

VACCINES REVEALED

Episode 3 Transcript

Dr. Gentempo: Welcome, to episode three of Vaccines Revealed. I'm your host Doctor Patrick Gentempo and today is a spectacular day. In the first interview I sit down with Robert Kennedy Jr. And I have to tell I never been so impressed, so over overwhelmed, and so emotionally moved around this vaccine issue as I was during the course of that conversation. It was mind-boggling.

Next, I sit down with Scott and Melissa Miller. They are filmmakers and have a legacy of filmmaking in California. They stumbled across the vaccine issue in a very unique way relative to the anthrax vaccine in the military. You want to see their interview because we're going to be hosting their film Vaccine Syndrome in episode six of Vaccines Revealed. This is the first time this movie has ever been seen, and then to close out today's episode with some real power we are screening a 20 minute version of the movie Vaxxed.

And Vaxxed is movie that created quite a dust up, quite a stir out there in the world and in the media because it was submitted and accepted to be shown in the Tribeca Film Festival. But then was yanked because of the outcry by the media and all these other pseudoscientists who said that, "People will be hurt if they see this film." Why? Because they might learn something about vaccines that's true that they don't know. So Vaxxed has become an iconic film in this vaccine movement. And I have to tell that the 20 minute version is very powerful, and we are very proud at Vaccines Revealed to have a strategic alliance with those filmmakers and the people who participated in that film. So enjoy today episode.

Bobby, thanks very much for taking some time to talk to us about this important subject today. What got you interested in mercury in the first place? I know you've been very active in environmental issues, but how did you get specifically involved in mercury, and how did it further bring you to vaccines?

Robert Kennedy : I got dragged into the vaccine issue very reluctantly. I was suing coal burning power plants all across America and President of Water Keeper Alliance which is the biggest water protection group in the world. And we have 300 water keepers, each one of them with a patrol boat, patrolling local waterways and a lot of them were involved in litigation against coal burning power plants which is one the biggest air polluters but also the biggest water polluter. And probably besides acid rain and global warming and those other particulates, one of the categories of pollutants that is associated with emissions from coal burning plants is the mercury. And as a result principally of mercury of emissions from coal burning power plants there is wide spread contamination of fish in particularly fresh water fish in the United States. The FDA has found that every fresh water fish in the United States now has dangerous levels of mercury in it's flesh, and largely as a result of that, according to CDC, one out of every six American women has enough mercury in their body to create a reduced IQ in their children.

So I was litigating at one point, I think, I guess over 30 coal burning power plants and I was lecturing around the country. Whenever I would ... And I was lecturing to large audiences almost all the time that I would give a speech there would be women in the audience who would come up to me afterwards and say, "If you're genuinely interested in mercury toxicity and exposure, you need to look at the mercury in vaccines because that is by far the largest factor for exposure, particularly the young children who are the most vulnerable cohort." And this was an issue that I didn't want to get into. I had always been pro vaccine. I had all six of my children vaccinated. I had no expertise in that area of public health and really very little knowledge about it, but they asked me to read the science.

Particularly, one woman who approached me who was a friend of my brother's wife, my sister in-law Vicki Kennedy, and her name was Sarah Bridges. And she had a vaccine injured child

who had autism and who had be given a large reward, a large settlement for the vaccine court after eight years of litigation. And she was very knowledgeable, and very smart, and very centered. And she actually gave me some of the science and she asked me to read it. And I kind of did it as a favor to her and to my sister in-law.

I started reading the science and one of the features of this issue ... One of the kind of Kafkaesque features of this issue is that most people don't read the science. You hear this absolute insistence by both government agencies, and medical doctors, and the press that the science has exonerated mercury from any involvement with autism, or any of these other neuro development disorders that we're seeing now are epidemic in American children. When you ask them, "What study are you talking about?" I have never literally never had anybody be able to name me a study that they had read or even the abstracts people don't read.

But I'm very comfortable reading science. Actually my interest in environmental law had started with an interest in science. And I've brought hundreds and hundreds of environmental cases, and won them, and almost all them involved some and different controversies. So If I was not comfortable reading science, I couldn't have done well in those cases. So I started reading the science. And what I found almost immediately is that although there was this instance that there were no studies out there that linked mercury in vaccines to neuro development disorders, and particularly autism, that there are actually many, many studies.

There were literally hundreds of studies showing that thimerosal was very destructive of brain tissue and caused other human illness as well, and animal illness, cadaver damage, all this kind of stuff. There was lots and lots of studies out there. There was a very, very rich scientific literature that anybody could access on PubMed. But specifically, there were also many studies that linked it to autism which was shocking

because there was this ... Such a huge delta between the public perception of what the science said, and the government characterization of the science, and what science was actually saying when I read it.

Dr. Gentempo: Do you have any premise as to why that is? I mean why would ... As you said this is very searchable, very available and it's pretty obvious the connections are there, yet somehow there's public perception that the two, autism and mercury, are not related relative to the vaccine issue. How do you think that disconnect happened?

Robert Kennedy : I think there's a number of factors and it's almost like when you're talking with the press, it's like dealing with a borg. You know, it's a kind of cocoon of denial that really is kind of a journalistic malpractice. There are two principle reasons for it and one is a bad reason, which is there's a huge amount of money going to the pharmaceutical industry from the press.

In fact, the President One Network told me Fox News Roger Ailes, who is an old friend of mine, who I spent a couple of months with in a tent when I was 19 years old in Africa. And I've had this kind of weird friendship with him ever since. But he and he was very sympathetic to the issue but he said, "Listen during some parts of nonelection years a huge portion," I think he said, " 70% of my advertising venues can come from pharmaceutical companies for the news division." He said, " I would have to fire any of my host who allowed you on TV if they lost an advertiser," and he said that, "if I did that I would have to get a call from Rupert within 10 minutes." So I think that is a consideration.

You've had this huge consolidation of the press in our country. There's now essentially six companies that control almost all the newspapers, all the television stations, all the radio stations, and most of the large internet content providers in America. And Almost all those companies are getting very, very large portion of their advertising venue from the

pharmaceutical and from vaccine makers. So that's a bad reason.

I think that the reports on the beat and many of the editors as well think that they are performing a critical public function which is to increase vaccination rates. And they believe that the consensus of allowing debate about vaccine safety would be detrimental to public health, and actually I've heard this many times, that we would be killing children because if people don't vaccinate, children die. So you know you have that which in some cases, that is a mythology that really needs to be parsed and explored, and it's not being explored. Unfortunately, the consequence of removing scrutiny from the vaccine industry and from the government officials who regulate it has not been to increase the safety or the popularity of the vaccine program.

In fact, the opposite has been true. It has incentivized the worst kind of behaviors in both the pharmaceutical companies who are making these vaccines and by the regulators who are often part of a captive agency phenomena. CDC is really a part of the vaccine industry. I would say a wholly owned subsidiary of the vaccine industry and that's not hyperbole. CDC is actually ... Buys and sell over \$4 billion worth of vaccines annually and if demand for those vaccines is reduced there are severe economical consequences for the agency. So they have to sell those vaccines and they have to create demand for them. And they have the capacity to create demand for them. And both CDC and FDA also are partners in owning vaccine patents.

In addition to that the advisory committees that control the vaccine program are not made up of entirely of CDC employees, but they're mainly composed of people who have direct financial ties to the vaccine industry and in some cases a huge financial incentive to add new vaccines to the schedule. For example, the committee that is in charged of adding new vaccines to the schedule, when I was a boy I only got three

vaccines. My children got 69 does of about 20 vaccines. And the committee that adds those vaccines, the schedule is called the advisory committee on immunization practices, and it's mainly made up of people who are vaccine industry insiders.

And I'll give you example, in 1999 Paul Offit, who is a vaccine industry spokesperson insider and is on the payroll of Merck which is the biggest vaccine producer in our country, sat on the committee of immunization practices and voted to add the rota virus vaccine to the schedule. Offit at that time was working on a patent for his rota virus vaccine yet he did not recuse himself. They added that vaccine to the schedule that created a market opening for his vaccine which then became enormously profitable as a direct result of that vote. And he was able to sell that vaccine patent for about \$186 million six years later. And he profited enormously. He's never disclosed how much he made but looking at the industry practices it was probably something around the order of \$29 million. That transaction caused kind of a scandal at HHS and CDC and the inspector general of HHS investigated that practice. And what they came back and said you know that transaction was bad but it was not illegal under CDC rules.

In fact up to 97% of the people who sit on that committee, they have the same kind of conflicts. They found that 64% actually had the conflicts, but that 97% either had the conflicts or hadn't filled out the paper work to make the legal disclosures whether or not they had those conflicts. It's hard for Americans who've watched their vaccine schedule increase exponentially to completely have faith that all of these vaccines are being added to the schedule, and then given to their children at a very young age, in most cases, simply because of a narrow focus on public health. When people are actually making money from these transactions. The people who are not just making decisions over that vaccine policy are making personal profit on it. And I think those are conflicts

that I think if the American people knew about them would leave a bad taste in people's mouths.

Dr. Gentempo: Yeah. Well, it's kind of unprecedented because you have the federal government with tax payer dollars indemnifying the private vaccine manufactures in the first place. Right. And then you got people make decisions about the vaccine who have a conflict of interest and then you have the government agency the CDC who basically is becoming ... They're distributing the vaccines for them and they have a budget for it that their agency relies upon. When you look at the constellation that forms, it's pretty disturbing, especially because it directly affecting especially children and what's happening to them.

Robert Kennedy : As I said when I was I kid I got three vaccines. My children got up 69 vaccines. And the schedule changed mainly in 1989. The reason it changed is because in 1986 congress passed the vaccine act which went into effect the following year in '87. The vaccine act gave exempted of immunized, gave blanket immunity to the vaccine industry from any kind of liability, so no matter how reckless the behave, no matter how dangerous or toxic the ingredients or unnecessary the ingredients of the vaccine, no matter how egregious and grievous the injury to the child no company is liable. Which means not only do they avoid the liability but they also avoid the discovery, the depositions, the documents searches, all those things that would explore and scrutinize the decision making that are taking place in that vaccine company.

Dr. Gentempo: I never thought of that. So there's no discovery on their part either?

Robert Kennedy : No. And vaccine court doesn't allow any kind of discovery. And you want to see how these same companies, these pharmaceutical companies are behaving when have this big defense bar looking over their shoulders, and suing them and getting \$100 million and billion dollar settlements year after year after year or judgements year after year for their

pharmaceutical products. Why would that same company behave any differently when it comes to vaccines? Of course the answer is, they don't.

The thing is that nobody is looking at them and nobody is allowed to look at them, and not only that but the congress not only made them immune from any liability, but they also made it very easy to bring a vaccine to market. So you don't have all these kind of burdens, hoops, and impediments and testing. You don't have for example double blind placebo testing of big populations. A typical that a normal pharmaceutical would have. Some of these products are brought to market with almost no testing what so ever. When congress passed that law, prior to that when I was a boy nobody was making money on vaccines. In fact, some of the vaccines that I got didn't even have patents. You know, it was almost a civic duty for the pharmaceutical industry.

Beginning in 1987, suddenly, this was pay dirt. The vaccine had become pay dirt for the industry. And that the vaccine act launched a gold rush in the vaccine business because the vaccine company the pharmaceutical company, suddenly, realized hey, here's a product essentially effectually the federal government is requiring a 100 million people and state governments ... 100 million to purchase it. I mean you can't send your kids to school in less they have it. The profit margin went huge on vaccines. You had no liability. You had no advertising. You had no marketing cost. And there's no consequences to injuring or hurting anybody. So you have this really extraordinary product, and it was a rush to add new vaccines to the schedule. And the industry got a hold of the advisory committee on immunization practices. And within in a few years, they had not only added all of these vaccines to the schedule but they had dramatically increased the mercury exposures.

Nobody ever did a mass loading analysis of how much mercury ... The typical mercury exposure to a typical American child

went from 70 micro grams per child before age two to 237 micro grams. And that year 1989 you suddenly, see the beginning of this epidemic of neurological disorders that we are seeing among American children today. ADD, all these diseases that were either rare or unheard of when I was a boy suddenly became epidemic. ADD, ADHD, speech delay, ticks, misery disorder, which I'd never heard of before reading it in scientific literature, narcolepsy, turrets syndrome, ticks which is of that family of grave neurological disorders, seizures disorder, and then also anaphylaxis, food allergies, and asthma. It happened at the same time. And all of that began ... And autism and ASD. The autism rates from 25 hundred ... 1 in 25 hundred to today 1 in 68 as the vaccine schedule expanded.

And EPA scientist have identifies 1989 as the gateway year. The year that all these unknown diseases suddenly launched. And it's clear that particularly for something like autism which scientific literature clearly associates with mercury exposure, not just vaccine mercury but mercury in fish, mercury in acrodynia, which was in many ways almost identical, at the same bio markers as autism. And that was from cow milk tooth powders which were mercurial. Mercury in the amalgams. Mercury from emissions from coal burning power plants. There are many, many studies that show the closer you live to a mercury emanating plant the higher your chance is of having autism. So we know that mercury causes it. Suddenly, you had this dramatic explosion of autism.

If somebody says well, you know, it could be something else. We know it has to be an environmental toxin because it's an epidemic. And genes do not cause epidemics. Our genes can create the vulnerability but you need an environmental toxin. The question is what is an environmental toxin that had this traumatic exposure beginning in 1989, across every demographic from urban Blacks, and Cuban Americans, and Whites in Miami, Florida to Inuit in Homer, Alaska and everybody in between. Everybody except incidentally,

unvaccinated cohorts like the Amish, who are not getting it. And not only that, you have to also identify a toxin that effects boys on a four to one ratio to girls.

People say well maybe, it's glyphosate which is from Round-up from the pesticide. And certainly, that's not a good thing to put in somebody. It may aggravate autism and it may cause it itself. Glyphosate was around a lot longer than that. Even the MMR vaccine is, you know, we were giving since the mid 70s . So you have to look, and some people point at that and that again may trigger autism but something else is involved. Something is creating the precondition for it that allows other environmental triggers to trigger it. And there's very good science that says if you expose someone to mercury that you get these mitochondria disorders. That then can be triggered into autism later on by some other environmental insult. But the mercury is the primary culprit.

Dr. Gentempo: You have vast legal background. Is there any other scenario in law relative to the government et cetera where this type of a circumstance exist? Or is this completely unique where you got an industry, a multi, multi billion dollar industry, indemnified by the government, who's got kind of a get out of jail free card for all of their actions?

Robert Kennedy : There's nobody who has anything any deal like this. There's no other industry who has this kind of deal where they have blanket immunity from liability. I mean the government does indemnify certain industries that ... For example, the nuclear power industry has a kind of indemnity as well. Nobody has an indemnity like the pharmaceutical and of course, it's been very lucrative for the industry. This is now a \$30 billion industry. If you can get a vaccine on the schedule it can be a billion dollar annual profit for your company. So the incentives to get it on there are enormous and then no liability forever. There's no other pharmaceutical drug that can you give you those returns with no liability.

Dr. Gentempo: Yeah. That's incredible. Now you mentioned Dr. Offit earlier, who's a vaccine advocate, you had a personal conversation with him. Could you recount that?

Robert Kennedy : Well, very early on ... What I do when I'm trying to learn a new area of science is I do the reading and then I call the experts. It's very, very hard to just read scientific papers or the abstracts of those papers without somebody to help you understand the context. Different papers have different weight. There's good science and there's bad science. And you can't always spot it without context. I always call the people on both sides of an issue to try to figure out what the truth is. Ultimately, that's what we're trying to do is to search for existential truth.

And I called one of the chief managers at the Institute of Medicine, Kathleen Stratton and I called Paul Offit very early on after I had done some reading of the science. And I had questions about what what CDC was saying on its website and its public statements. One of the things the CDC was saying ... The principal thing they say and til this day, it says this on their website. It says that, "We all," ...

One of the big conundrums, I think, that the CDC has is that everybody knows that mercury in fish is bad for you. In every state and nationally there are advisories, for example, to pregnant women to limit their intake of fish and particularly certain kinds of fish. And the reason is because methylmercury that is in fish is known to create all kinds of problems with the baby. It's teratogenic. It's mutagenic. It's carcinogenic. And causes low IQ and all these other neurological deficits and disorders. The immediate question that occurred to me ... I'm very familiar with that. The immediate question that occurred to me is how can we be telling mothers not eat fish because the mercury and yet we are injecting them and their little babies immediately upon birth with mercury in vaccines. And with doses far higher than the doses we give advisory for fish. In some cases hundreds of times higher.

And so I asked Offit and I asked Kathleen Stratton and both of them gave me the same story. And it's the story that you can read on CDC's website to this day and that story is that and it's an old industry canard that ethyl mercury is not as toxic as methylmercury because it does not have the residency time in the body. In other words, it's excreted by the body so quickly that it doesn't have time to do any damage. And when I asked Offit for the scientific study that showed that because the industry has been saying that for 80 years with no science.

In 2004 a CDC scientist called Pitchero actually did a study that showed that the mercury disappears. Ethyl mercury from vaccines disappears from the blood in about a week. Whereas methylmercury from fish remains in a child's blood for 54 days and that superficially, tended to support the argument that it leaves. That it's excreted quickly. But that study immediately came under attack because people said, people wrote letters, and articles saying wait a second Pitchero never showed where this mercury was going. He didn't find mercury in the feces, or the sweat, or the finger nails, or the hair, or urine of these children. The question is where is it going? Is it really being excreted from the body?

Another scientist about two years later called Thomas Burbacher ran a big team of scientists did studies on macaque monkeys where they actually fed them methylmercury. Then they injected another group with ethyl mercury from vaccines, and what they found was that indeed the ethyl mercury from the vaccines disappeared from the macaque blood almost immediately. But when they autopsied the macaques they found it was not leaving the body. The reason it was leaving the blood stream is because it very easily crossed the brain blood barrier and it was going directly to the brain. Rather than proving it was safe the capacity of that ethyl mercury to leave the blood quickly was actually evidence of its extraordinary danger because it was not leaving the children. It was going into their brains.

And Burbacher's studies showed that it metabolized into a form of mercury that is called organic mercury which is the kind that is really toxic. That causes terrible inflammation, and neurological death, and neurological damage in the brain. And it metabolized into organic mercury at twice the rate. So it was twice as persistent in the brain as the methylmercury in fish. And then an Italian scientist called Toessey, a few years later, found that when you measured ethyl mercury against methylmercury that ethyl mercury was actually 50 times more toxic than methylmercury to the brain. Mercury in vaccines is twice as persistent and 50 times as toxic as methylmercury in fish.

When I asked Offit the question, "How can you say that ethyl mercury vaccines is safe?" He said to me, "Well Bobby, we know that it's excreted from the body very quickly." I said, "What study are you talking about?" And he cited Pitchero and I said, "But have you read Burbacher?" There was dead silence on the other end and it was clear that he did understand the implications of my question. And then he began backtracking and he said, "Well you're right there are problems with that theory but it's really a whole mosaic of studies." And that's a quote from him, "A whole mosaic of studies." That show that the ethyl mercury is safe. When I asked him, "What other studies were part of that mosaic?" He said, "He would get back to me." And that's the last I heard from him.

Kathleen Stratton was much more honest with me. Kathleen Stratton said, "Yeah ethyl mercury is bad. When you give thimerosal to mice it makes them do weird things. When you give it to rats it causes damage. When you give it to guinea pigs it makes them act crazy. Clearly, it's not going to be good for humans that's why we're taking it out of the vaccines. So that with two studies we're taking them out. It's not in them anymore and even if it was in them it's excreted," and both of those things are a lie.

Dr. Gentempo: So after these conversations with these people who are charged with the public health, who know these things as you just described. How do you think they live with themselves? There's literally little children being injured. They have this information and they're trying to convince you that something is other than what is true.

Robert Kennedy : I see that in the environmental arena a lot. People are polluting, who seem to be good and decent people. Upton Sinclair said that, "It's very difficult to convince a man of a fact if the existence of that fact will diminish his salary." I think a lot of us see the world through a lens that is at least colored by self interest.

If you look at the transcripts of the Simpsonwood transcripts which was the meeting that occurred when they realized. They did a study of the biggest vaccine safety data base in America. The health care record for hundreds of thousands of children and they did an internal study in CDC, and they realized that they were causing the autism epidemic. This is in 2001. They had a meeting, a secret meeting, off campus at CDC so that it couldn't be, well they thought it would be immune from freedom of information law request. They had that meeting with 75 vaccine makers and key officials from all of the federal agencies, from the FDA, from CDC, from NIH, the World Health Organization, et cetera to decide how to handle this information.

It's really a fascinating study in human character. My farther was very interested in, who was kind of a contemporary of World War 2 and had been in the Navy during the last year of the war, and very effected by the discoveries of what the Nazis had done. He was always interested in how the circumstances made good and decent people participate in Holocaust, and calamities, and catastrophes. You see that in that transcript. You see it happening because when they first get the information all of these people are horrified. There is one of them the famous Dr. Neal Halsey, he literally cried. They say,

"How could this have happened? Were we asleep at the wheel? This is childhood math? Why didn't we look at these mercury loads? When we ordered all these new vaccines, how come we never did a mass load analysis of mercury exposure we were going to be giving to these kids?" There's a lot of mea culpas.

People at that time ... We have an email trail as well like Ruth Helzel who is saying we've got to come clean. We've got to do the right thing. We've got to tell the American people. We've got to do our mea culpas. We've got to express our regret, our apology, and we have to start rebuilding the creditability of the vaccine program. By the second day of that meeting, these other voices had begun to assert themselves. People say well if we do this lawyers will come out of the woodwork and they'll sue us. More importantly, they'll sue the vaccine companies, the pharmaceutical companies. The implication was the pharmaceutical companies would just stop producing vaccines. Then they began to coalesce and say, "We've got to hide this. We got to keep this quiet." By the end of the second day all these people, all these mature public servants suddenly, by the end of that meeting they're saying to each other, "We've got to embargo this. We've got to hide this from the American people." That's what they did.

There's one very pointed moment where Robert Johnson who's the head of the Children Hospital in Denver or a similar hospital stands up at the meeting and says, "My daughter in-law just had are first male grandson of our family line, and I'm not going to give him a vaccine. As long as there's thimerosal in it. I'm not going to give it to him." So they were telling each other we know this is dangerous. We know what this study says. It's unambiguous. You can't massage it. There's nothing you can do. It's real and then they're saying we got to hide it. Then there is an email trail for the next year and half coming out of IOM and CDC saying, "How do we create new studies that will justify our point of view or show that are no problems

with? There's no connection between autism and these other diseases." You see that whole cascade occur where the human behavior worst instincts, suddenly the survival instincts take hold.

Dr. Gentempo: It's really almost unimaginable. Especially cause you're saying this is documented. I mean it's not like-

Robert Kennedy : It's very well. I mean we have the-

Dr. Gentempo: It's not hearsay.

Robert Kennedy : The vaccine program changed in 1989, around 1989, and the years after. By the mid 1990s they were seeing this cascade of, this explosion of these neurological, neuro development disorders. CDC had a giant repository of child health information. It's called the Vaccine Safety Database. And they spent \$20 million a year maintaining this database and it's exactly precisely for reasons like this so you can do epidemiological studies and identify toxins, if there are some, that are causing problems.

They brought in in house epidemiologist, whose name is Thomas Verstraeten, who started looking at the data. His initial runs the data where very clear that, yes, these new vaccines scheduled, particularly the thimerosal was causing the autism epidemic. He looked at the kids who had received 25 micro grams and less of thimerosal and compared those to kids who had 25 micro grams or more and found a 760 relative risk. That means a 760% greater chance for the high exposed group to get an autism diagnosis. One of the runs he found an 11 hundred relative risk. I think 11.5 relative risk and that's greater than association between smoking a pack of cigarettes everyday and cancer.

They new at that point what was causing the autism epidemic not only that but that found similar associations for ADD, ADHD, ticks, speech delay, and number of other neuro

developmental disorders. Then clearly Verstraeten came under a lot of pressure to try and change those results and you see these emails back and forth saying, it just won't go away. Speaking of the autism signal and him talking about how he's massaging the data and pushing it this way but whenever he pushes this way the signal continues to persist.

Then they have the Simpsonwood meeting and after the Simpson Wood meeting you see this flood of emails saying, let's go to other countries. Countries where there is lower exposures of thimerosal. Let's do epidemiological studies. Now, the Institute of Medicine had said that the link between autism and vaccines and thimerosal is, "biologically possible." They instructed the CDC to do a range of studies toxicological studies, biological studies, and clinical studies and animal studies et cetera. The CDC has never done any of those studies instead the CDC commissioned a series of epidemiological studies that mainly took place in foreign countries where the exposure to thimerosal were much less to start off with.

They took advantage of changing reporting requirements in those countries which gave the illusion that ... For example in Scandinavia when thimerosal was banned in 1993 the levels of autism actually climbed after the ban. This was something that CDC jumped on. The reason they climbed however, was not because the instance of autism was actually climbing it was an artifact of changing reporting requirements in ... Prior to 1993 the Danish government had only required inpatient cases of autism to be reported to the national disease registry. Every nation has a national disease registry, every developed nation. It's a registry of contagious disease. For example if you in California and you go into your doctor with a measles case, he has to report that. It's mandatory reporting and for obvious reasons we want to know where these contagious diseases exist and how they're spreading.

But autism in Scandinavia was never on that registry. It was never meant to be a reported disease because it wasn't

contagious. The only autism cases that were required to be reported were those people who were so debilitated by autism that they had to live inpatient, and they're permanently hospitalized essentially. That was about 8% of the total cases, but in 1993 because autism was exploding in Scandinavia, again because they had changed their vaccine schedule. They made it mandatory for everyone to report because they wanted to see what was happening to the numbers. The same year they banned thimerosal because of that the amount that disease registry showed it looked like the number of autism cases were actually increasing after thimerosal was banned and the CDC took advantage of that.

They sent a very, very corrupt data manager over there to make it look like the incident of autism was actually increasing. The data manager's name was Paul Thorsen. He actually stole a million dollars that CDC had given him to do this study. He's now on the run in Europe. The FBI and the Justice Department have issued a 22 count indictment against him for fraud and for wire theft. He's on the run from Interpol and the FBI in Europe. The CDC continues to tout that study as proof that thimerosal does not cause autism.

Dr. Gentempo: Till this day, they're still touting it?

Robert Kennedy : Yeah. Till this day.

Dr. Gentempo: Now, you have filed suit against the CDC?

Robert Kennedy : Well, what we've done is we've filed a suit on asking the CDC to produce is to allow us to depose its senior vaccine safety scientist, whose name is Dr. William Thompson. Dr. William Thompson has come forward and said on tape and also on a public statement that CDC has been essentially defrauding the public, has been manipulating data, destroying data, massaging data, in its vaccine studies in order to deceive the American public and public health community about the safety of thimerosal and other vaccines.

Dr. Gentempo: And cause they've not let him testify or make a statement?

Robert Kennedy : To this date, they are not allowing Dr. Thompson to speak publicly.

Dr. Gentempo: I found an irony because I had some email exchange with Dr. Thompson recently and on the bottom of the CDC signature it says, "Saving lives, protecting people," as their slogan. It seem extraordinarily ironic in a tragic way that you want to try to get him to come out and talk about the things he needs to be talking about.

Robert Kennedy : Well, you know, a lot of people I think their first reaction is this couldn't be true. Why would the CDC do this? Why would they lie to us about the safety of vaccines? And it is astonishing. You almost have to read the Simpsonwood transcripts to understand what happened at the CDC. Why these idealist public servants suddenly, felt that they had to close ranks and protect the institution, but in many way we see the analogy for this behavior in other realms.

For example the Catholic Church and the pedophile scandal. Everybody got involved in it. Everybody got implicated in it. There was a relatively small number of priest who were actually raping children, but the Bishops got involved because suddenly, the institution became more important than the children they were suppose to be protecting. And it's the same thing here. The CDC and the vaccine program have to be protected. These people who are being injured are just sacrifices for the greater good. So I think they got involved in that kind of perverse dynamic, but at the time when they make these choices they seem like rational choices to them and necessary choices. And they don't seem selfish. And the don't seem evil to the person who is making it. But when we look at from the outside it's astonishing.

Dr. Gentempo: It is astonishing. And what I find, and your the only person I've been having many conversations around all these issues, but I

think this only time that I've really captured the social dynamic and the psycho dynamics around how people can collectively start to get on a certain track of thinking. And almost trick themselves into thinking what they're doing is good. And the other references historically that show this is not necessarily unprecedented that human beings would convene and do this and convince themselves of such a thing.

Robert Kennedy : The same thing happened in many many contexts. The NFL concussion scandal is another example. Through out history ... In fact there's a word for it which is called the Semmelweis effect. And it describes the same thing that happened to a doctor called Ignaz Semmelweis, who was the first person who advanced theories of hygiene because he saw that women in hospitals in Austria were becoming very, very ... were dying. Women who came in for childbirth were dying. And he realized that the people doing the autopsies were not cleaning their hands between doing that autopsy and actually delivering babies. That they were carrying something. This is before germ theory. That they were carrying something with them from those corpses that was making the women sick. And it was killing huge numbers of them. I think 30 or 40% were dying in these hospitals, and he did a study and instituted what we describe today as normal hygiene practices. And it reduced the numbers of deaths exponentially.

He then published paper on it and he was absolutely condemned and destroyed by the medical community, who did not want to recognize that doctors who believed themselves to be people who are saving lives were actually contributing to these injuries and deaths. And he lost his licence and he was locked and a mental institution were he died mysteriously two weeks later. We've seen this through out history and it happens.

Paul Offit when he spoke to me, he talked to me about how much he admired my father. And how it was my father's inspiration that led him to get into public service and Kathleen

Stratton said the same kind of things to me. I felt that these are people who started out as idealistic and good people who are trying to help children but they somehow got sucked into this vortex. Where they began justifying to themselves behaviors and conducts that were ultimately socially malevolent.

Dr. Gentempo: Where do hope to take this now as far as the ... Do you look to hold the CDC to account or any of these people to account? And what's the outcome we can hope for?

Robert Kennedy : The most important outcome, I think that the strategic outcome would be to get a public debate about this because the CDC can not withstand a public debate. So all the things I do are bent on forcing this debate out into the open because once the science is in the open CDC's position is so fragile. It's an edifice of fraud, of fraud stacked upon fraud so high and so wobbly that even a slight breeze of public scrutiny will topple it.

The genius of what they've been able to do is to be able to stop all that public scrutiny. And it's not only congress that won't investigate them. And regulatory agencies have been captured. The courts and the lawyers that would normally apply that scrutiny have been removed by the vaccine act. And the press has been compromised and it's not just the main stream corporate media, but also the so called alternate media like Huffington Post, and Salon, and Slate, and Daily Beast, and even Mother Jones for whom this is a taboo topic. They simply will not cover it. They will not allow editorials. They won't allow letters. They won't allow any discussion. That is really this Kafkaesque cocoon and they made it so that ... Daniel Showmen wrote in the Columbian Law Review or the Journalist review, that for journalist this is radioactive. That this is a career ending controversy.

So reporters won't touch it. Everybody is scared of it. And doctors won't come out. Doctors lose their licence if they talk

about this. The scientific journals won't publish on it because they get directly punished. Their revenues are largely coming from pharmaceutical companies. So they've effectively been able to shut off any kind of controversy, and of course, mushrooms and cock roaches need darkness in order to flourish and that's what happens. They exploit our population, exploit exponentially. What we need is sunlight. If you put a little bit of sunlight on this controversy the whole thing is going to fall apart. And that's all of my strategy, the lawsuits, the articles, the public statements, the demonstrations, everything is about trying to have a debate in our democracy.

And it's been, of course, it's very frustrating. It's really ... It's a phenomenon. I've never seen anything like this in my life where you're simply not allowed to talk about it. And the only places where ... It's being talked about but it's on the social media. And it's all sub rosa and shows like this one which will never air on HBO, will never air on the public media on the mainstream media. It's all and you know, that's what we have to do. We have to get the social media so active that if there's a crack, there's a break.

The thing is we will win this because all it takes is ... There are many, many ways ... It's like storming a fortress and every time you storm you lose a troop. You're probing for that one crack and it could be just one editor of the Atlantic Constitution, who says, you know what we're going to do a series of corruption at CDC. Or a producer at 60 Minutes or the Today Show who says, you know I'm a journalist. I'm not going to be censored anymore or I'm going to let ... I'm going to allow a debate on this. Or it could be a lawsuit a judge who says I've looked at the facts in this. I know something about science and there's something really screwy here and I'm going to allow William Thompson to be disposed publicly. It could be a congressman who subpoenas him before a committee and exposes the whole thing and forces debate. As soon that debate happens the opposition to this will shrivel, but that's what you need.

You need sunlight. You need debate. We live in a democracy, and it's absolutely insane that we're not allowed to talk about this.

Dr. Gentempo: Do you feel like in the ranks you described that this has become like a form of religion? The vaccine thing and that nobody is speaking about it?

Robert Kennedy : Yeah. It's orthodoxy and like all orthodoxies it's cruel and it's often lethal. It's certainly not science. Nobody is looking at the science. Everything is taken on faith and nobody is allowed to challenge it. Nobody is allowed to talk about it. Nobody is allowed to debate it. You have to accept the received knowledge of these higher powers which is CDC. Where else does the press allow a government power to tell it what the science says. Why isn't a reporter actually reading the science saying, "Wait a minute, it doesn't say this at all." And then anybody who questions that orthodoxy has to be destroyed.

And you see this viciousness towards the mothers of vaccine injured children or vaccine hesitant parents. These people aren't naturopaths and crackpots as they've been described. They're all marginalized and dismissed and vilified as a very misogynistic impulse because these are mainly women who are making these complaints. And these women know what happened to their child. They brought a perfectly healthy two year old into their pediatrician and got a battery of shots. And that night that baby spikes a fever and this is a child who exceeds all milestones. I've heard this story a thousand times in language, in social interaction, in athletic ability. That the child exceeds his class, his cohorts, his year class and she brings him in at age two. She gives him this battery of shots. A lot of these mother's were hesitant. They saw all these needles going into the baby. They question the doctor. The doctor says, "Okay." That night the baby spikes a fever. The baby has a seizure and over the next three months the child is lost.

They lose the ability to interact with their brother and sisters. To point their finger. To name. To make eye contact. They begin to engage in stereotypical behavior the head banging, the flapping, the unrealized clapping, and they lose their language. They become nonverbal. They lose their toilet training. And now you have a kid who is 14 years old still wearing diapers, and wearing a football helmet, screaming, and agonizing. And this was a total normal child. That never happened in history before. There's no recording of this in my generation. Nobody ever described perfectly normal children who suddenly regress into intellectual disability.

And these mothers who know what happened and they know. And they're dismissed as crackpots, and naturopaths, and hysterics, refrigerator moms, and all of these things are said about these ... Instead of saying wait a minute this is a parent of an intellectually disabled child and ought to listen to her. We ought to hear what she's saying. We ought to not just dismiss her theories about what happened to that child. We need to listen. We need to examine. We need to scrutinize and we need to see if what she is saying is true. And none of that happens. None of that happens.

It's all this whole body of thousands and thousands of women are just dismissed. And you see what happens to them and to their families. These were the women I was meeting on the road and they're courageous. And they're smart. And they're well informed. And they know what the science says. And they've attended the meetings. And they've read the government documents. And they've read Simpsonwood transcripts and they weren't anti vaccine. They vaccinated their kid but they saw what happened, and that's what happens in orthodoxies. Orthodoxies are cruel anywhere you see them. They are ultimately cruel because we've got to be able to question things.

We have to be able to ask the questions and science is not religion. And you can't just say I believe in it no matter how

mean it is, no matter how cruel it is, no matter how ruthless or damaging it is children. You know, we've just got to do what it says. We've got to obey CDC. I mean The New York Times listened to undeserving authority, to government official in the run up to the Iraq war. They had to apologize for it and damage that did to our country. If that one paper had asked the questions at that time and applied the scrutiny that the press, that journalist are suppose to apply. We may have avoided that crisis and we wouldn't be in Syria today. And Europe wouldn't be in disarray. And we wouldn't be bankrupt and all these other issues. If they had done that and what they've done ... What that same paper has done with thimerosal is probably 10 times worse because there's 100 million kids a years that are being exposed to this. And The New York Times will not allow any discussion on it.

Dr. Gentempo: Wow. As a final thought for the people who are watching this and I'm somewhat is stunned silence just listening to what you're saying because the power of thoughts shakes me to my core because of the implicating it has in our ... Not just today but in our future and future generations. So people are watching this now. What actions should they take? What do you think people should do? How can they activate?

Robert Kennedy : We're going to beat these people. Listen, I've spent my life working on energy issues, environmental issues, and global warming, and rivers, but I've taken an hiatus to do just this issue because I can not stand by and watch these children be poisoned. An entire generation of kids that is being poisoned by government policies and greed. And we're going to take them on. We need help. We're at The World Mercury Project.

We need you're support. We need financial support. We need members because we're going to sue government agencies. We're going to sue pharmaceutical companies. We're suing the people. We're figuring out different ways to litigate this but we need members. In order for a charitable group to litigate, we need to show we have members who have standing. So we

need everybody to join up. Go to our website and hit the join. And then write the President. Write the President letters. I know that President Trump actually is interested in this issue, and he reads people's letters who write him about this. Write him and write your member of congress. And write to your newspaper and try to get them to start covering this issue on honestly.

Dr. Gentempo: So they can go to worldmercuryproject.org. There they can sign up as a member, donate, and take action?

Robert Kennedy : And we'll also give you action alerts. We'll tell you things you ought to be doing.

Dr. Gentempo: That's terrific. Well, can I just say on behalf of myself and many, many, many other people who have a great interest in this issue I want to thank you for all the work that you've done. I also want to thank you for letting us invade your home today and sit down and have this conversation with you, and allow us to record it and share it with others. It's has been very, very meaningful and I know it's going to make a difference. So, thank you.

Robert Kennedy : Thank you.

Dr. Gentempo: All right. So, let's start out. Just please introduce yourself and tells us what you do?

Robert Kennedy : My name is Scott Miller. I'm a director. I come from a long list of filmmakers if you will. My father's name is Warren Miller. He's done over 800 extreme sports movies. I shot a student film at Art Center College of Design that qualified for an Academy Award in the short film category, which got me an honorary degree from Art Center. When I was Art Center we had great teachers. We had Ansel Adams. We had Frachisca Scuvilow. We had people of that ilk. I was a fine artist at that school. I was not in the film business.

And from there I went to Wide World of Sports for a year and went around the world traveling shooting sports films. Then realized that the movie business was something I really was going to relish as a director. And I moved into a very lucrative business when in those days was high end corporate image campaigns for commercials and I did that for a number of years, won all sorts of awards. Probably most of the clients that used to advertise you, we did all of their stuff. We were very in demand and this particular film bit me by accident.

Dr. Gentempo: So the original story that got this going. Can you tell me what that story was?

Scott Miller: Well, originally it was because it was, quite frankly as a filmmaker, it was great drama. I didn't realize what I was getting myself into until we finished it and got such not a whiplash but a whole bunch of information from military members.

Melissa Miller: I'm Melissa Mosich Miller. I'm Scott Miller's wife and I'm the producer on this film. And I was also a writer and voice over. You know you have a fissural reaction to this kind of information and dealing with these kind of stories because there's so much heartache. But there is another side to it and that is how extraordinary our military personnel are. They're smart. They are interested in other people. They're generous. Completely generous, they'd give their life. And so you have an obligation and I approached the film, my working with the film ... I worked very closely with a research and our associate producer Bob Lee to get at information. And that information is fascinating and you learn a lot in this film. I learned a lot, and I wanted the audience to learn a lot so that they could make their own decisions about things.

So we have a very narrow group, military you can watch it. You can know it's the back scene in that, but you have a big broad pharmaceutical industry, military, government that is making these decisions. And they'll be making them for everybody not

just first responders, like firemen, and you know our coast guard, and all our of our militaries. But they're going to be making them for all of us, for citizens and we need to ... What I wanted the film to show and when we were working on the writing, I wanted people to see the process. So they could see the thought processes that go into this. And unfortunately, one of the overriding thought processes is, how can we make money off of these things?

Scott Miller: This one will tell the story and it does tell the story, right. It's the only film that I've really went out of my way to not manipulate.

Speaker 56: This movie Vaccine Syndrome ... You get sent a lot of stuff. I get sent a lot of stuff. Say no is like you get inundated with it so I'm automatically jaded. I see this come across. I get from Scott a link to see this film. Of course, I knew who Scott was as a filmmaker but even still there's no way to fit this in. And I think I talked to you about it. It was like 10 o'clock at night I start this. I'm going to watch 30 minutes of it and go to bed. I'll be able to say I took a look at it. It doesn't really fit. I watched 30 minutes of it and I was hooked. I watched and literally an hour and a half later, I'm sitting at my counter in my kitchen at the island, tears streaming down my face.

I had forgotten the military ... We focused on the children and the mandatory vaccination program but the other epicenter is the military where we're vaccinating all these. And we've got 18 year old muscle strong kids that are the healthiest kids in our country and we're killing these kids. So I'm watching what they expose in this the fraud, and the corruption, and the greed. It literally was jaw dropping, if you remember, and that I emailed you and said, guys you have to look at this. It has to be apart of it. I really want to make sure that everybody in the country who knows anybody in the military, cares about about anybody in the military, or cares about our military sees this film. It's critically important. That's why you and I are here.

Why we shoe horned this in to Vaccines Revealed is because it's that important that people see this.

Speaker 5: Freedom. It is the soldier not the reporter who has given us the freedom of the press.

Speaker 6: Got over 5 thousand men from a force of 40 thousand who are ill.

Speaker 7: They kicked me out.

Speaker 5: It is the soldier not the poet who has given us the freedom speech.

Speaker 8: We're going on 40 some odd months now of non stop 24/7 screaming in my ears.

Speaker 5: It is the soldier not the campus organizer who has given us the freedom to demonstrate.

Speaker 9: It takes a few months before the effects of the anthrax vaccine really get going.

Speaker 10: This is an experiment.

Speaker 5: It is the soldier who stays beneath the flag.

Speaker 11: I can't have Gulf War Syndrome because I never actually went out to the Gulf.

Speaker 12: There're no safe vaccines at all.

Speaker 5: Whose coughing is draped by the flag.

Speaker 13: For every one individual who comes forward, there are three individuals that will not.

Speaker 5: Who salutes the flag.

Speaker 14: My hands prevent me from operating.

Speaker 15: My officers were right up there with God and core.

Speaker 16: The inoculations I received are classified secret.

Speaker 17: We had an inordinate amount of people who were sick.

Speaker 5: Who allows the process that you burn the flag.

Speaker 18: I have a real bad feeling on this.

Speaker 5: It is the soldier not the politician who has given his blood.

Speaker 19: I was no longer deployable because I would not take the vaccine.

Speaker 5: His body.

Speaker 20: All I know is I feel used and abused and left to rot in the streets.

Speaker 5: His life. Freedom.

Speaker 21: We are not the enemy.

Speaker 22: He was proud to be a marine.

Speaker 23: The Secretary of Defense says this is a lawful order. The entire chain of command, the Joint Chiefs say this is a lawful order.

Speaker 24: They actually done this to us.

Speaker 23: You know, we checked this out and we think it's a lawful order and we're not going to let it go to court.

Speaker 25: If there was such a secret study being conducted that those responsible for it must be held accountable and it should never be allowed to happen again.

Speaker 26: Used us as guinea pigs.

Speaker 5: It is the soldier who stays beneath the flag, who salutes the flag. Whose coffin is draped by the flag. Who allows the process that you burn the flag.

Speaker 27: Each man or woman would say I was perfectly healthy.

Speaker 28: Anthrax vaccine program ended my flying career.

Speaker 29: And I took the anthrax vaccine and I immediately became ill.

Speaker 30: Blood was coming out my nose, my ears, my bowels and I was just too sick to do anything.

Speaker 5: It is the soldier not the politician who has given his blood, his body, his life, who has given us these freedoms.

Speaker 31: In California the worst measles outbreak in 15 years is spreading.

Speaker 32: The virus is roaring back into the headlines tonight. An outbreak somehow traced to-

Speaker 33: Someone who had probably caught measles abroad visited Disneyland and may have sneezed.

Speaker 34: Measles on the march in America.

Speaker 35: This outbreak is showing no signs of letting up. There's already more cases this year than there were-

Speaker 36: The number tripled to 644 measles cases reported in 27 states.

Speaker 37: I mean is this all basically because of the anti vaccination movement, cause parents aren't vaccinating their kids.

Speaker 38: Yeah. You know I think so.

Speaker 39: A bill that would ban exemptions from childhood vaccines is advancing through the California legislature.

Speaker 40: We are offering legislation the will abolish the person belief exemption.

Speaker 41: I think you're starting to see why mandates are so important.

Speaker 42: There's been some inaccurate information circulating about vaccines. Mostly stemming from a British study linking vaccines to autism.

Speaker 43: The bill requiring children to be vaccinated has passed with bipartisan support. Every child must meet immunization standards before joining public schools, private schools, and day cares.

Barack Obama: I would strongly encourage everybody look at science, look at the facts, CDC, the Center for Disease control, can give you good information. Get your children vaccinated.

William T.: I've waited a long time to tell my story, and I want to tell it truthfully. I was involved in deceiving millions of taxes payers regarding the potential negative side effects of vaccines. We lied about the scientific finding. The CDC can longer be trusted to do vaccine safety work. Can't be trusted to be transparent. The CDC can't be trusted to police itself. Just a few thoughts. William W. Thompson, Senior Scientist, US Centers for Disease Control and Prevent

Brian: "Hello." I was sitting at my desk where I teach at Simpson University. My phone rings and it's Dr. William Thompson.

William T.: Brian, you and I don't know each other very well. I don't know how this all going to play out. You have a son with autism and I have great shame now when I meet families with kids with autism because I have been part of the problem.

Brian: My son, Steven, was born in February of 1998. "Steve, what does the cow say?" Two weeks after his 15 month vaccines Vinny, lost all language. He lost all eye contact. You'd pick him up and he would just hang limp. Fast forwarding to 2014,

Thompson said to me, "Brian, if you listen to me, I can guarantee you will be able to access a treasure trove of data."

William T.: So, I want to be a resource. I want to be valuable to you. I want you to have someone in the system.

Brian: For years, I had been trying to crack this edifice of the CDC and just getting little glimpses of what was not right.

William T.: The CDC has put the research 10 years behind because the CDC has not been transparent, we've missed 10 years of research. Because the CDC is so paralyzed right now by anything related to autism.

Speaker 47: We begin though as always [inaudible 01:21:11]. Breaking news tonight just hours ago the British Medical Journal, BMJ, did something extremely rare for a scientific journal it accused a researcher, Andrew Wakefield of out right fraud.

Speaker 48: I got a call on the 19 of May 1995 from a mother telling me the story of her child. His regression into autism following a vaccine and I said, "How could I help you, I know nothing about autism? You've come through the wrong number." And she, "No, Doctor. My child has terrible gastral intestinal issues and no one will take them seriously." I was an academic gastral neurologist doing research with a particular interest in Crohn's Disease and astocaldice inflammatory bowel disease. I knew nothing what so ever about autism. When I was at medical school, it was so rare we weren't taught about it. We heard a succession of stories which were very ,very similar. Medicine is about pattern recognition and there was a clear pattern emerging. So we decided a group of us, including the worlds leading pediatric gastroenterologist at the time led by Professor John Walker Smith decided that these children merited through investigation.

Brian: Well, Dr. Wakefield, it's been shown used absolutely fraudulent data. He created a fake paper. The journal allowed

it to run. All the other studies were done showed no connection what so ever again, again, and again. So it's an absolute lie that has killed thousands of kids.

Speaker 48: And what we discovered and reported for the first time was this link between what appeared to be a novel type of intestinal inflammation and autistic regression in previously developmentally normal children. And among those the majority had regressed into autism according to their parents following the measles, mumps, rubella vaccine. It wasn't our place to censor their story because may be uncomfortable for public health. Our job was to report that story accurately in order that is might lead to further investigation. And the paper explicitly said, "This paper does not prove an association between MMR vaccine and the syndrome described. More work is needed to resolve this issue." That was the conclusion of the paper.

Mark Blacksell: As and investigative medical journalist I've spent the last seven years working on one the best medical talk shows in the world. We know in medicine that there have been many, many studies proving that vaccines do not cause autism. But the problem I have always had with that is thousands and thousands of parents all telling the same story. My child got a vaccine, usually the MMR vaccine, and than that night or the next day broke out in a fever, and then when they came out of the fever, lost speech, lost the ability to walk. Basically regressed into what we know as autism and never came back.

Brian: When a CDC scientist, who'd been there at that time 17 years, tells you, "This is how access data," it didn't take me very long to realize I better do what he says because he knows what he's talking about.

William T.: I just want to tell you one thing. Right now, I'm sitting in a very pretty position in terms of providing you a lot on information.

Brian: He asked me, "What data sets are you going to request?" And said, "Well, I'm going to request two of the studies that you've done on thimerosal, the mercury containing preservative that's used in some of the vaccines." And he said, "Well, that's fine but I want you to request the MMR data set first and this is the study by Frank DeStefano and his colleagues in 2004."

William T.: It was the one study where we could end up creating a mess while the CDC tried sort out something they couldn't understand.

Speaker 51: The day he got the MMR was a living nightmare. It was the worst day of my life to be honest with you.

Speaker 52: I came in and saw him laying in his cot listless. He started this uncontrollable shaking, uncontrollable shaking. Imagine coming out of a frozen pond.

Speaker 51: His eyes were doing this and he was shaking like this.

Speaker 52: And I remember she grasped him and held him really tight to her chest.

Speaker 51: There's immediate fear in John and there's immediate, "Mommy this is not going to happen. I don't know what is wrong with you but this is not going to happen." We get to hospital. We get to hospital and we rush him in. Doctors are coming in and looking at him. And that's when they said, "He's had a reaction. He's had a seizure. This is normal. This is common for many, many children who have this vaccination. It's absolutely, okay. He's going to be fine." Nobody ever told me that there would be any side effect to any the vaccinations my children had.

Speaker 52: Following his fibril convulsion that he had the same day of the MMR, we were told to take him home.

Speaker 51: He slept in the bed with us for the night. He seemed just very, very sleepy. In fact he didn't really ever wake up to the Billy we had before.

Speaker 53: My oldest son Ian was born normal, developing normally. He was this beautiful boy and we ended up at our 12 month visit which was his one year. Then they gave him multiple vaccines at once and within seven days he had a 104 fever and a rash all over his body. He had previously been noted in our home videos that he was walking and actually running at that point. Seven days after the vaccine he was no longer able to do that. He was falling down. He became really sick, sick little boy.

Speaker 48: So the question for those of us investigating autism is age of exposure to MMR a risk for autism? And in order to understand why that might be you have to understand something of the history of MMR vaccine. In 1987 there was MMR vaccine that was being used in Canada produced by Smith Kline Beecham and that vaccine caused meningitis. It was recognized quickly and that vaccine was withdrawn in Canada. However, in same month it was withdrawn in Ontario, it was licensed in the UK. The name was simply changed from Triviks to Pusericks. In UK it was used for four years and like wise it called meningitis and had to be raptly withdrawn. It was a public outcry. There was acute loss of confidence by the public in vaccine policy makers and the vaccine should at that point have been destroyed. It wasn't. It was then shipped to developing countries like Brazil where it was used in a mass vaccination campaign and there was an epidemic of meningitis. So it was entirely predictable.

Mark Blacksell: The Institute of Medicine is a body of scientist and physicians that review the available data on vaccine safety and then advisor our government on vaccine research policy because Andy Wakefield had put forth this theory that the age at which you receive the MMR may increase your risk of autism. The IOM looked to the CDC to do that very study. It was a study

about timing does the earlier you receive the MMR vaccine increase the risk of autism.

Brian: The CDC the way they do studies is very reactionary. They look at something that is creating press and creating a buzz that could actually lower vaccination rates and that's what they study. They don't study vaccines pro actively.

Speaker 48: All I recommended was the that parents opt for single vaccines, single measles, single mumps, single rubella until the issue had be resolved scientifically. What happened when I made that recommendation unilateral decisions where made by the government in the UK to withdraw the importation licence for the single vaccines. Merck opting in the US to cease the production of the single vaccines giving parents no option. I said to a senior representative at the department of health, "Why if you objective is to protect children against serious infectious disease, why would you take away the option of how parents do that?" And she said, "Because if we allow parents the choice of single vaccines, it would destroy our MMR program." In other words the concern was for the protection of the program over and above the protection of children.

Mark Blacksell: No one's career has ever been advanced in the medical industrial complex by being outwardly and openly critical of the vaccine industry. I'm Mark Backsell father of a daughter diagnosed with autism back in 1998. I've written two books that have come out of the autism movement. Have been projected into autism advocacy ever since. Mikala was born typical. Everything was fine. Was developing normally through her first birthday. We have vivid memories of early language, joined attention, lots of play. She was adorable, beautiful, cute. And then somewhere in the months after she began to slip away. And she lost language. And she began to retreat into a world of her own and at two years nine months we got a formal diagnosis of full syndromes autism.

It was a hopeless, pessimistic future that was laid out in front of us. She was going to be disabled for the rest of her life, likely to be nonverbal in an institution and the best thing we could do was to accept the inevitable. Part of the story we heard from the Harvard experts was autism was genetic. It was low and relatively constant prevalence. The more I learned. The more clear it was that that whole orthodox view was false, completely false. Revolution only happen in science when there is anomaly. An anomaly that the old orthodoxy can't explain. With autism the anomaly was the explosion of cases which meant that what people were trying to describe as a genetic neurological based condition couldn't be genetic. It could only be environmental. It could only be some set of things that happened to children. And you had to ask the question what might it have been?

Brian: When I originally got the MMR autism data I came up with my own analysis plan. And I stepped through logically and systematically of how the data should have been approached. I looked first of all, at males versus females. Then I looked at Black males. The relative risk of them receiving an autism diagnosis was astronomical and it was highly statistically significant. I really had scratch my head and say I know nothing about the MMR vaccine. So that's when I originally called Andy.

Speaker 48: Wow. Really after everything that had happened. Everything we'd all been through. Everything that families had suffered for the last 15 years. And the CDC had known all along that there was this MMR autism risk.

Brian: As I started to divulge more of the conversations, I think it really hit him that this was a major crack in the wall of the CDC.

Speaker 48: The first thing that Thompson did was to plot a graph. Graph of percentage of children vaccinated against age of first MMR vaccination. The children with autism are represented by the pink line. The children without autism are the blue line. Now if

there no link between early MMR and autism those two lines should track together, whatever the age at first MMR. Now they do track together until they get to 15 months. Then they separate and they continue to separate there after. This was their first indication that MMR vaccine was causing autism and that finding alone rocked Thompson back on his heels.

Brian: Bill Thompson looked at African Americans. He saw that those African Americans who got the MMR on time where 2.46 times more likely to get an autism diagnosis than those African Americans who received the MMR after three years of age.

Speaker 48: Just like the African American children, they went into a room and they sliced and diced the data in an effort to get rid of that effect. This is Coleen Boyle's meeting notes. How they planned to present the data by these age groups but after the fact when the data are in she writes, "Reformat." And she puts in, "New age groups." Even this failed to achieve the desired effects since in the end they simply omitted the relative findings from paper all together.

William T.: I was just looking at that, "I'm like oh my God. I can't believe we did what we did, but we did." So it's all there. It's all there.

Shelia: I take him to see the pediatrician that I know and she looks at me. She closes the door and she whispers. She say, "Shelia, I believe he has autism." I say, "What like Rain man." She says, "Something like that. I'm going to refer you out a a neurologist." And we go see the neurologist the next week and he says, "He has every classical system of autism." I do not believe this. I was angry when he was explaining to me what Kanner's autism is. He told me he was born that way. I said, "No. He wasn't."

Speaker 55: I am a senior research scientist at here at MIT in computer science and artificial intelligence laboratory. I have a bachelors degree in biology from MIT and I have MSEE and PHD degrees also from MIT in electrical engineering and computer science. I

became interested in autism a long time ago, when my best friend at the time had son who was diagnosed with autism following a DPT shot. He ran a high fever after the shot, seizure a week later and then was later diagnosed with autism.

Mark Blacksell: We have accept the fact that autism is new. That before 1930, the rate of autism in the world was effectively zero. And then for a long time it was 1 in 10 thousand.

Speaker 55: I got interested in studying autism eight years ago when I saw the numbers go up and I was quiet alarmed because I see that the trend was exponential growth. And exponential growth is extremely scary.

Mark Blacksell: Since really the mid to late 80s, we've gone from this low prevalence environment to a rate of increase to where the trend is still vertical. We have not seen a flatening in trend.

Speaker 55: Every couple years the CDC provides a number of what percentage of kids are diagnosed with autism and you got the dots going all the way back to 1975. You draw the line it is a perfect exponential curve. If we assume that things will continue as they have for past 30 years into the future, we can predict that by 2032 80% of boys born will end up on the autism spectrum. Half the children 80% of the boys.

Mark Blacksell: In the fall of last year I got a call from an inside source who told me that in two weeks there's going to be a whistleblower form the CDC. Who's going to come out and say that CDC had committed fraud on the MMR study and that they knew that vaccines were causing autism. I mean that's a huge story. Unfortunately, it was a story I would not be able to tell on a medical talk show because of a lot of our funding was coming from the pharmaceutical industry. And we were also good friends with CDC, they had appeared on our show many, many times. But I knew that once this story broke two weeks later that the main stream news media would pick it up. Fox would be on it. CNN would be on it. MSNBC ... And we're talking

about the biggest medical story in the last decade or two at least.

So then two weeks came and I watched the blogosphere go crazy, tweets, Facebook, social media, everybody talking about. But not one main stream media source went anywhere near the story. In fact on CNN someone put the story up on I report and CNN took it down. And at that moment I realized not only is my medical talk show being produced by the pharmaceutical industry all of television is. We were never going to get this story.

Dr. Gentempo: I hope you enjoyed today's episode. That interview with Robert F. Kennedy was just off the hook. It was just amazing. You've seen now the foundations for the film that we're going to be previewing in episode six, Vaccine Syndrome. You saw the filmmakers. Scott Miller is an Academy Award nominated filmmaker. If you know anyone who is in the military, or anyone who knows someone in the military, or first responders, they're going to want to see this film. Bring them to Vaccines Revealed have them register and make sure they see that film. They need to know the truth about this. It is staggering.

And of course today we aired with our alliance partners the 20 minute version of Vaxxed and that film is an iconic piece of work in this vaccine movement. Now tomorrow's episode we start out with part one of my interview with Brian Hooker. Brian Hooker if you just watched the Vaxxed movie is the center of this movie. Why? Because he is a PHD. Biochemist who has a vaccine injured son, who is now 18 years of age and autistic. And it led him on a journey that is absolutely astounding. This is a brilliant man, very knowledgeable on these issue whose life was spent cleaning up the environment which is where his expertise was while now he found out that our internal environment are being polluted by vaccines in unspeakable, unconscionable ways. Catch that interview tomorrow as part one. It is really staggering.

Next Dr. Tony Bark interviews Dawn Loftburrow. Dawn Loftburrow is the mother of an autistic child and also a major figure in the vaccine movement. Now if you heard my interview with Robert F. Kennedy Jr., he mentioned a mom that came up to him or approached him after one of his presentations on mercury toxicity in the environment. She prevailed upon him that there's a serious issue with mercury in vaccines, which got him started on his entire quest in removing mercury from all medical products. That mother is neuropsychologist Dr. Sarah Bridges and Dr. Tony Bark interview's her. And wait till you see what this incredible and intelligent woman has to say about vaccines. So again please share this project Vaccines Revealed with everybody you know. Have them go to the vaccinesrevealed.com. Have them register for the free program and they can get this information just like you. I look forward to being with you tomorrow.