook [1931] and The Impact of Science on Society [1952]

(Father was Lord Amberley, Mother was Katharine Louisa Stanley (Suffragist and friends with world revolutionaries such as Mazzini), Viscountess Amberley daughter of 2nd Baron Stanley of Alderley)

Scientific societies are as yet in their infancy. It may be worthwhile to spend a few moments in speculating as to possible future developments of those that are oligarchies. It is to be expected that advances in physiology and psychology will give governments much more control over individual mentality than they now have even in totalitarian countries. Fichte laid it down that education should aim at destroying free will, so that, after pupils have left school, they shall be incapable, throughout the rest of their lives, of thinking or acting otherwise than as their schoolmasters would have wished.

Diet, injections, and injunctions will combine, from a very early age, to produce the sort of character and the sort of beliefs that the authorities consider desirable, and any serious criticism of the powers that be will become psychologically impossible.

Gradually, by selective breeding, the congenital differences between the rulers and ruled will increase until they become almost different species. A revolt of the plebs would become as unthinkable as an organized insurrection of sheep against the practice of eating mutton.

In like manner, the scientific rulers will provide one kind of education for ordinary men and women, and another for those who are to become holders of scientific power. Ordinary men and women will be expected to be docile, industrious, punctual, thoughtless, and contented. Of these qualities probably contentment will be considered the most important. In order to produce it, all the researches of psycho-analysis, behaviourism, and biochemistry will be brought into play.

All the boys and girls will learn from an early age to be what is called "co-operative," i.e. to do exactly what everybody is doing. Initiative will be discouraged in these children, and insubordination, without being punished, will be **scientifically trained out of them.**

Like Charles Forte said, we've been farmed basically down through the centuries for different purposes by a **hidden elite** and a **very high aristocracy**, which uses lower aristocracy to do the actual work and when they need these people for wars they **breed them up**. They'll allow them to have more food for themselves. **They always allow them to have plenty of drink, alcohol** and so on, and even encourage parties and **festivals** and that way they knew the population upped because during the festival time even religion would relax so people copulated and offspring were produced. **Those guys would be the next crop for the next war, which is planned 18 to 20 years down the road** and this is pretty standard down through history.

We're looking here at how society has being shaped from the 1800's in **Europe** and **America**, world over, by different groups controlled by the same families and one of these groups would be the **Fabian Society**, which was established in London, England in the late 1800's. The founders of the Fabian Society consisted primarily – this is as far as the public is concerned, with **Sydney Webb** who was a lifelong bureaucrat for the British Government who tried his best to work up and kiss the feet of the guys above him, hoping that he would get accepted as an aristocrat one day. His wife was **Beatrice Webb** was from an aristocratic family called **The Potters**. She was **Beatrice Potter** – her father was this sort of Halliburton of his day - he would have contracts with the British Army with the Crown. Wherever they went abroad to slaughter people and kick them off their land, they would devastate and burn down houses and he would move in with these little huts he'd sell back to the British Government. The taxpayers would pay for them of course. The **founders** of **the Fabian Society** were the **Webb's** and George Bernard Shaw who was a playwright, the playwrights in those days were funded by the big corporations, charitable corporations as they call themselves or philanthropic organisations (just as they are today). They would tell the authors basically what themes to write upon on social issues and write them into novels so as **the public who** read them would have their opinions of things altered in such a direction that they would be all for a particular type of change. Unsuspectingly, this system which has been organised by professional psychologists at the top because they understand the human mind and through fiction we are programmed through emotion, where anything at all can be humanised and drama makes it palatable to the people. The Webb's and Bernard Shaw and a third member, **H.G. Wells**, another lowly born person. He was born into a family that had lost its wealth, a middle class family. His mother became a housemaid to an aristocratic family and H.G. Wells was brought up in this particular house where his mother was the maid and they had a tremendous terror of poverty as they watched factory workers passing their windows, so they became more snobbish than the people who hired them, the actual owners of the home. However, this thirst for power as they get up into a higher class enabled guys like **Wells** to be picked for that very craving, **knowing that he had no conscience in getting up there** and he was also employed as a fictional writer to **brainwash** people and to nudge them into certain directions and ways of thinking. **A planned society** was his general theme through fictional works like "**The Shape of Things to Come.**" Most of his works actually were non-fiction like his "**Outline of History**" in two volumes. His son had finished the second volume because Wells died in about 1948. Those three main founders, at least for the public image, were the **front men for the Fabian Society**.

The Fabian Society was funded by the British Aristocracy, not the British working class. The British working class were taught that this is actually formed for them is on the side of Labour and out of that came the Labour party of Britain in fact and at the top of the Labour Party of Britain, the Fabian Society still rules.

Bertrand Russell was another member of this group and he was an aristocrat. His grandfather was Lord John Russell the Prime Minister who was behind the first phase of the genocide of the Irish which was the potato famine. (See The Irish Patriot on YouTube for an informative video on this... https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hSdAfPCT0vY and also the Cromwell made called Oliver with Christopher video he Jon Bjerknes https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9vYuM9rcx4I. His father was **Lord Amberley** who was heavily involved in politics. He had a seat in the House of Lords, which is the British Senate basically, although you inherit the title and Bertrand Russell was born in the early 1870's. The same man was running the anti-war movement in 1960. Still out in front of the crowd and he ran the "Committee of 100" which was the radical group of the anti-war protest movement. This particular group smashed down and cut through the fences at air bases and stormed onto the runway and destroyed things just like Extinction Rebellion today with George Monbiot of aristocratic lineage leading the way.

Here again you have an aristocrat funded through the Fabian Society, pretending to be on the working man's side, leading vast amounts of people who had fallen for his spiel and yet this particular lord had nothing in common with the working class nor would he mix with them. He was also a member of the **Cambridge Apostles** (research this!). He was a **eugenicist**. He believed in superior breeding and the breeding of intellect into people - he was a result of that kind of breeding although suffered from depression and had sociopathic tendencies dating his work colleagues' wives behind their backs (Arthur Koestler's wife was one). It is these sociopathic tendencies that they consider a crucial part of their intellect and a part of the 'wild man spirit' referred to in Charles Galton Darwin's The Next Million Years. He believed in abortion, birth control and eugenics—meaning mandatory abortion for certain people with lower IQ levels etc or just the wrong type of people (such as Celts, Britons, Serbs, Basques... all the ones Engels/Marks referred to as 'racial trash' as they do not do well generally with tyranny as the Romans found out when they had to build Hadrian's Wall as the Scots could not be defeated. Lord Bertrand Russell was a big player as far as the public was concerned because he wrote so many books which gave you certain truths and certain facts to bring you to a preconceived conclusion knowing you must arrive at by the way the **information is presented.** Most of facts you arrive at actually (or conclusions you come to) are arrived at because they omit other facts and that's how most of these conclusions are reached.

Bertrand Russell was given a personal teacher, a tutor when he was very young and this tutor was a **Darwinian**. This is the era that Bertrand Russell was born into, where **Darwinism** had been pushed out by the Royal Society of England, the High Freemasonic Scientific Society who was really under the Darwinian guise of evolution pushing the ancient inner religion of freemasonry, which is really basic Hinduism because Freemasonry goes with Hinduism exactly along the lines that we just sort of evolved from amoebas and little animals and land or up the mud banks; and then, just through various chances etc in those billions of years, we sort of arrived at where we are and of course we're not stopped at the moment. We've got a "Great Leap Forward" to go through for the **New Man for the New Age**, which is **Aguarius**, and we're right here now, is where we're coming up to. This all ties in together. From Bertrand Russell's own autobiography, one of the first volumes published he admits that both his parents were **ardent theorists of reform** "and prepared to put into practice whatever theory they believed in. My father was a **disciple** and friend of **John Stuart Mill**. from whom both learned to believe in birth control and votes for women." **John** Stuart Mill was a top economist and being in on the know and the plan and a High Mason etc, they had to double the work force by getting the women in too to destroy the family unit and bring in mandatory abortion, which has all been accomplished then since.

He says:

"My father lost his seat in Parliament through advocacy of birth control. My mother sometimes got into hot water for her radical opinions. At a garden party given by the parents of Queen Mary, the Duchess of Cambridge remarked in a loud voice: 'Yes, I know who you are, you are the daughter-in-law. But now I hear you only like dirty Radicals and dirty Americans. All London is full of it; all the clubs are talking of it. I must look at your petticoats to see if they are dirty."

That's the type of radicals we're looking at. We're looking at an aristocracy within an aristocracy with a mission and it does also tell you that Queen Mary the Duchess of Cambridge was not in on the ultimate plan, which makes sense in Freemasonry because the one at the top is irrelevant. The front man is not important. It's number two that's important. It's the guy behind the Prime Minister or the President that's important. It's those behind the plan where often the one at the top is not. It's interesting to read that Bertrand Russell was a friend of John Stuart Mill, who believed in a **world run by experts** like himself on an economic system run by experts. A thick family planning world over where you would not be born unless they had a function for you, and of course the elite would decide what you would work at in between from school simply for that task. This is in fact the Soviet System because the same aristocracy were behind communism in all nations including China. They created both systems (capitalism and communism) and that's why both systems run along the same paths. Now Albert Pike, who was the Grand Master of Freemasonry in the late 1800's said, we have (meaning the Freemasons) been behind every revolution and he was very proud of it and modern masonic books back that up. Albert Pike trained the man who started a revolution in Italy whose name was **Giuseppe Mazzini**. (Mazzini is just sort of an Italian version of Mason, so that probably wasn't his real name), he started a revolution in Italy because the idea was to unite the countries under one **government** and then unite the central government into one world government, but that would come later under the League of Nations which then became the United Nations.

This is what Bertrand Russell says about Mazzini and it shows you the ties. Mazzini – was part of the communist party...

"Mazzini gave my mother his watch piece which is now in my possession. My mother used to address meetings **in favour of votes for the women** and I found one passage in her diary where she speaks of the Potter's Sisterhood which included Mrs. Sydney Webb and **Lady Courtney**, a social butterfly."

What you're seeing here is the same system as the illuminati where they had one sect for the males and females going out into society to create social organisations led again by people in the upper classes and the people followed them. Now Mazzini's organization was called the "World Revolutionary Party," which was a branch of the Scottish Rite of Freemasonry set up by Albert Pike. The guy who took over from Mazzini was Lenin and they turned it into the Bolshevik Party. Here's a tie-in with the British aristocracy. They're the royalty of communism and they needed the masses to believe in them that it was for the working man and through many front organisations and front speakers they conned the masses into this because it kept Britain from rioting by giving them a Labour party, which they themselves had set up, and through governments setting up what you call democracy. They founded bureaucracies, which is the real government.

The bureaucracy is government. The bureaucracy is never elected!!!.

People worked in different bureaucracies their whole life on a single mission which they know, regardless of which political party is out in front. The political party really is the front organisation to the keep the public amused and debating and hot-headed about, while the bureaucracies know what their missions are and they work fervently towards it. The whole thing was to get the public from where they were, which was an industrial era, forced industrialism, which had through the laws, like the Corn Laws were passed in England and put through by Lord Rothschild which keeps reins in Britain and keeps the farmers out of work and the farmers were all pushed into the cities. Now they are radicalising and training Vegan youths using emotive fake footage of cruelty to animals to shut down our farming for good so that our nation is reliant on foreign poisoned GMO food which is just what the State wants... utter reliance on them and "interdependence". When you remove the protein from diet the IQ plummets and they have always known this.

The cities now had the manpower to create this industrial revolution and on we go with heavy, heavy industry. They also had wars going full-time, of course, because you've got to use industry for war machines and it **culled off the population** too, because the **average person didn't live much over 25** if they made it that far working in factories for **16 to 17 hours per day** for a pittance which didn't even buy you rent money. **You often slept in the factory and you could barely eat because they were kept at starvation levels.** That was Great Britain in its heyday you see. That was the British Empire.

The British Empire certainly looked after the ones who owned the empire, but it used as chattel those beneath it and they knew they had to move on to a post-industrial era and so these guys like Bertrand Russell and Wells etc **prepared the minds of people through books**. Russell with his non-fiction, Wells with his fiction primarily, and of course we had **Bernard Shaw** with his idea of fiction – **changing certain values** through their books hoping that the public would adopt them, as they upgraded the system to serve this purpose to **get the public to serve a new purpose**.

It was NEVER for the public's benefit as they get the sheep from one field into the next field without causing too much panic and they – like Albert Pike said, the Grand Master of Freemasonry, he said, "We never begin a premature revolution. We always, always prepare the groundwork before we even begin the launch of the revolution itself."

Now the Webb's were interesting people because **Sidney Webb** was such a bureaucrat. **He used to put the members of the Fabian Society through a hypnotic trance**, in a sleep, a deep coma almost with these bureaucracies. **He had a special language of his own** which he was **cultivating for all bureaucrats**.

We're all familiar with some of it today because it's mentioned over television news stations quite frequently. They actually do talk like that amongst themselves, by the way, but **the Webb's led off** what appeared to be this **great left wing Labour organisation** to **get the HERD as they call the people** moving into the next stage of scientific era, you see, a technological age. That was their job, to con them and to get them to come along willingly thinking it was all on their behalf. Here's a statement from **Bertrand Russell's autobiography** about the Webb's themselves, just to let you know where these people really have their minds. He said on **page 115** of "**The Autobiography of Bertrand Russell**." He says:

"Both of them the Webb's were **fundamentally undemocratic** and regarded it as a function of a statement to **bamboozle or terrorise the populace**. I realise the origins of Mrs. Webb's conceptions of government when she repeated to me her father's description of **SHAREHOLDERS MEETINGS**. It is the **RECOGNISED FUNCTION of Directors TO KEEP SHAREHOLDERS in THEIR PLACE** and she had a similar view of the government through the electorate."

That's the kind of people who led, and still do, by the way, the Labour party. It's a con job over the working people because they've never had one of their own in the top and it never will happen. Beatrice Webb goes on to talk about her father of his career and how after he'd built huts for the winter's quarters for the French armies in the Crimea, he went to Paris to get paid. From then he of course talks about them putting up the huts and so on, as I said before, in the destroyed areas across the planet. He was the Halliburton of his day. He had all the contracts from government and that's where the Potter family obtained the masses of their wealth. These characters were anything but working class.

Bertrand Russell also mentioned that THEY hated RAMSEY McDONALD who was a front man for the Labour Party. He had nothing in common with them since they came from an upper class and they were rather hostile to him since he was uncouth and unlearned etc. In other words, the Webb's were incredibly snobbish, which is common in Britain. Even the accent gives away your education to your state. It's a caste system. It has so much in common with India because England has a caste system pretty well identical to that of India and that maybe one day we'll find out that the high Brahmans of India are the same bloodlines as those that run Britain. Bertrand Russell, the Webb's, H.G. Wells and Wells tried to take over the Fabian Society at one point and the Webb's put him back in his place. Wells was a manic-depressive himself and he played with drugs. He had the usual upper class problems with sexual relations. He had different wives and they had to sign contracts that they would do certain things for him in a sexual manner and promised to keep their mouth shut if they ever got divorced. Something turned this strange man on. We have these types of characters running the left and the right wing now to this day and they seemed to be chosen for their strange predilections. You'll find another book called "In the Minds of Men." The subtitle is "Darwin and the New World Order." and that was written by lan Taylor who's a scientist in Canada and he goes through much more of these particular characters who changed society, changed history, created the fads that became touted as reality like Darwinism. He said in fact in his own book called "In the Minds of Men," he's commenting on Bertrand Russell.

He said:

Margaret Mead was of course put out there to push for abortion and so on and actually she was more than just an abortionist. She wanted to also to start the **sterilisation processes much like Margaret Sanger** had done before her for the "**mentally unfit**" as they called them and of **course once they have an IQ level which they claim is appropriate** and everybody says that's fine I'm above that. Well of course, like every other law they have on the book, they then change it and they expand it and that would have been a horror show if that comes to pass. "But Mead became the darling of sort of humanist such as Bertrand Russell in 1929 and have Havelock Ellis who have sighted her work often to promote their own ideas of sexual liberation."

"free love" was started in the 1800's by these same aristocratic people that they wanted the people to emulate. They wanted to DESTROY the FAMILY UNIT. In fact, family units are the LAST VESTIGE of POWER which will STAND UP COLLECTIVELY against too much intrusion by an elite so "the family" had to go and then the STATE became the SUPREME

RULER directed to the individual. Many women now, have single parent families and there's generally social workers from the state involved in their life all the time concerning the rearing of their children, or in removing of their children. Scotland is the testing ground for GIRFEC (because of its planned poverty) where the State has a social worker for each child born from birth to death... they of course push it as giving children and parents rights but that is not what its about and its causing many problems in Scotland where children are being stolen by the State (see the many YouTube videos of Child Stealing by the State). Every time a child is moved big money is made by the State and with the new secret Courts where there are no longer juries of people to ensure justice is carried out. There are many many charities also involved in stealing children. This is to be brought out world over and they are now saying that parents do not own their children but the State does! This again was planned and discussed freely by guys like Bertrand Russell back in the 1800's, Margaret Mead and Havelock Ellis and so on. This is a BIG RICH CLUB you see of RICH ELITISTS. In Darwin. "In the Minds of Men" it says:

"Mead and Benjamin Spock between them the pattern of North American child rearing was radically changed, and the fruits of their Labours are now becoming evident in today's divorce statistics. Mead's own modest contribution to these statistics consisted of having had three husbands, which would seem to refute the promise of a happy and graceful life she claimed science showed to be possible with the liberated sexual lifestyle. Ironically, for both science and the alleged happy life, Mead, one of America's leading scientists and a purported Christian, died in 1978 in the arms of a psychic faith healer."

Another little clue that pops in down through the ages in meetings with all these guys. They're all Masons or Eastern Stars, the females. They all believe in the same channelling experiences from entities and they front as a Christian organisations but they are not Christians. That's their cover. Bertrand Russell espoused the total MASONIC DOCTRINE of evolution and great purpose behind this meaning of the great power of the Grand Architect of the Universe, and Mead was into the fortune telling and palm reading and channelling of course. These are the heroes who helped changed society, which they claimed was for the better. Let's go back to another book of Bertrand Russell and this one is called "The Impact of Science on Society."

This was a treatise on population control on one level and it was also a treatise on methods of creating population control. This book was initially written or published in 1952 and is now a good part of what became known as "*The Earth Charter*" that **Maurice Strong** put forward. It was one of **the Rockefeller's who actually wrote it for Maurice Strong**, but in reality, Bertrand Russell put all this stuff down in the 1950's.

Maurice Strong quote: What if a small group of world leaders were to conclude that the principal risk to the Earth comes from the actions of the rich countries? In order to save the planet, the group decides: Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialised civilisations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring that about?

Back to Bertrand Russell...he said:

"Let us now bring together the conclusions which result from inquiring into the various kinds of conditions that a scientific society must fulfill if it is to be stable."

Now he's talking about **a society controlled by scientists**. **A world run by experts**. He says:

"First, as regards physical conditions. Soil and raw materials must not be used up so fast that scientific progress cannot continually make good the loss by means of new inventions and discoveries. Scientific progress is there for a condition, not merely a social progress, but even of maintaining the degree of prosperity already achieved. Given a stationary technique, the raw materials that it requires will be used up in no very long time. If raw materials are used up too fast, there must not be free competition for their acquisition and use but an international authority to ration them in such quantities as may from time to time seem compatible with continued industrial prosperity. And similar considerations apply to solve our soil conservation."

Now here's that which got written into **the Earth Charter** and then into the **"Agenda 21"** from **the U.N. Charter** and now we find out that **Bertrand Russell is writing it in the 1950's.** Then, if go back to his friend that he mentions earlier, his particular friendship with **John Stuart**

Mill, an economist in the 1800's, this is the same thing. This is reiterated over and over again. **THEY HAD THE PLAN MADE UP IN THE 1800's** to do exactly what they're talking about here. He goes on in "*The Impact of Science on Society,*" Bertrand Russell:

"Second, as regards population. If there is not to be a permanent and increasing shortage of food, agriculture must be conducted by methods which are not wasteful of soil and increase of population must not outrun the increase in food production rendered possible by technical improvements. At present neither condition is fulfilled. The population of the world is increasing, and its capacity for food production is diminishing. Such a state of affairs obviously cannot continue very long without producing a cataclysm."

"To deal with this problem it will be necessary to find ways of preventing an increase in world population. If this is to be done otherwise than by wars, pestilences and famines, it will demand a powerful international authority." My God, we hear the same thing over and over eh? "This authority should deal out the world's food to various nations in proportion to their population at the time of the establishment of the authority. If any nation subsequently increased its population it should not on that account receive any more food. The motive for not increasing population would therefore be very compelling. What method of preventing an increase might be preferred should be left to each State to decide."

It's interesting that this is written in the 1950's and became a part of "**Agenda 21**" pretty well word-for-word. It tells you this is an old plan and **run by the same people – the same elite group down through the ages**. Bertrand Russell, He goes on, on **page 116** of "*The Impact of Science on Society.*"

He said:

"Our members who are so important that for their sake we should patiently permit this state of affairs to come about surely not what then can we do? Apart from certain deep seeded prejudices the answer would be obvious. The nations which are present increase rapidly should be encouraged to adopt methods by which the west the increase of population has been checked."

The increase of population has been checked. Now, remember that statement there. How did they check the population increase in the West? It was because at that time in the 1800's right through THEY STARTED INOCULATIONS & VACCINES and if you follow the statistics of the British Medical Association, they did careful follow-ups on all those who got inoculated. Everybody who was inoculated against these particular diseases DIED of them!!! THAT'S HOW THEY CHECKED THE POPULATION INCREASE. In Australia now they have mandatory vaccinations and they want to same world over for our children but not theirs. Matt Hancock MP has been pushing for mandatory vaccinations in Britain!!! It's already mandatory in Australia.

Bertrand Russell continues...

"Educational propaganda, with government help, could achieve this result in a generation. **There are, however, two powerful forces opposed to such a policy: one is religion, the other is nationalism**. I think it is the duty of all who are capable of facing facts to realize, and to proclaim, that opposition to the spread of birth control, if successful, must inflict upon mankind the most appalling depth of misery and degradation, and that within another fifty years or so."

He was predicting here that by the year 2000 we'd be walking all over each other and crawling over each other to get to work. That's if there is any work left. He says:

"I do not pretend that birth control is the only way in which population can be kept from increasing. There are others, which, one must suppose, opponents of birth control would prefer. War, as I remarked a moment ago, has hitherto been disappointing in this respect." I'll repeat that for the hard of thinking. "War as I remarked a moment ago has hitherto been disappointing in this respect."

He's admitting they use war to kill off the people and if you look at the history of Britain since the Rothschild's took over there's been one war after another. So they're very disappointed they hadn't killed enough off. He says:

"If a Black Death could be spread throughout the world once in every generation survivors could procreate freely without making the world too full." Well, there's a nice statement to make, isn't it? "The Black Death could be spread

throughout the world once in every generation survivors could procreate freely without making the world too full. There would be nothing in this to offend the consciences of the devout or to restrain the ambitions of nationalists. The state of affairs might be somewhat unpleasant, but what of that? **Really high-minded people are indifferent to happiness**."

Now I'll repeat that part. "Really high-minded people" he's talking about himself and his own class, you see, "are indifferent to happiness, especially other people's."

"However, I am wandering from the question of stability, to which I must return. There are three ways of securing a society that shall be stable as regards population. The first is that of birth control, the second that of infanticide or really destructive wars, and the third that of general misery except for a powerful minority."

"All these methods have been practiced: The first, for example, by the Australian aborigines; the second by the Aztecs, the Spartans and the rulers of Plato's **Republic**; the third in the world is some Western internationalists hope to make it and in Soviet Russia. (It is not to be supposed that Indians and Chinese like starving, but they have to endure it because the armaments of the West are too strong for them.)"

"Of these three, only birth control avoids extreme cruelty and happiness for the majority of human beings. Meanwhile, so long as there is not a single world government (he's always repeating that thing again) there will be competition for power among the different nations. And an increase of population brings the threat of famine, national power will become more and more obvious the only way of avoiding starvation. They will therefore be blocs in which the hungry nations band together against those that are well fed. This is the explanation of the victories of communism and China"

Now it's interesting that Lord Bertrand Russell who talks about the victory of communism in China. He went over to China in the 1920's and it wasn't the Soviets who went over to train the Chinese in communism. Bertrand Russell was sent from England to do it and he taught in universities basic theories in communism to the very young. Those young students became the first leaders of the communist party and throughout China. Also, Rothschild agent Sydney Rittenberg was another agent who went over to China to train Mao in communism. Once again, we have the central hub London and the aristocracy running both sides of the fence here, capitalism and communism, because between the two of them it would terrorise the world into going to the third wave or the third way, which is the compromise between the two – which is COMMUNITARIANISM where a small dominant elite would then rule over the minds of the people who would be run in a communistic fashion by layers and layers and layers of bureaucracies. This is the Fasci coming together you see with the sickle and the hammer of communism This the Third Wave. The Hammer is also a symbol of the Maccabees one of the 12 tribes of Israel.

Mikhail Gorbachev who was the *head of the KGB in the Soviet Union* became president of the Soviet Union and then was picked by Margaret Thatcher to come over to London where the press agreed not to ask him any nasty questions about his politics. It was just a wonderland over there and yet abortion on demand. They couldn't give you the pill. That costs money, but you can get an abortion in five minutes. NOW THAT'S called EFFICIENCY under the COMMUNIST SYSTEM for the ORDINARY PEOPLE. Mikhail Gorbachev who was eventually knighted by the Queen and became a Knight of Lazarus, which he wears the Templar Cross, only it's a green cross. That's his function, to side with Maurice Strong and push the Earth Charter etc. He wrote a book, or at least it had written for him, called "Mikhail Gorbachev, The Search for a New Beginning," subtitled "Developing a New Civilisation," and he said, "the greening of politics" and that's where the Green Party. People started in the Soviet blocks the Green Party; in fact, it was started up by a right hand man of Stalin. His granddaughter took it over to Europe.

"The **greening of politics** is an affirmation of the priority of values common to humanity enriching education and up bringing with ecological content from childhood onwards in developing a new and modern attitude towards nature. At the same time, the greening of politics is a return to human kind of the awareness of humanity as a part of nature."

Now that rings a bell if you read the "Bio-Diversity Treaty"... where you have no more rights than an ant. In fact, they have more rights than you do.

Mikhail goes on elsewhere to talk about the choices facing humankind.

"Today, humankind is facing a choice. It is time for every individual, nation and state to rethink its place and role in world affairs. We need an intellectual breakthrough into a new dimension." "The future of human society will not be defined in

terms of capitalism versus socialism. It was that dichotomy that caused the division of the world community into two blocs and brought about so many catastrophic consequences. We need to find a paradigm that will integrate all the achievements of the human mind and human action, irrespective of which ideology or political movement can be credited with them."

This is the coming together of the heads and tails of the coin that are both run by the same money men.

"This paradigm can only be based on the common values that humankind has developed over many centuries. The search for a new paradigm should be a search for synthesis," So you had the thesis, you see, capitalism, the antithesis of communism, and here's the synthesis". . . for what is common to and unites people, countries, and nations, rather than what divides them. The search for such a synthesis can succeed if the following conditions are met. First of all, we must return to the well-known human values that were embodied in the ideals of world religions and also in the socialist ideas that inherited much from those values."

He means the values of the Fabian Society.

"Further, we need to search for a new paradigm of development, based on those values and capable of leading us all toward a genuinely **humanistic (remember that word)** or, more precisely, **humanistic-ecological culture of living**."

"The philosophy of the twenty-first century must be grounded in a philosophy of diversity."

I wonder where we've heard that before.

"If life as such is the highest value, then even more precious is the singular identity of every nation and every race as a unique creation of nature and human history. At the same time, we must begin to define certain moral maxims or ethical commandments that constitute values common to all humankind." So there will be no differences in races for the new slave class - for those at the top whos race and intellect will be left untouched!

He's going on about the fact that we must create a new religion which is based on earth worship. You'll have dumbed down populations who probably can't read or write in the future and they'll be given a religion where they'll either have themselves going entirely sterilised, to save Mother Earth, of course, and to make sure that there are no mouths or surplus that need feeding. Of course, the elite won't have to bother with the ramifications of this intent of starving people.


Yes, this is the same agenda. Mikhail Gorbachev with his Presidio down in the States there where he runs this Green movement from. It's all part of the Maurice Strong movement and Maurice of course was picked by the Rockefeller's who are part of the same version of the Fabian Society of London intermixed with the Royal Institute of International Affairs, the American Branch of Council on Foreign Relations and we are being managed just like animals and they're breeding us down. Dumbing us down for sure at the moment and they intend to cull us down to a manageable size and then hone different types for specific functions in the near future. That way they won't need the media or sports to entertain us and then we won't be wasteful of all the toys which we reward ourselves, all these little cheap things from China which don't last very long. This is the basic system which is running our world, and you and I and the public have absolutely no say in this agenda whatsoever.

In the words of **H.G. Wells** who provides a semantic underpinning of this theocracy. "At first the realisation of the ineffectiveness of our best thought and knowledge struck only a few people, like **Maynard Keynes**, for example... *It is science and not men of science that we want to enlighten and animate our politics and rule the world*" This was quoted in "**Mind Control, World Control**" pages 306-307, 1997. The author was **Jim Keith**. There's a member of the Fabian Society giving you their goal of course which is to **RULE THE WORLD**. THEY want WORLD CONTROL. THEY ALWAYS DID and in reality they ALWAYS had **WORLD CONTROL**.

Communism and capitalism were created to work together to bring about this system, they have perfected communism by realising where they previously failed and it has now been rebranded as **Communitarianism** you will love the sound of it initially, they will make it so that you will want to be a part of the **Community**

Hubs, volunteering for points which will enable you to get jobs, **cashless society** brought about under the guise of it being for your **security and freedom**.

Perpetual Childhood is called Socialism, where a big brother takes care of all your problems for you. This is being implemented behind closed doors and we have never voted for this. We are not part of a global village, that's owned lock, stock and barrel with the UN fronting for it and all the big rich boys owning it. We are not serfs or slaves and that's how we must take this forward from that point of view. Individualism is the way to overcome all of this and Individual Thinkers. Individual Thought - that takes tolerance from other people too not this Groupthink and Political Correctness that was brought about by the Frankfurt School and Fabian Society etc. to destroy those who will oppose a the One World Government.



Most popular movements as Plato said "are given from the top down for the people to follow." Often its made to look like its coming from grass roots. There are techniques that are used to motivate people into mass movements. Different kinds of mind control. In reality the easiest form of mind control is generated from basically governmental institutions, by a media and propaganda. It's the most ancient technique that's ever been used and why change it when it works so well ALWAYS archives are full of techniques of control which have been used over thousands of years. INFORMATION IS NEVER DESTROYED. It's simply removed to other quarters and then the old LIBRARIES are burned. Master dictators and tyrants don't destroy information. THEY STEAL IT AND HIDE IT FOR THEMSELVES because KNOWLEDGE IS POWER. When you want to retain power over people, you don't share it with them. You give them false leads, ENDLESS leads and the people will sure enough pick up the paper trails and go in circles forever. That's what you do. You steal knowledge and hide it and that's how ALL KNOWLEDGE is GIVEN OUT in PUBLIC **LIBRARIES**. You can go through so many hundreds of books, dreary books by ex-bureaucrats and ex-politicians and ex-bankers and to find one paragraph within every book that puts on the light switch, everything else in the book you throw away and those people KNOW THIS. This is the technique of scattering knowledge. It's deliberate. You will never get a single book with all of the answers contained within and that's deliberate too.

Most New Agers want instant gratification and they simply jump from one teacher or guru to the next and they pay their money and they never complain. They never say they've been had. They simply devour what they've given NEVER understanding IT and then go on to the next help somewhere else, and that's called shopping for religion and I'm sure there's thousands of websites out there where they can go shopping for that. The Secret, was one, What the Bleep do We Know was another, In the Kabbalah they talk about the ensof, which is the endless, and that's what you have for the New Agers. It's fine for a hobby, if nothing else to occupy themselves with, but while they're shopping for the New Age in answer to their spiritual crisis they fail to realise that this is the world where spirit meets matter and what happens in the world of matter is since you wake up as you do everyday presumably, then what you do here in the

world of matter is important. The trick that's been used in ancient civilisations was to convince the public that nothing was real at all and life is illusory, and once you convince them of that, you've dominated them because whatever you do to them doesn't matter because NOTHING'S REAL and that's the usual spiel of psychological warfare which is put out by certain individuals even today such as David Icke on behalf of the BIG BOYS. Whenever you do anything it doesn't matter because nothing's real, IT'S ALL ILLUSION. An ILLUSION is a DISTORTION OF REALITY. So you should be very clear on the terms that are used and people who are taught that this is not really happening and all the nasty things are not really happening, they can retreat into their ensof and go on forever or at least as long as the ones who control the physical will allow it, because that's what it boils down to. That's the folly of man and so they go on and on in their hunt, the endless, allowing monsters who formulate the future for a few very wealthy elite families who have this knowledge and have hundreds if not thousands of think tanks working for them. Since they conjure up the money and convince us all that they have an actual right to rule and run this system of money and WHEN MONEY TALKS MORALITY WALKS. For those who simply want to ask endless guestions about something they can never prove to their own satisfaction, I would suggest they go on, and follow the movement that was revived or resuscitated from Theosophy, a MASONICALLY RUN ORGANISATION charted BY THE BRITISH CROWN to alter the world to come to the 20th Century, It's faltered off through the scandals that came out afterward on Madame Blavatsky and the various frauds that she committed.

It was revived again in the 1960's by a **big movement** called pop – pop is father of course, that was followed by **rock**, **and that's Masonry**, and I don't know where burst this new pop music. That's the general view and in reality it didn't burst out by itself. **It was simply unleashed at the right time** and the charge took back into the New Age, which again is the name of **the Scottish Rite of Freemasonry magazine**, **their journal**, **and they prophesized they'd do this back in the 1950's** in their journals. **OUT came the BEATLES** and the Beatles of course came out with what was going to be given to the public and that which was given to the public was a diffusion of the mind. A diffusion of reason. Was the land that was used successfully in India for thousands of years. A basic form of theosophy blended with a Christianity and other religions. (for more info on the music industry working alongside the Industrial Military Complex go to Mark Devlin presentation at Open Minds Ireland Conference 2018 which you can find on YouTube)

In the 1960's all over countries in Europe especially, songs just burst out such as Roger Whittaker's song "New World in the Morning," and that was rather typical of the New Age message. They always tell you that "something wonderful is going to happen." This is the same phrase that was used in Arthur C. Clarke in the movie "2001" and "2010" where the man who reaches godhood - actually that's what it's about. It has nothing to do with space at all if you understand high Masonry. In fact they tell people that something wonderful is going to happen and this is tied in with the Age of Aquarius, which really has NOTHING TO DO once again with popular New Age belief simply because they've never done their homework into what Aquarius is all about or the occult. They follow the standard books from the bookstores **NEVER ASKING**, HOW COME ALL THESE BOOKS JUST APPEAR ON THE SHELVES FOR THEM TO CONSUME? They've never done any homework. THEY ARE THE CONSUMERS OF **RELIGION**. Under Weishaupt's charge they found what they called **reading societies** throughout Europe and the goal of the reading societies was under the guise of helping the working people to read. They then formed discussion groups and then when you have discussions groups the material which you are GIVEN to read becomes very important because when you STANDARDISE THE READING MATERIAL then you're standardising THOUGHTS and CONCLUSIONS and you're creating a movement towards a particular direction; and out of those actual reading societies was they become publishing houses. And if you go back over the last two three hundred years up to the present time and just look at how many of the big mainstream publishing houses has an old lantern as it's logo. THE ILLUMINED ONES you see are the ones who started off these reading societies because being a form of computer a

human being can only PARROT WHAT IS DOWNLOADED WITH and you come to the conclusions which the programmer, the one who gives out the material knows that you must reach. YOU SHAPE THE MIND. Masonry SHAPED society. IT SHAPED the MIND. Well, out they came with the New Age revised. The people behind it jumping into reincarnation, which is a natural thing to jump into. It's so ancient. It's a wonderful idea that you can keep coming back and work off your bad deeds and perhaps evolve, and of course evolution is at the heart of very high occult Freemasonry. Only at the very high levels they are completely into the belief of physical regeneration through interpreeding in order to gain the perfect spirit to inhabit the body, whereas commoners who pick their mates at random; that's why they're called commoners. They're not arranged marriages, then they end up with this what they call a hit-andmiss type of offspring. Once in a while you get someone who's fairly bright. Maybe even a genius, but generally it's the lesser types that they call commoners. Whereas the elite ones, including the scientific groups, have been going on down through the ages literally interbred their own offspring to be scientists. You'll see the same thing in the acting communities where for multi generations the actors that one's generation sees are just the sons and daughters of the previous generations actors and that's standard with most culture creation part of the control mechanism of society.

In the 1960's out came the New Age, just bang. Books on the shelves everywhere. Lucid, LSD just happened to be thrown over by the sack full over university walls all over Europe generally out of big limos. It wasn't until years later it was found out that the main societies that were dishing the stuff out over the walls were basically government MI5-MI6 type societies. Many books came out much later telling us that Victor Rothschild, the one exception to the banking family, he did go into banking eventually, but he was a scientist and he was experimenting on various MI5 agents with LSD at Porton Down warfare facilities in England, and you can find that in Peter Wright's book "Spy Catcher". The big corporations, the big drug companies are behind the first manufacturing of LSD to get the people tripping. The Beatles song "The Day Tripper,". A tripping is a movement that's devised to make a person stumble. You break the stride of people who are going in a direction.

That's how they got the whole thing going and that was to destroy the old system. The old system had been kept going up until World War II. You know God, King, Country, that type of stuff and it had served its purpose and now it was time to bring in the New Age. High Masonry, not the bottom stuff but High Masonry formed – as Mason forms things. They shape society and it means that all the control mechanisms over society are reformed, reshaped like building a new cathedral and once you build the new cathedral you dismantle the old. It has served its purpose. The New Age saw massive changes.

Promiscuity was used to destroy the family unit. To destroy the possibility of long-term marriage. Everybody who grew up in those days had a big number done on their head and you can see the fallout today because society as far as relationships go is pretty well completely dysfunctional. No one escaped it. NO ONE.

New Age is big business and like someone with a bottomless stomach their appetite is endless because **there is no satisfaction in what they consume** and the impatient can't be taught anything. It was tried in the 1920's and '30's during the Great Depression, the **Great Depression along with prohibition** to get the youth into the booze cans – the underground booze cans where it's exciting and naughty you see and actually after the Great Depression they cut prohibition off. They had way more drinkers than they had before it because they made it popular to go into these little dive bars.

The religion that runs all religions at the top is called **THE PERENNIAL RELIGION** they also refer to themselves as "the Race" and they are those you see at the top of all organisations. It keeps popping up down through time, it's the same one. You can find in the

1960's for instance they came out with **Timothy Leary** who always wore a white coat showing that he was a scientist and gave stage appearances. He wrote books on the wonders of drugs and how it expanded the mind and this was pushed down the throats of the young people who just gobbled it up eagerly you see. He's an older guy, a scientist, telling you that drugs were wonderful for you that they expand your brain and do wonderful things for you. Give you great experiences. He wasn't the only one put out there. It's interesting to bring his name down to the MASONIC CODING because Tim, is time your see and he you also have Leary - Leary in Britain was the man who went around lighting the gas lamp in the street at night in the 1800's. So another little reference to the Illuminati. A little tongue and cheek HIGH MASONIC JOKE and he was one of many put out there to promote that.

Aldous Huxley was another one who conjured up long, long before to a long lineage of high, high Freemasonry going back to his ancestor Thomas Huxley who was a friend of Charles Darwin. Darwin's theory was nothing more than basically the esoteric version of the religion of High Freemasonry put out there under the guise of a scientific theory because in the high, high religion evolution evolves species up to a – to man and the to next step of man is all part of the inner secret religion that's been here forever. All down through history since Babylon, we've seen the signs and symptoms of this DISEASE that CONTROLS SOCIETY and brings out the worst in humanity AS IT CONTROLS ALL OF HUMANITY and the MO [modus operandi] is always the same.

You have a group that controls the banking and money, that's essential for this system. It all rests on money. Everyone works for money not for food. It's money that controls the whole system. You have the largest cities - wherever they move the largest cities control empires like the world empire and the Empire State. The financial capitals of the world where the richest people live amidst the most squalor of poverty all around them. That's part of their MO. Mass prostitution. The illicit – the legal is run by them. The legal system above, the illegal below. "As above, so below" THEY RUN BOTH SIDES OF EVERYTHING. They keep the people in check by using a form of police forces in ancient times. It was the military. They would call them police and this keeps the people, the public under ALL OF THE RULES OF LAW. They're enforced from the top under the guise of fighting crime and of course the Big Boys CONTROL BOTH SIDES OF IT. THE MAFIA IS ONLY ONE BRANCH OF FREEMASONRY and you'll find the man **Albert Pike** designated to take over from him was **Giuseppe** Mazzini. MAZZINI is just an ITALIAN NAME for MASON and he gave the authorisation and charter for the mafia to startup so they're all interconnected. "As above, so below." Legal, illegal are one and part of the same system. So prostitution, drugs, gambling, they also had the legal drug industry of pharmacology. They run that side too. The illegal drugs to keep the people spaced out is run by them. The same MOs as I say. The same symbols too, and also wherever they go they bring a mystery religion sold through symbols and talismans and magic writings, et cetera, wherever they go to intrigue the peoples around them that then are formed into groups or sects who end up serving a purpose which they don't even understand really. But for most people it's not really necessary they understand, they just want to believe they're involved in some great mysterious cause.

Going back to Aldous Huxley, he gave a speech at Berkeley University to do with the wonders of drugs and electronic control of the brain through possible implants or wires in the brain. Anything which would take away, as he said, the incredible loneliness and anxiety that everyone feels. This apartness and this is again going back to the **Masonic Doctrine of ONENESS**. We're all oneness, they teach you. They themselves at the top don't believe that they're all one, that they tell you down below that we're all one, that way we act is like a school of fish, you know. A school of fish that all move at the same time, in the same direction, they are in a sense one. That's not what human beings are all about but they will have us all move in the same direction and makes it easier to control.

Huxley who worked in **Tavistock Institute** (in Hampstead, London) and worked the propagandists for the **New World Order** promoting mind expansion drugs was put out there to go around encourage teenagers to try the drug. He'd talk at Ivy League universities and he's talking more honestly there about the real purpose of his mission because at Berkeley the faculties were listening to him and the students were the ones who would be part of the controlling bureaucracies to come. So they were far, far more honest on the real mission and talks like the one he gave at the Berkeley University. The sad tragedy of all of this is that so many people have died with the promotion of drugs by an occultic group at the top – very wealthy occultic group who finance all sides of every war, knowing who is chosen to be the victor before the wars even starts, **ALWAYS** is a **PLAN towards a greater EMPIRE** – a **WORLD EMPIRE**.

Those who struggle in the wars never figure that out. They're caught up in the emotion and soon as one side loses some comrades in the war then the whole country's up because they rely on TRIBAL INSTINCT to take over and yet Professor Anthony C Sutton did some incredible investigations into all of this. He found the sources. He detailed the sources of who financed Hitler and he also put out Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution to show you that the same big boys, big corporations and people behind the corporations financed the Bolshevik's or Soviet System and Germany could never have risen under Nazism without this aid. It wouldn't have had the reason to rise up as it did and everyone knew that Germany had two ways out of its problems after World War I because it was paying all of its money out in reparations. The people were starving. It either fought its way out of it or it died, and millions would have died. They knew this when they signed "The Versailles Treaty" in World War I.

Communism had been agitating since 1917 in Germany for a revolution. Without communism or **Bolshevism** they would never have seen the rise of this **Hegelian** opposition to the Nazi Party and both sides were financed fully by the same corporations of the west who still run things today in the west.

Huxley and others never talk about the down side of drugs. To get new converts you don't want to tell them about the side effects. What it does to you or the endless amount of people that ended up in mental hospitals in the '60's and '70's and still today are still classified as basically drug induced schizophrenics. They're brains are so fried and this is a great experiment in mind control over the youth of the public and when you're under drugs, which you think you can handle, of course, you're taught you can handle. We have egos when we're young, you have no common sense. When you're under the influence you don't make rational decisions. Not only don't you make rational decisions you don't notice the big changes that are happening in the world around you which will affect you later on and everyone else around you.

These are the big movements – political movements. They're busy signing laws and treaties and so on and that's how they keeping you out of the loop. The drugs are very handy for once again doing what the Hindus did. Nothing's real, nothing matters except me and my spirit and you're classified as disabled really. You're not participating in the creation of destiny for yourself, for others. You're out of the loop. You're navel gazing or counting your toes over and over... meditation, mindfulness is for this very same reason... ensuring that your mind has been put out of action. Rather than looking into what is making you depressed and the cause, you are being reframed that the problem is the way you are seeing the problem... and that you should see it as a "challenge" to change your outlook regarding the problem. This is something that Yuri Bezmenov (ex KGB aka Tomas Shulman) discusses in many of his videos on YouTube regarding ideological subversion where he gives the example of the KGB sending

him over to India in the 1960s/70s when the US was sending Jane Fonda, the Beatles etc over to the Yogis to meditate.

In the '20's when they brought out the drugs and the booze big time with the mini-skirts with the dancing and with the music to match it, they call it jazz. They had songs burst out to promote it as they always do, the glory of drugs. The big boys like **the Bronfman's with their whiskey trade and the Kennedy's and many others that made their fortune for prohibition also were dealing with the smuggling of cocaine, drugs. (The Bronfman family are to this day tied in with the Maxwells/Jeffrey Epstein/Wexner Nxivm Cult... they are the blackmailers of governments and politicians that work alongside the big banking institutions that push world government). They employ agents such as Barbara Lerner Spectre and Prof. Noel Ignatiev to push their views on who is allowed to be hated and who not to hate... it is imperative to these people that Europeans are killed off as they consider these people a threat to their plans for the enslavement of humanity and it is these people who have been obsessed with bringing about the new man, a slave borg who is happy with his servitude. Count Coudenhove Kalergi also wrote of this new Eurasian man with just enough intelect to do his job.**

Luke Jordan was probably the first who came out in 1927 with "Cocaine Blues" and that popularised once again, how naughty we are, as youngsters like to think. Try this naughty cocaine. He sang about it floating around in your brain. That was revised again when they had the same stuff under the revamped New Age in the 1960's. Bob Dylan did a version of "Cocaine Blues." Others did it too. Nick Drake did it and of course we had Simon & Garfunkel who came out with one of the most beautiful songs that everybody sang and hummed along to and whistled to in the days when they used to whistle and it's called "Bridge Over Troubled Waters."

Most people react to songs on a subliminal conscious level. They're downloaded with words and ideas and symbols which they never rationally or consciously think about but it works on them inside. "Bridge Over Troubled Waters" has nothing to do with a woman or anything like that. It was to do with the needle and heroin. The "Bridge Over Troubled Waters" was a shot of heroin going into the arm. Why would multimillion-dollar industries knowing that these entertainment industries as Plato said well over 2,300 years ago? These industries in his day he knew were used for the creation and alternation of culture. Why would multinational, multimillion dollar industries be promoting and be allowed to promote drugs for the youth? Where was the other side of the story? The fallout from all of this? The burned out one. The dead one. People jumping out of buildings thinking they could fly or walking into lakes and drowning after rock concerts. THERE WAS NO OUTCRY BECAUSE THAT WAS THE AGENDA and that's why the top gives culture. That's why you'll see the Beatles get knighted. That's why the Rolling Stones get knighted.

WHY WOULD YOU KNIGHT PEOPLE WHO PROMOTED THE DRUG CULTURE?

The era of promiscuity with its massive fallout and families – disease, abortions. Why would you give the high awards of the country to these people? Well they get them **because the ones** at the top of the country wanted that. It was on the cards. It was not spontaneous.

Huxley should be listened to in the upcoming speech he gave at Berkeley carefully because here's a mover and shaker working for one of the most advanced mind control institutions on the planet. Talking and giving away what he is allowed to give away. Saying the most incredible things in the nicest possible way. In a captivating way, so you can think my God this man's really nice and human and pleasant and even joke with them, but here he is talking about what's the problem about introducing a new SOMA drug into society because it keeps them happy and quiet and peaceful. "What's the problem about putting wires in people's brains to give them pleasure?" What he never touches on and

what he is well aware of and the question he will not ask therefore are why are people so anxious and unhappy?

Because he came from the ruling elite classes of aristocracy. The man even speaks with a 19th Century accent of the upper elite. What he never mentions is the system they have created is not intended to give you peace. You control large masses of people by keeping them ill at ease. So here is the thesis again the Hegelian dialectic. The thesis, the antithesis and the synthesis. Here's a problem. Here's what you do to fix the problem. Here's the thing that comes out of it, the blending of the two together.

So listen to his words carefully and you probably like the man because that's his job is to make you like him while he tells you the most amazing things that he and others like to do to society. He talks about a scientific dictatorship and lists the reasons why he thinks it would succeed. Where the old Illuminati experts running everything would run your life and you'd never know and you'd be quite happy because you couldn't think anymore as an individual. Listen carefully to the upcoming talk beginning now.

ALDOUS HUXLEY SPEECH

The Ultimate Revolution March 20, 1962 - Berkeley Language Center

Moderator: Aldous Huxley, a renowned Essayist and Novelist who during the spring semester is residing at the university in his capacity of a Ford research professor. Mr. Huxley has recently returned from a conference at the Institute for the Study of Democratic Institutions in Santa Barbara where the discussion focused on the development of new techniques by which to control and direct human behaviour. Traditionally it has been possible to suppress individual freedom but through the application of physical coercion through the appeal of ideologies through the manipulation of man's physical and social environment and more recently through the techniques, the cruder techniques of psychological conditioning. The Ultimate Revolution, about which Mr. Huxley will speak today, concerns itself with the development of new behavioural controls, which operate directly upon the psycho-physiological organisms of man. That is the capacity to replace external constraint by internal compulsions. As those of us who are familiar with Mr. Huxley's works will know, this is a subject of which he has been concerned for quite a period of time. Mr. Huxley will make a presentation of approximately half an hour followed by some brief discussions and questions by the two panelists sitting to my left, Mrs. Lillian (garbled) and Mr. John Post. Now Mr. Huxley Huxley: Thank You. First of all, the, I'd like to say, that the conference at Santa Barbara was not directly concerned with the control of the mind. That was a conference, there have been two of them now, at the University of California Medical Center in San Francisco, one this year which I didn't attend, and one two years ago where there was a considerable discussion on this subject. At Santa Barbara we were talking about technology in general and the effects it's likely to have on society and the problems related to technological transplanting of technology into underdeveloped countries. Well now in regard to this problem of the ultimate revolution and this has been very well summed up by the moderator. In the past we can say that all revolutions have essentially aimed at changing the environment in order to change the individual. I mean there's been the political revolution, the economic revolution. In the time of the reformation, the religious revolution. All these aimed, as I say not directly at the human being, but at his surroundings. So that by modifying the surroundings you did achieve, did one remove the effect of the human being. Today we are faced, I think, with the approach of what may be called the ultimate revolution, the final revolution, where man can act directly on the mind-body of his fellows. Well needless to say some kind of direct action on human mind-bodies has been going on since the beginning of time. But this has generally been of a violent nature. The Techniques of terrorism have been known from time immemorial and people have employed them with more or less ingenuity sometimes with the utmost cruelty, sometimes with a good deal of skill acquired by a process of trial and error finding out what the best ways of using torture, imprisonment, constraints of various kinds. But, as, I think it was Thomas Hardy said many years ago, "you can do everything with bayonets except sit on them." If you are going to control any population for any length of time, you must have some measure of consent, it's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time, but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion an element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them. It seems to me that the nature of the ultimate revolution with which we are now faced is precisely this: That we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably will always exist to get people actually to love their servitude . This is the, it seems to me, the ultimate in malevolent revolutions shall we say, and this is a problem which has interested me for many years and about which I wrote thirty years ago, a fable, "Brave New World," which is essentially the account of a society making use of all the devices at that time available and some of the devices which I imagined to be possible making use of them in order to, first of all, to standardize the population, to iron out inconvenient human differences, to create so to say, mass produced models of human beings arranged in some kind of a scientific caste system and since then, I have continued to be extremely interested in this problem and I have noticed with increasing dismay that a number of the predictions which were purely fantastic when I made them thirty years ago have come true or seem in process of coming true. A number of techniques about which I talked seem to be here already. And that there seems to be a general movement in the direction of this kind of ultimate revolution, this method of control by which a people can be made to enjoy a state of affairs by which by any decent standard they ought not to enjoy. This, the enjoyment of servitude. Well this process is, as I say, has gone on for over the years, and I have become more and more interested in what is happening. And here I would like briefly to

compare the parable of "Brave New World" with another parable which was put forth more recently in George Orwell's book, "1984". Orwell wrote his book between, I think between 45 and 48 at the time when the Stalinist terror regime was still in Full swing and just after the collapse of the Hitlerian terror regime. And his book which I admire greatly, it's a book of very great talent and extraordinary ingenuity, shows, so to say, a projection into the future of the immediate past, of what for him was the immediate past, and the immediate present, it was a projection into the future of a society where control was exercised wholly by terrorism and violent attacks upon the mindbody of individuals. Whereas my own book which was written in 1932 when there was only a mild dictatorship in the form of Mussolini in existence, was not overshadowed by the idea of terrorism, and I was therefore free in a way in which Orwell was not free, to think about these other methods of control, these non-violent methods and I'm inclined to think that the scientific dictatorships of the future, and I think there are going to be scientific dictatorships in many parts of the world, will be probably a good deal nearer to the brave new world pattern than to the 1984 pattern, they will a good deal nearer not because of any humanitarian qualms of the scientific dictators but simply because the brave new world pattern is probably a good deal more efficient than the other. That if you can get people to consent to the state of affairs in which they're living. The state of servitude the state of being, having their differences ironed out, and being made amenable to mass production methods on the social level, if you can do this, then you have, you are likely, to have a much more stable a much more lasting society . Much more easily controllable society than you would if you were relying wholly on clubs and firing squads and concentration camps. So that my own feeling is that the 1984 picture was tinged of course by the immediate past and present in which Orwell was living, but the past and present of those years does not represent, I feel, the likely trend of what is going to happen, needless to say we shall never get rid of terrorism, it will always find its way to the surface. But I think that insofar as dictators become more and more scientific, more and more concerned with the technically perfect, perfectly running society, they will be more and more interested in the kind of techniques which I imagined and described from existing realities in Brave New World. So that, it seems to me then, that this ultimate revolution is not really very far away, that we, already a number of techniques for bringing about this kind of control are here, and it remains to be seen when and where and by whom they will first be applied in any large scale. And first let me talk about the, a little bit about the, improvement even in the techniques of terrorism. I think there have been improvements. Pavlov after all made some extremely profound observations both on animals and on human beings. And he found among other things that conditioning techniques applied to animals or humans in a state either of psychological or physical stress sank in so to say, very deeply into the mind-body of the creature, and were extremely difficult to get rid of. That they seemed to be embedded more deeply than other forms of conditioning. And this of course, this fact was discovered empirically in the past. People did make use of many of these techniques, but the difference between the old empirical intuitive methods and our own methods is the difference between the, a sort of, hit and miss craftsman's point of view and the genuinely scientific point of view. I think there is a real difference between ourselves and say the inquisitors of the 16th century. We know much more precisely what we are doing, than they knew and we can extend because of our theoretical knowledge, we can extend what we are doing over a wider area with a greater assurance of being producing something which really works. In this context I would like to mention the extremely interesting chapters in Dr. William Sergeants' "Battle for the Mind" where he points out how intuitively some of the great religious teachers/leaders of the past have hit on the Pavlovian method, he speaks specifically of Wesley's method of producing conversions by which essentially based upon the technique of heightening psychological stress to the limit by talking about hellfire and so making people extremely vulnerable to suggestion and then suddenly releasing this stress by offering hopes of heaven and this is a very interesting chapter of showing how completely on purely intuitive and empirical grounds a skilled natural psychologist, as Wesley was, could discover these Pavlovian methods. Well, as I say, we now know the reason why these techniques worked and there's no doubt at all that we can if we want to, carry them much further than was possible in the past. And of course in the history of, recent history of brainwashing, both as applied to prisoners of war and to the lower personnel within the communist party in China, we see that the Pavlovian methods have been applied systematically and with evidently with extraordinary efficacy. I mean I think there can be no doubt that by the application of these methods a very large army of totally devoted people has been created. The conditioning has been driven in, so to say, by a kind of psychological iontophoresis into the very depths of the people's being, and has got so deep that it's very difficult to ever be rooted out, and these methods, I think, are a real refinement on the older methods of terror because they combine methods of terror with methods of acceptance that the person who is subjected to a form of terroristic stress but for the purpose of inducing a kind of voluntary "acceptance of the state the psychological state" in which he has been driven and the state of affairs in which he finds himself. So there is, as I say, there has been a definite improvement shall we say even in the techniques of terrorism. But then we come to the consideration of other techniques of non-terroristic techniques, for inducing consent and inducing people to love their servitude. Here, I don't think I can possibly go into all of them, because I don't know all of them, but I mean I can mention a few of the more obvious methods, which can now be used and which are based on recent scientific findings. First of all there are the methods connected with straight suggestion and hypnosis. I think we know much more about this subject than was known in the past. People of course, always have known about suggestion, and although they didn't know the word 'hypnosis' they certainly practiced it in various ways. But we have, I think, a much greater knowledge of the subject than in the past, and we can make use of our knowledge in ways, which I think the past was probably never able to make use of it. For example, one of the things we now know for certain is that there is of course an enormous, I mean this has always been known a very great difference between individuals in regard to their suggestibility. But we now I think know pretty clearly the sort of statistical structure of a population in regard to its suggestibility. It's very interesting when you look at the findings in different fields. I mean the field of hypnosis, the field of administering placebos, for example, in the field of general suggestion in states of drowsiness or light sleep you will find the same sorts of orders of magnitude continually cropping up. You'll find for example that the experienced hypnotist will tell one that the number of people, the percentage of people who can be hypnotized with the utmost facility just like that is about 20%, and about a corresponding number at the other end of the scale are very, very difficult or almost impossible to hypnotize. But in between lies a large mass of people who can with more or less difficulty be hypnotized, that they can gradually be if you work hard enough at it be got into the hypnotic state, and in the same way the same sort of figures crop up again, for example in relation to the administration of placebos. A big experiment was carried out three of four years ago in the General Hospital in Boston on post-operative cases where several hundred men and woman suffering comparable kinds of pain after serious

operations were allowed to, were given injections whenever they asked for them whenever the pain got bad, and the injections were 50% of the time were of morphine, and 50% of distilled water. And about twenty percent of those who went through the experiment, about 20% of them got just as much relief from the distilled waters as from the morphine. About 20% got no relief from the distilled water, and in-between were those who got some relief or got relief occasionally. So yet again, we see the same sort of distribution, and similarly with regard to what in Brave New World I called Hypnopaedia which is the sleep teaching, I was talking not long ago to a man who manufactures records which people can listen to in the, during the light part of sleep, I mean these are records for getting rich, for sexual satisfaction (crowd laughs), for confidence in salesmanship and so on, and he said that its very interesting that these are records sold on a money-back basis, and he says there is regularly between 15% and 20% of people who write indignantly saying the records don't work at all, and he sends the money back at once. There are on the other hand, there are over 20% who write enthusiastically saying they are much richer, their sexual life is much better (laughter) etc, etc. And these of course are the dream clients and they buy more of these records. And in between there are those who complain they're not getting much results and they have to have letters written to them saying "Go persist my dear, go on" (laughter) and you will get there, and they generally do get results in the long run. Well, as I say, on the basis of this, I think we see quite clearly that the human populations can be categorized according to their suggestibility fairly clearly,. I suspect very strongly that this twenty percent is the same in all these cases, and I suspect also that it would not be at all difficult to recognize and {garbled} out who are those who are extremely suggestible and who are those extremely unsuggestible and who are those who occupy the intermediate space. Quite clearly, if everybody were extremely unsuggestible organized society would be quite impossible, and if everybody were extremely suggestible then a dictatorship would be absolutely inevitable. I mean it's very fortunate that we have people who are moderately suggestible in the majority and who therefore preserve us from dictatorship but do permit organized society to be formed. But, once given the fact that there are these 20% of highly suggestible people, it becomes quite clear that this is a matter of enormous political importance, for example, any demagogue who is able to get hold of a large number of these 20% of suggestible people and to organize them is really in a position to overthrow any government in any country. And I mean, I think this after all, we had the most incredible example in recent years by what can be done by efficient methods of suggestion and persuasion in the form of Hitler. Anyone who has read, for example, Alan Bullock's Life of Hitler, comes forth with this horrified admiration for this infernal genius, who really understood human weaknesses I think almost better than anybody and who exploited them with all the resources then available. I mean he knew everything, for example, he knew intuitively this Pavlovian truth that condition installed in a state of stress or fatigue goes much deeper than conditioning installed at other times. This is why all his big speeches were organized at night. He speaks quite frankly, of course, in *Mein Kaumpf*, this is done solely because people are tired at night and therefore much less capable of resisting persuasion than they would be during the day. And in all his techniques he was using, he had discovered intuitively and by trial and error a great many of the weaknesses, which we now know about on a sort of scientific way I think much more clearly than he did. But the fact remains that this differential of suggestibility this susceptibility to hypnosis I do think is something which has to be considered very carefully in relation to any kind of thought about democratic government. I mean if there are 20% of the people who can really be suggested into believing almost anything, then we have to take extremely careful steps to prevent the rise of demagogues who will drive them on into extreme positions then organize them into very, very dangerous armies, private armies which may overthrow the government. This is, I say, in this field of pure persuasion, I think we do know much more than we did in the past, and obviously we now have mechanisms for multiplying the demagogues voice and image in a quite hallucinatory way, I mean, the TV and radio, Hitler was making enormous use of the radio, he could speak to millions of people simultaneously. This alone creates an enormous gulf between the modern and the ancient demagogue. The ancient demagogue could only appeal to as many people as his voice could reach by yelling at his utmost, but the modern demagogue could touch literally millions at a time, and of course by the multiplication of his image he can produce this kind of hallucinatory effect which is of enormous hypnotic and suggestive importance. But then there are the various other methods one can think of which, thank heaven, as yet have not been used, but which obviously could be used. There is for example, the pharmacological method, this is one of the things I talked about in Brave New World. I invented a hypothetical drug called SOMA, which of course could not exist as it stood there because it was simultaneously a stimulant, a narcotic, and a hallucinogen, which seems unlikely in one substance. But the point is, if you applied several different substances you could get almost all these results even now, and the really interesting things about the new chemical substances, the new mind-changing drugs is this, if you looking back into history its clear that man has always had a hankering after mind changing chemicals, he has always desired to take holidays from himself, but the, and, this is the most extraordinary effect of all that every natural occurring narcotic stimulant, sedative, or hallucinogen, was discovered even before the dawn of history. I don't think there is one single one of these naturally occurring ones which modern science has discovered. Modern science has of course better ways of extracting the active principals from these drugs and of course has discovered numerous ways of synthesizing new substances of extreme power, but the actual discovery of these naturally occurring things was made by primitive man goodness knows how many centuries ago. There is for example, in the underneath the, lake dwellings of the early Neolithic lake dwellings which have been dug up in Switzerland we have found poppy-heads, which looks as though people were already using this most ancient and powerful and most dangerous of narcotics, even before the days of the rise of agriculture. So that man was apparently a dope-bag addict before he was a farmer, which is a very curious comment on human nature. But, the difference, as I say, between the ancient mind-changers, the traditional mind-changers, and these new substances is that they were extremely harmful and the new ones are not. I mean even the permissible mind-changer alcohol is not entirely harmless, as people may have noticed, and the other ones, the non-permissible ones, such as opium and cocaine, opium and its derivatives, are very harmful indeed. They rapidly produce addiction, and in some cases lead at an extraordinary rate to physical degeneration and death. Whereas these new substances, this is really very extraordinary, that a number of these new mindchanging substances can produce enormous revolutions within the mental side of our being, and yet do almost nothing to the physiological side. You can have an enormous revolution, for example, with LSD-25 or with the newly synthesized drug psilocybin, which is the active principal of the Mexican sacred mushroom. You can have this enormous mental revolution with no more physiological revolution than you would get from drinking two cocktails. And this is a really most extraordinary effect. And it is of course true that pharmacologists are producing a great many wonder drugs where the cure is almost worse than the disease. Every year the new edition of medical textbooks contains a longer

and longer chapter of what are latrogenic diseases, that is to say diseases caused by doctors (laughter) And this is quite true, many of the wonder drugs are extremely dangerous. I mean they can produce extraordinary effects, and in critical conditions they should certainly be used, but they should be used with the utmost caution. But there is evidently a whole class of drugs effecting the central nervous system which can produce enormous changes in sedation in euphoria in energising the whole mental process without doing any perceptible harm to the human body, and this presents to me the most extraordinary revolution. In the hands of a dictator these substances in one kind or the other could be used with, first of all, complete harmlessness, and the result would be, you can imagine as euphoric that would make people thoroughly happy even in the most abominable circumstances. I mean these things are possible. This is the extraordinary thing, I mean after all this is even true with the crude old drugs. I mean, a housemate years ago remarked after reading Milton's Paradise Lost, He Says "And beer does more than Milton can to justify God's ways to man" (laughter). And beer is of course, an extremely crude drug compared to these ones. And you can certainly say that some of the psychic energizers and the new hallucinants could do incomparably more than Milton and all the Theologians combined could possibly do to make the terrifying mystery of our existence seem more tolerable than it does. And here I think one has an enormous area in which the ultimate revolution could function very well indeed, an area in which a great deal of control could be used by not through terror, but by making life seem much more enjoyable than it normally does. Enjoyable to the point, where as I said before, Human beings come to love a state of things by which any reasonable and decent human standard they ought not to love and this I think is perfectly possible. But then, very briefly, let me speak about one of the more recent developments in the sphere of neurology, about the implantation of electrodes in the brain. This has been done in the large scale in animals and in a few cases its been done in the cases of the hopelessly insane. And anybody who has watched the behaviour of rats with electrodes placed in different centers must come away from this experience with the most extraordinary doubts about what on Earth is in store for us if this is got a hold of by a dictator. I saw not long ago some rats in the {garbled} Laboratory at UCLA there were two sets of them, one with electrodes planted in the pleasure center, and the technique was they had a bar which they pressed which turned on a very small current for a short space of time which had a wire connected with that electrode and which stimulated the pleasure center and was evidently absolutely ecstatic as these rats were pressing the bar 18,000 times a day (laughter). Apparently if you kept them from pressing the bar for a day, they'd press the bar 36,000 times on the following day and would until they fell down in complete exhaustion (laughter) And they would neither eat, nor be interested in the opposite sex but would just go on pressing this bar {pounds on podium} Then the most extraordinary rats were those were the electrode was planted halfway between the pleasure and the pain center where evidently the result was a kind of mixture of the most wonderful ecstasy and like being on the rack at the same time. And you would see the rats sort of looking at is bar and sort of saying "To be or not to be that is the question". (Laughter) Finally it would approach {Pounds on podium} and go back with this awful I mean, the (sounds like frankly humanizer anthropomorphiser), and he would wait some time before pressing the bar again, yet he would always press it again. This was the extraordinary thing. I noticed in the most recent issue of Scientific American there's a very interesting article on electrodes in the brains of chickens, where the technique is very ingenious, where you sink into their brains a little socket with a screw on it and the electrode can then be screwed deeper and deeper into the brainstem and you can test at any moment according to the depth, which goes in fractions of the what you're stimulating and these creatures are not merely stimulated by wire, they're fitted with a miniaturized radio receiver which weighs less than an ounce which is attached to them so that they can be communicated with at a distance, I mean they can run about in the barnyard and you could press a button and this particular area of the brain to which the electrode has been screwed down to would be stimulated. You would get this fantastic phenomena, where a sleeping chicken would suddenly get up and rush about, or an active chicken would suddenly sit down and go to sleep, or a hen would suddenly start sitting as though it were hatching out an egg, or a rooster would start fighting and would suddenly go into a state of extreme depression. The whole picture of the absolute control of the drive is terrifying, and in the few cases in which this has been done with very sick human beings, the effects are evidently very remarkable too. I was talking last summer in England to Grey Walter, who is the most eminent exponent of the EEG technique in England, and he was telling me that he's seen hopeless inmates at asylums with these things in their heads, and these people were suffering from uncontrollable depression, and they had the electrodes inserted into something resembling evidently the pleasure center in their brain. Anyhow, when they felt too bad, they just pressed a button on the battery in their pocket and he said the results were fantastic, the mouth pointing down would suddenly turn up and they'd feel I don't know how long at the time very cheerful and happy. So here again one sees the most extraordinary revolutionary techniques, which are now available to us. Now, I think what is obviously perfectly clear is that for the present these techniques are not being used except in an experimental way, but I think it is important for us to realize what is happening to make ourselves acquainted with what has already happened, and then use a certain amount of imagination to extrapolate into the future the sort of things that might happen. I mean what might happen if these fantastically powerful techniques were used by unscrupulous people in authority. What on Earth would happen, what sort of society would we get? And I think it is peculiarly important because as one sees when looking back over history we have allowed in the past all those advances in technology which has profoundly changed our social and individual life we've allowed it to take us by surprise. I mean it seems to me that it was during the late 18th century early 19th century when the new machines were making possible the factory system. It was not beyond the wit of man to look at what was happening and project into the future and maybe to forestall the really dreadful consequences which plagued England and most of western Europe and most of this country for about fifty or sixty years, and the horrible abuses of the factory system and if a certain amount of forethought had been devoted to the problem at that time and if people had first of all found out what was happening and then used their imagination to see what might happen, and then had gone on to work out the means by which the worst applications of the new techniques would not take place and then I think western humanity might have been spared about three generations of utter misery which had been imposed on the poor at that time. And the same way with various technological advances now, I mean we need to start think very, very hard about the problems with automation and again I think we have to think even more profoundly about the problems, which may arise in relation to these new techniques, which may contribute to this ultimate revolution. Our business is to first of all to be aware of what is happening, and then to use our imaginations to see what might happen, how this might be abused, and then if possible to see that the enormous powers which we now possess thanks to these scientific and technological advances shall be used for the benefit of human beings and not for their ultimate degradation

Well, you've read the thoughts of one of the men who was put out by the **Tavistock Institute** and the big universities of England to promote ideas of a "form of happiness" as they called it. The disguise of happiness for humanity by the use of drugs, chemical means, and even electronic brain stimulation. When he talks about the poor suffering et cetera, the people, the masses, you've got to understand this man was brought up amongst elitist circles who see themselves as **THE GOOD SHEPHERDS**, the more **EVOLVED** creatures living over the **lesser of all of the masses** in a not too unfriendly fashion. That's how it appears to them. That's how they rationalise it basically. When he's talking about to end misery and suffering, it's kind of like a farmer looking at his flock of sheep who are upset over something. It's the same sort of way. He's not really looking at individuals in personal distress over something that they really should perhaps be concerned about. He's rather looking at ways to keep the placid and happy and that will solve everyone's problems, the controllers or the shepherds and the masses if you can't think and worry about something then you don't have to do any worrying. You're incapable of worrying in fact if you're brain has been all fogged up with chemicals and you're being **CONTROLLED BY A SMALL ELITE**. He doesn't see anything wrong with this.

The big controllers and he was one of many of course who were put out there all from the SAME SOURCE all with the SAME VISION of a future which would end all war, all conflict by standardising a mass mind set. The Borg mentality you might say. That was their solution to everything and I guess they're right in that sense. They will have no more problems from the little boys at the bottom if each little boy and girl has no mind of their own to use. It's up to you to take this information. Analyse it. Study the time period it was written in by the institutions that it came from, by the big university programs funded again by the big foundations to do intense study and research into human behaviour. Look into the Freud Family (Sigmund Freud, Anna Freud, Clement Freud, Edward Bernays, Uri Geller, The Tavistock Institute).

Look into mass human behaviour and mass psychology and you'll get some frightening answers as to what they dreamed up and then you'll see that what they dreamed up has been implemented into your own life. People are chasing all kinds of things today which are conjured up by communications industries and media and entertainment. They're chasing phantoms you might say. Phantoms in the air, forms created purely out of imagination with cunningness of course, using archetypal type symbolism which everyone reacts to. A good example is the reptilian agenda. It sold lots of books, started really with a series on television called "V," a well funded series about these creatures and UFOs of course coming down to Earth and under their partly human skin they're actually reptile people who eat mice and rats and things. That prepared the ground work. As Albert Pike said, "we always lay the foundation first and then build on it." Out eventually comes another man with his own interpretation of the Sumerian tablets. A unique interpretation that's kind of laughed at by politer circles who have done more research than he has and who have no spin to take and then it's built upon by other authors put out from the same sources who then build on it. But the foundation is faulty. It's the "V" you see. If you understand what the "V" symbol actually means you start to understand why they call things these particular names. The "V" series came first, put the foundation there of possibility thinking. Out comes the unique interpretation to build on it, then come the authors with repetition, repetition, repetition. They call that a form of **psychic driving** as they used in **MKUltra**, and of course they seem to thing it's real by the much speaking as they say. The more they say it the more they become real.

Huxley himself talks about the use of repetition to get things across, as did **Lord Bertrand Russell** on "*The Impact of Science on Society.*" Repetition and repetition without basis you see gets the message across no matter how fantastic it is. Its also referred to as psychic driving. So ponder this.

This little talk is about how a structure in the mind is made. You build structures of ideas in the method of programming that's used on the public. It sometimes takes generations to build a structure, an idea. George Bush, Sr. referred to this as the BIG IDEA when he talked about a New World Order coming into view. Part of that was true; it was the end of a so-called Cold War system and the beginning of the next world empire that takes over, which had been discussed in many books, mainly in Britain, as far back as the '70's, but a BIG IDEA IS A MASONIC TERM. It's not just a vision, it's a BIG IDEA and of course, like all big ideas, you must first plant the seed. Before you can plant the seed, you've got to get the soil ready and the seed will then germinate in the soil. That's called the preparatory work. The technique that's used on the public is as old as can be. Old as mankind himself and you'll find in Plato's "Republic" where he talks about the ideal world utopian society run by a dominant minority called the guardians. They used all of the techniques of **culture creation** and culture creation is done by drama primarily, and symbolism, paintings, architecture, it puts ideas across to people; and in drama the **onlookers actually emulate what they see**. The acting societies prefer to say they reflect society, but amongst themselves they admit they help create culture, alter culture and direct culture.

THAT'S THE JOB OF THE CULTURE CREATORS, and again going back to Plato, he also talks about music and that music was a powerful, powerful force for directing the minds of the young. THIS TECHNIQUE HAS NEVER BEEN USED TO ITS FULL EFFECT AS IT IS TODAY. Socrates had to drink the hemlock because Socrates was secretly teaching the youth (the aristocratic youth) into the mysteries and he was teaching them that one day they could rebel and bring in a new type of utopian order.

The same as **Pythagoras** had done long before. **People basically are downloaded by entertainment** into the ways that they will adopt and behave— with ideas, music, fashion, everything goes together to create culture. If you want to plant ideas in a psychological warfare scenario to **disengage the public from a reality**, you do it again through fiction, through the process of fascinating fiction, and then you take it into a realm of a twilight zone between fiction and reality by **mixing the two together**; **so bits of truth with fantasy**, wide speculation and then you build on it. You build the structure. You build the concept within someone's mind.

Today, we're going through amazing changes, amazing changes with **aerial spraying** all over the world until people are getting used to it. Some people can remember what clouds look like. Some cannot and those who have **NEVER LOOKED UP BEFORE**, well, once you point it out to them, **THEY HAVE NOTHING TO COMPARE IT TO.** They think it's always been like that, but we're being dosed like bugs from the air on an increasing basis.

THEY'VE STEPPED IT UP BIG TIME SINCE PEOPLE STARTED TO NOTICE, SO THEY'RE **HURRYING UP THE PROCESS**. Meanwhile, some people who are following Annunaki, reptilian people, the UFO's and space aliens - all this stuff has being put out over generations where they were inundated with science fiction and authors, specially chosen authors, high Masonic connections. mesmerize the public and prepare their minds counterintelligence process; because the time comes during every great plan when enough people are awakened to the plan. The time always comes when a critical moment in the balance is reached, and those who implement the changes to come must counter it. That's called **counter-intelligence**. You take the intelligence that's been gathered by small people and you put out superstars, take that intelligence, they're pushed to the very top, they become the leaders and then they spin it off into outer space. They diffuse it. They negate it. They render it harmless and that's what counterintelligence does. How did we get to a stage where so many adults in all walks of life and professions and jobs are so confused that they're chasing Annunaki and reptilian people? How did this happen? How long does it take to prepare the peoples' minds to bring them to this stage?

In the Middle Ages the Catholic Church brought in all of the superstition and fears and ancient terrors of the unconscious mind— the stage, **the rising sea of the unconscious**, the place where all things can happen and are repressed and kept apart by the subconscious from the conscious. The raging sea where everything can happen, where people will do and see and experience things they would never ever have in their conscious state. That's what dreams are made of, and nightmares. It's the creative source where things are pooled from and are rendered harmless once they reach the conscious stage; but to those who understand the forms of mind control to **keep whole populations terrified, docile and obedient, and confused,** the unconscious mind is a tremendous field of study.

Those who brought religion into the world, and Europe, have all used these ancient techniques and the Catholic church in the Middle Ages had adorned all the ancient cathedrals with big, big money and generations it took to build up, an ongoing plan which covered generations for the stonemasons to build each cathedral. During plagues, famines, wars and everything—this work kept going because it was a MUST-BE by the REAL POWERS BEHIND. They adorned these cathedrals and all public offices with gargoyles and the gargoyles were all of the ancient, ancient Middle Eastern terrors and fears which had been dreamed up thousands of years before. WHY CHANGE SOMETHING WHICH WORKS? THEY NEVER THROW AWAY SOMETHING WHICH WORKS.

Reptilian has ALWAYS in the high esoteric understanding been a symbol of the opposite of the good nature within each individual. If the kingdom of heaven is within you, then so is the kingdom of hell, and we can look around and we can always see which man and woman prefer to externalise. You have *Enemy Mine* coming out, followed up by a Mr. Von Daniken who in the 1970's came out with *Chariots of the Gods* and all across the major newspapers in the world was this spiel basically on Mr. Daniken and how he'd found these ancient rock carvings in South America of Indians almost riding these solar spacecraft, like motorbikes, amongst the stars, and little guys with bubbles in their heads, like a space man, and all this kind of stuff - and no one stopped to think WHY WOULD THE MAJOR MEDIA BE PROMOTING THIS WITHOUT QUESTION? When major books appear across the planet in major bookstores, the bookstores don't come looking for the book. It takes big, big bucks to promote any author out there into the mainstream. Authors have been AUTHORISED. PERMISSION IS GRANTED FROM ABOVE. Mr. Daniken's books sold like hotcakes because they were fascinating. Little bits of old puzzles and old stories were rehashed and mixed in with the Chariots of the Gods and "has earth been visited before by space men?" and this went on for quite a few years. He sold lots of books and followed them up in rapid succession, as these authors tend to do with their teams of writers, and ONE DAY IT WAS EXPOSED because one little journalist came out of **Britain** and went over to where he was supposed to have found these rock carvings and *he* FOUND A PEASANT WHO WAS PAID A FEW PESOS for each CARVING THAT HE COULD CARVE out of ROCK and Mr. Daniken fell from his chariot and hit the dust, but you can't keep a GOOD LIE down, you see. It was revised as I say with other authors and then Enemy Mine and then a series broke out in 1984, it actually came out in '83, called "V". V is five in Roman Numerals. This is again the power of the Masonic code, the FIFTH RACE THEY call IT . Maybe one day I'll go into all of that. "V" was a television series, big production for television, 19 episodes, and once again the sky is full of space ships one morning and they're all over the world and these quite beautiful creatures come out, very human looking, and it turns out underneath their little plastic skins they're reptiles. That's how the SEED is PLANTED—through DISINFORMATION. You muse an idea through fiction and repetition into the minds of the public and that's how you build a pyramid in your mind. Prepare the soil. Plant the seed. Build on it generation by generation and the public will eventually be so bewildered and they'll believe it that you can **SPIN THEM OFF** in any direction; and the more fascinating it is, the more the people unfortunately like it. I can remember telling someone back in the '70's that Mr. Daniken had fallen from grace and the guy was ready to attack me because he wanted so badly to believe in it all. People would rather kill the messenger than face the truth when they've swallowed a

BIG LIE. Today people CANNOT TELL the difference between FACT AND FICTION. Their minds are so spun with entertainment, and amongst entertainment, I include the media that's supposed to give you what we call news. **Your news today** is **HALF HOLLYWOOD**.

Huxley said the reason the Roman Empire fell is because the ELITE couldn't afford to keep qiving the people enough bread and circuses and that's what we have today while the new empire, the future, is underway with all of its plans published for those people who can plow through the dry non-entertaining books put out by the BIG BOYS themselves. There's no speculation involved but generally there's no sex or gory violence there. There's quiet violence because they talk about CULLING OFF BIG CHUNKS OF THE POPULATION but they do it in the nicest possible hygienic way. The spinners of reality into fantasy are backed by some very big agencies with a program and a plan to bring the public into a mental standstill. Because if you swallow the fact that they give you as fact, that, "well, you're the bottom of the heap, you see, you were *made*, your ancestors were made to be slaves"—if you swallow that, PSYCHOLOGICAL WARFARE has PUT YOU UNDER. The purpose of psychological warfare is to **destroy a potential enemy** before anything happens. That's the purpose of it. If you believe that your ancestors were created by a bunch of walking crocodiles and you were made to be a slave and you can't be the superior ones because they have more Annunaki genes in them than you do—then you've just ADMITTED that the GAME'S OVER FOR YOU, isn't it? Those people who are following all of this are the first ones to condemn and pooh-pooh all orthodox religion; and yet, here they are, devouring this stuff as eagerly as any novice monk of the Middle Ages devoured the stuff that was dished out to him.

WE'RE GOING THROUGH THE BIGGEST CHANGES that have ever happened, as Brzezinski said, since the BEGINNING of the INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION. In the industrial revolution, vast peoples were moved vast distances and off the land into these crowded cities that were thrown up as industrial towns and cities. Upheavals like you'd never imagine as they got forced off their land THROUGH LAWS and various other means; and now we're all getting ready to be numbered and stamped like cattle, under the guise of some guy living in a cave in Afghanistan who's threatened to say "boo!" once too often. We're going through this as a reality and people are chasing walking crocodiles in their imagination.

It's **totalitarian society** that they want to bring in. It's to be society run by elitists at the top on a social level doing social-type structural work, with the **scientific bunches beneath them all employed in think tanks** and so on, and the society is for a new era because they have said the **"old system"** is now becoming **obsolete** and they're quite correct. In the old system, although we're familiar with it and we want to fight to save it, it wasn't ours in the first place.

The same elitists that gave us the Council on Foreign Relations gave us the old system: man and wife, children, home, no tribes sort of thing, government directing you.

Prior to that it was religion directing you for their "Great Work", the Great Work through the industrial era, the technological era and then a post-technological era. Through science we have to adapt to a new system, apparently, and the system is a system which will be run on a scientific basis. That's what the "Earth Charter" and all these different charters are about. That's what United Nations is about and all the various multitudes of bureaucracies they have to deal with all of what you do in everyday life.

You haven't seen all the effects yet, but eventually you will. All local governments across the UK, Europe, States and Canada, and the world for that matter, are implementing the same laws at the same time, which all come from the United Nations. Under Agenda 21, Agenda 20-30.

How they're forcing people off the rural areas is to start actually fining them for laws they've had on the books for a few years, coming from the UN, and it's from the International Property Maintenance Codes coming from the United Nations. Even for a bent down-spout on your guttering, or a dented one, you can get fined for that massive amounts of money and it's rather obvious it's to get you off the land and into what will be future habitats areas—SMART CITIES, where you will not be able to breed with whomever you want to. It's the future they're bringing into play. You will have a function in society if they will allow you to live.

The most ruthless survive in this system and become what is called 'successful,' or you join Freemasonry and business is guaranteed to come your way. A good book to read on this is by **Jacques Atalli** called **Millennium: Winners and Losers in the Coming World Order**... he was a political advisor to some of the big boys such as Francois Mitterrand.

Democracy – this thing with **central banking** and paid con men that pretend to be politicians looking after your benefit and the **central bank** is **connected to the World Bank**—and the **World Bank** is about 13 families who run the planet financially and pass figures around amongst themselves—and the WHOLE THING IS JUST A MAGIC ACT as we all know. They're rushing ahead and they've got their 51st state, Israel. That's why Israel's called the STATE OF ISRAEL. They want every country to join in with the middle east so they can get it over and done with as quickly as possible because the world is not too happy of course with ISRAEL THAT WAS DELIBERATELY SET UP THERE TO DO WHAT IT'S DOING a long time ago, started off by Baron Rothschild who financed the beginnings of the immigration. Then of course the Bolshevik Revolution afterwards, they really started to occupy Israel when Britain had the so-called protectorate of that area and Britain supervised them coming in and setting up. Lord Curzon was the guy who was in charge of that whole area for the Crown of England and Lord Curzon wrote his memoirs about it, that most of them coming in were all from Russia, Soviets, and many of them, if not all of them, at that time were actually Communists. Hard Communists too.

This was set up with the cooperation of England and I have no doubt that Jewish people will take the blame for this and people will never suspect that those in London, the big families in London who've run the world for a long, long time really had anything to do with it. And yet it was Britain as I say that diverted men from the lines in Europe in World War I, they diverted them and took them into the Middle East at the end of the war and started fighting with Turkey, the Ottoman Empire, to get rid of them, clear the way for the quick British occupation and then of course they started bringing in the Israelis.

These big families in London, England have a lot to do with the creation of modern day Israel. Winston Churchill wrote in 1920's and he should know because he was part of the team that drew the lines in the sand, on the maps at least, of where the various countries would be after World War I and they did the same in World War II again. No Arab input at all and he did say in 1920's though that there would be a big war with Iraq one day because of the oil that lay under the desert sands to the north.

We have to realise that these boys they don't see the future as we do. We see short-term goals and it's hard for us to start a project and maybe never see the end of it, the completion. It's not really in us, but these people belong to diplomatic corps where they work in centuries and they start the ball rolling and they do their part in it, knowing it will be taken over and continued and they see 100 years down the road, 200 years down the road, maybe three, maybe more and they work steadily toward it. We're watching this grand finale here where the last countries, which, regardless of what you think of them or the propaganda we've had about them, they have been independent for a long time.

Those last countries have been brought up to standard, taken over. UNESCO goes in, grabs the first children, trains them in their schools to be the first generation brainwashed with what we think is democracy and we're all being manipulated like good happy little sheep and that somehow these superior beings above us that we don't even meet or see or even know their names most of the time are just managing us very well, and just go out and have a good time, dance to music, have lots of sex and take drugs and have abortions and just work and pay taxes. That's a good citizen.

THAT'S WHAT THEY WANT. A GOOD PRODUCER/CONSUMER according to the UNITED NATIONS. We're watching as I say this role now, which is all or nothing.

The real reason we went into Iraq is not just oil and all the rest of it, which they will all benefit from, all these big boys, but they want to standardise that whole Middle East and bring them into the same system. They'll develop the same classes, upper middle classes, which will then go into politics and become bureaucrats and join the Freemasonry and take their kickbacks and keep the sheep happy. **That's the REAL REASON we went to IRAQ** and the papers here said that the Americans had forgotten because of the massive propaganda of the United States newspapers; they'd forgotten that Iraq had nothing to do with 9/11. Now the pretense to go into all the other countries is already on a roll. The treaties with Israel are there.

AMERICA AND THE UK IS JOINED AT THE HIP WITH ISRAEL. As I say, **it's no coincidence it's called the STATE of ISRAEL** and there's bigger players behind this than people realise. Whether they will ever emerge in the near future and show their faces, I don't know, but this is a standard technique is to set up people to be hated, to take the fall and we're seeing it happen right now because this is going to be a very, very angry world when people say, "well why are we fighting and dying for someone else's country?"

Someone in a country that doesn't want really to be part of the "international community" as they keep telling us. It's a strange term. **INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY**. We hear this repeated all over every speech that's given by all the big players.

Tony Blair gave a speech recently with all the slogans and buzzwords in there that Lenin talked about. Lenin said, "we shall win this war by slogans and repetition" and so we hear International Community.

We hear **interdependence** and all this kind of thing. We always think these things mean it stops at the borders somehow. It doesn't because **interdependence means** you as a citizen, a single person, you will be interdependent on everyone else for your needs **and one day**, I mean it, you will be fined or shot for having a garden because you'll be anti-social trying to be independent. This is the world they're creating.

It's similar to the "Rights of the Child" that the UN passed and everybody says "well that's fair enough, the children should have rights and we are decent people," and then of course, once it's all through, you find out the parents end up having no rights at all. That's your typical doublespeak of the United Nations. When you hear interdependent, yes, it comes right down to the individual—interdependent on everyone else; and of course we've seen all the industry move to China.

THE MEDIA IS THE MIDDLE MAN, MEDIA. That's what it means. They're a "middle man" but they're employed by the BIG BOYS. Their job is to manage your mind, manage your thoughts, to manage your cultural changes by promoting certain ideas and culture and entertain. That's what the MEDIA'S JOB IS. It's NEVER been there to be honest and tell you everything that is necessary to know. They tell you all what they deem what you should know, all you need to know. The GATT treaty saw all the industry basically end up in China. Not only that, people don't realise that under the GATT treaty that the citizens of all the countries that were sending their factories abroad had to pay for the first five,

sometimes to 10 years, for the set up of those factories until they could make what they claimed was a good profit. **Guaranteed by the citizenry** – that's not bad, is it? Where's your share in all this? And that was GATT.

Brzezinski said it, he said: "the public shortly will be unable to think for themselves or reason for themselves." THEY CAN'T REASON FOR THEMSELVES. They CAN'T FATHOM or EVEN THINK about fathoming why China should be making all of your goods now and yet that was planned a long time ago before I was born that China would be brought up to this present state. What happens when all the industry goes out of your country? You say you're going to be a service economy. Well, what are you going to do? All a service economy does is passes things around from one person to the next and then to the consumer. It DOESN'T PRODUCE ANYTHING. It paddles. It just treads water and eventually it must sink.

In this service economy they say it's all computers, everybody can work computers. They said that in the '70's in Britain. There's lot of people who would work in factories. They're all gone. Those people will end up being unemployed, drunk or depressed or on drugs because they will have no function in this system to come. They are becoming the "useless eaters," as Lord Bertrand Russell said back in the '40's and '50's. The "useless eaters will not be allowed to live." This is to be a world run on total efficiency. We've watched nature being managed. We've watched all the animals being given rights in the wild; it's a front, while they take your rights away. We've watched the UN being brought up to power and issuing laws galore for codes and trades and everything else across the planet and now they want to start inspecting homes everywhere and fining people massively if they're gutter isn't quite up to scratch type of thing, the control freaks get a little hat or a badge and go crazy and that can happen to anybody.

ANYONE CAN BECOME A CONTROL FREAK WHEN THEY THINK THEY'VE GOT A LITTLE BIT OF AUTHORITY. That's the world we're coming into. Papers, please. ID card, please, and eventually a brain chip which again most people will accept and a cashless society because they've accepted everything else so far, haven't they? AND IT IS NOT THEIR SYSTEM. The BIG BOYS CONTROL THIS SYSTEM. Now we're at a stage where everyone will be blaming everybody else for what's happening, and so, as I say, Israel will take a lot of the heat. Others of course blame the Vatican to be behind Israel and the Jesuits. IT'S DESIGNED TO BE THAT WAY because the BIG ELITISTS AT THE TOP ARE CALLED "The Race" and it's the highest form of Masonry you can get into and people who are already the elite of Japan, China, India, Singapore, everywhere else on the planet are PART OF "THE RACE." This was put very well by people like H.G. Wells in his non-fictional works where he wrote about those people who would constitute "The New Race," the New Elite, and they had to prove through intergenerational success, financial survival, dominance, that they were fit to rule. They would be brought into the Race and that included the ELITE IN EVERY COUNTRY who could prove that through many generations those families HAVE DOMINATED and THRIVED IN ALL TIMES WHEN IT WAS BAD for the OTHER PEOPLE below THEM, they thrived and been successful through the good and the bad and dominant and they were not weak-minded. THEY WOULD SLAUGHTER WHEN THEY HAD TO.

H.G. Wells also drew a list of those who would not be allowed in. The American Indians were one. He said they would never adapt to the white man's way of commerce in '85 or '86 or whatever. It wasn't in them. The Black Man of course was added in there too, except those, he said, "could mimic the white man," and all he was doing was quoting Mill, the great economist of the 1800's. There was two. There was a father and son who both were economists and they both wrote the same kind of lists of who would survive and WHO MUST PERISH they said in a world that would be run on efficiency. Now that's the reason you've been hearing the steady drumbeat by so many organisations that seem to be independent of each other but they're not. THEY'RE ALL INTERCONNECTED. This drumbeat towards population reduction because back in the 1920's they already knew and they wrote about it, they knew that the industrial era would eventually come to a halt. They knew they'd go on to

bigger and better sciences because they already had bigger and better sciences WE KNEW NOTHING ABOUT. You NEVER SHARE POWER with the public by giving the latest anything to them. You give them obsolete technology and you make them think this is the latest, "you're on the cutting edge," and that way you'll never suspect that there are technologies that are BEYOND SCIENCE FICTION that's been in existence

"Education and the Good Life" back in the early 1900's through experimental schooling and kindergarten, he said, we can indoctrinate the child with three or four hours per day with scientific means, so thoroughly the parents will have no input whatsoever. Whatever moral values – they called them "contaminated values" by the way because they're now obsolete. What the parents tried to pass on to the children would be of no effect because those children would be put through a scientific indoctrination and we see it today. We see the parents wondering why the children won't listen to them and we saw the communists; those who have a memory can remember what communists were. They used to say "don't trust anybody over 30." That's what the children were taught. Well now it's dropping all the time. Just don't trust your parents even because they know nothing.

People are being programmed all the time and they don't know it. For every group out there such as the Zionist group, there's a higher Zionist group above them with a totally different cause and different set of beliefs, all together completely different. It's the same with the so-called Nazis. When you read some of the books by some of the high Zionists, it's the same control freak world they're talking about bringing into view as the present high Nazis. It's the same one, to control society, the planned society, the society with a function.

Now is the time for people to keep their heads because we've been given our heroes to follow in certain alternate medias who will get the ball rolling to get the fighting started amongst each other at the right time, and I'm sure for donkey's years now they've been preparing. We've seen it. We've seen them be preparing for internal strife within America. They've been building up internal armies for years and years and years for the day when the public will be encouraged to do something about it, what's happening. **Don't fall for the rhetoric. Don't follow individuals put up there and paid very well to be your leaders**—the official opposition. People should demand to know what oaths anyone who takes a paycheck from the taxpayer, what oaths they've taken to what societies. It should be on public record for everybody to see and published.

We also have the weather modification big time. Everything in Revelations can be done through science and it's child's play today. People must keep their heads as we go through this crisis time. It just escalates because if the BIG BOYS HAD BEEN CAUGHT planning this with the CFR before or right after 9/11 occurred, if it was exposed at the time they could have blamed it on misunderstandings and bureaucratic errors and all this usual stuff that they give us, but you see the axe is falling now. The axe man has already swung the axe and it's ready to cut the head and they can't go back now. They can't stop.

It's all or NOTHING for them at the TOP. When you get fanatics who have planned this for so, so long a time (before these present bunch were born) then whatever it takes, the end justifies the means with them and that means however many it takes to kill anywhere to BRING THIS PLAN to its FINAL CONCLUSION, THEY'LL DO IT because WE ARE NOTHING TO THEM.

We're on this roll towards totalitarianism. All the laws are there waiting to get used, and the public are still grazing, because they haven't seen them put into effect yet, hundreds and hundreds of laws. It's quite interesting, even the **Constitution** and the **Bill of Rights** took direct quotes from the **Magna Carta** - that was the first tentative official laws put out on the books for the West and England in 1215, and published in 1216, and added to right up to the year 2025 or so, where everybody was put in their place. The churches were put in a separate place, royalty and nobility were put in another category, and then they had subcategories down to tenants and subtenants and so on, but it was the first attempt to establish some sort of rights for people.

You see the American Psychological Association for years has gone on the same path as that, as have the police. The American Psychological Association has been trying to determine who will be a criminal; and that started really with them when they were part of the eugenics movement under the Rockefeller Foundation in the 1920's. It's now called, "Bio-**Ethics Committees."** It sounds much more gentler and society oriented, but it's the same thing. If they can just look at someone or look at their blood or their DNA or whatever, they might just be able to tell you how you're going to end up, catch you before it happens and program you and indoctrinate you into who they want you to be, rather than letting you allow yourself to come to what you'd like yourself to be by yourself. The American Psychological Association has said many times in their publications that "everyone is a potential criminal," which is true if you go straight by legalism and the terms of legalism. If it's a crime to defend yourself if someone is going to try to kill you, and you defended yourself, then technically yes, you're a criminal. Since everything that lives, even mice will scratch the noses of cats if they're cornered - they'll try to fight to the last - a rat will bite you if you put your hands down its burrow to protect its young, well they're all criminals you see. YOU CAN MAKE ANY NATURAL ACTION a CRIMINAL ACTION and they have been doing this piece-meal, little by little, for the last 20-odd years. So until they make you the new world Soviet man, which is just a robot basically who's predictable, then the world can't be safe; and ALL WE HAVE TO GIVE UP IS THE RIGHT TO THINK FOR OURSELVES, REACT AS ANY LIVING CREATURE SHOULD REACT TO SAVE ITS OWN LIFE. THAT'S ALL WE HAVE TO DO IS GIVE THAT UP and kiss the ring of the king and they'll go easy with us. Isn't it odd how tyranny and tyrants and megalomaniacs never change, until literally human nature changes by some other means that will always repeat itself in phases, because tyrants always ride to the top.

NORMAL PEOPLE DON'T LOOK FOR POWER. Only the real "criminal class" go into politics. They crave power. They're good liars. They're psychopathic. That's why they lie so readily and easily to people and they don't blush when they're caught in a lie as a normal person would. The superego takes over a psychopath. They run on ego. You can call them the worst possible things and it runs off their backs like water off a duck. That's why they go into politics. However, as low as they know what the public what to hear they'll tell you what you want to hear. They'll do something differently, but **THEY'LL ALWAYS** tell you what you want to hear.

They'd be diagnosed as psychopathic; and here we are, watching this bunch even use the terminology that's meant to throw us off balance. It's almost a pre-Talmudic logic, where they say, "The reason these people want to kill us all (these people, you know the guys that are in the caves over there) is because they hate us." That's the answer we get. That's the answer.

This is the first time in history this type of strange logic has been used as an excuse and repeated; repetition is a big thing with these boys. They know that it takes eight times at least before the average individual will start to repeat themselves robotically; and so they say it's because they hate us.

Now in olden times when the Normans came into England and the Saxons fought them, it wasn't necessarily the Saxons had any personal hate against them. They just naturally fought invaders, as did anybody else who got invaded. That use to be called "normalcy," a normal response to being attacked; and so here we are across the Middle East and the reason those insurgents – they call them insurgents, they first used the term "insurgency" in Vietnam. They don't call them another name because they don't want us to identify with a real physical human being, so they call them this odd, almost cold, clinical term called "insurgents." These insurgents are fighting them over there because "they hate them."

Well do they want these people to bring out food to them and welcome them as heroes or something, as they takeover the oil and the culture and tell them, "No, you can't live like this. You've got to copy the west. You've got to get strip joints and abortion clinics and drug addicts on the streets and be run by crooked politicians." Would we love that? Is that what we should love?

It's no coincidence they're using this term "hate" because that been pushed for the last 10 to 15 years through school, because it was that generation who are now in their 20's and then the armies and so on who never question that word, "hate" - the anti-hate laws, anti-hate. We thought it meant something else; and now it's being broadened to encompass anybody else who doesn't agree with you - "hate."

As I've studied history, I've never found where someone who was the hero of his nation for defending it was called "a hater." It's a brand new phenomenon. Or would really all the Arabs in the Arab countries, is there something wrong with them for being angry at people who come in taking away their culture? Try to take away their way of life.

They've got UNESCO in there to indoctrinate the children and to be the first to westernise Arabs so they can be the ones to grow up and they'll probably run their businesses of the abortion clinics and strip joints and drugs. Yes, shouldn't the people love that? Therefore, with the use of words - again something else which George Orwell warned us about. When the dictionary gets thinner and thinner every year until ultimately it will be so thin you won't have the words to express yourself and you can't convey a "terrorist thought," you see - a thought crime, because you won't have the vocabulary to get it across to anybody. That's called, "linguistic minimalism," so they said 'plus good' and 'plus bad,' good and 'ungood' and all that kind of stuff. We're seeing it being used today. Orwell wasn't a science fiction writer.

WE ARE THE LAST KIND, AND WE MIGHT BE THE LAST OF OUR KIND. This might just be it, the last of this particular type who are able to be cognizant of what's really happening around them. The next generation coming up won't have that. They've had more inoculations than anyone else has ever had and more programming through TV and scientific school programming. They probably won't have so much of a chance to breakout into full understanding, so it's up to us to keep sentience alive and to put the hands out to people who put it up to you to pull them up. The only duty we have to do it is to ourselves and to others. We have no choice in the matter once you really know what's happening. The reason we have no choice is because WE'RE NOT BRAIN DEAD. If we were brain dead we wouldn't be talking like this right now. We also have no choice but to expose the cons that are being used.

THEY WANT TO CONTROL NATURE. Everything that's happening today in every sphere is about "planned control." The control freaks are here indeed and they have no tolerance for differing points of view on anything which is given out as being politically correct at the time. In fact, they would try and squash a square peg into a round hole rather than just admit they're wrong. That won't happen. We saw that in the Soviet Union when they found people who just didn't fit into the Soviet System whose thoughts were not molded along the Soviet agenda. Who didn't parrot the proper phrases automatically and force themselves to believe it and those people were punished severely because ideology is a tremendous, tremendous enemy of humankind. Ideology is a terrifying thing in all ages.

Ideology is the destroyer. In this world you must have differences. People will have friendly arguments and then when you want to say well we'll leave it at that. We can agree to differ and go home thinking no more about it, only to find out they can't. Their job to them is to make you agree with them, and I have met people down through my life like that and that means potentially they're a control freak. The ones at the top have the same traits of many of those at the bottom. It's a human trait of many people. Otherwise, it's uniform, there is no free thought, there's no free opinion on anything, there isn't even a free discussion on anything because people will simply gang up on you and kill you for being different, and this is a sad statement on society.

You know religion is full of very, very true allegories. That's why we're given them and the bringer of bad news, for instance, is often killed by the mob who demanded to hear it in the first place.

THE NEW AGE MOVEMENT DOESN'T ENCOURAGE YOU TO LOOK AT YOURSELF ON A DAILY BASIS. What it does is train you to ignore all those around you because you and

yourself – you're really a good person. In fact, you're all that matters. For any truth, **any high truth that's ever come to people has come on self-reflection** because you can't change those around you by making it so, but you can sure work on yourself.

You are the material and you can work on yourself and that is a place a lot of people do not want to go. **SELF-REFLECTION IS WHAT BRINGS YOU TO DIFFERENT LEVELS OF UNDERSTANDING**. Those who can't understand the teaching or take it or are willing to follow through in it will turn against the teacher. That's standard. That is standard all down through time.

In the movie "*The Matrix*", Morpheus only promised Neo the truth. That's all. He didn't offer him a comfortable answer, a comfortable explanation, a comfortable ending to anything, but domesticated people in this what is called "civilisation" where we've been trained from birth to be managed to accept we're being managed – and that a more recent phenomena. At least, more openly in its manifestation.

People are ego-syntonic. They want to avoid pain and seek pleasure. That has been taught steadily since the 1950's onwards. This is a great way to control people, **because only through waking up and looking around and taking the pain are you able to perceive what's going on.**

They'll tell you that the suffering and the starvations across the planet can't be changed. It's just the way it is. It's fate you see. Cripples being born into the world – a lot of the New Agers love to say, "well, they chose to become like that. That's their fate." It's a great way of rationalisation. You also find that those in control in a sense worship the past, at least the past that they have in their imagination. They will tell you and give speeches about what's been and say it will eternally be and to work for something that hasn't existed before is crazy. He can't imagine anything outside of creation as it's been.

In a sense that's religion with them as well, **Fatalism**; and if they cannot accomplish their goals they will say, like Adolph Hitler did, that if Germany was defeated it's better that they all perish together because it would prove—and I guess he was talking about Darwin's theory of evolution—that they were simply not fit to survive and conquer and rule.

The Soviet system was no different. They got the masses to back them. **A small elite group promised them equality**, you know the equalisation of misery, became incredibly authoritarian once they took power and wouldn't tolerate any opinion outside the party line. They got themselves a professional army and became the very thing that they were supposedly fighting against, which was history repeating itself through military power, might; and even under the guise of Sovietism in Moscow they saw it as just another military empire, really, as it always had been in history. We can always look at the history to learn lessons if we're willing to learn lessons. Leaders are presented to us and we've watched the ones who are elected do this as well, flying into what seem to be bouts of rage.

They were offended by the fact that women had to wear veils and so on, and this of course is the politically correct speech that we hear all over — "well I'm offended by this. This offends me," you see — brought out by Singer and other psychologists. This was the way for motivational changes in people. Behaviour modification and people are modified all the time and they don't know it. They just parrot what was given to them. Well, if your eye offends you because they wear veils, pluck it out. That way there will be far less blood coming from somewhere, which will be you, than there will be from all over the Middle East. That's my simple answer to that. This isn't being callous. You don't go and slaughter people because they won't conform to your wanting — your way that they should behave, dress, act or whatever. We're in the mess we're in up to the present stage because of killing people because they're different and differences in the long haul will sort themselves out as individuality arises, as time goes on, as it has been for centuries.

They want **sameness**. Sameness, **uniformity throughout their existence and anyone different will terrify them**. It shakes their feeling of security. They will despise that person and try to kill them if possible and if not they'll get the authorities to do it for them, "there should be a law about that," and this is why we're heading towards more and more horror as time progresses and the people are becoming almost immune to it now. They can't tell fact from fiction.

There are so many front people out there guiding them, misguiding them, leading them up the garden path as I say. They have their heroes of the physical realm. The have their heroes of the New Age realm who are heavily financed. You can tell just by their websites that it's not a little person sitting there, any more than H.G. Wells was in his day sitting with a typewriter.

H.G. Wells had a whole office block to himself and masses of staff working to churn his propaganda out and under the guise of course of fiction, although most of his books were non-fiction. However, people don't discern. They don't ask the obvious questions and they like to have superstars. They don't ask how they became superstars. You cannot become a superstar in this day and age unless you're made one by others, the professionals, and they get the nod from those above to make this person or that person the spokesman or the superstar. That's how it's been for a long, long time.

There is also much misdirection of anger as we're told what to be angry about. **H.G. Wells** himself said, "many people will die fighting the New World Order, but they'd never know that they're trying to save something which was never theirs." The big boys are updating their system. The changes are underway. They have been all your life.

Very few people today in this day and age — very, very few are actually true individuals as such. We see teenagers who go through a stage where they think they want to be an individual and they are in a sense one by one trying to assert their own different personality, but you'll notice to be different they actually join a group; never realising that the group itself or the fashion that they wear or the symbols that they use and their behaviour and their conduct is marketed to them from the top. There's your paradox in trying to be different as a teenager. In reality, they want to be accepted by a peer group and so they conform to that group. Adults are not too much different.

Plato himself said that morality and culture which is given by the top, what's right one day can be made wrong the next and vice versa. He said we can turn it upside down and the last ones to notice is the generation that's going through the changes, they adopt it so easily and casually and they think the idea somehow originated within them. It's no different when you read books.

We see that with the **feminist groups**. **The bankers doubled their tax base** by the introduction of feminism and feminism was not won by women. It was won by scientists in white coats, the white-clad priests, who gave them the pill. That's how it was won. It doubled the tax base for the bankers. You can find on YouTube a **video** made by **Aaron Russo** who was the manager of Bette Midler **and a good friend of Nick Rockefeller**... he tells you the ultimate goal of the Rockefellers regarding Women's Lib was to get women working so they can be taxed and so that the State can get its hands on the minds of children from a younger age and ultimately they want to **microchip the population**.

They had to separate the children from the mother and it's been very successful. In Canada now when there's a national strike of daycare workers and the children's kindergarten workers, the women actually come out with placards and demand that government do something to take care of their children. You can see how quickly this whole idea that the government is really there to take care of their children, how naturally that seems already to these people. They expect it.

They adapted so quickly from one lifestyle where the mother wanted no one to interfere or take her child away. She wanted the early years with the child. Now they're demanding the government take over that role so that they can go out and earn money.

The ancient sciences of people are used against the people to further enslave them and by doing so it means it's more efficient when it comes to enslaving the child. The children are becoming BRAINWASHED much quicker and easier because they're using scientific techniques of indoctrination. People who are truly in the matrix—and by that, I mean they do believe the reality that's been presented to them since childbirth—when they notice a threat to what they think is their culture, they have to find someone to blame right away and generally what they do is to look around to see who gives them the information about the change. The news media and then it goes on to certain politicians and then they must get an identifiable group, you see, because they truly believe that they've evolved naturally up until that point and that these nasty people are taking away their lifestyle, and the group mentality is so strong within most people who are in the matrix. They are not truly individuals.

It never dawns on them that **this order was drawn up a long, long time ago for them**, ancient in fact, and they simply adopted it. There're familiar with it so they really think it is theirs, but it truly isn't. It truly isn't theirs. It's the same with religion, if mom and dad were Catholic or Jewish or Protestant or Hindu or whatever else, then the children are too and they accept that as being normal because each one thinks they're in the right group. Their group has the truth you see. Even if you went into India and the Westerners have a hard, hard time understanding this religion which is formatted in a different way for a different mentality.

Hindus themselves can somehow reconcile all their inconsistencies in their religion, all the opposing stories. They can reconcile all that and to them it makes sense. It gives them a world view but it was made by other people. It wasn't purpose-made just for the individual. It was made for them all by other people long ago.

In India someone can die at the side of the road and no one goes to help them. The reason being if you interfere with their karma, their fate, again the fate part, then it might rub off on you. So they chose to be poor. They chose to die. They chose to starve to death and you don't lift a finger to help.

Each religion has been formatted for a different culture, a different people, a different mentality.

In China the ancient religions dwelt an awful lot on fate again but also on luck and some of the biggest gamblers in the world today are Chinese and that whole idea of luck being a type of force that comes and goes – a 'will-o'-the-wisp,' which sometimes you could predict if you do certain things, you see, this is all the nonsense they go into, they can actually hit the jackpot once in a while. Tremendous gamblers.

In the West because **Rome itself was a continuation of the Roman Empire** – the pre-Christian Roman Empire. **It ruled the ancient world** and into the religious empire, this transitory period, they didn't start afresh and say well we've got to understand how people work, how the mind works. They didn't do any of that. They didn't have to because they had the archive that they'd been given from their predecessors of an old empire and those were the Greeks, and many of higher elite classes of ancient Rome were descended from the Greeks who ran ancient Greece.

Yet all of the advisors around them when they went through the transitory phase from the old Rome with its armies empire to the religious empire and so they knew how to control populations. They knew the need for psychology, mass psychology, and most of all they knew the need for control purposes of a religion and that is why a particular religion was chosen, one of the better religions that had been done so far, where the people were more obedient than ever to the heads of those religions who also were emperors of the

empire. Prior to that, they had the occasional revolutions pre-Christian Rome because there are so many gods to choose from and there's always the element that one god or another could favour the individual on his quest for dominance over the rest. Whereas Christianity came along and a pope was ordained by god and what he said was really like god speaking and you couldn't argue with it.

We know it was corrupt as could be and YOU'LL ALWAYS FIND CORRUPTION AT THE TOP OF ALL THE RELIGIONS because THEY NEVER FOLLOW THE RELIGION THEY IMPOSE ON PEOPLE and today it's a world club of religious leaders that run the show.

Rothschild was asked when he made his most money. He said, "when blood is running in the streets".

All resources will be owned by a new feudal overlord system and you'll be interdependent. In fact, you'll be totally dependent on the system for every need that you have. Total conformity: The dream of control freaks all down through the ages. We should remember that **Karl Marx**, another member of this illuminous organisation, was taught everything that he knew. In fact, most of his writings were given to him by a higher priesthood. He was really a hack journalist that was kicked out of Germany, put up by the **Rothschild's** in London to put forth the **"Communist**" Manifesto". Engels was further ahead of him in the understanding of the science, the dialectic. Labour is the only thing which makes anything in this particular type of economic system. It must accepted by the public so that they will also work for it, then it can be taxed back from them, because all it is is a representation of Labour and Marx put this down of course in his theories on Communism and it was true. However, they run both sides of everything, that is the dialectic while you're transfixed on the center, what you think is the problem. "For every action there is an equal and opposite reaction." That's one of the prime teachings of the higher mysteries. In the West it was Christianity and Judaism, and in the holy books of all the world, including Christianity and Judaism, you have the rules of the esoteric written down for the elite to understand and follow because man must work.

Today, those who have abilities for expression and communication and become a problem at school because they ask too many questions, generally boys, are put on drugs which literally shrink their brain; so they're out of the picture as far as leadership in the future goes. However, when you suppress feelings or understandings, you will find that they still work on you throughout your life. Suppression itself will show itself in other forms. Your mode of life is determined by the particular peculiarity of the economic system you're born into.

People may as they get older join groups because they know there's power in groups for change, whereas as an individual you cannot do it. You'll be punished as I say or ostracised by a peer group and this science of controlling groups has been of a tremendous benefit in the past and the present of those who control. They give you the outlets. They're authorised and you don't realize you end up being part of the very system that you're trying to alter. It's an interesting phenomena with this system of sadomasochism, where those who feel powerless worship power. That's why even in the black communities where there's been more work done on them to destroy their culture, from the ancient times, right down through the slave trading days, the men and women were segregated initially. The women were given favours by the boss man and the men that they could be mated with were demeaned and punished and made to seem powerless in the black woman's eyes, and that's why black women today still are the bosses in families. They are real bosses. The men have never been allowed to be men. This was for control purposes by a scientific elite, well understood because slavery is so, so old.

This technique of manipulating the male through the female by his needs—his needs, the sexual drive, companionship, the procreative imperative as they say of survival and survival of your own species— was understood thousands of years ago up to the present time. Adolph Hitler understood this and he used parts of his speeches from ancient tyrants in Rome because when he quoted about the propaganda the Nazi party must use in a time of uncertainty and it really

was a time of uncertainty. It was black and white. It was Communist or Naziism. Those were the choices, again, the dialectic at work that the public were given. Both sides were funded and no doubt controlled by the same group. The followers never figure out that those they oppose are being run by the same capstone. Hitler said, "our propaganda must be based on safety and security, a lessening of worry." In other words, the survival capabilities or necessities will be taken care of. This was preached he said, "It must be preached to the female because she will come to us."

Women worship power in a man. That's why they can't stay with the men today, but in their heart of hearts they long for someone with power. That's why in all the movies and dramas you see the heroes are so completely unnatural. They're not bossed around. The men know what they want. They're commanding. They're intelligent. They're not stuck on sports and have square eyes watching TV. They don't have pot bellies. It's the joke version that you see in the comedies that men are taught really to emulate and that's how women see them. Therefore the women would come first to the one with power, the one who promised security and a plan, an agenda, whereas her own helpless little husband didn't have any at all, so she would come to the Nazis giving allegiance, and Hitler said, "the children must follow her and then must follow the man. He has no option." And he was quoting Nero because these sciences have always been understood by those who were coached for leadership positions by the hidden priesthoods. The technique of control over vast populations has always been that of "divide and conquer".

The Communists that really were working for the New World Order, as both sides always are and you have to have two sides to guide the herd into the compromise -knew they'd have to separate the children from the parents, at least mentally, and it's interesting that most of the studying on this very problem was done by aristocracy in England. That should be a clue to who ran Communism. They also had to separate the genders and George Orwell put this very well in "1984", the book and the movie. People who were born into this system and who have been forced to adapt dynamic adaptation will look for the powerful; and in a system which encourages power, personal social climbing, which we call "success," you'll find that this is also used amongst the genders, an ancient technique once again. The descendants of those who gave us marriage and marriage for life to work for as we built up their economic system for them, the same people, the ancestors, are now dissolving marriage. That's why it doesn't work for most people anymore. It served its purpose and now everyone must be put into their subdivision, their sub-strata of class and gender and so on, so now you have many categories. A pigeon hole for every type, you see, totally divided, totally conquered; and yet human nature itself, even when the people who are taught the slogans and brainwashed with the slogans of political correctness, which is also how you respond to things more in the manner of a conditioned reflex and that's what a slogan really is. When you say something which is supposed to be offensive, people will immediately shout back some slogan that's become popular put from the top down through media and schooling and that stops them from thinking. It stops any inquiry into any depth of understanding about the actual topic itself, because human nature doesn't change.

It's an interesting thing to see how men are portrayed and have been since the '60's, mainly on television. It was like a switch had been reversed and it came from the old hero stuff as the old system was nearing the end of its usefulness and suddenly men were just jerks and fools and drunks and stupid. Always portrayed in the comedies where the children were much more intelligent than the dad. The wife made all the decisions. She treated the dad like another one of the children and that's to be the role model for the male. It's also symptomatic of the fact that the power and it's all to do with power on all levels and power can be married to respect, but it's all to do with the power structure being shifted to the female, not for the female's use. We because of our egos tend to grab hold of things which claim to give us power, but in reality it's for someone else's purpose which works very, very well.

The black women, the young female, has grown up generally with a mother who runs her life, has had different men through the house and when she's a teenager she looks for someone with power. She goes for the gang leader and it's the gang leader, the one who seems to have the answers who's not afraid of people or the system or anyone else that will respond to. The black male himself has had his culture shaped for him, including the music and the fashions and all the rest of it. That was given to him by the top, pushed through much music and Hollywood, and he adapts it at the bottom. He becomes a target of criticism by society. This is absolutely intentional. However, the same technique hasn't just been used on the black culture because every country that was under the Soviet rule has gone the same way where the women were promoted to the top. Divided and conquer.

Pretty well the whole country, the Soviet system was run by authorized NGOs. The leaders were generally always women and the men had their lower jobs, and now that the Soviets have pulled out you have men who have no confidence whatsoever. Millions of them drink themselves into the grave. The women are all leaving those countries. They're trained. They're educated and they're looking for work elsewhere or marriage to men in other countries, but they're neglecting the men in their own country. This was all designed deliberately so.

That's the reality of the life we have been born into, the system of mass manipulation, scientific socialism they call it, where people go through their lives and their thoughts generally are not really their own. Their opinions are not their own. They have conditioned responses to topics and situations which were induced into them, either from their parents then reinforced through school then backed by media, fiction and even novels. This is an all-pervasive, scientific, connected system to make you the way you are — which certainly is not to make you happy. Those who get offended by the truth, remember, I'm talking in generalities. There's always the odd exception here and there of all ages, different ages, different genders, and it's generally the exception who understands this who gets a hard time in society because they know.

The makers of this culture often show us how their system works through movies because you must mock the victim and there's no better movie put out on the condition of the modern male than "American Beauty" the movie. Well worth seeing and you'll see how the daughter grows up emulating the mother's response to the father, which is total disgust and disdain, and the daughter watches the mother almost salivating over very successful men that she works with or the men around her. The daughter then looks for someone who is powerful, in charge, in control, and very often it's one of the criminal mindset. This is well understood. The political correctness of today does not change human nature. Nature can be sublimated but it cannot be destroyed simply through indoctrinations. It shifts around in the subconscious and will show itself in other ways. What is interesting, too, is the role model of the father has come down again primarily through media and through entertainment which we emulate. Monkey see, monkey do. That's what has always been known since before the days of Plato. Women still need the powerful male. That's why they can get lost in fantasy and carried away through movies and drama. You can sublimate them according to cultural custom, even when the cultural custom is being altered and updated. This headlong dive into almost anonymity, guiet, silent anonymity of the male has been helped through inoculations, which has definitely affected the hormonal output of the male. This is studied every year. The sperm count is disclosed every year by the United Nations.

The reason for the **United Nations** being so interested in this phenomena is because they have a "**Department of Population Control**" and we've all been tampered with, male and female, with our hormones and through inoculations and xenoestrogens as well added to the water, soy products and so on, through plastic pipes, plastic bottles, which were made popular for that reason. We've been heavily scientifically tampered with and this was done because **the male natural response** is to protect the tribe and protect the family.

In the changes which are coming up, you must destroy that bond. You must destroy any power the male has in society and replace it with TV, fake ones, hyperpoliticians who always seem to know what they want even if it's mass slaughter. It's a symbol of a man with power. Aquarius is their utopia. Not the utopia for the people, although all the New Agers have been taught that it is. Somehow they'll all become one – and they will, it will be the brain chip. They'll all be one all right, but they won't be individuals any more. They're just tossed around on the waves, hence the massive indoctrination through magazines and television with specialists supposedly in psychology to tell you what to think, what to do, how to behave. In reality, you're being used. It's motivational behaviour modification that you're submitting yourself to, and it's not for your own good. It's for those who rule you. Along with all the New Age bookshelves, you'll see all the motivational techniques on mindfulness in the same books of what you can be. You're being altered. Your thoughts are being altered. Your motivations are being guided by someone else and you'll find that you're all going a path already laid out for you, promising you one end, but you don't see the end of it and you won't even when you hit it.

This is masterful control. It was many, many centuries in the making and you can see the beginnings of this sudden outburst of the sciences. In the 1500's in the court of **Queen Elizabeth I of England**, where it was the first openly Rosicrucian Court in history, everyone around Queen Elizabeth I, all the famous players you'll find mentioned in her era were all members of the same Rosicrucian Lodge in London. The outburst of the sciences is what is so interesting with **John Dee** and **Francis Bacon** and many others because they knew they had 500 years to use sciences, make the public work and create an industrial era and then all of the things that would come from that industrial era, such as bigger and greater wars to dominate the whole planet and create a world empire and always fooling the people who fought those wars. Always fooling them with a different reason, a different outcome, such as the First World War – the war they said through, and it was **H.G. Wells** the propagandist for the British government who coined the term, "the war to end all wars."

A well-bonded family they can be very formidable and will stand up for each other against all odds. In this system, the New World Order, where it's not just family planning on a small scale, it's global planning on a similar type of agenda scale that's going to be implemented. You can have no families as we have known them and that's why every family around is basically today dysfunctional. Dysfunctional because it's been made that way as the "culture creators" and the "manipulators" have altered it. The biggest and most frequently spoken about problem in therapy and counseling for couples today is when the man says that he's not allowed by his wife to be a man. That means you see she's learned from her mother who was the dominant one in the family and with an ineffectual father. She's learned to emulate the mother and even if she were to meet someone who she could respect, if she would allow herself to, she can't take it. She emulates the mother. She's grown up and copied it. This is a form of "imprinting" which the big boys understand perfectly well and this talk is not meant to upset people. THE TRUTH CANNOT UPSET MATURE MINDS who have made the same observations themselves, who are honest with themselves.

In an indoctrinated culture, it's difficult for the average person to be honest with themselves. They're full of stereotyped responses, conditioned reflexes, which are marketed into their heads by popular media and popular culture from a thousand different areas and places. **The elite who gave us marriage in the first place**, **never** followed any idea or notion of **romantic love between themselves**. In fact, the high priesthoods which really ran Babylon were more interested in men themselves being men, as it's always been the same in fact in all high priesthoods in every culture. **Women are disliked and sometimes hated by them as almost irrelevant**, but it's more than just that. It's to do with again the sadomasochistic streak which they have themselves going up through an order of deviancy, which they then promote on general culture at the right time. The reason they hate women is because—and every study has

shown this up-to-date and reproved it, and all sociologists know this—women are the easier ones to manipulate into trying or going along with something new. The "culture creationists" love this because they can then introduce fads and modes of thinking which will alter the culture. Women who have their own needs, you're born with needs, when the needs are understood they can be so easily manipulated. When you look just at the women of the early 1900's, the great grandmothers and grandmothers of the girls who suddenly were given the miniskirt in the '60's and compare the two, they're both women and yet one would never have dared to put on something which just barely covered the body. It isn't that the grandmother or great grandmother would never have done that. It's just that through her culture and her period she was indoctrinated not to do that. Whereas the granddaughter was not only encouraged, it was made the social norm and not to stand out to be accepted by the peer group – another facet of understanding which the big boys have. A natural trait could be exploited because young people are run by hormones and attraction and they want to be looked at and admired and so on. This is reality. The male and the female is set at war with each other on purpose. A war which was covered and subdued during the period where marriage was encouraged in the Middle Ages and onwards to work for the system. Now the reversal, like Plato said, "you can reverse the culture and those that go through the changes are the last ones to notice." That should be quite amazing to a thinking person, but it's true. In the 1960's, the sciences of all entertainments were heightened and let loose upon the peoples of the world, the young people. Children and toddlers will hear the catchy part of songs, which they used to call "choruses" at one time, and you always get an intro into songs. Songs last about 2-1/2 minutes. You might wonder why, it's because of economic reasons because they're meant to sell and so they have the intro then they have the catchy part, which people hum like ads on television and children will do it automatically; and yet whatever you say automatically is already registered within your consciousness. It's working on you in ways which you don't understand and there is an unconscious. This is something alluded to by Carl Jung. It's "the sea of all knowing." It's "the primeval chaos," all knowledge within the mind, the brain. Something that Plato again and others touched on and Pythagoras. When you understand something it's because the knowledge is really already within you. You have to formulate it in the proper sequence, but the understanding means that you know far more on the subject than you thought you did. In the sea of the unconscious, it's the depth of all bits of information all arriving about. There's no cultural control over the unconscious as such; but in the subconscious, the part-way where the censorship kicks in and out, you can actually pass things on into the unconscious and the unconscious can be motivated because it doesn't have inhibitions of the culture. That's why in your dreams you could do the weirdest, wildest things which you'd never do in real life and you wake up with the manifest content. Sure, you'll remember this strange dream you had and within a minute or two you have latent content which is scrambled. Your subconscious has kicked in to censor it because it doesn't want you to see what you're possibly, possibly capable of. These techniques are exact sciences and in the '60's, as I say, one song came out amongst many. A very, very catchy tune, deliberately so, to get teenagers right down to toddlers chanting and those who ever went to dances or the later discos will remember when the favorite songs would come on and they'd sort of murmur and hum along to the verses but they'd all jump into the choruses and yet they never thought about the words of the choruses.

Those who are pushed down in society crave the power of those who do the pushing. The abused person can become the abuser so easily. The divisions of people are almost perfect now. It's the rare individual that has maturity that can overcome their conditioning. It's the rare couple who can go through a lifetime together with respect for each other unaffected and certainly not acting out all of the ways you're supposed to behave according to television, which of course would bring on the chaos. It's the rare individual who understands that in this day and age. Most people are simply full of their conditioned responses and reflexes.

The French Revolution worked on the same basis. Same technique. They pushed the idea of revolution through what was the "coffee shops" at the time for France and these coffee shops doubled as Masonic temples at night, where they laid out all their "jewels on the floor" as they call it, and had their little parades and all the rest of it and then had their talks on the coming revolution. Then once it was after, you'll notice that the few moderates in the revolution like Danton and others were executed. The same thing happened with the Soviet system when Lenin got in. We're taught that the Soviet system went sour when Stalin took over; but Lenin, the lion (which is what the name comes from), used the terror techniques to perfection. Trotsky wrote about it. He was all for it in fact and Lenin told groups to go out into the peasant areas where they had these communal farms and just to round up dozens here and dozens there, hang them from trees, leave them there to rot and it would terrify the peasantry into obeying and it would terrify those very small farmers into giving everything up.

Because through these great leaders, whether it's a Hitler or a Lenin or Napoleon, or any other countless names of famous players, the masses are the masses. The science of control is the same science and the "little people" don't count. Again, **George Orwell** put that very well in his book **"1984**". "**The proles don't count. They're animals**,"

It's interesting that so much of the Nazi philosophy which was taken from Social Darwinism and the Superman complex that they came up with, you create this race of Supermen through science and all of the Blavatsky/Masonic-type symbology adopted by the Nazi group and the Knights Templars affiliations with it and the Germanic Knights et cetera. All of this is held together at the top by the same esoteric group, one at the very top; and a lot of it was taken from Talmud and Kabbalah, the Jewish side of things. There's your irony you see, that so much of this esoteric magical searching you might say, this destiny thing, came from Kabbalah and ended up turning against the people who thought they owned it.

Many people ask "how's it possible for this agenda to never lose control?" A simple and maybe overused example is that of Adam Weishaupt, only one member of a multifaceted society in one particular era, who talked about the creation of foundations, benevolent foundations. Once again, the term "benevolent" makes anyone who thinks of attacking it think twice. It's kind of like attacking mom's apple pie. We find the same thing say in **Albert Pike**, the Pope of Freemasonry in the late 1800's, who said that "we must climb and conquer throughout life." He's talking about the boys at the top, or at least their workers, that is, because the ones at the top are the "lazy boy" (they don't work), but those who do all the work, the bees, was referred to by Pike when he said, "we must do it through thrift and cunning and even using the stock market (manipulating it basically) to acquire the wealth to become the masters over the masters of the world." What he meant by that was the creation of vast foundations. We find that we have more cultural change, more direction, more planned direction for the next few centuries (have already been done in fact) by societies which are foundations, apart from the ones that train students even from university to take part in that like the Rhodes Foundation. That's only one of many. You also have the Carnegie and Ford, Rockefeller and there's other ones under the Rothschild's under the other big bankers, international bankers, under different disguised names, where they guide the world towards the path – along the path they already own, basically. We're on their path. The Council on Foreign Relations is another one, a society which is only the American branch of the Royal Institute of International Affairs, founded by Rothschild and Beers and Cecil Rhodes and others big players and eventually joined by other big societies in Britain. **THEY PLAN OUR WORLD**. They planned the amalgamation of the Americas. They planned the amalgamation of Europe. They planned the amalgamation into a region for the Pacific countries.

It was a tremendously telling statement given by **Arnold Toynbee** of Oxford. This is a man who was a trained Rhodes Scholars for world social changes, directed changes. When speaking at one of his main speeches he gave in Sweden in 1930s he said: "**We always deny with our lips that which we do with our hands,**" and we find that technique is used by them all right up to

the present. It's only recently the Council on Foreign Relations committees have come out on mainstream television as a council – an elected council, by the way. They are not subject to being voted in or out by the public, but they are shaping the public's lives for them and populations of whole countries are being shaped by them in a prearranged agenda and it's done through mass persuasion on the public.

To make matters worse, it isn't simply the massive onslaught of downloading the public of new data designed to alter their behaviour, to "modify it" as they call it. We are now into an age where they're using technological weapons, psychotronic **weaponry**, much discussed at high-level warfare departments of every nation because they knew a long time ago the mind on a very easy simple level could be induced through the beaming out of particular frequency waves. It could easily affect the moods of the people. They knew it. It's been well tested, well proved and now it's being used in reality on nations to pacify them. They can make them either very aggressive or very pacified simply now, even just with the HAARP technology alone. There are other technologies beyond just the HAARP technology; they're far more specific in what parts of the mind or the brain they want to target and they're not testing it out. Whatever they're doing works. They know what to expect when they do this. This is really old hat. Prior to all this kind of technology, psychologists used to try and explain behaviourism almost like they did with the era of mass production in a mechanical way and now it's gone beyond that into the electronic way. We know that the mind runs on chemicals. It depends upon them electrochemical impulses and different frequencies within different parts of the brain, all varying. They've all been well gone over. Every part of your cerebrum has been gone over. Well understood and we can be targeted. Going as far back as Bell, his father, Alexander Graham Bell's father was working on remote means of inducing words into the human brain through sound vibrations and actually through electronic vibrations, an early form of technetronic manipulation.

They've been at this for an awful long time. They have used this in Gulf War I on the various soldiers who came out of the trenches and weren't shell-shocked. They were simply stunned because it had been used on them and it was admitted in the British newspapers that this technology had been used on them. We find the Soviets had little boxes on the teacher's desk which could induce an attentive response from the students. It would relax them to an extent where the teacher was talking more like the hypnotist and getting the point straight into the minds of the youngsters. This was standard procedure in the Soviet system In the West, because we're free, they have to hide all of this technology.

We know that they were using it in many of the **New Age foundation groups**, putting the same technology in the walls even, so that you were guaranteed your personal experience with God and he might even talk to you. This is the same type of technology shown by **Dr. Nick Begich** where he showed on CBC Canada on the Wendy Mesley show technology which could induce thoughts or sounds right into the brain itself, bypassing the ears, from the 1950's technology used by the CIA and is now obsolete.

Know thyself is the point, getting back to know thyself. What are you? Who are you? What is motivating you? Where do your opinions, your prejudices come from? Who gives them to you? What camp do you allow yourself to be put into? Whether it's the leader that says he or she speaks for me? Are you really a sovereign thinking individual? Are you honest with yourself?

The fear of cultural change is what reinforces a predominant group and those who have most to lose will support that as it goes under, in fact, often in denial. Definitely always in anger when they see what they have become used to in their lifetime being changed, so self-interest is a big part of it too.

The trick of the manipulators of this system is to give a perception that some other group is raising up above you therefore threatening your existence and

so you'll spend your energies trying to counter what you see as "that group" and you'll never see the puppet masters above them who fund the whole thing. And to hate, as I say, to hate brings on to the hater the characteristics of the one they hate. It's an interesting observation that many women who were bombarded with the power trip of feminism – which again did not come from the grass roots. It doubled the tax base. It split the families. It's been great business for therapists and cult groups and all types of New Age gurus who pretend to have the answers to their own happiness - but many of them who tried the lesbian movement. Why would you try and become the very thing that you hate? Think about it. Because of the very sciences that the behaviourists are involved in and because as in all sciences they have their founding tenants of beliefs and they believe in evolution, big bangs, adaptations, readaptation, and on and on it goes, they try to see humanity and animals all lumped into the one system —where you exist simply for your own self-preservation and that everything to do with a system over that individual's self-preservation is called "society" and that there is always a "dominant elite" running the society. That's never ever countered. They accept that as a norm. Of course, the intellectuals would like to be part of dominant elite but many of them lack the finances to do so, so they become good little lackey boys and girls to the real controllers above them. Because they look upon the physical being as purely physical, they deny a spiritual value; and certainly religion has been one of the most powerful tools down through the centuries for control mechanisms by the dominant elite. However, there is obviously something beyond all of this, which frightens them, in fact, because it's something which they cannot study or even come close to with their sciences. There's something that intervenes occasionally in someone's life and no matter how they try to use psychotermic verbiage, they can't really come to believe the fact that this is an odd thing outside of their science. Therefore to admit to it is to admit that they do not control everything; and they themselves like to have everything tidy and categorised and pigeon-holed, which makes them feel secure, which then leads you to believe that they themselves are in a structured belief system. If an individual can break through all of this, that's a miracle in themselves. If they can accept the fact that they will be ostracised by those who are still in the old Matrix and if they are strong enough to handle that, then they will actually go higher. Things happen to them without joining cults and groups and without falling into the standard trap of taking your old baggage, your old format of a world view with all of its old religious values into another one

Thomas Jefferson mentioned this in his own writings. He said, "When you see a particular agenda being carried forth through different houses (meaning different elected groups one after the other) and you see a particular agenda continuing, then you're under a form of control." Totalitarian type tyranny and we can certainly see it. It's not hard to see if anybody really wants to open the books. It's not conspiracy because those who have been the visible leaders to this, the front-men, have been well documented in the past. We find that in the writings of John Dee going back to England in the 1500's, the British Empire -- "Brytish Empire" (Brightish Empire) as he called it, with a free trade association of countries that would join and get special status if they joined; and eventually this system would be global. We also see it re-emerging in the time of Cecil Rhodes with his Rhodes Foundation and the amalgamation of the Rhodes Foundation with Lord Milner and the Round Table groups, became "The Royal Institute of International Affairs" which is now Chatham House that really is a government that is unelected. It has people placed in governments, but they have many people outside of the government in high positions and in big bureaucracies in federal levels; and this was also to bring in a world state based on Plato's Republic.

"The World Bank", another front, a façade. Carroll Quigley, who was a professor at Georgetown University—Georgetown being famous for training people to go on to be high level bureaucrats first to do service abroad, generally in forms of charitable agencies, and then they come back and go up the ladder. He was responsible for picking out Rhode Scholars to send to Oxford in England, where this seems to be the center of all of this globalism really stems from. Oxford is tied with Cambridge for different functions. Cambridge tends to put out into the world

the aristocratic elite, the ones that are a bit brighter to give positions to go out into the world. They run the spy agencies and stuff. Whereas Oxford seems to be based on training the people to go into high-level politics and bureaucracies worldwide.

Carroll Quigley mentioned that no one in these countries, **NO ONE EVER**, **EVER**, (underline ever) is elected to high office without being vetted and passed years before by the Royal Institute of International Affairs (Chatham House). It would be a form of scaled down competition for what appears to the public to be parties, but in reality the top people that form the upper cabinets would all be handpicked and vetted and trained for the position, years before the public even hear their names. That's also the same with the likes of Canada, with the provinces to the provincial level. The premiers and provincial level are also picked, vetted, passed and okayed.

Now it's interesting to note that the Rhodes Milner group of The Royal Institute of International Affairs has stated 80 years ago or more. It was about 100 years ago that they stated that they'd work with any type of government, even conflicting types like Communism. That's true because they helped to create Communism. This group is composed of the upper elite of the noble families of Europe, primarily Britain but now it's the rest of Europe. One of the points that they wanted to make was "they wanted to eradicate the middle classes under the guise of equality." They never mentioned eradicating the upper class and all their privileges. The Council on Foreign Relations, the American branch of The Royal Institute of International Affairs which was set up to bring in a form of world government, a world governmental system to standardize every nation into the one system and basically to rule the people in a bureaucratic, socialistic, almost Communistic fashion, with multi-layered levels of bureaucracy, while a small dominant elite who believe they are the fittest to rule and the most intelligent will make all the decisions at the capstone basically. For the people who want to really get into this should go into "Tragedy & Hope" by the historian of the Council on Foreign Relations who lays it on the line and fills in all the spots in history between the dates and the battles that you get in school, he fills in the reasons for them happening. He tells you the names of the families involved that pull the strings in all countries, all the British Commonwealth countries and the United States. Now it's even gone further because they're allowing in non-Englishspeaking peoples. They have headquarters in Pakistan and every other country. They own the newspapers in every country. One of their first mandates was to take over all information avenues. They also own all of the media. They're involved in the fictional Hollywood-type programming that we get. They also were the ones to promote professorships at universities so that they can have their men teaching the students, especially the Ivy League universities, who then eventually become the higher bureaucrats in federal governments; so we are living in a tremendous cobweb, all interrelated, across the planet. All the little strands connecting together into a global network. They also control all the banking systems. That's another major important part of all of this. Professor Carroll Quigley had access to the records of the Council on Foreign Relations in New York City and he was told to update them, go over them as the authorized historian for the group and he wrote "Tragedy & Hope," a book that was eventually bought over pretty quickly and the plates were smashed, but now it's back in publication. You can find it if you go on the Internet. When he's talking about the build up of the tensions, which had been manipulated by the one group, both in the Soviet system (since they were behind it and backed it financially) and the West, to create a form of dialectical change in peoples of both sides so that they come to the eventual synthesis we're at today, where East and West become joined into this new system. He goes through this and talks about the buildup initially, pre-World War II, and then the trials went on to the Communist factions in the United States during the McCarthy Era and this is from his own book. I generally don't read from books. I prefer that the people who are interested go and get the books themselves and read them if they're really, really interested, but you see this is not a conspiracy per se. It's an open type of conspiracy, as H.G. Wells called it himself. He should know since he was a propagandist for the group. This is taken right from page 949 of Tragedy & Hope" talking about the United States. "The radical right version of these events as written up by John T. Flynn, Frieda [Whitley] and others was even more remote from the truth than were the [Bodensee's] or Bentley's

versions although it has a tremendous impact on American opinion and American relations with other countries in the years 1947 to 1955. This radical Right fairy tale, which is now an accepted folk myth in many groups in America, pictured the recent history of the United States, in regard to domestic reform and in foreign affairs, as a wellorganized plot by extreme Left-wing elements, operating from the White House itself and controlling all the chief avenues of publicity in the United States, to destroy the American way of life, based on private enterprise, laissez faire, and isolationism, in behalf of alien ideologies of Russian Socialism and British cosmopolitanism (or internationalism). This plot, if we are to believe the myth, worked through such avenues of publicity as The New York Times and the Harold Tribune, the Christian Science Monitor and the Washington Post, the Atlantic Monthly and Harper's Magazine and had at its core the wildeyed and bushy-haired theoreticians of Socialist Harvard and the London School of Economics. It was determined to bring the United States into World War II on the side of England (Roosevelt's first love) and Soviet Russia (his second love) in order to destroy every finer element of American life and, as part of this consciously planned scheme, invited Japan to attack Pearl Harbor, and destroyed Chiang Kaishek, all the while undermining America's real strength by excessive spending and unbalanced budgets. He calls this a fairy tale but then he continues on page 950. "This myth, like all fables, does in fact have a modicum of truth. Listen to this. "There does exist, and has existed for a generation, an international anglophile network which operates, to some extent, in the way the radical Right believes the communists act. In fact, this network, which we may identify as the Round Table Groups, has no aversion to cooperating with the Communists, or any other groups and frequently does so. I know of the operations of this network because I have studied it for twenty years and was permitted for two years, in the early 1960's, to examine its papers and secret records. I have no aversion to it or to most of its aims and have, for much of my life, been close to it and to many of its instruments. I have objected, both in the past and recently, to a few of its policies notably to its belief that England was an Atlantic rather than a European power and it must allied or even federated with the United States and must remain isolated from Europe but in general my chief difference of opinion is that it wishes to remain unknown, and I believe its role in history is significant enough to be known." That's an understatement. This interlocking network that was set up in the late 1800's in England by Lord Rothschild who financially backed it and Cecil Rhodes - Cecil Rhodes left his will to Lord Rothschild – and it was to be based along Jesuit lines of operation. Now the Jesuit lines of operation they're talking about is to totally obscure their tracks by having people fight each other and group fight group, never knowing that there was one group behind all of it, agitating it all, funding it all and keeping the agitations going and flowing and directed towards a specific objective, a specific outcome. Carroll Quigley who was the man who picked or was told to pick Bill Clinton for the Rhodes Scholarship was a member of this group. He was a member of the intelligentsia as he saw himself and even publishing this book could have been his downfall because he'd broken out and thought that the public were ready to accept the fact that an intelligentsia was dominating them secretly and he believed the public would probably accept it. Well today he'd have no problem because we are totally dominated and the public can't even see the trails in the sky above their head making the global warming, but they do believe the propaganda on television that there is global warming, caused by us, of course. If he tried that today he'd have no problem. They would just have yawned and turned the station to wrestling or something. He goes on to say on page 950: He says: "At the risk of some repetition, the story will be summarized here because the American branch of this organization (sometimes called the "Eastern Establishment") has played a very significant role in the history of the United States in the last generation. The Round Table Groups were semi-secret discussion and lobbying groups organized by Lionel Curtis, Philip H. Kerr, who is Lord Lothian, and Sir William S. Marris in 1908-1911. This was done on behalf of Lord Milner, the dominant Trustee of the Rhodes Trust in the two decades 1905-1925. The original purpose of these groups was to seek to federate the English-speaking world along lines laid down by Cecil Rhodes and William T. Stead and the money for the organizational work came originally from the Rhodes Trust." He lists every member in every generation since that. Now apart from setting up the Council on Foreign Relations for

the United States, rather than call it – it wouldn't go too well if they called it The Royal Institute of the United States, so they called it the Council on Foreign Relations. They also set up the IPS for the Pacific Rim regions and this was to get a form of communists' uprising in the Far East because the fastest way to bring many different peoples and tribes together is to give them an ideal to fight for and a common enemy, and that's what Vietnam was all about. The aliens came in. All of the scattered tribes united. There was only one organized and well-funded party, that was the Communist Party. They centralized government. The Americans move out and the centralized government basically takes on the same forms as what was called "democratic governments". It's the same standardization process. This was all made to happen, planned and worked at tirelessly by dedicated intergenerational families trained in the Ivy League schools of the United States and England and they sent them out from Canada too and Australia and New Zealand. Big players, all, and these guys have been behind the creation of the Soviet system, the system in China, of Vietnam and everywhere else. To unify the world is the objective. Now the Jesuits were blamed for the same thing, for trying to unify their old world order through subterfuge, causing events to happen where the people in front, the groups, would be identified and hated and fight each other but they'd never know that the Jesuits were behind it. It's the same technique used here with the members of these groups who are well trained and they're picked at university and trained for their positions. We can go as far back even to Lawrence of Arabia who in his own book, "The Seven Pillars of Wisdom," talks about his selection at Cambridge University and his immersion in Aramaic and Arab studies for his significant role that was planned years ahead. They plan the world always way ahead like a big business plan and they watch everything come to pass. They give us our thoughts. They give us our opinions. The talking heads on television that come on, all the experts on pretty well every topic, is meant to bend our mind in a particular mode of accepting another loss of rights or whatever, are supplied by them. The merging of Europe was their plan and idea. They were behind it all and the merging unification of the Americas was also their idea. The third one of course was the Pacific Rim Region. Karl Marx was funded by the same crowd. Just before Cecil Rhodes came along they were going then and Karl Marx wrote about the unification of these three "continents" you might say. On page 951 he talks about the funding that was set up. "Money for the widely ramified activities of this organization came originally from the associates and followers of Cecil Rhodes chiefly from the Rhodes Trust itself and from wealthy associates such as the Beit brothers, from Sir Abe Bailey, and (after 1915) from the Astor family. Since 1925 there have been substantial contributions from wealthy individuals and from foundations and firms associated with the international banking fraternity, especially the Carnegie United Kingdom Trust, and other organizations associated with J.P. Moran, the Rockefeller and Whitney families, and the associates of Lazard Brothers and of Morgan Grenfell and Company. The chief backbone of this organization grew up along the already existing financial cooperation running from the Morgan Bank in New York to a group of international financiers in London led by Lazard Brothers. Milner himself in 1901 had refused a fabulous offer worth up to 100,000 a year to become one of the three partners of the Morgan Bank in London in succession to the younger J.P. Morgan who moved from London to join is father in New York. Eventually the vacancy went to E.C. Grenfell, so that the London affiliate of Morgan became known as Morgan Grenfell and Company. Instead Milner became director of a number of public banks chiefly, the London Joint Stock Bank, corporate precursor of the Midland Bank. He became one of the greatest political and financial powers in England with his disciples strategically placed throughout England and significant places such as the editorship of The **Times**, the editorship of **The Observe**", the managing directorship of Lazard Brothers, various administrative posts, and even Cabinet positions." That's parliament positions. "Ramifications were established in politics, high finance. Oxford and London universities periodicals, the civil service and tax exempt foundations." Jumping back, we can see the trail of this group, long before Cecil Rhodes, to one of its members who takes the credit for starting it up, which is nonsense. He was only one man that popped his head up in time in history and acted in one main country and that was Adam Weishaupt. Now Adam Weishaupt, who's well know for the

illuminati and the bustle of the word "illuminati", but really he was a member of the same group preexisting Cecil Rhodes. These guys don't start and stop. It's a continuous line down through history and Weishaupt said that they would create foundations, great foundations of wealth, which would be untouchable by the public because they would appear as charitable foundations, but the amount of wealth they would accumulate would be phenomenal and with it they would basically fund the front groups, the NGOs as we call them today, Non-Governmental Organizations, who would then press for public demands on parliaments and presidents and Congresses; and that's how they would change the laws, to have a standardization process across the planet. We find that the banking establishment and those who become CEOs of banks, who just move from bank to bank, and into politics and out of politics, are big players in this. Quigley says in his book on page 952: "The New York branch was dominated by the associates of the Morgan Bank. For example, in 1928 the Council on Foreign Relations had John W. Davis as president, Paul Cravath as vicepresident, and a council of thirteen others, which included Owen D. Young, Russell C. Leffingwell, Norman Davis, Allen Dulles, George W. Wickersham, Frank L. Polk, Whitney Shepardson, Isaiah Bowman, Stephen P. Duggan, and Otto Kahn. Throughout its history, the council has been associated with the American Round Tables, such as Beer, Lippmann, Shepardson, and Jerome Greene. The academic figures have been those linked to Morgan, such as James T. Shotwell, Charles Seymour, Joseph P. Chamberlain, Philip Jessup, Isaiah Bowman and, more recently, Philip Moseley, Grayson L. Kirk, and Henry W. Wriston. The Wall Street contracts with these were created originally from Morgan's influence in handling large academic endowments." That's university endowments. "In the case of the largest of these endowments, that at Harvard, the influence was usually exercised indirectly through "State Street", Boston, which, for much of the twentieth century, came through the Boston banker Thomas Nelson Perkins. Closely allied with this Morgan influence were a small group of Wall Street law firms, whose chief figures were Elihu Root, John W. Davis, Paul D. Cravath, Russell Leffingwell, the Dulles brothers and, more recently, Arthur H. Dean, Philip D. Reed, and John J. McCloy. Other nonlegal agents of Morgan included men like Owen D. Young and Norman H. Davis. On this basis, which was originally financial and goes back to George Peabody, there grew up in the twentieth century a power structure between London and New York which penetrated deeply into university life, the press, and the practice of foreign policy. In England the center was a Round Table Group, while in the United States it was J.P. Morgan and Company or its local branches in Boston, Philadelphia, and Cleveland. Some rather incidental examples of the operations of this structure are very revealing, just because they are incidental. For example, it set up in Princeton a reasonable copy of the Round Table Group's chief Oxford headquarters, All Souls College. This copy, called the Institute for Advanced Study, and best known, perhaps, as the refuge of Einstein, Oppenheimer, John von Neumann, and George F. Kennan, was organized by Abraham Flexner of the Carnegie Foundation and Rockefeller's General Education Board after he had experienced the delights of All Souls while serving as Rhodes Memorial Lecturer at Oxford. The plans were largely drawn by Tom Jones, one of the Round Table's most active intriguers and foundation administrators. The American branch of this "English Establishment" exerted much of its influence through five American newspapers (The New York Times. New York Herald Tribune. Christian Science Monitor, the Washington Post, and the lamented Boston Evening Transcript). In fact, the editor of the Christian Science Monitor was the chief American correspondent of The Round Table, and Lord Lothian, the original editor of **The Round Table** and later secretary of the Rhodes Trust and ambassador to Washington, was a frequent writer in the Monitor. It might be mentioned that the existence of this Wall Street Anglo-American axis is quite obvious once it is pointed out. It is reflected in the fact that such Wall Street luminaries such as John W. Davis, Lewis Douglas, Jock Whitney, and Douglas Dillon were appointed to be American ambassadors in London." There's your financial groups tied together, this networking of finance, which funds, which is quite easy to do if own all the money you fund what you want into existence. You promote and pay off people to open doors for the ones you want to get through to the top with your agenda and this group is still pushing ahead as ever today, intergenerational. On the Canadian television in 2005 the Council on

Foreign Relations officially for the first time as a group came on the national television at news time, CBC, and said that they were behind the plans for the Unification of the Americas and they drafted up the plans for the politicians. Money, higher power positions, media, politics, it's all owned by them - for world government. Up until about this point, Quigley's talking about primarily the English/American connection and later on in the book he goes into the Pacific Region connection that would eventually unite the Pacific countries and put Australia in with them. On page 953 he goes into how it branched out in the early days to include Europe as well. He says this: "This double international networking which the Round Table groups formed the semi-secret or secret nuclei of the Institutes of International Affairs was extended into a third network in 1925, organized by the same people for the same motives. Once again the mastermind was Lionel Curtis, and the earlier Round Table Groups and Institutes of International Affairs were used as nuclei for the new network. "However, this new organization for Pacific affairs was extended to ten countries, while the Round Table Groups existed in only seven. The new additions, ultimately China, Japan, France, the Netherlands, and Soviet Russia, had Pacific councils set up from scratch. In Canada, Australia, and New Zealand, Pacific councils, interlocked and dominated by the Institutes of International Affairs, were set up. "In England, Chatham House served as the English center for both nets, while in the United States the two were parallel creations (not subordinate) of the Wall Street allies of the Morgan Bank. The financing came from the same international banking groups and their subsidiary commercial and industrial firms. In England, Chatham House was financed for both networks by the contributions of Sir Abe Bailey, the Astor family" You've heard of Ann Bailey, those who were into theosophy. "...the Astor family and additional funds largely acquired by the persuasive powers of Lionel Curtis. The financial difficulties of the IPR Councils in the British Dominions in the depression of 1929-1935 resulted in a very revealing effort to save money, when the local Institute of International Affairs absorbed the local Pacific Council, both of which were, in a way, expensive and needless fronts for the local Round Table groups. The chief aims of this eLabourate, semi-secret organization were largely commendable:" Now this is a man who was all for it, an elitist himself. "...to coordinate the international activities and outlooks of all the English-speaking world into one (which would largely, it is true, be that of the London group); to work to maintain the peace: to help backward, colonial, and underdeveloped areas to advance toward stability, law and order, and prosperity along lines somewhat similar to those taught at Oxford and the University of London (especially the School of Economics and the Schools of African and Oriental Studies)." We're looking at a tremendously powerful, powerful group who push democracy as though it were something that the people had created themselves, while they themselves are anything but democratic. THEY DO EVERYTHING IN THE DARK, IN **SECRET**. They don't believe in democracy themselves but they want us to believe in it. We must believe the myth you see to allow them to accomplish the goals. There's no slave like a slave that thinks he's free. These are all very wealthy people, very wealthy bankers and noble families, deciding all this for us. They believe that they are the top of the Darwinian heap, while we're just the junk DNA. They've been behind all of the wars, all of the ongoing wars too, because they must standardize the whole world under their one system. All of this stuff with global warming, that's another big fear racket they're financing and funding all of that, to make us change our way of living, to get us into habitat areas where we will live like "fleas in a thimble" all jumping over each other; while they will have reforested the wilds for themselves, for their own offspring to helicopter into for the weekend parties. This is the Brave New World, a controlled society. Totally controlled society and eventually you won't be able to even leave the area you're assigned to.

Their job is to keep you living in the same land as the Wizard of Oz, in a state of perpetual infantilism where there's a Big Brother or a Big Daddy taking care of everything for you and making all your decisions for you, and telling you when you should be worried and with what you should be worried. "Oh my goodness, it's global warming now. We'll have to change all our way of living. You all have to move into these little habitat areas and live on top of each other and you'll have to have your lives organized for you because, well, you just can't do it yourself. You're too stupid." That really is what you're being told and it's true. The people have become so

lobotomized and I mean that in its fullest sense. I think they have been lobotomized. I think we've all suffered the effects from the inoculations. Again, all these inoculations began as philanthropic enterprises. That should be suspicious to begin with and the fact that they have also published books by big players on the ways to lobotomize the public to make them more pliable and compliant. The effects of autism have skyrocketed and the only graph that matches it is the inoculation programs that go along side of it.

Look at the writings of **Zbigniew Brzezinski**, another big player, and look at the organizations he belonged to; I've just been talking about them. Brzezinski said in his own book that "shortly a technology would be used on the general public which would influence their behaviour." and he was talking about in "The Technetronic Era," as it was called, where they could literally use HAARP-type technology to manipulate the emotions and the thoughts of everyone. You see the effects all around you. It's being used. You'll find that people like Brzezinski don't say these things without meaning it. They mean what they say and they do it. It's the public who make the excuses and say, "well they can't mean that. They can't really mean that." Just like when the Department of Population Control at the United Nations gives out the statistics and rattles the warning bells of course, "oh my God, it's just overflowing with people and they're popping out all over every second of the day. We've got to do something." Well they are doing things about it. They just don't want to terrify you, that much, in case you overreact and go at them when you find out they're behind it. THEY ARE DEPOPULATING THE PLANET. For Africa it was decided it would be the faster kill. For Europe and the West it would be the slow, degenerative type diseases, which would make partners ineligible for marriage or for child bearing; and so we have chronic fatigue, fibromyalgia. We have all the Epstein-Barr viruses. There's a whole bunch of things out there, terms for really all the same thing. They just came out of nowhere at the same time. And then we run for all the quack cures that's offered to us because sickness is a very real thing and unfortunately there are lots of people who will take advantage of every misfortune an individual has. There's a lot of profit to be made off of misery. It is of note that this organization also branches out into the sciences, all scientific areas, and opens the doors for their own favored people to get to the top of these scientific organizations. They set the trend in psychology. They set the trend in psychiatry. They set the trend in medicine. They set the trend in every area of science. Very powerful. Everything that means anything in control in the society goes back to the same group and they train members. They bring in members in the Rhodes Scholarships, as one of the scholarships, they own many in this day and age, but they bring them in from all countries, all countries in Europe. We have Rhodes Scholars working in our governments. All who have taken oaths towards world citizenship and you'll hear Rockefeller often give out awards at their little semiprivate meetings for World Citizenship. Pierre Trudeau of Canada called himself a "World Citizen." Back to Weishaupt: Weishaupt was the first one who put that term into writing. that he would create a World Citizenship Society. The reason being as I say it's all the one organization down through time with many names and some say—and it's very true, it could be very true—at least going all the way back to Babylon where it was very difficult to simply define what Babylon was. It was an entire structural system and it was merchandising. It was money banking, international commerce, taxation, government, all of the things we know today. It was an entire structural system and education of course is always in there is a biggie because you must control the minds of the young and shape their outcomes for them. They say that Isis was the goddess of a thousand faces. It's a thousand names, a thousand faces and it's no different today – all pervasive, run on a Jesuit-type fashion and always tries to have front groups which take the heat and divert and distort the cause. They hide the cause and distort it while the real boys continue unimpeded and never ever are responsible to the public. Now Carroll Quigley also wrote "The Anglo-American Establishment," a fantastic history going into some even greater detail in parts of this. The embryo idea of the unification of countries. The Unification of Europe. The Anglo-European or British "Atlantic Charter" which cemented the United States policies with that of England and the Far Eastern policies and the Middle Eastern policies for the future, which we're living through right now, and this book was also written around the '60's and he was into the early history of it. Now before the United Nations the same group had set up

the League of Nations. They were behind it and this is from **page 282** of "*The Anglo-American Establishment*". "*From this point onward, the Milner group increasingly emphasized the necessity for building up the oceanic block*." He's talking really about the "*Atlantic Charter*". That was the foundation of the basis for the Charter for the United Nations.

When you read **Charles Galton Darwin's** books, the grandson of Charles, the physicist who worked for Britain in the 1950's and who published his own book, "*The Next Million Years*," he also – because you find in these family lines they have their own specialty, that's their job, and theirs was population and population management and control.

The same higher authorities will tell you, like the interviews they did at Porton Down Bacterial Warfare Institute in England, that THEY CAN CREATE VIRUSES AND BACTERIUM, create them and program them basically to reproduce so many times in a week so it would sweep across a country and then stop reproducing itself, like a miniature computer, and that's a write-up done in the early '90's in one of the British newspapers and it was done by a reporter allowed into the facility to sit and talk to the scientists. They had " ethnic-specific diseases, " too, so if they wanted to kill off anybody with, say, a Celtic gene or whatever, it would be done; and yet the same sciences taught at universities, again professorship down, you see, the low-level stuff, are just so bamboozled at how to prevent or cure the common cold. It's a mystery. It's a mystery. We're living in fantasy land at the bottom of the ladder because in an age where they can literally boast and can clone and create any type of creature they want if they want to. I mean Dolly the sheep is a joke. That's for the fare for the people. " **Dolly** " is a **Masonic term**. That's why they call it "Dolly the Sheep." Benjamin Franklin first used "Dolly" openly when he came back from France. A Dolly is a conveyance, a carrier of genes. That's why you have a dolly and you wheel things along. It's a carrier. It's an inhouse joke. They're way beyond that. Way beyond that. They can create any kind of life they want. They can create and build particular bacterium and viruses, but we just don't know how to stop these things and we don't know why people are getting sick from all the serums and so on. This is nonsense. It only makes sense when you realize that there are other agendas at work and at play and it's not all to do with money. Yes, the pharmaceutical industries are probably the greediest, most ruthless companies on the planet. Definitely not what I would call humane, but there's lots of money to be gained from suffering, but it's not the only reason. Over 400 years ago when they talked about setting up big international corporations, when they already had the British East India Company on the go and the Dutch East India Company, who were really two sides of the same thing, same company, THEY KNEW THEY WOULD RULE THE WORLD. You'd be surprised now many companies today are actually run by Secret Services or CIA. They're front companies. You could never in this day and age allow true competition on any cutting-edge technology to be in private hands if you want to retain power. If you think that Bill Gates was a selfmade man, well, keep buying the lotto and maybe one day you'll get there too. Under all the hoopla you hear about monopoly and Microsoft, that's all it is, is hoopla. It's rather obvious to a thinking person that Gates was given a "clear field" to make sure the one system was prevalent across the planet. For a totally controlled society and a world society, you couldn't have competition if you want to monitor everyone's moves – what they're doing and talking about and thinking about. You need one system. It's the same with the Digital Angel and the chips and so on. These corporations are real corporations but they're fronted for the Big Boys, the CIA and so on. They're not dummies as such, dummy corporations. They're real corporations but they work for a **REAL GOVERNMENT** at the top. They don't work as free competition in a free market and they're heavily financed from the start to make sure that they predominate. The real world is a closed shop at the top. Power does not share itself with its "lessers" and there's been a power system in place for an awful, awful long time that does not tolerate any upstart coming in and trying to muscle in. It doesn't work that way in life. THEY ARE RUTHLESS, UTTERLY RUTHLESS TO KEEP THIS SYSTEM IN **PLACE**. Now how could they be so sure of the effects that they want to inflict upon populations?

They don't just test on animals. They've been using prisoners in prisons for many, many decades for experimental purposes. They always pick the poorest of the poor who have no voice

in society and who need the money. Money makes this little world go round. Those that have it can dictate which direction its spins.

One excellent book to read and there are quite a few out there, but one very good one is called "Acres of Skin". That was written by Allen M. Hornblum and it was published by Routledge. He goes into the experiments on prisoners in Holmesburg prison and it's astonishing what they've gotten away with just in that one prison over many years. They're all at it really and the testing of psychedelic drugs. The testing of drugs to make people impotent. Inoculations of all kinds and many of these people of course have died since or come down with tumors and all kinds of weird diseases from these supposedly "innocent experiments" under different very innocent sounding experimental names, such as testing sun creams or facial creams. They just took their word for it at face value and had themselves basically made up like grids on their skin and different patches and creams used et cetera or pills were given or injections, for all kinds of very innocent sounding things, but they all had to sign release forms that they could never come back on those who were giving them or in charge of their experiments. They couldn't have any legal comeback on them. It's fascinating to see what's been done on the public, mainly again on prisoners before it's used on the general populations.

Here's a little bit from Carl Gustav Jung's book called "The Undiscovered Self" - an interesting read. Carl Gustav Jung himself was up there with **Freud**. Personally, I think he was a little bit better than Freud. Jung's father was the highest Mason in Switzerland, and Jung himself was brought up in Masonic traditions and Rosicrucian. However, he was brought up in a mystic family. The mystic types, where his mother, he claimed, would be almost possessed in the evenings with something that came over her. He himself experienced phenomena, what people would sometimes call "poltergeist type" phenomena." He had teamed up with Freud, and the split came when Freud demanded of him to make sex the driving motivation, in people's lives, the sexual drive, libido. . Jung could not agree with this, because he knew there was something beyond the purely physical bodily sexual drive. He knew, by his own experiences, which he could not deny that there was something paranormal above all of this. When I talk about these subjects, the paranormal, super normal types of thing, I'm not giving any applause to the New Age group who pay their money and hope to have experiences. Phenomena which is paranormal occurs to many individuals, mainly when they're growing up. Pre-puberty or around the time of puberty, that's when poltergeist phenomena, which is really the person themselves doing it, occurs: The occasional shifting of something. A door slamming by itself. Something moving on the mantle piece. During one of the arguments with Freud and Carl Jung, when the debate got really heated, in fact, a sharp crash resounded in the room, and they searched high and low through all the cupboards. A big heavy type of bone cutting knife, one of these little butcher's knives (the big wide ones) had split right down its blade, by itself. This freaked out Freud, and since Jung had been trying to make a point, in the first place, that there was more to life than that which we simply see in the purely material.

Freud's part in it was to try and demystify everything completely into a science, which would then be regulated, because down the road they knew they would have to use medicine and this new science of psychiatry to help dominate the people. Luckily, we've had other people like Carl Jung, who opposed him and put out alternate writings, far more interesting. Although I wouldn't advise people to go along the same route as Carl Gustav Jung had taken, because he used himself as an experimental subject and he would deprive himself of food and light and so on, for weeks on end to try and get in touch with what initially was called "the alter ego".

"The Undiscovered Self" in Chapter 4, the title is called "The Individual's Understanding of Himself".

Now, **Jung** remember, as I say, **was born of a very high freemasonic family**, **the mystic side of it, in Switzerland**. He's well aware that the Christian story has esoteric meanings and it's reborn down through every age, under a different story, but containing the same ideas and principles of rebirth, rejuvenation. The whole purpose was for a few to follow, and he knew the light, you see. The sun is the light. It was never meant to be static, and it became dominated by those in power, and power does corrupt. It corrupts everything. Doesn't matter what creed you belong to, or religion you belong to, it's all corrupted. They've been there too long every single one of them. Ideas written down by priests or rabbis become fixed as laws, instead of staying as ideas, which are developed further and further, until you're bogged down. It becomes solid. It doesn't move anymore, and then it becomes antiquated. That's why they all die at the end of an age and need something to be reborn, something that is new, for that age. Jung was well aware of this. He didn't want to ruffle feathers by going into it in this kind of depth.

"This requires a very different attitude towards the individual, towards the microcosm of the self, from the one we have had hitherto. That is why nobody knows what ways of approach are open to man, what inner experiences he can still pass through and what psychic facts underlie the religious myth. Over this hangs so universal a darkness that no one can see why he should be interested or to what end he could commit himself. Before this problem we stand helpless. This is not surprising, since practically all the trump cards are in the hands of our opponents. They can appeal to the big battalions and their crushing power."

At that time he was writing when the Cold War was supposedly on and the antithesis of Christianity was set-up — communism — but on a deeper level, communism sprang from the same source, in a sense. Since the sun of God is always represented as the sun crossing the sky, giving his light and life for the world, and turning red at sundown. That's the blood being poured out, the life of the world. Their communist idea was the same, because the hammer and sickle were also old, old occultic symbols. The sickle is also the symbol of Saturn. Saturn is also called "Kronos" in the Greek. Between the horns you'll see a little star, not quite dead center, a little bit north in the communist flag. Kronos or Saturn was the one who was the scythe-wielder; he used the scythe to cut the ties between ages. That's why he always rose at the end of the age, to cut the ties of the old age into the new. It's highly occultic. It was not, as they pretended at the top, an atheistic organization - far, far from it. They're symbols were all highly Kabbalistic. Very occultic and there was a religion at the top, and they were the Reds. They have the Red Square, which was really in opposition to the Blue Lodge, because every lodge must, in a sense, compete with each other to bring the Great Work about. They must create conflict, in other words.

This was always understood in psychological warfare, this particular science, you see. Jung doesn't go into that part of it, at any great depth, in this book. However, he's showing you the same techniques are used on the masses, where neurosis, infantile types of neurosis, are encouraged all throughout life. It's no coincidence that in the 1800's and going into the 1900's, when the elite gave permission for the leaders to start pushing the coming New Age, they gave us all kinds of magic. They pulled out stuff from the past - stuff that would appeal to the infantile, stuff that would seem fantastic to truly logical minds. Not, as I say, that there's no such thing as paranormal - far from it, but it doesn't happen every day on queue or as a phenomena projected by the masses.

The science of the control of the mind of the masses is well understood, and they have given a New Age religion, a Neo-Paganism, which is well controlled by the elite, because they've ensured they've created it in such a way that you could never come into conflict with the ruling modern State.

WWW.CUTTINGTHROUGHTHEMATRIX.COM

www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

LASTLY:

BE WARY OF THOSE SO CALLED TRUTH TELLERS IN THE ALTERNATIVE MEDIA WHO PROMOTE WEST BAD EAST GOOD... THEY ARE AGENTS PUSHING THE COLLAPSE OF THE WEST PROMOTING RUSSIA AND PUTIN AS THE GOOD GUYS... OUR LIBERATORS

THIS IS PART OF "THE PERESTROIKA DECEPTION"

AS WE WERE WARNED BY ANATOLIY GOLITSYN