The Truth Series (Stark, Dr Thomas)

Euler's Formula and Special Relativity: The Deep Origin of Space and Time (The Truth Series Book 1) (Stark, Dr. Thomas)

- Your Highlight at location 211-212 | Added on Sunday, 29 November 2020 23:49:29

All dimensional things are controlled by dimensionless things. All physical things are controlled by metaphysical things. All matter is controlled by mind. All living bodies are animated by the living minds that direct them.

- Your Highlight at location 309-314 | Added on Sunday, 29 November 2020 23:59:57

In mathematical terms, as we shall see, the domain of light is ruled by the mathematical property of orthogonality. The material universe, by contrast, is ruled by the property of non-orthogonality. Dimensionless existence is orthogonal existence, while dimensional existence is non-orthogonal existence. It really is that simple. Dimensionality flows from the loss of perfect orthogonality. If perfect orthogonality is restored (as it always is in the end), the physical universe is eradicated, and reality returns to the Singularity of pure light.

- Your Highlight at location 364-365 | Added on Monday, 30 November 2020 00:07:45

Light doesn't move through spacetime. Spacetime moves through light!

- Your Highlight at location 382-386 | Added on Monday, 30 November 2020 23:26:12

There is a fundamental problem with Einstein's equation. Light moves at light speed, yet does not have infinite mass. In fact, it has no mass. This means that in order for anything material to travel at light speed, it would have to cease to be material and become mental, i.e. it would have to undergo an ontological phase transition. We see exactly the same phase transition in the formation of black hole singularities. Massive stars implode to nothing at all. They leave spacetime entirely.

- Your Highlight at location 395-399 | Added on Monday, 30 November 2020 23:27:44

To reach light speed, a material object does not need infinite energy. Rather, it needs to leave the spacetime world of matter, and it inevitably does so as soon as its mass reaches a certain critical point (determined by what we might designate as its "Schwarzschild velocity"). The material world exists inside the mental world, in the sense that any means you use to leave the material world will necessarily involve your undergoing a phase transition to mental existence.

- Your Highlight at location 420-421 | Added on Monday, 30 November 2020 23:30:00

Mind does not belong to the material world. Mind is made of light. Mind does not experience the passage of time, only body does. It is our body that ages, not our mind.

- Your Highlight at location 483-485 | Added on Monday, 30 November 2020 23:36:11

Light has effects in space and time, but it is not in space and time. Its effects in space and time are caused by spacetime moving in relation to the fixed Singularity.

- Your Highlight at location 515-520 | Added on Monday, 30 November 2020 23:42:22

In physical spacetime special relativity stipulates that the quantity -t2 + x2 + y2 + z2 is invariant under coordinate changes from one inertial frame to another, i.e. under Lorentz transformations. Here the speed of light c is, following Poincare, set to unity."

- Your Note at location 534 | Added on Tuesday, 1 December 2020 23:20:19

Hmm... I don't recall reading in The God Series any detailed explanation of exactly what these three time dimensions are.

- Your Highlight at location 532-534 | Added on Tuesday, 1 December 2020 23:20:20

(Philosopher Mike Hockney has given a detailed account of how to interpret time in 3D terms in his God Series of books.)

- Your Highlight at location 705-706 | Added on Tuesday, 1 December 2020 23:38:42

As the material world approaches absolute zero (which is the temperature of the immaterial mind ... the temperature of light in the Singularity), its properties become less and less "physical" and more and more purely mathematical.

- Your Highlight at location 713-716 | Added on Tuesday, 1 December 2020 23:39:59

Fundamental particles acquire mass by interacting with the Higgs field. Photons do not interact with the Higgs field, hence have no mass. Anything that doesn't interact with the Higgs field isn't in spacetime. Anything that doesn't interact with the Higgs field is mental, not physical. Why do scientists keep avoiding the obvious? Photons constitute a mental field. The Higgs field is the first material field.

- Your Highlight at location 728-729 | Added on Tuesday, 1 December 2020 23:41:32

Real waves provide the precursors of space (distance) waves, while imaginary waves provide the precursors of time (imaginary distance) waves.

- Your Highlight at location 886-887 | Added on Friday, 4 December 2020 00:01:57

For both Plato and Aristotle, Forms furnished the intelligible aspect of the world. They were the source of change, but did not change themselves.

- Your Highlight at location 960-964 | Added on Friday, 4 December 2020 00:11:02

Motion has no beginning, but it does have an explanation, namely the Principle of Sufficient Reason. The PSR replaces Aristotle's Prime Mover. Eternity comprises points moving around Euler circles under the compulsion of the PSR. That's all they do, all they have ever done, and all they can ever do. Of necessity, their circular motion generates eternal sine and cosine waves, and these are the entities that are then used to spawn the temporal, contingent world.

- Your Highlight at location 1033-1036 | Added on Saturday, 5 December 2020 00:31:20

Each individual mind has a will of its own. The Universal Mind reflects all of these individual wills. It does not have its own Will. Everything that the Universal Mind is comes from its parts. The whole is only good as its parts. The parts are the fundamental reality, and the whole is their collective expression. The better the parts, the better the whole.

- Your Highlight at location 1064-1065 | Added on Saturday, 5 December 2020 00:34:31

Neither f nor λ can become infinitely large or infinitely small because then c would no longer have its fixed value.

- Your Highlight at location 1083-1086 | Added on Saturday, 5 December 2020 00:36:14

Planck's constant concerns the size of the point flowing around the circumference of the circle. A point of size zero would not flow at all. Its speed would be zero. Any circle can contain infinite zero-sized points, so there's no way to get to the end of the circle, and, indeed, no way to even get started since the zero-sized point has no speed.

- Your Highlight at location 1088-1097 | Added on Saturday, 5 December 2020 00:38:02

The fixed speed of light is automatically and necessarily associated with a point in motion that is neither zero nor infinite in size, but has a fixed size (a tiny but non-zero size). We call such a point a "flowing point". A zero-sized point is a static point. A moving point is non-zero-sized. The flowing point is able to get from the beginning to the end of the circle precisely because it has a finite speed and a finite size. If it had no size and speed, it wouldn't move at all. If it had infinite size and speed, it would have no characteristics of a point. The infinite case and the zero case would both lead to uninteresting universes. In both cases, the universe would do nothing; in the second case because nothing would ever start, and in the first case because everything would already have finished. Only a finite speed of light and finite-sized points lead to a universe with interesting

properties, such as the one we are self-evidently in. The flowing point is the smallest part of a circle consistent with completing a full circle when the point flows at light speed in the manner mandated by the PSR.

- Your Highlight at location 1144-1148 | Added on Sunday, 6 December 2020 00:24:03

The total electric field is a sine function of t, and the total magnetic field is a cosine function of t. The sinusoidal variations of the two fields are therefore ninety degrees out of phase at each point. At times when sin ωt = 0, the electric field is zero everywhere, and the magnetic field is maximum. When $\cos \omega t$ = 0, the magnetic field is zero everywhere, and the electric field is maximum. This is in contrast to a wave travelling in one direction..."

- Your Highlight at location 1150-1150 | Added on Sunday, 6 December 2020 00:24:49

Maxwell dealt with electromagnetic traveling waves, while Euler's Formula deals with electromagnetic standing waves

- Your Highlight at location 1244-1245 | Added on Sunday, 6 December 2020 23:31:56

The property of non-orthogonality is associated with net non-zero mass. The property of orthogonality is associated with net zero mass. Orthogonality hides mass, and renders it neutral.

- Your Highlight at location 1248-1248 | Added on Sunday, 6 December 2020 23:33:12

 A photon is a standing wave.
- Your Highlight at location 1270-1271 | Added on Sunday, 6 December 2020 23:34:58

Mathematics provides ontological and epistemological definitions. Science provides instrumental definitions. This is a fundamental difference.

- Your Highlight at location 1347-1349 | Added on Friday, 25 December 2020 23:58:51

It's essential to draw out every last drop of the ontology and epistemology of the basic Euler circle. Everything—all of math, science, philosophy, psychology, and so on—is implicitly present in the Euler circle. We need to be able to attribute an ontological, epistemological metaphysical, and physical significance to every single aspect of it.

- Your Highlight at location 1375-1378 | Added on Saturday, 26 December 2020 00:02:08

When sine and cosine waves run orthogonally to each other, they are decoupled. Neither is contaminated by any trace of the other. When they run non-orthogonally to each other, they become coupled (they pick up properties of the other), and this coupling produces the phenomenon of spacetime waves.

- Your Highlight at location 1406-1410 | Added on Saturday, 26 December 2020 08:35:34

Once time is equated to imaginary space, we can do for time everything we can do for space. So, just as there is a speed through space, so there is a speed through time. Because we are near enough stationary in space, we are all moving through time (i.e. imaginary space) at close to light speed. We therefore live in a timelike environment (an environment dominated by time), yet our perceptions are linked to space, hence we see ourselves in an essentially spacelike environment (an environment dominated by space).

- Your Highlight at location 1412-1419 | Added on Saturday, 26 December 2020 08:38:35

Just as material things can be defined with regard to space, so they can be defined with regard to imaginary space (time), i.e. we have temporal materialism as well as spatial materialism. Just as we have real-numbered mass defined with regard to space, we have imaginary numbered mass defined with regard to imaginary space (time). Everything becomes symmetrical regarding space and time. Nothing exists in space that does not thereby have an exact counterpart in imaginary space. Just as there is real-numbered energy, there is also imaginary-numbered energy. And we can also carry out exactly the same exercise with regard to positive and negative numbers, to positive and negative energy, mass, length and time. Real numbers can never be privileged over imaginary numbers, and positive numbers can never be privileged over negative numbers. Science disastrously commits both of these flagrant errors, in order to serve its "real positivist" philosophy of materialism and empiricism.

- Your Note at location 1431 | Added on Saturday, 26 December 2020 08:54:53

We understand 3D space because we can perceive objects moving through its three axes, in any trajectory. How are we to understand 3D time (imaginary space) as the imaginary equivalent of space, when elsewhere it is stated that time travel is impossible? What are these time-like axes of imaginary length, imaginary width and imaginary height? What is the difference between motion along each of these imaginary axes?

- Your Highlight at location 1430-1431 | Added on Saturday, 26 December 2020 08:54:53

If space and time can be treated as unified structure known as spacetime, this should obviously be a 6D spacetime rather than 4D. You would immediately have to provide a sufficient reason for the proposed asymmetry.

- Your Highlight at location 1435-1439 | Added on Saturday, 26 December 2020 08:56:20

"Lorentz invariance is an almost universal assumption for modern physical theories, such as quantum electrodynamics, quantum chromodynamics, the Standard Model of particle physics, and general relativity. As such, the

parameter c is ubiquitous in modern physics, appearing in many contexts that are unrelated to light."—Wikipedia The speed of light is ubiquitous in modern physics because light is the true root of the whole of physics. The material world of spacetime is simply a world of "broken" light ... light that has lost its orthogonality via Fourier mathematics.

- Your Highlight at location 1449-1459 | Added on Saturday, 26 December 2020 09:00:29

The speed of light is the speed of mind, and it controls the speed of matter. The speed of mind belongs to the frequency domain, and the speed of matter to the spacetime domain. There could be no speed of matter without the speed of mind. The converse is not the case. Mind is the primary reality, and matter the derived reality. The mental Singularity is the axial region, and the inter-axial region—the region between the axes—is the domain of space and time. The Big Bang is simply a process whereby the axial region populates the inter-axial region via the wave property of phase. It really is that simple. That's how mind creates matter. Technically speaking, the axial region maintains a strict orthogonal relation between sine and cosine waves (pure sine and cosine waves are always at right angles—at exactly ninety degrees—to each other). In the inter-axial region, this orthogonality is replaced by non-orthogonality, by phase differences between sine and cosine waves that are different from ninety degrees. This is the mathematical deviation that produces space, time and matter. There is no other cause for space, time and matter. They could not exist without nonorthogonal phase. A strictly orthogonal universe would be a permanently mental universe, with zero trace of matter. However, the very fact of combining sines and cosines produces non-orthogonal phase, and thus matter.

- Your Highlight at location 1462-1465 | Added on Saturday, 26 December 2020 09:04:12

Space derives from real number frequencies, and time from imaginary number frequencies. In the axial region (the mental Singularity), real and imaginary numbers are always perfectly separate. In the inter-axial region, they combine, thus forming complex numbers. Spacetime is a complex-numbered environment, while the mental domain of frequency is made of independent real and imaginary numbers.

- Your Highlight at location 1538-1580 | Added on Sunday, 27 December 2020 00:04:10

How, exactly, does light (mind) turn into matter? It's via the wave property of phase. We shall provide the simplest possible demonstration of the process, using the most elementary wave mathematics. The first thing to note is that a linear combination of a cosine wave and a sine wave of equal periods can be expressed as a single trigonometric function with the same period but with a different amplitude and phase shift. In general, linear

combinations of sines and cosines can be expressed in the forms A sin (x ± ϕ) or A cos (x $\pm \phi$), e.g. 1) c1 cos x + c2 sin x = A cos(x $-\phi$), where c1 and c2 are linear coefficients, A = amplitude, and ϕ = phase shift. Or 2) c1 cos x + c2 $\sin x = A \sin(x + \phi)$, where c1 and c2 are linear coefficients, A = amplitude, and ϕ = phase shift. (Bearing in mind that sin (90°—x) = cos x, and cos (90° x) = sin x) We can use Equation 2) in conjunction with the trigonometric identity $\sin (\alpha + \beta) = \sin \alpha \cos \beta + \cos \alpha \sin \beta$. A $\sin(x + \phi)$ can therefore be equivalently written as A sin x cos ϕ + A cos x sin ϕ . Thus c1 cos x + c2 sin x = A sin x cos ϕ + A cos x sin ϕ . Hence, by inspection, we see that c1 = A sin ϕ , while c2 = A cos ϕ . If c2 = 0, then A = c1 and ϕ = π /2 (since cos π /2 = 0 and $\sin \pi/2 = 1$). If c1 = 0, then A = c2 and $\phi = 0$ (since $\sin 0 = 0$ and $\cos 0 = 0$ 1). If c1 \neq 0 and c2 \neq 0 then A = $\sqrt{(c12 + c22)}$ and tan φ = c1/c2. This mathematically demonstrates that when we combine matching sines and cosines, we produce a resultant that, in this case, is a phase shifted sine. Using a different but comparable trigonometric identity we can alternatively produce a phase shifted cosine. In fact, there are four relevant trigonometric addition formulas: $sin(\alpha + \beta) = sin \alpha cos \beta + sin \beta cos \alpha sin(\alpha - \beta)$ β) = sin α cos β —sin β cos α cos(α + β) = cos α cos β —sin α sin β cos(α — β) = $\cos \alpha \cos \beta$ + $\sin \alpha \sin \beta$ What are phase-shifted sines and cosines? The trick to understanding them is to realize that they are impure. A phaseshifted sine is contaminated with a cosine contribution. A phase-shifted cosine is contaminated with a sine contribution. A pure sine runs along the real-axis, extends into the imaginary domain (both positive and negative regions), and has zero cosine component. A pure cosine runs along the imaginary-axis, extends into the real domain (both positive and negative regions), and has zero sine component. Waves that are orthogonal to each other are fully decoupled, fully independent. Pure sines and cosines do not contaminate each other. Waves that are non-orthogonal are coupled. They are dependent on both sines and cosines. What happens when you rotate a sine wave running along the horizontal axis through ninety degrees? It doesn't end up as a sine wave running along the perpendicular axis. Instead, it is mathematically converted into a cosine wave, its "opposite". At zero degrees, we have a pure sine wave. At ninety degrees, we have a pure cosine wave. As we rotate from zero to ninety degrees, the sine wave loses more and more of its sine nature until at ninety degrees it has lost all of its sine properties and turned into a cosine wave. The axes are where we have pure, decoupled sines and cosines. In the inter-axial regions, we have coupled sines and cosines. The decoupled waves are mental waves. The coupled waves are spacetime waves.

- Your Note at location 1629 | Added on Monday, 28 December 2020 00:19:48

I can't get my head around what this means. What is the general equation for such a family of functions?

- Your Highlight at location 1628-1629 | Added on Monday, 28 December 2020 00:19:48

Now imagine a sinusoidal wave running along each of the radii that stands between zero and ninety degrees.

- Your Highlight at location 1630-1633 | Added on Monday, 28 December 2020 00:20:14

At exactly forty-five degrees, we have a sinusoid that is half sine and half cosine. It can easily be imagined that special things happen at exactly this angle of phase. It must have extraordinary mathematical properties, and may be the gateway from mind to matter. This ought to be a major area of mathematical and scientific research.

- Your Highlight at location 1756-1763 | Added on Tuesday, 29 December 2020 23:51:06

Professor Herbert Dingle damningly wrote, "According to the theory, if you have two exactly similar clocks, A and B, and one is moving with respect to the other, they must work at different rates ..., i.e. one works more slowly than the other. But the theory also requires that you cannot distinguish which clock is the 'moving' one; it is equally true to say that A rests while B moves and that B rests while A moves. The question therefore arises: how does one determine, consistently with the theory, which clock works the more slowly? Unless this question is answerable, the theory unavoidably requires that A works more slowly than B and B more slowly than A—which it requires no super-intelligence to see is impossible. Now, clearly, a theory that requires an impossibility cannot be true, and scientific integrity requires, therefore, either that the question just posed shall be answered, or else that the theory shall be acknowledged to be false. But, as I have said, more than 13 years of continuous effort have failed to produce either response."

- Your Highlight at location 1769-1771 | Added on Tuesday, 29 December 2020 23:54:18

In Lorentz's relativity, a moving observer's clock absolutely rather than relatively slows down. Time dilation and length contraction are absolute, not relative, effects. In Lorentz's relativity, all observers note the same things, i.e. there is an objective physical reality, agreed upon by all observers. All observers have the same consistent view. There are no contradictions or paradoxes.

- Your Highlight at location 1776-1781 | Added on Tuesday, 29 December 2020 23:54:32

As it turns out, Einstein and Lorentz were both right and both wrong about the ether, but in opposite ways. Einstein was right that there is no spacetime ether, but wrong that an ether does not exist. Lorentz was right that an ether exists, but wrong that it was a spacetime ether. There is in fact no absolute, privileged spacetime reference frame, which is what is conventionally meant by the ether. If there were no ether at all, it would

automatically follow that Einstein is right. However, there is an ether, but it is not physical ... it is mental! The ether is the light Singularity!

- Your Note at location 1859 | Added on Wednesday, 30 December 2020 00:02:35

Nassim Haramein says something similar.

- Your Highlight at location 1858-1859 | Added on Wednesday, 30 December 2020 00:02:35

Space can in fact be regarded as nothing other than a vast collection of extremely low-density particles, while particles can be regarded as extremely concentrated packets of spacetime.

- Your Highlight at location 1961-1963 | Added on Wednesday, 30 December 2020 05:45:35

If f1 = 1, h is the base frequency of nature, and Eh (the energy associated with the base frequency) is the base energy.

- Your Note at location 1981 | Added on Wednesday, 30 December 2020 05:48:59

Is a quantum of energy really the same thing as a single cycle?

- Your Highlight at location 1980-1981 | Added on Wednesday, 30 December 2020 05:48:59

E = h is the smallest amount of energy possible, the energy of a single wave cycle. We proportionately multiply the energy as we multiple the numbers of wave cycles.

- Your Highlight at location 1997-2001 | Added on Wednesday, 30 December 2020 05:56:13

"Fourier analysis is also applicable to Non-periodic Signals by assuming the period of analysis (not that of the signal in question) to be infinitely long. This leads to the concept of Fourier Transform in which all frequencies are present instead of just those which are integral multiples of the fundamental frequency."—V. K. Khanna, Digital Signal Processing

- Your Note at location 2018 | Added on Wednesday, 30 December 2020 06:09:47

This is where I lose the thread. Surely it's the rotating radius vector on the Euler circle (aka flowing point) generating the waves? Here and elsewhere it's being suggested that the Euler circle's radius vector is responsible for the fundamental and that something else is generating the harmonics... what is that 'something else'?

- Your Highlight at location 2016-2018 | Added on Wednesday, 30 December 2020 06:09:47

A higher frequency corresponds to a higher number of completed wave cycles within a full revolution of the flowing point. Each additional wave cycle proportionately increases the energy of the wave.

- Your Highlight at location 2063-2065 | Added on Wednesday, 30 December 2020 06:17:51

Given that Planck's constant is exceptionally small, the highest frequency is an immensely large number, and this number may be considered the ontologically highest number. This may in fact correspond to the total number of monads in existence (i.e. the total number of monads is not infinite).

- Your Highlight at location 2084-2085 | Added on Wednesday, 30 December 2020 06:20:28

Planck's constant h is none other than the flowing point itself. It is energy distributed over a tiny 1D complex-numbered segment ("string", we might say!).

- Your Note at location 2115 | Added on Wednesday, 30 December 2020 06:29:23

What path does the flowing point follow in this 6D world? I can't conceive of the point covering a 3D sphere let alone higher dimensions.

- Your Highlight at location 2115-2115 | Added on Wednesday, 30 December 2020 06:29:23

 A full monad exists in this 3D complex domain.
- Your Note at location 2246 | Added on Wednesday, 30 December 2020 13:16:42

Elsewhere they state that form cannot be experienced, only understood intellectually, through the application of reason.

- Your Highlight at location 2245-2246 | Added on Wednesday, 30 December 2020 13:16:42

 You experience the universe as content. If you had a more powerful
- Your Highlight at location 2553-2555 | Added on Wednesday, 30 December 2020 17:59:17

Frequencies in the mental Singularity are the basis of time and space in the material universe, once non-orthogonal asymmetry resulting from phase is factored in.

What Is a Thought?: The Ontology of Thinking (The Truth Series Book 2) (Stark, Dr. Thomas)

- Your Highlight at location 174-177 | Added on Thursday, 11 March 2021 23:31:54

An ontological mathematical system has an optimal, perfect symmetry, but this is a symmetry that is easily broken. As soon the system's perfect symmetry shatters, it immediately sets about restoring the symmetry. A Universal Age corresponds to the time it takes for the broken symmetry to be returned to perfect symmetry. It takes many billions of years. In fact, a precise calculation can be made for exactly how long it takes.

- Your Highlight at location 245-246 | Added on Thursday, 11 March 2021 23:42:58

The pursuit of perfection is the meaning of existence.

- Your Note at location 351 | Added on Friday, 12 March 2021 07:34:17

Why is it necessary for the universe to be stable when squared, let alone any arbitrary mathematical operation? What does it even mean, ontologically, to 'square the universe'?

- Your Highlight at location 350-351 | Added on Friday, 12 March 2021 07:34:17

The mathematical universe must be stable under every conceivable operation applied to it, such as being squared. This immediately means that we must place imaginary numbers on a par with real numbers, and negative numbers on a par with positive numbers.

- Your Highlight at location 416-419 | Added on Saturday, 13 March 2021 01:26:01

Everything is made of thoughts. Complex thoughts cannot be made of anything other than more basic thoughts: basis thoughts. Basis thoughts are eternal and necessary atomic thoughts. They combine to form temporal and contingent compound, molecular thoughts. Thoughts have a syntax and semantics. They cannot be made of anything that does not have a syntax and semantics.

- Your Highlight at location 430-431 | Added on Saturday, 13 March 2021 01:26:38

The universe must have an inherent syntax and semantics, which means that the universe must be a language. The only eternal, necessary, immutable, perfect, inherent language is mathematics.

- Your Highlight at location 556-557 | Added on Saturday, 13 March 2021 07:35:27

Only one language can exist independently of the languages devised by intelligent species anywhere in the universe. That language is the Ur language, the language of existence ... mathematics.

- Your Highlight at location 555-557 | Added on Saturday, 13 March 2021 07:36:06

For the universe to be intelligible, it follows that it must be literally made of a language, an entity with a syntax and semantics. Only one language can exist independently of the languages devised by intelligent species anywhere in the universe. That language is the Ur language, the language of existence ... mathematics.

- Your Highlight at location 568-569 | Added on Saturday, 13 March 2021 07:42:23

"Willed" energy is semantic energy, and is associated with free will. "Non-willed" energy is syntactic energy, and produces the impersonal scientific universe.

- Your Highlight at location 593-594 | Added on Saturday, 13 March 2021 07:47:58

The deep unconscious operates at the level of syntax. Consciousness operates at the semantic level. As consciousness increases, more and more emphasis is placed on semantics, and less and less on syntax.

- Your Highlight at location 608-610 | Added on Saturday, 13 March 2021 07:51:22

Efficient causation is about how, and final causation about why. A system that is both syntactic and semantic can exhibit both efficient and final causation. Synchronicity requires a final cause to be identified (semantics), and then an efficient cause to make it happen (syntactic). Jung believed that synchronicities were acausal. In fact, they are doubly causal, in terms of both semantics and syntax.

- Your Highlight at location 606-610 | Added on Saturday, 13 March 2021 07:53:00

Jung considered only syntactic causation (Aristotelian efficient causation). Aristotelian final causation is semantic causation. Efficient causation is about how, and final causation about why. A system that is both syntactic and semantic can exhibit both efficient and final causation. Synchronicity requires a final cause to be identified (semantics), and then an efficient cause to make it happen (syntactic). Jung believed that synchronicities were acausal. In fact, they are doubly causal, in terms of both semantics and syntax.

- Your Highlight at location 663-666 | Added on Saturday, 13 March 2021 08:09:08

If we start with an individual monadic mind, every sinusoid inside it is experienced by it. The vast majority of sinusoids are experienced unconsciously. No sinusoid from outside a monad is directly experienced by it. However, when external sinusoids interact with internal sinusoids and create combined wavefunctions, the compound wavefunction is then experienced by the monad.

- Your Highlight at location 669-671 | Added on Saturday, 13 March 2021 08:10:46

The owner of the world, of all the sinusoids in the world, is the Cosmic Mind, the collection of all monads. The Cosmic Mind is what experiences the world. The human brain is a material object in the material world, hence is experienced by the Cosmic Mind, but a brain is also something that is directly linked to an individual monad.

- Your Highlight at location 767-768 | Added on Sunday, 14 March 2021 00:02:05

Our unconscious mind is aware of everything in the universe. The task is to bring all of that information into consciousness. Then you can become God.

- Your Highlight at location 813-817 | Added on Monday, 15 March 2021 00:34:11

Eternal truths must reside in something eternal, and that eternal thing is mathematics, the analytic system of "nothing", whose existence nothing can stop. The argument from eternal truths constitutes a proof of the existence of mathematics. But its existence can also be proved a posteriori. If contingent entities exist, the sufficient reason for their existence cannot lie in themselves but only in something necessary: mathematics. Reality is all about eternal, necessary mathematical entities entering into temporal, contingent arrangements.

- Your Highlight at location 859-861 | Added on Monday, 15 March 2021 00:41:55

Via our sense organs, our individual minds interface with the collective thoughts of the universe (the Cosmic Mind), and convert them into perceptions in our minds, which we ponder, and which provoke feelings in us.

- Your Highlight at location 1146-1147 | Added on Wednesday, 17 March 2021 00:02:57

Mind (frequency) is concerned with all radial lines in the above circle. Matter (spacetime) is concerned with all non-radial lines. All radial lines are associated with the speed of light. All non-radial lines are associated with sub-light speeds.

- Your Highlight at location 1470-1471 | Added on Friday, 19 March 2021 00:01:22

There is a law of the conservation of thought. The total amount of thinking never increases or decreases. Its quality gets better over time, but its quantity doesn't change.

- Your Highlight at location 1666-1667 | Added on Sunday, 21 March 2021 00:41:53

Imagine everywhere you looked you saw syntactic equations, and immediately saw how to solve those equations to bring about whatever

semantic outcome you desired. Then you would be God. You would have God consciousness.

- Your Highlight at location 1671-1674 | Added on Sunday, 21 March 2021 00:43:33

True consciousness arises when you no longer mentally operate within an analog (simulation) of the world—which always falsifies the world—but instead operate with full mental power in the actual world, i.e. the mathematical world. An analog space is necessary when your mental space—made of manmade language—is divorced from the real space (the true world). This language mismatch leads to all of the false beliefs and theories with which humanity has been cursed.

- Your Highlight at location 1821-1824 | Added on Monday, 22 March 2021 00:30:37

A purely semantic language cannot exist, and a purely syntactic language cannot exist either. Both elements must be present. A purely syntactic, objective system could exist, but it would be meaningless (as in scientific materialism). A purely semantic, subjective system could not exist at all. You can have meaningless syntax, or empty semantics. To have meaningful syntax and full semantics, you need a language that contains both syntax and semantics.

- Your Highlight at location 1825-1828 | Added on Monday, 22 March 2021 00:31:37

"God" is often conceived as a purely semantic entity (he is pure meaning, meaning in itself), but no attempt is ever made by any believer in God to give him a syntax, to say what he is made of and what laws apply to what he is made of. It is alleged, more or less, that God isn't made of anything, and isn't subject to any laws. God has no syntax and belongs to no syntax. He is pure meaning, and can accomplish anything he wills.

- Your Highlight at location 1837-1838 | Added on Monday, 22 March 2021 00:33:18

If you train people in religious semantics from birth, you make it extremely hard for them to grasp syntax, and hardly any religious people do so.

- Your Highlight at location 1840-1841 | Added on Monday, 22 March 2021 00:34:43

All human systems of thought can be regarded in terms of what they say about syntax and semantics, and their relationship:

- Your Highlight at location 1873-1875 | Added on Monday, 22 March 2021 00:38:46

Ontological mathematics is a theory that is not just another theory. It is its own universe, its own object, its own subject, its own language, hence the exact means to explain itself to itself, hence to become aware of itself. Ontological mathematics is both the map and the territory. It is the

language that reaches self-awareness. (Imagine the English language becoming self-aware, then explaining itself to itself in English!)

- Your Highlight at location 1898-1899 | Added on Monday, 22 March 2021 00:41:40

The mental subject is in fact the essence of existence, and through its syntactic use of language it can produce objects ("matter").

- Your Highlight at location 2121-2121 | Added on Tuesday, 23 March 2021 23:24:08

A semantics-only reality is as impossible as a syntax-only reality.

- Your Highlight at location 2171-2175 | Added on Wednesday, 24 March 2021 23:53:45

It was a disaster for Western thought when final (teleological) causes were rejected, when it was argued that efficient causes were sufficient to account for the workings of the world. This led to materialism, skepticism, nihilism, atheism, relativism, subjectivism, and narcissism. Religion and spirituality were killed off in the minds of all those who rejected teleology and who worshipped efficient causes. Purpose and meaning vanished from their world, rendering it wholly pointless.

- Your Highlight at location 2206-2212 | Added on Wednesday, 24 March 2021 23:58:35

Thales proposed water as the arche, Anaximander proposed the apeiron (the boundless, infinite), Anaximenes proposed air, Pythagoras proposed numbers (mathematics), Heraclitus proposed fire (energy), Empedocles proposed four elements (air, fire, water, earth, with a fifth, the quintessence, later being added), Anaxagoras proposed countless elements controlled by Nous (Mind), and the Atomists proposed countless indivisible particles ("atoms"). More or less all subsequent syntactic thinking, including modern science and mathematics, owes its existence to these ancient geniuses and their various definitions of the arche.

- Your Highlight at location 2259-2263 | Added on Thursday, 25 March 2021 23:47:52

Science became the study of what scientists regarded as observable natural syntax (unobservable syntax was regarded as unreal). The observable semantic expression of unobservable syntax was regarded not as semantics at all, but as observable syntax. This is the basis of the claim that scientific empiricism is a syntactic subject, when in fact it is technically semantic in the terms we have defined.

- Your Highlight at location 2339-2340 | Added on Thursday, 25 March 2021 23:57:53

The ultimate task is to map all of your semantic intent to syntax so that no matter what you will, it will be realized.

- Your Highlight at location 2346-2347 | Added on Thursday, 25 March 2021 23:59:19

You can achieve anything if you put your mind to it, even if you are a mathematical ignoramus. But if you are a mathematical genius, nothing, in the long run, can stop you.

- Your Highlight at location 2350-2351 | Added on Thursday, 25 March 2021 23:59:27

Don't you want to become a God? Then do the math. It's all in the math. Total mastery of ontological mathematics gives you complete control of mathematical syntax via mathematical semantics.

- Your Highlight at location 2383-2384 | Added on Friday, 26 March 2021 00:01:18

Thoughts have existed forever. A law of conservation of thought exists. Thoughts can be neither created nor destroyed, only combined into new thoughts.

- Your Highlight at location 2411-2411 | Added on Friday, 26 March 2021 00:04:55

Once upon a time, humans routinely remembered past lives, and were enormously more spiritual than they are today.

- Your Highlight at location 2492-2495 | Added on Friday, 26 March 2021 23:33:43

The increasing mentalization of the universe, as it expands, leads to more and more powerful minds, which start to do things more and more rationally, hence they start to rapidly drive the universe towards its dialectical conclusion, and it's likely that the godlike minds at the end of time perform the final stages of making the universe perfect, i.e. they assume full syntactic control via their semantic will.

- Your Highlight at location 2618-2619 | Added on Saturday, 27 March 2021 08:33:15

Ironically, if you removed the Bible from Catholicism, it would be a thoroughly reputable pagan philosophy of the highest intellectual standards. The Bible, derived from the Jews, is the real problem.

- Your Highlight at location 2677-2679 | Added on Saturday, 27 March 2021 08:44:08

Every error in religion, philosophy, and science, stems from a failure to understand syntax and semantics, and their respective roles and domains of applicability. No theory should ever be accepted unless it provides a full account of syntax and semantics. Science spectacularly fails, so does religion, and so does philosophy.

- Your Highlight at location 2978-2982 | Added on Sunday, 28 March 2021 00:28:19

Who controls the Ray? One day, the Ray will decide everything. HyperHumanity will deploy the Ray. They will have no choice. It will be a Ray that reveals much more about humanity than the internet ever could, and it will settle humanity's fate. Everyone will have to confront the Truth.

They will no longer be given the choice. What will the masses do when the Lie is no longer an option? To what gods will they appeal? All of their gods will be dead, slain by the Truth itself.

- Your Highlight at location 3187-3189 | Added on Sunday, 28 March 2021 23:34:47

If you are an intelligent person and have understood what we have said, the first thing you will do from now on when presented with any new idea is to analyze it in terms of both its syntactic and semantic claims. This is the proper way of thinking, and avoids all of the errors that flow from concentrating on either semantics or syntax to the exclusion of the other.

- Your Highlight at location 3206-3209 | Added on Sunday, 28 March 2021 23:36:27

The intrinsic defining character of a thought is its frequency. From the frequency, we can derive the wavelength and energy of the thought. The frequency, wavelength and energy of a thought furnish its syntax and are also inherently associated with the semantic experience of the thought, i.e. the way the information carried by the thought is experienced by the mind to which the thought belongs. Amplitude and phase concern the thought's relations with other thoughts.

- Your Note at location 3239 | Added on Sunday, 28 March 2021 23:40:10

Er... you're forgetting Max Tegmark.

- Your Highlight at location 3238-3239 | Added on Sunday, 28 March 2021 23:40:10

No leading scientist ever considers the ontology of mathematics and how mathematics, and not science, may be true reality.

- Your Highlight at location 3266-3269 | Added on Sunday, 28 March 2021 23:44:04

All jokes play with the semantic outcome, based on an ambiguous syntax. We are mired in ambiguous statements all the time, but the context usually makes it clear what is meant. A joke is an amusing exploitation of the inherent ambiguity. We laugh because we were drawing one semantic conclusion, only to be presented with an entirely different and often opposite conclusion, still consistent with the syntax.

- Your Highlight at location 3827-3829 | Added on Thursday, 1 April 2021 22:54:02

Using a different paradigm, namely that of mathematical idealism and rationalism, we can redefine determinism as the doctrine that all actions—human or otherwise—are caused by mathematical determinism, executed either syntactically or semantically, either within individual minds, or within the collection of all minds, or via their interaction.

- Your Highlight at location 3903-3905 | Added on Thursday, 1 April 2021 23:03:18

Only minds powerful enough to override the regular rules by manipulating the mathematics in powerful and unconventional ways can change the public space. That makes them Gods.

- Your Highlight at location 3982-3985 | Added on Friday, 2 April 2021 23:09:13

Matter is simply collective thought, thought that has as its central, defining property the dimensions of space and time. Space and time are themselves simply properties of thoughts (sinusoidal waves), which are activated when certain conditions concerning sinusoidal phase are activated. Time and space concern non-orthogonal phase relations. Non-time and non-space concern orthogonal phase relations.

- Your Highlight at location 4003-4007 | Added on Friday, 2 April 2021 23:12:09

Religion is not about how because religion refuses to deal with syntax, and science is not about why because science refuses to deal with semantics. It's not that they operate in different domains, it's that they invalidly place themselves in different domains by their refusal to confront the other side of the coin.

- Your Highlight at location 4058-4061 | Added on Friday, 2 April 2021 23:19:22

Your semantic language therefore has to be hardwired to syntax. When you will the semantics, the syntax automatically follows. This is why mind can control matter. You are achieving semantic control over syntax. When you do this to the ultimate degree, you have complete control over matter, and you are God! Whatever you wish semantically is enacted syntactically in the world.

- Your Highlight at location 4083-4084 | Added on Friday, 2 April 2021 23:22:04

Most people are simply incapable of recognizing the answer to existence. They aren't rational enough.

- Your Highlight at location 4214-4216 | Added on Saturday, 3 April 2021 22:37:08

All human philosophies, religions and sciences are part of the construct, not reflections of reality. You cannot use any manmade language or system to analyze an eternal, necessary system. That's a category error.

- Your Highlight at location 4241-4244 | Added on Saturday, 3 April 2021 22:39:34

Each monad contains a complete set of sinusoids. Each sinusoid conveys a mental experience. The monadic mind experiences its own sinusoids directly—which explains qualia—and experiences the sinusoids of other monads as the external world, as alien things, the "other", as "matter", as the world "out there". By contrast, its own sinusoids are the world "in here."

- Your Highlight at location 4251-4253 | Added on Saturday, 3 April 2021 22:41:27

In a monadic system, all of our sinusoids specifically belong to us and are specifically experienced by us. They do not belong to anyone else, and nothing else can directly experience any of our sinusoids. There is thus no mystery about qualia. They are built in. They are an intrinsic part of the system.

- Your Highlight at location 4272-4273 | Added on Saturday, 3 April 2021 22:44:32

When the sun is shining on you, you are actively being bathed in the thoughts of the Mind Collective. At night, you are able to retreat to your own private world and bathe in your own light.

The Thinking Universe: Energy Is Thought (The Truth Series Book 3) (Stark, Dr. Thomas)

- Your Highlight at location 92-95 | Added on Sunday, 4 April 2021 22:46:59

Mathematics is energy, and energy is mathematics. You can understand neither mathematics nor energy unless you grasp that they are one and the same thing. Mathematics is not only the science of energy, but also the ontology, epistemology, physics, metaphysics, noumenon and phenomenon of energy.

- Your Highlight at location 102-103 | Added on Sunday, 4 April 2021 22:49:05

The basic energy, the energy from which all other energy is derived, is light.

- Your Highlight at location 141-146 | Added on Sunday, 4 April 2021 22:53:40

If scientists were remotely philosophically literate, they would understand that all they did when they got rid of unextended, immaterial Cartesian mind was replace it with unextended, immaterial laws. To put it another way, science got rid of minds, but then replaced them with laws that can only be construed as mental, not physical. Scientific laws are not made of matter, don't exist in space and time, do not degrade, and are immutable, eternal, perfect, absolute, infallible. They therefore have the same sorts of characteristics as the soul, or even God! In fact, they have the exact characteristics of the Forms that define Plato's philosophy.

- Your Highlight at location 168-171 | Added on Sunday, 4 April 2021 22:56:52

Everything with a syntax and semantics is a language. So, the revolutionary idea is to define "substance" not in terms of a compound of form and matter, but, instead, as a compound of syntax and semantics. When this is done, substance becomes language, and language, critically, is mental, not physical.

- Your Highlight at location 230-233 | Added on Sunday, 4 April 2021 23:06:28

What is light? Light, ultimately, is precisely ordered numerical sequences. Light is the ontological expression of numbers. A number is a syntactical element, but every syntactical element is necessarily accompanied by a semantic element, and this semantic element is what we experience when we encounter numerical syntax.

- Your Highlight at location 303-304 | Added on Sunday, 4 April 2021 23:13:38

The secret of thought is that it is the purest and clearest energy of all—light energy. Your mind is an immaterial electromagnetic system outside space and time.

- Your Highlight at location 344-345 | Added on Sunday, 4 April 2021 23:17:48

People do not live in the real world. They live in their own mental simulation of reality, which is radically false. People try to band together with others who approximately share their simulation, and they reject and hate everyone who doesn't.

- Your Highlight at location 612-614 | Added on Wednesday, 7 April 2021 22:45:22

What is "God"? It's the Principle of Sufficient Reason, expressed through mathematical monads. "God" did not create the universe out of nothing. "God" created the universe out of itself via adjusting the phase relations of some of the sinusoids making up the internal cells (monads) from which it is made.

- Your Highlight at location 660-662 | Added on Wednesday, 7 April 2021 22:51:57

A disastrous conflation of the distinct concepts of consciousness and sentience has taken place. Sentient means "capable of feeling". Sentience is the "faculty of sense; feeling". A human baby is sentient but not conscious. An animal is sentient but not conscious. Sentience is something experienced by the unconscious.

- Your Highlight at location 667-671 | Added on Wednesday, 7 April 2021 22:52:08

Experience is not consciousness. Consciousness necessarily involves reflecting on experiences in the mind space. Without the mind space and the reflection that goes with it, consciousness is absent. A human baby can feel pain, but it has no capacity to reflect on its pain. It is having pain, but is not conscious of pain.

- Your Highlight at location 715-718 | Added on Wednesday, 7 April 2021 23:02:09

Consciousness is a social construct, and society has to reach a certain degree of complexity before it can construct it. The possibility is then raised that a much more advanced and intelligent humanity will produce a vastly more complex construct, and thus immensely greater consciousness. Illuminists seek to construct a consciousness suitable for HyperHumanity.

- Your Highlight at location 832-837 | Added on Saturday, 10 April 2021 00:39:00

We are conscious because we can refer to ourselves as "I", and we can move this "I" around in our mental space, and reflect on it. If we couldn't do that, we wouldn't be conscious. No animals can do this, so no animals are conscious. Humans who have a severely restricted mind space and poor language fluency—through conditions such as learning disabilities and autism—are not conscious in the way that normal people are. Highly intelligent people are much more conscious than average people since they are able to use language with enormously more acuity. They are able to get

the most from language rather than the least. This is one of the great unacknowledged truths.

- Your Highlight at location 837-842 | Added on Saturday, 10 April 2021 00:39:54

When you interact with others, you no doubt assume you are dealing with people who are as conscious as you. You are radically wrong. Stupid people in stupid jobs have limited consciousness. Many convicts in prisons are barely conscious. It was their lack of consciousness that led them there. Terrorism is a product of shrunken consciousness, consciousness that revolves around a single idea, and total ignorance of all other ideas. Humanity suffers from a widespread disease of consciousness. Humanity must become much more conscious via much better education, focused on reason rather than faith and emotions.

- Your Highlight at location 850-853 | Added on Saturday, 10 April 2021 00:41:18

The central problem of science lies in its inability to comprehend mental self-reference. Mental self-reference means that you can take a decision purely with reference to yourself—with no reference to anything else—and that is exactly what is meant by "free will". You, and you alone, took the decision, and you were not compelled by anything outside you.

- Your Highlight at location 873-876 | Added on Saturday, 10 April 2021 00:43:51

A monadic mind mathematically modeling actual mathematical reality is much more powerful than a human mind operating in a manmade mental space that does not reflect mathematical reality but instead reflects human language and human figures of speech. Mathematical consciousness is much more powerful than manmade consciousness, and leads us to divinity.

- Your Highlight at location 877-885 | Added on Saturday, 10 April 2021 00:45:30

"You must realize that you are conscious. Is that a strange notion? Is it obvious to you that you are conscious? Are you conscious of consciousness? No one ever thinks. Think about this: You don't remember the moment you became conscious. "You don't remember an instant where you 'woke up' and thought 'This is strange! Where am I? What is this place?' You have been living your life everyday like it is the most ordinary thing in the world. You haven't even realized you are conscious. Stop. Realize it now. Realize that you are conscious and how strange that is. Take a second to look around you and think, 'This is strange. Where am I? What is this place? What are all of these things? How do I exist? Why do I exist? What does it mean to exist?'"—Morgue

- Your Highlight at location 950-957 | Added on Saturday, 10 April 2021 00:52:52

Hudson was present at hypnotic sessions where a young man was told he was being introduced to the spirit of Socrates. What ensued was an apparent conversation, but only the young man's side was vocalized. When the hypnotist asked the young man to repeat what Socrates was saying, the young man proceeded to deliver a dazzling philosophical outpouring that others in the room found so convincing that they believed the young man must indeed be in the presence of the spirit of Socrates. If not, how could this young man of limited education be so gifted philosophically? So, was he communicating with the real Socrates, or wasn't he? If he was, that would be revolutionary. If not, it would be just as revolutionary since his own subjective mind was inventing complex philosophical material way beyond the intellectual capacity of his objective mind. In fact, that might be even more revolutionary. Do we all have a hidden genius inside us? Can we simulate the genius of the greatest geniuses?

- Your Highlight at location 1111-1116 | Added on Saturday, 10 April 2021 07:31:04

In fact, we actually have access to four worlds: an emotional world, an intuitive world, a sensory world, and a thinking world. Each involves its own type of intelligence. Emotionally intelligent people believe in God, and build their reality around emotional stories. Intuitively intelligent people build their reality around mystical visions that they feel with intense subjective intensity. Sensory intelligent people believe in experiments, observations, measurements ... in physical, sensory evidence. Rationally intelligent people accept the existence of a world of reason and logic. Other types find this the hardest type of reality to comprehend.

- Your Highlight at location 1127-1132 | Added on Saturday, 10 April 2021 07:33:37

The limits of your reality are the limits of your intelligence, the limits of your personality type, the limits of how your brain is wired, and how it interacts with your mind. You think you are radically free. You are not. You are a prisoner of your own nature. Your thoughts are in a jail, but you cannot conceive that this is the case. Your prison is a most remarkable one. It gives you a false consciousness designed to make you imagine you can do whatever you like. What prison could be more ingenious than that? When others tell you that you are in prison, you scoff at them, and troll them. How does reason cure the problem of a person like you?

- Your Highlight at location 1144-1147 | Added on Saturday, 10 April 2021 07:35:34

Many irrational people believe, perversely, that they are rational. Of course, not being rational, they have no criterion for being rational. It's like the Dunning-Kruger effect. Stupid people don't know they're stupid. They would need a glimmer of intelligence to gauge their stupidity, and that's exactly what they lack.

- Your Highlight at location 1165-1166 | Added on Saturday, 10 April 2021 07:38:21

A predicate gives information about the subject of a sentence. A predicate is a property that a thing can either possess or lack.

- Your Highlight at location 1186-1190 | Added on Saturday, 10 April 2021 07:46:13

Existence should be regarded as a property, a predicate, after all. Every concept necessarily has this property (or the concept, by definition, wouldn't exist). What is really at stake is whether the predicate is associated with a thought in one person's mind, or in all minds. So, for example, "God" has subjective existence if that concept is entertained in any individual mind. "God" has objective existence if that concept is entertained in the Collection of all Minds, i.e. is a collective thought, just as the material world is.

- Your Highlight at location 1203-1204 | Added on Saturday, 10 April 2021 07:50:05

The mere thought of something does not mean it will be instantiated in the world.

- Your Highlight at location 1204-1219 | Added on Saturday, 10 April 2021 07:53:54

The real problem with Anselm's argument is that it should involve collective rather than individual thinking. Let's define God as "that than which nothing greater can be collectively thought." If the greatest possible being exists in the collective mind, it must also exist in reality. However, the only way for this to be true is for the collective mind itself to be God, i.e. you cannot have a collective mind that thinks something into existence that is greater than the collective mind itself. Even if we use a gestalt argument and assert that the whole is greater than the sum of its parts, this greater whole can appear only when every part is optimized, hence "God" is still an output of the Collective Mind and not something created independently, with its own independent existence, separate from the Collective Mind. Moreover, God does not need to exist eternally. He can be thought into existence at a specific point, which is in fact what evolution is all about. The culmination of evolution involves bringing the greatest possible collective thought— God-into existence, and God thus corresponds to the collective thinker (the collection of all minds) having made itself perfect. The God State is the best of all possible worlds, exactly as required by Leibniz. God does not make the best possible world. He is the best possible world, the culmination and completion of evolution, the precise purpose of which is to construct the optimal evolutionary state, and nothing is higher than God. God simply is the highest collective thought, the perfect collective thought, syntactically represented via the perfect symmetry of the zero entropy state.

- Your Highlight at location 1245-1249 | Added on Saturday, 10 April 2021 07:58:46

All perfections can exist together in a system of perfect symmetry. Any asymmetry would constitute an imperfection, hence cannot belong to God. Asymmetry produces lack of clarity, obscurity, opaqueness, muddledness, imperfect thinking, imperfect perception, confusion, disorder, incoherence, disagreement, error, delusion, and so on. The Big Bang—triggered by the Satan monad (the Demiurge)—is the event that produces the greatest asymmetry, the greatest entropy, the creation of the baffling, alienated world of "matter", which we are then required to overcome via evolution.

- Your Highlight at location 1280-1283 | Added on Saturday, 10 April 2021 08:03:50

It has been said that the sun is the Eye of God, watching everything. When a total eclipse of the sun takes place, God is temporarily blinded, and the forces of darkness are free to do whatever they want. No criminal can be caught. It has also been said that a total eclipse opens a door to the back of the universe, and those who go through the door can learn the universe's greatest secrets.

- Your Highlight at location 1346-1348 | Added on Saturday, 10 April 2021 23:58:16

Thought is extremely closely connected to music. Where ontological mathematics focuses on the syntax of thoughts, and then considers semantics, a musician focuses on the semantics of music (the music as we hear it), and then considers the syntax of music (the notes and their arrangements). The purest musical notes are sinusoids (!). Music might be called auditory thinking.

- Your Highlight at location 1339-1342 | Added on Sunday, 11 April 2021 00:00:06

A soul is an eternal, autonomous, full collection of basis thoughts. Thinking can be conducted individually, in groups, or collectively (i.e. in terms of all minds) When thinking takes place individually, it is always immaterial, and when it takes place in groups or collectively it may be immaterial or material, depending on how the sinusoidal functions are configured.

- Your Highlight at location 1679-1681 | Added on Sunday, 11 April 2021 23:01:10

Mathematical semantics rebels against the idea that it is the other side of mathematical syntax. It experiences itself as something entirely different. In a dual-aspect monism, there is no reason why the two aspects should automatically understand their relationship.

- Your Highlight at location 1699-1701 | Added on Sunday, 11 April 2021 23:03:17

No semantic process can ever reveal the presence of the syntax that underlies it, and no syntactic process can ever reveal the semantic information it carries with it.

- Your Highlight at location 1709-1710 | Added on Sunday, 11 April 2021 23:04:39

Truth can exist in the universe only if there is an absolute syntax connected to an absolute semantics.

- Your Highlight at location 2174-2175 | Added on Friday, 16 April 2021 23:16:28

Sensing is objectively perceptive while intuition is subjectively perceptive. Sensing is how consciousness perceives. Intuition is how the unconscious perceives.

- Your Highlight at location 2180-2182 | Added on Friday, 16 April 2021 23:18:31

Thinking is objectively rational while feelings are subjectively rational. Thinking is about syntax, while feelings are about semantics, about emotional values and emotional meaning.

- Your Highlight at location 2227-2228 | Added on Sunday, 18 April 2021 00:12:12

Feeling arrives at semantic rather than syntactic evaluations and judgments. Only thinking is syntactic. Only thinking involves reason and logic.

- Your Highlight at location 2556-2558 | Added on Tuesday, 20 April 2021 22:46:07

In ontological mathematics, syntax and semantics are the opposite sides of the same coin, hence every syntactic change is accompanied by a semantic change, and every semantic change is accompanied by a syntactic change, which is exactly why a semantic mind can control syntactic matter ... mind over matter.

- Your Highlight at location 2596-2598 | Added on Tuesday, 20 April 2021 22:52:50

We all have access to the noumenal domain. Our own minds are part of it. There are a whole host of techniques that can be used to delve much deeper into the noumenal domain than ordinary people are capable of, but of course such techniques are not put in the public domain.

- Your Highlight at location 2640-2643 | Added on Wednesday, 21 April 2021 22:44:24

A comedian provides a syntax that seems to be heading towards such and such a semantic conclusion, a familiar and commonplace observation. Then, at the last moment, a syntactic twist reverses the semantic conclusion, and leads to a total subversion of the meaning that we were expecting, and offers some completely new or much more honest insight into the subject matter.

- Your Highlight at location 2677-2679 | Added on Wednesday, 21 April 2021 22:49:54

A person who takes ownership of any part of the world needs the permission of every other person on earth, or is effectively declaring war on every other person on earth, which should result in his immediate death. It should be impossible for anyone to own private property.

- Your Highlight at location 2846-2848 | Added on Wednesday, 21 April 2021 23:11:00

We need an immense brainwashing program to undo all of the horrendous brainwashing to which humanity has hitherto been subjected. Without that immense new brainwashing—the rebooting brainwashing—nothing will change.

- Your Highlight at location 2870-2874 | Added on Thursday, 22 April 2021 22:31:33

In a different culture, you would be an entirely different person. In an optimal culture, you would be an optimized version of yourself, a fulfilled version of yourself, with no regrets. Don't you want to become who you really are? Old culture has ruined you. New culture can transform you into something extraordinary, a marvel to behold. Imagine humanity full of optimal human beings, humans who are practically gods. Don't you want to live in a society of the divine, a community of gods and goddesses? Let's make it happen.

- Your Highlight at location 2897-2898 | Added on Thursday, 22 April 2021 22:34:17

The mental Singularity creates matter out of itself, and then continuously controls it. At this very instant, the physical universe is being controlled by a Cosmic Mind at the center of the universe,

- Your Highlight at location 2959-2961 | Added on Thursday, 22 April 2021 22:41:11

Why are dreams so strange? It's because we have a reduced will in our dreams. Our objective mind has become passive and now our subjective mind is active, spewing out all manner of bizarre content. Our dreams are a form of madness. If they spill into waking reality then we become crazy.

- Your Highlight at location 3000-3001 | Added on Thursday, 22 April 2021 22:46:55

Medicine would be revolutionized if scientists understood that illness can have two independent causes: syntactic and semantic.

- Your Highlight at location 3048-3051 | Added on Thursday, 22 April 2021 22:59:57

Love needs to be reason's servant, not the master that turns reason into its opposite, unreason. Everywhere you look there are people in love with unreasonable things, and these are what generate humanity's problems. Nothing has done more harm to the world than love of God, love of family, love of tribe, and love of self. The last thing we would look to in order to solve humanity's problems is love.

- Your Highlight at location 3258-3265 | Added on Saturday, 24 April 2021 23:30:28

Autistics can't communicate and can't make effective decisions because they can't see the wood for the trees. They can't produce an executive

summary. They can't filter information. Schizophrenics can't filter out all of the information being thrown at them by the id, superego, anima/animus, shadow, persona, mana personalities, and so on. It all gets turned into a huge toxic babble. Consciousness can't control it, and is overwhelmed and rendered irrelevant. Madness is the result. Schizophrenics, like autistics, can't produce an executive summary and can't filter information. Schizophrenics are overwhelmed by their intuitions, and autistics by their senses. All the different mental disorders are problems of consciousness, of defective consciousness.

- Your Highlight at location 3329-3332 | Added on Saturday, 24 April 2021 23:39:53

The subjective mind is the Mind at Large and has stored everything we have ever seen, heard and experienced. You can get your unconscious mind to solve problems for you. If you're well enough attuned with your subjective mind, you can prime it with a difficult question just before you go to sleep, and when you wake up it will hand you the answer on a plate. Easy! You don't need to do any work at all. Just let your inner Genius sort it all out.

- Your Highlight at location 3429-3435 | Added on Sunday, 25 April 2021 22:57:26

Why is there a reason for everything? Because everything is reason. The universe is made of reason. Feelings have their reasons. Intuitions have their reasons. Perceptions have their reasons. Errors have their reasons. Love has its reason. "Reason" comes in many forms, both semantic and syntactic. Reason is normally associated with syntax and logic, but it has a much greater range than that. Everything in the universe has a sufficient reason. Nothing happens without a reason. If there weren't a reason for everything, things would happen for no reason, and that would mean that we live in an irrational universe of magic, miracles and chaos. We don't.

- Your Highlight at location 3599-3600 | Added on Sunday, 25 April 2021 23:20:19

"If people cannot write well, they cannot think well, and if they cannot think well, others will do their thinking for them."—George Orwell

- Your Highlight at location 3981-3987 | Added on Tuesday, 4 May 2021 22:24:02

If you make mathematical minds the sole reality (via Leibnizian monads) then the mathematical syntax of the minds is also the mathematical syntax of the world (since the world is constructed by and from the monadic minds). Moreover, the semantics of the minds are also the semantics of the world. The teleology of minds and the world become the same thing. In other words, ontological mathematics provides the sole rational means of combining syntax and semantics in a single ontological and epistemological system which answers all syntactic questions and also all semantic questions. This is the Holy Grail of philosophy, and only ontological

mathematics has found it. Ontological mathematicians are the true Grail Knights, and Illuminism is the Church of the Grail.

- Your Highlight at location 4057-4058 | Added on Tuesday, 4 May 2021 22:40:21

In ontological mathematics, by complete contrast, the Principle of Sufficient Reason is built into the system (which is entirely founded on the PSR), so everything automatically has a proper reason.

- Your Highlight at location 4060-4061 | Added on Tuesday, 4 May 2021 22:41:01

In ontological mathematics, final causes are embedded in the system, and are driving formal, material and efficient causes.

- Your Highlight at location 4069-4071 | Added on Tuesday, 4 May 2021 22:42:19

In ontological mathematics, "matter" (content) is the semantic expression of form (syntax). Final causation—teleology—drives the whole system, and controls both syntax and semantics. "Efficient causation" is merely the step by step unfolding of the causal processes inherent in the system.

- Your Highlight at location 4135-4135 | Added on Wednesday, 5 May 2021 22:27:01

Every soul is a mirror of the universe. We are all reflected in each other.

- Your Highlight at location 4155-4156 | Added on Wednesday, 5 May 2021 22:31:20

If you can create a dream body for use in your own dreams, can someone else insert a dream body into a domain accessible by your mind, which you perceive as a "ghost"?

- Your Highlight at location 4221-4223 | Added on Sunday, 9 May 2021 20:53:37

The collection of all points is a monad (one whole monad). The collection of all whole monads is the Whole (one whole universe). Each monad is a singularity, and the collection of all monads is a Singularity. There is nothing outside the universe. It is the All.

- Your Highlight at location 4369-4372 | Added on Sunday, 9 May 2021 21:13:40

You cannot program a monad, you cannot replicate a monad. A simulation of a monad is not a monad. The God Project involves simulating monads to learn more about them. It does not involve trying to make monads. That's impossible. However, the God Project also involves a strand to build a machine of sufficient complexity that it could host a real monad, and thus initiate communication with the monad.

- Your Highlight at location 4641-4643 | Added on Monday, 10 May 2021 23:08:25

We are for intelligent free speech, not any old free speech. Any old free speech should be banned.

- Your Highlight at location 4660-4661 | Added on Monday, 10 May 2021 23:10:03

Ontological mathematics is the source code. That's where reality can be hacked, by the use of mind-created mathematical functions that are not present in ordinary spacetime Nature.

- Your Highlight at location 4691-4693 | Added on Monday, 10 May 2021 23:13:38

part like a living organism, and part machine-like. At the beginning of the universe, syntax rules. At the end, semantics rules. In the middle, syntax and semantics have similar weight and influence. The rise of consciousness starts driving semantic dominance.

- Your Highlight at location 4806-4810 | Added on Tuesday, 11 May 2021 17:54:59

Syntactic thinking happens unconsciously. Semantic thinking is originally unconscious and passive. However, it can evolve a conscious aspect and become active. Because semantic thinking is always accompanied by syntax (the other side of the ontological coin), every semantic thought has syntactic implications, just as every syntactic thought has semantic implications. So, by thinking semantically, we can alter the syntax of the universe, which is exactly why our thoughts are translated into actions in the physical world. Also, the syntactic thinking of the universe produces semantic outcomes, which are what we perceive, what we detect without our senses.

- Your Highlight at location 4864-4868 | Added on Tuesday, 11 May 2021 19:08:45

You have to work out a remote syntax, rather than a local syntax. You have to direct sinusoids that are under the control of the Mind Collective (or even of other individual monads just like you). You can do so in only two circumstances ... 1) by harnessing the incredible power of your unconscious—usually through purely intuitive means, of which you have no conscious awareness, 2) or by achieving a godlike mind, with godlike powers; like Neo in The Matrix, matter will come under your full mental control. Neo has full semantic control, i.e. whatever he wills, he can make happen syntactically.

- Your Highlight at location 4901-4905 | Added on Tuesday, 11 May 2021 19:12:09

The frequency domain can be experienced but it is not a sensory experience in the Kantian definition of the sensibility. That is, no experience of extension, colors, sights, sounds, touch etc. Instead, the experience of the frequency domain is an intuitive experience and can be likened to the 'downloading of data'. Similar to when one has grand realizations and understandings during the psychedelic experience. Not the sensory hallucinations associated with these drugs but rather the ideas 'felt to be true'."

- Your Highlight at location 4939-4942 | Added on Tuesday, 11 May 2021 19:16:37

What is energy? Energy is the PSR. Energy goes hand in hand with motion. The PSR is a language—mathematics. Mathematics is the language of energy, of motion, of waves. Each basic unit of energy is a sinusoidal thought. A sinusoid is a unit of intelligence, of reason, of rational thought, i.e. energy = thought = mathematics = sinusoids.

- Your Highlight at location 4979-4980 | Added on Tuesday, 11 May 2021 22:51:38

In ontological mathematics, energy has both a syntax and semantics, hence is a language. Any language is associated with thought, not with "thingyness".

The Language of Reality: The Answer to Existence (The Truth Series Book 4) (Stark, Dr. Thomas)

- Your Highlight at location 65-66 | Added on Wednesday, 24 November 2021 00:04:59

To this day, there is an almighty clash between those who support Plato (rationalists), and those who support Aristotle (empiricists).

- Your Highlight at location 74-79 | Added on Wednesday, 24 November 2021 00:05:42

Nobel laureate Richard Feynman notoriously said, "Nobody understands quantum mechanics." Physicist Lev Vaidman said, "I don't feel that I don't understand quantum mechanics. But there is a high price to be paid for that understanding—admitting the existence of parallel universes. If you don't admit many-worlds, there is no way to have a coherent picture." Roger Penrose said, "Quantum mechanics makes absolutely no sense." Einstein said, "If it is correct, it signifies the end of physics as a science." John Wheeler said, "If you are not completely confused by quantum mechanics, you do not understand it." Erwin Schrödinger said, "I do not like it, and I am sorry I ever had anything to do with it."

- Your Highlight at location 89-90 | Added on Wednesday, 24 November 2021 00:06:16

In philosophy, the rationalist school comprising Descartes, Spinoza and Leibniz squared off against the empiricist school comprising Locke, Berkeley and Hume.

- Your Highlight at location 243-246 | Added on Friday, 26 November 2021 00:10:07

These four types of thinking constitute an ascending scale of how to grasp reality and truth. We go from conjecture (Eikasia) to belief (Pistis) to thought (Dianoia), and finally to understanding (Noesis). However, it is not sufficient for the philosopher to understand the Forms and nothing else. He must also understand the relation of the Forms to each other, and to all of the levels below. Only then will he understand the whole world.

- Your Highlight at location 276-280 | Added on Sunday, 28 November 2021 02:35:15

Plato and Aristotle were right all along. Form is the intelligible aspect of reality. But Plato and Aristotle both got it wrong regarding "matter". Matter is not something separate from form that can enter into combinations with form or be imprinted by form. "Matter" is the experience—the sensation, the perception, the emotion, the intuition, the thought—that form inherently carries. It is the information carried and not the information carrier. Plato and Aristotle made matter and form separate things. That was their mistake. They are in fact two sides of one coin.

- Your Highlight at location 318-319 | Added on Sunday, 28 November 2021 02:41:00

You have been taught in science that the first element is hydrogen, with an atomic number of 1. In fact, the first element is light, with an atomic number of zero.

- Your Highlight at location 322-322 | Added on Sunday, 28 November 2021 02:41:48

Hydrogen atoms are physical atoms. Photons are mental atoms.

- Your Highlight at location 413-415 | Added on Monday, 29 November 2021 23:35:38

What preceded matter was pure light, light in itself. All matter is derived from light. The state that preceded the Big Bang was a state of pure light, obeying the simplest possible symmetry, the U(1) gauge symmetry associated with light (electromagnetism).

- Your Highlight at location 417-420 | Added on Monday, 29 November 2021 23:37:12

The simplest symmetry is the mind symmetry. All the other symmetries that science describes are matter symmetries, derived from mind symmetry via various symmetry-breaking operations caused by the wave property of non-orthogonal phase.

- Your Highlight at location 435-436 | Added on Monday, 29 November 2021 23:40:39

When you switch on a light bulb, you are not creating light. You are creating a spacetime, material interaction with light, which you then misinterpret because you are seeing reality from the viewpoint of spacetime, and not from the viewpoint of the photons, outside spacetime.

- Your Highlight at location 440-444 | Added on Monday, 29 November 2021 23:43:07

The mental domain comprises radio waves, microwaves, infrared waves, visible light, ultraviolet, x-rays, gamma radiation, and other components that haven't even been named, which we shall call ultra-low frequencies at one end of the spectrum, and ultra high frequencies at the other end of the spectrum. We live in two worlds simultaneously. Our body is in the spacetime world of matter (with atoms of atomic number greater than zero), and our mind is in the non-spacetime world of light (with atoms of atomic number zero = photons).

- Your Note at location 456 | Added on Monday, 29 November 2021 23:47:44

So matter is ONE of the products of light. I wonder what the others are?

- Your Highlight at location 455-456 | Added on Monday, 29 November 2021 23:47:44

Matter is one of light's primary products, and is created via applying complex symmetries to simple light symmetry via the mathematical property of phase.

- Your Highlight at location 555-556 | Added on Tuesday, 30 November 2021 23:42:28

Time is not the agent of change, motion is. Motion does not need time. Photons are in motion but do not experience time (or space).

- Your Note at location 844 | Added on Saturday, 4 December 2021 01:32:13

This is truly a most astonishingly ignorant characterisation of alchemy. Surely, the author(s) must be aware that the alchemists' search to transmute base metal into gold is an allegory of the spiritual quest for illumination. For fuck's sake, 'Dr' David Stark, come on! We expect better than this!

- Your Highlight at location 843-844 | Added on Saturday, 4 December 2021 01:32:13

If you removed mathematics from science, it would become alchemy, and be nothing but a strange religion devoted to the miracle of turning base metal into gold.

- Your Highlight at location 859-863 | Added on Saturday, 4 December 2021 01:34:41

People say that we are made of stardust. The exact opposite is true. Stardust is made of us. It's made, ultimately, of photons that come from us and from all other monads. The physical universe is our collective creation, through which we eventually come to conscious awareness of what we really are: eternal, intelligent energy systems, made of mathematical frequencies, which are simply photons. Each of us is a massless, immaterial, complete collection of photons outside space and time. That's what a mind is—a photonic living, teleological organism. Religious people call it a "soul".

- Your Highlight at location 866-868 | Added on Saturday, 4 December 2021 01:35:07

The Singularity has a key property called "phase". Only axial phase shifts of ninety degrees (orthogonal phase shift) are permitted outside space, time and matter. To introduce space, time and matter is simplicity itself. All you have to do is break orthogonal phase. The universe of space, time and matter is the universe of non-axial, non-orthogonal phase. It really is that simple.

- Your Highlight at location 910-912 | Added on Saturday, 4 December 2021 01:40:22

Light, which is to say mathematics, is the answer to everything. Every single thing in existence is an aspect of light and its properties. The primary field of existence is the electromagnetic field, and all other fields are derived from it via symmetry operations resulting from non-orthogonal phase.

- Your Note at location 972 | Added on Sunday, 5 December 2021 00:02:44

But isn't this exactly the same argument used to claim that zero, or nothingness, is the sum of all positives and negatives?

- Your Highlight at location 971-972 | Added on Sunday, 5 December 2021 00:02:45

The law of conservation of energy is no such thing if you can produce as much energy as you like, whenever you like, provided that you can offset it by another vast amount of energy with the opposite sign.

- Your Highlight at location 1046-1047 | Added on Monday, 6 December 2021 00:06:33

The fact that energy exists forever and has a value of zero under all circumstances means that there are four different types of eternal energy: positive, negative, real and imaginary. Euler's Formula, in its most generalized form, allows for the generation of all such energies.

- Your Highlight at location 1128-1131 | Added on Monday, 6 December 2021 00:17:55

Euler's Formula generates a pair of matched sine and cosine waves, and we define this configuration as the true basis of photonic existence, i.e. of massless, dimensionless waves that travel at light speed. An outstanding task is to formally derive Maxwell's electromagnetic equations from Euler's Formula but that involves a great deal of work interfacing ontological mathematics with physics. That requires someone of the caliber of Maxwell himself.

- Your Highlight at location 1134-1138 | Added on Monday, 6 December 2021 00:19:03

Photons travel at light speed in the mental domain, not in the physical domain. Humans perceive light to be moving because although we imagine ourselves to be virtually stationary in space, we are actually moving at near light speed through time (imaginary space). It is our motion that creates the impression that photons are moving with respect to spacetime. In fact, photons are stationary in terms of spacetime ... because they are not in spacetime at all. Spacetime moves through the photonic universe. Photons don't move through the spacetime universe.

- Your Highlight at location 1326-1328 | Added on Tuesday, 7 December 2021 23:47:03

Where Murphy's law says that anything that can go wrong, will go wrong, Sod's law says that it always goes wrong with the worst possible outcome. As for Finagle's corollary to Murphy's law, it says, "Anything that can go wrong, will—at the worst possible moment."

- Your Highlight at location 2140-2142 | Added on Wednesday, 15 December 2021 23:52:55

In a mental world, you have minds that think singly and collectively. When they think singly, they produce subjectivity. When they think collectively,

they produce objectivity. All minds are involved in the production of the objects, and all minds can perceive them and interact with them.

- Your Highlight at location 2254-2255 | Added on Saturday, 18 December 2021 00:31:24

Imagine a God who rewarded the intelligent and punished the stupid. Everything would change.

- Your Highlight at location 2275-2284 | Added on Saturday, 18 December 2021 00:36:51

In one of his greatest insights, Nietzsche wrote, "I am afraid we are not rid of God because we still have faith in grammar." It was through manmade language that "God" came into being, and it was also through manmade language that reason was catastrophically misappropriated and perverted. Rather than perform its true function—to serve numbers and ontological mathematics (the language of Nature)—reason was forced into the service of words, manmade languages, and feelings, intuitions, and the senses. It is because reason has been placed in the wrong domain that it is so often used wrongly by human beings to reach absurd conclusions. Kurt Gödel wrote, "But every error is due to extraneous factors (such as emotion and education); reason itself does not err." Reason does err—semantically, not syntactically. It errs when it is removed from its proper ontological function in Nature and commandeered by manmade language, where it has no natural role at all, and any old nonsense can be produced via "reason", hence all of the absurd religions, philosophies and ideologies of humanity, with which history is littered, and hence all of the crazy "rational" conclusions drawn by modern science.

- Your Note at location 2291 | Added on Saturday, 18 December 2021 00:45:56

How other than manmade language are we then to discuss the subjective, semantic aspects of ontological mathematics? If number is the appropriate way to discuss reality, why have none of the GS or TS books ever attempted to discuss the semantics of particular numbers and how these might be composed by, for example, addition or multiplication?

- Your Highlight at location 2289-2291 | Added on Saturday, 18 December 2021 00:45:56

Humanity is configured wrongly for thinking ... because we use manmade words rather than natural numbers. Our manmade languages with their manmade syntax and semantics have served to conceal the rational basis of reality from us, i.e. the syntax and semantics of ontological mathematics, of mathematical sinusoids.

- Your Highlight at location 2296-2297 | Added on Saturday, 18 December 2021 00:46:47

Wittgenstein wrote, "Philosophy is a battle against the bewitchment of our intelligence by means of language."

- Your Highlight at location 2317-2318 | Added on Saturday, 18 December 2021 00:48:31

"Whereof one cannot speak, thereof one must be silent."—Wittgenstein

- Your Highlight at location 2347-2349 | Added on Saturday, 18 December 2021 00:56:22

Wittgenstein is remarkable as a philosopher for getting almost everything wrong. He was philosophy's prime victim of the bewitchment of language. Wittgenstein has had a catastrophic effect on the development of philosophy. He has put it back at least a hundred years.

- Your Note at location 2410 | Added on Sunday, 19 December 2021 23:58:11

In theory, perhaps, but thus far the GS & TS haven't actually shown any real-world applications.

- Your Highlight at location 2410-2410 | Added on Sunday, 19 December 2021 23:58:11

Ontological mathematics can do everything that science does, and infinitely more, all in accordance with reason and logic

- Your Highlight at location 2512-2516 | Added on Monday, 20 December 2021 00:12:32

A monad necessarily constitutes an entire set of sinusoids. It's impossible for it to have any fewer sinusoids, or any more. Every monad is composed in exactly the same way. At the ultimate level, we are all absolutely identical. What make us different is that each of each of us has a unique location in the collection of all monads, and this leads to a unique environment and unique evolutionary trajectory and history. It's the environment that furnishes difference, not the inherent nature of the monad.

- Your Highlight at location 2521-2523 | Added on Monday, 20 December 2021 00:13:02

The monad has no unique, inbuilt nature, character or personality. (There is nothing to give it one; there is no Creator.) All of these monads develop uniquely through their interaction with the environment.

- Your Highlight at location 2529-2533 | Added on Monday, 20 December 2021 23:43:46

A monad—a mind—is compounded from its own sinusoids. All other entities are compounded from sinusoids provided by all monads. A mind is "simple" because it relies purely on its own sinusoids. All other entities are complex. Complex entities can exist only because simple entities exist. Monads cannot be broken up. They cannot cease to exist. They cannot come into being. They are eternal. By contrast, compounds come in and out of being via their parts which can enter or leave relationships with each other.

- Your Highlight at location 2677-2681 | Added on Wednesday, 22 December 2021 23:52:53

Reality is an unconscious language seeking to become conscious of itself, and it does so through us. We are living nodes of the cosmic language. The task of reality is to accomplish perfect self-awareness by becoming perfectly, consciously fluent in its own language ... and thus able to tell itself exactly what it is. Language is the cosmic mirror in which reality finds its reflection. The language in question is mathematics. The meaning of existence is to achieve total understanding of existence. Otherwise, what would be the point?

- Your Highlight at location 2688-2689 | Added on Wednesday, 22 December 2021 23:54:13

Given that purposes could not exist in a universe without purposes, the very fact that we exist and have purposes proves that we live in a purposeful universe, hence that existence—in and of itself—is imbued with striving, with purpose.

- Your Highlight at location 2695-2701 | Added on Wednesday, 22 December 2021 23:55:38

Existence cannot be separated from the Will to Perfection. The purpose of Existence is to become perfect. However, perfection has a fatal imperfection ... it can no longer grow, change, and pursue perfection. It is a sterile state that stands as the negation of itself. A system based on the pursuit of perfection cannot stop once it has achieved perfection because then its inherent ontological purpose—the pursuit of perfection—would no longer exist, which is a contradiction in terms. So, existence seeks to achieve perfection, and, as soon as it does so, it starts again—via Divine Suicide, the Big Bang—where it makes itself maximally imperfect, so that it can begin all over again its pursuit of perfection, its existential purpose.

- Your Highlight at location 2765-2768 | Added on Friday, 24 December 2021 00:09:43

One monad is least affected by the Big Bang, hence retains more of its divine nature than any other. This is the most powerful, godlike monad, and by default it becomes the Lord of the Material Universe (the Demiurge). It believes that the false world of matter is the true world, and it believes itself the True God, and it will tolerate no "idols"—just check out the first four of the Ten Commandments. However, it is a fundamentally deluded God, a False God ... a Demiurge in Gnostic terms.

- Your Highlight at location 2769-2773 | Added on Friday, 24 December 2021 00:10:01

The first monad to realize the terrible truth is the dialectical antithesis of the Demiurge Monad. This first rebel, first heretic, first infidel, first apostate, first blasphemer is the second most powerful monad left by the cataclysm of the Big Bang. Instead of concentrating on matter (the province of the Demiurge), it dialectically concentrates on non-matter: mind, light,

reason, mathematics. This rebel monad is Lucifer, the Angel of Light, the Light Bearer, the sworn enemy of the Demiurge.

- Your Highlight at location 2773-2779 | Added on Friday, 24 December 2021 00:11:18

If the Demiurge is masculine (the dialectical thesis), Lucifer is feminine (the dialectical antithesis). But the Hegelian dialectic needs a third phase—the synthesis. The third most powerful monad in the cosmos takes this role, yet its destiny it to overtake and become more powerful than either the Demiurge or Lucifer. This is the Abraxas Monad, the seed of the True God that will be brought into being at the Omega Point, the conclusion of the universe's life cycle. Abraxas understands that the truth will be produced from mind and matter, not from matter alone, or mind alone. He will use his reason to work out how mind and matter relate to each other. Only then will he know the whole of existence.

- Your Highlight at location 2779-2781 | Added on Friday, 24 December 2021 00:12:19

Scientific materialism and mainstream religion are the Demiurge's work. Esotericism is Lucifer's work, while philosophy, metaphysics and mathematics is the work of Abraxas. Illuminism reflects Luciferian esotericism, but is primarily Abraxian.

- Your Highlight at location 2820-2822 | Added on Friday, 24 December 2021 00:17:24

Existence is a language talking to itself about itself; an unconscious language seeking to become perfect, a language expressed imperfectly that can in due course be expressed perfectly. Mathematics is the language of existence, and the only possible way in which it can communicate with itself and become perfect.

- Your Highlight at location 3204-3204 | Added on Monday, 27 December 2021 23:30:39

A photon is simply a flowing point.

- Your Highlight at location 3427-3428 | Added on Thursday, 30 December 2021 22:48:52

The quest for meaning is invariably the quest to enter into the proper relationship with perfection.

- Your Highlight at location 3975-3977 | Added on Monday, 3 January 2022 23:57:35

However, you are reset with every Big Bang, although, due to the asymmetric nature of this event, you may hang on to traces of the divine state you attained at the end of the previous universal lifecycle. This is your Higher Self, your guide, your mentor, your daemon.

- Your Highlight at location 4013-4015 | Added on Tuesday, 4 January 2022 22:55:55

All sinusoids outside space and time are independent (orthogonal; not in combination with other sinusoids). All sinusoids inside space and time are dependent (non-orthogonal; in combination with other sinusoids).

- Your Highlight at location 4105-4107 | Added on Tuesday, 4 January 2022 23:12:04

In the case of manmade languages, the connection between semantics and syntax is arbitrary, as recognized by Swiss linguist and semiotician Ferdinand de Saussure in his analysis of signs. In Nature's language—ontological mathematics—the connection between syntax and semantics is fixed, necessary and absolute.

- Your Highlight at location 4121-4122 | Added on Tuesday, 4 January 2022 23:14:00

There is no such thing as an idea without a syntax and semantics. There is no such thing as an idea without an ontology and epistemology.

- Your Highlight at location 4134-4138 | Added on Wednesday, 5 January 2022 22:40:32

All of reality must be considered in terms of two types of linked determinism: syntactic determinism and semantic determinism. Syntactic determinism is "autopilot" determinism, of the sort that featured in the clockwork universe conceived by classical science. Semantic determinism is the sort of determinism used in free will. Science has never understood semantic determinism, while religion has been obsessed with it, while ignoring syntactic determinism.

- Your Highlight at location 4259-4261 | Added on Friday, 7 January 2022 23:44:31

Returning to our question, why do we refer to ontological mathematics as a dual-aspect monism? The dual aspect does not refer to mind and matter but to syntax and semantics.

- Your Highlight at location 4265-4267 | Added on Sunday, 9 January 2022 23:05:31

There is in fact another way in which ontological mathematics irreducibly has a dual aspect. It must operate in terms of monadic minds that function in both an individual, independent (autonomous) mode, and a collective, dependent (non-autonomous) mode. It is through the latter means that the objective, "physical", shared, public universe is created.

- Your Highlight at location 4356-4359 | Added on Monday, 10 January 2022 23:17:52

Motion through imaginary space is what humanity describes as "the passage of time". Time seems odd precisely because we cannot perceive imaginary numbers. In the same way that evolution had to choose between showing us syntax or semantics, and chose semantics, it had to choose between showing us space or time, and it chose space.

- Your Highlight at location 4385-4388 | Added on Monday, 10 January 2022 23:21:09

Enlightenment is correctly understanding the nature of reality as it truly is. Enlightenment is both ontological and epistemological, both syntactic and semantic. The ultimate task is to be able to do whatever you like merely by willing it. You can accomplish this only if your semantic intentions can be converted into syntactic operations in the real world, and this can happen—across all of your undertakings—only if you have complete knowledge and mastery of ontology.

- Your Highlight at location 4569-4572 | Added on Monday, 10 January 2022 23:35:44

Here's the First Law of Human Nature: always reject everything you don't understand, and always claim that what you do understand is all you need, and will definitely make you enlightened ... and attack anyone who says anything different. It's all about you. Who cares about the Truth?

- Your Highlight at location 4871-4874 | Added on Saturday, 15 January 2022 00:02:29

The answer to existence is that existence is an eternal language, a language that solves itself, optimizes itself, perfects itself, and finally speaks to itself. It tells itself exactly what it is. It is a language that becomes conscious of itself. It might seem as though humans became conscious through language, but in fact language became conscious through humans (and all similar and higher intelligences).

- Your Highlight at location 4877-4878 | Added on Saturday, 15 January 2022 00:04:29

we are living language nodes of a living cosmic language that is using us to become conscious of itself.

The Book of Mind: Seeking Gnosis (The Truth Series Book 5) (Stark, Dr. Thomas)

- Your Highlight on page 5 | location 81-85 | Added on Friday, 21 January 2022 00:38:59

Enlightenment is not a subjective state, it's objective. It is not particular, it is universal. It's not your limited, private experiences that take you to enlightenment, but your awareness, knowledge and understanding of the universal principles of existence. Enlightenment is about escaping from the particular to the universal, escaping from the local to the non-local, escaping from your limited experiences to the unlimited experiences associated with linking to the entire universe. Above all, it's about escaping from the parts to the whole. Enlightenment is a holographic process.

- Your Highlight on page 27 | location 510-514 | Added on Sunday, 23 January 2022 23:37:08

Science's account of light is totally incoherent. Light is the thing science least understands. For something to be absolute means that it is uncreated and uncaused. For something to be relative means that is created and caused. "Absolute", "eternal" and "necessary" all go together, while "relative", "temporal" and "contingent" all go together.

- Your Highlight on page 28 | location 539-541 | Added on Sunday, 23 January 2022 23:40:42

The light domain is the true ether, the stationary (in spacetime terms) absolute reference frame against which all spacetime reference frames are measured. The light domain is not a stationary spacetime ether, but, instead, a stationary frequency Singularity ether.

- Your Highlight on page 30 | location 569-572 | Added on Sunday, 23 January 2022 23:45:01

Fitzgerald and Lorentz said objects contract and space doesn't, while Einstein said objects did not contract in their own uncontracted space, but would seem to contract from the perspective of any other relative spacetime reference frame. For Fitzgerald and Lorentz, if you accelerated a spacecraft towards light speed, the spacecraft would contract absolutely and be crushed (!). For Einstein, if you were travelling in a spacetime reference frame close to light speed, you would notice nothing different, and certainly wouldn't be crushed.

- Your Highlight on page 31 | location 580-583 | Added on Sunday, 23 January 2022 23:46:48

Light is absolute, eternal and necessary. Light does not travel through spacetime. This is a spacetime illusion, which we can't avoid given that our senses are predicated on spacetime and not on frequency. Spacetime in fact moves through light (in a complex Fourier mathematical way).

- Your Highlight on page 32 | location 604-606 | Added on Sunday, 23 January 2022 23:49:36

It is often loosely said that Einstein proved that everything is relative. In fact, Einstein, Maxwell, Fitzgerald and Lorentz all proved, even though they didn't realize it, that light is the absolute substance of existence, which is why the speed of light is absolute, and why all spacetime speeds and frames of reference are relative to it.

- Your Highlight on page 34 | location 636-639 | Added on Sunday, 23 January 2022 23:54:08

There is no sufficient reason why any particular monad should break the symmetry of the collection of all monads. In fact, it must be the entire collection that does so. The acquisition of a perfect symmetry state across the entire collection of monads immediately and necessarily leads to an asymmetry event across the entire collection of monads, meaning that every monad is automatically involved in the Big Bang.

- Your Highlight on page 34 | location 641-642 | Added on Sunday, 23 January 2022 23:55:09

The disturbing truth is that, just as we are all God, we are all the Demiurge too. We are the Devil and we are hell.

- Your Highlight on page 37 | location 689-690 | Added on Monday, 24 January 2022 00:00:08

It's imperative not to get "lost in remembering". You must always strive to create new and better memories, not continually dwell on the old.

- Your Highlight on page 39 | location 738-741 | Added on Monday, 24 January 2022 00:06:14

When we go to sleep, our mind normally ceases to take input from the outside world and instead takes input from our inside world (the world of the unconscious). An OBE occurs when our body goes to sleep but our mind remains connected to the outside world. The trick to having OBEs is to control the switch that takes us from external to internal mental processing as our body falls asleep.

- Your Highlight on page 40 | location 748-750 | Added on Monday, 24 January 2022 00:07:08

There will be those who say that the earliest Illuminists were exactly those humans that first mastered OBEs. We will neither confirm nor deny this. What we will say is that OBEs have always been of the utmost interest to the Illuminati.

- Your Highlight on page 42 | location 785-787 | Added on Monday, 24 January 2022 00:09:51

During sleep, consciousness effectively cedes power to the unconscious. To perform lucid dreaming, consciousness has to prevent itself from transferring control to the unconscious mind. In an OBE, consciousness has to prevent itself from going into a passive state with its body and surrendering control to the unconscious.

- Your Highlight on page 42 | location 798-799 | Added on Monday, 24 January 2022 00:11:21

The task is not to become conscious in dreaming, it's to become actively rather than passively conscious, and that control is certainly of use in OBEs.

- Your Highlight on page 44 | location 824-828 | Added on Monday, 24 January 2022 00:13:42

The best philosopher to which you have direct access to is your own Higher Unconscious, your Socratic "daemon". If you pose it problems, it will work on those problems and pass answers and insights to you in your dreams. Sadly, most of these are promptly forgotten, but, because they are now part of your unconscious, they can suddenly present themselves to you, especially if you are daydreaming, or walking or running. Intuitives have much better access to this unconscious material than sensing types, who are locked into the physical world by their senses.

- Your Highlight on page 46 | location 860-864 | Added on Monday, 24 January 2022 00:16:44

Geniuses, over the ensuing period, are then consciously presented—via intuitive flashes—with fragments of the answers they have worked out in their sleep but forgotten. If they are diligent, they will gradually recover the lost thoughts in full. This, in fact, is how most geniuses operate. They painstakingly recreate knowledge they have first figured out in their dreams. By dwelling on the problem, for days, weeks and months on end, the knowledge is gradually retrieved. On lucky occasions, a flash of inspiration and intuition—a Eureka Moment—can bring it all back at once.

- Your Highlight on page 47 | location 885-887 | Added on Monday, 24 January 2022 23:29:54

knowledge can only be regained through the use of our reason, contemplating things with the soul (noesis).

- Your Highlight on page 47 | location 889-889 | Added on Monday, 24 January 2022 23:30:05

One can only know eternal truths, for they are the only truths that can have been in the soul from eternity.

- Your Highlight on page 48 | location 905-907 | Added on Monday, 24 January 2022 23:31:56

The process of incarnation is described in Neoplatonism as a trauma that causes the soul to forget its experiences (and often its divine origins as well)."

- Your Highlight on page 55 | location 1035-1039 | Added on Monday, 24 January 2022 23:45:21

The material world is constructed via each monadic mind supplying a lowenergy band of sinusoids that have the property of being able to enter into linear combinations with the sinusoids of other monads. From this, we

derive non-orthogonal phase and thus space, time and matter, which are the mathematical products of non-orthogonal phase. Space, time and matter are not "physical" things. They are syntactic mathematical things that we interpret semantically as physical things.

- Your Highlight on page 56 | location 1056-1059 | Added on Monday, 24 January 2022 23:47:42

The fastest route to becoming God is not through everyday consciousness—the reducing valve—but through the unconscious and the super conscious—the expansion valves. The task is to have better contact with both what lies below and what lies above the conscious mind, and better harness their energy and power. We have, in a manner of speaking, an Unconscious Self, a Conscious Self, and a Super Conscious Self.

- Your Highlight on page 58 | location 1097-1099 | Added on Monday, 24 January 2022 23:52:37

One of the tasks that members of the Illuminati were given in the past was to propose a mathematical means to create immortal and mortal souls. The aim of this test was to see how mathematically imaginative they could be, and to get them to focus on the differences between those who have radically different attitudes towards their own souls.

- Your Highlight on page 59 | location 1116-1117 | Added on Monday, 24 January 2022 23:54:03

It's sad that so many people proved incapable of reading the Tanner series in the manner we intended, but, hey, they're just proving the point that they belong to races 2) and 3).

- Your Highlight on page 60 | location 1121-1122 | Added on Saturday, 29 January 2022 00:05:11

If you want to be spoon fed wisdom, you will never be wise. We're not going to waste time on people who need to have everything painfully spelled out to them.

- Your Highlight on page 61 | location 1150-1152 | Added on Saturday, 29 January 2022 00:08:31

Everyone should take the Tanner books very seriously. They contain a multitude of coded, and not-so-coded, references to the history and practices of the Illuminati, often presented in terms of "thought experiments".

- Your Highlight on page 61 | location 1156-1157 | Added on Saturday, 29 January 2022 00:09:17

Anyone who imagines that Illuminism will succeed via highly technical books on mathematics and philosophy doesn't live in the real world.

- Your Highlight on page 63 | location 1179-1179 | Added on Saturday, 29 January 2022 00:11:23

The Soul Camera shows us exactly who is fit to join our ancient Order, and who might be fit in a future incarnation.

- Your Highlight on page 63 | location 1185-1186 | Added on Saturday, 29 January 2022 00:12:23

At all times, we are testing our readers. The Tanner books constitute part of the test.

- Your Highlight on page 67 | location 1265-1266 | Added on Saturday, 29 January 2022 00:22:26

A mind's thoughts are produced by the myriad ways in which it can combine its internal constituents. All thoughts are carried by light.

- Your Highlight on page 68 | location 1280-1282 | Added on Saturday, 29 January 2022 00:23:46

Only individual minds that have acquired enormous power and understanding—angelic or godlike minds—can start to alter the conditions of the physical world in spite of the fierce resistance of all the other minds.

- Your Highlight on page 72 | location 1353-1355 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 00:12:10

Just as our body is connected to a mind, the Cosmic Body (the Cosmos) is connected to a Cosmic Mind. The Cosmic Mind has a Collective Unconscious, which we can all tune into. This is where objective psychic phenomena typically occur.

- Your Highlight on page 74 | location 1400-1401 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 00:16:55

The Collective Mind dreams, just as individual minds do. But in this case there is no conscious ego to watch the dream. The Archetypes of the Collective Unconscious watch the dream.

- Your Highlight on page 74 | location 1404-1405 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 00:18:00

Our will to power, as it manifests itself in the physical world, is connected to hormones, and as these decline in potency as we age, our will to power diminishes.

- Your Highlight on page 75 | location 1420-1421 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 00:19:19

"Spiritual" drugs exist, but of course those that have them and know about them are hardly going to put that knowledge in the public arena! Pearls before swine, and all that.

- Your Highlight on page 75 | location 1423-1424 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 00:20:05

Many people want to take DMT to tear the veil aside. In fact, understanding the ontology of Fourier mathematics tears the veil aside better than anything else. Enlightenment is an intellectual undertaking, not spiritual or empirical.

- Your Highlight on page 76 | location 1429-1431 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 00:20:50

Although the total quantity of information is conserved, the quality of information is always increasing, until it reaches its maximum at the Omega Point, the culmination of the universe ... the God State.

- Your Highlight on page 76 | location 1437-1437 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 00:22:08

Monadic minds (souls) are "naked singularities", i.e. they do not have event horizons.

- Your Highlight on page 77 | location 1445-1447 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 00:23:40

Naked singularities, such as the Big Bang Singularity, create space and time (and individual mental singularities do so in dreams ... every new dream is created by an internal, mental Big Bang, i.e. the dreamworld erupts out of the mental singularity that creates it, and undergoes a Big Crunch at the end of the dream).

- Your Highlight on page 77 | location 1457-1459 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 00:25:43

Euler's Formula is actually all you need. If you understood it inside out, you would achieve gnosis. That's why it's called the God Equation. However, it is the most complex entity in existence. Nothing is more deceptive than its apparent simplicity.

- Your Highlight on page 80 | location 1501-1503 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 00:29:07

Thinking consciously in a radically new way—using numbers, patterns and functions rather than words and verbal concepts—is exactly what "gnosis" means. That's when you become God. You cannot become God thinking in English, or any human language. You can only become God if you think in existence's own language, thus allowing you to consciously manipulate the source code in which everything is written.

- Your Highlight on page 81 | location 1514-1516 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 00:30:23

True consciousness is the consciousness that results from the use of reality's own language—mathematics. This is of course a fantastically difficult consciousness to attain, and the biggest obstacle to achieving it is the false consciousness in which we are raised.

- Your Highlight on page 81 | location 1527-1531 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 00:32:42

In time, humans will think in completely different ways from how they do at the moment. New languages will take them much closer to true reality. Reality will no longer be mediated by invented words and concepts. It will be experienced directly in terms of its own semantics and syntax. We will no longer be alienated from reality and projecting an artificial, manmade sensibility onto it. We will be encountering it as it really is in itself, and we shall also encounter ourselves as we really are in ourselves, rather than as artificial constructs of artificial human consciousness.

- Your Highlight on page 90 | location 1683-1684 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 23:38:16

Every physical illness is an example of a corrupted physical syntax. A proper, healthy syntax has become damaged in some way, and the new, improper syntax is now causing problems. It is a diseased syntax. To cure the problem, the original syntax must be restored.

- Your Highlight on page 90 | location 1688-1691 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 23:39:33

Your mind—which is also made of sinusoids—is aware of what good, healthy syntax is because that's exactly what was in place originally. The mind's problem is how to correct the corrupted syntax. If your mind could rectify your body's sinusoidal patterns and restore them to the way they were before the disease struck, you would be cured. You need to carry out a reset, a restore, a system backup. But how? This is what medicine is all about.

- Your Highlight on page 90 | location 1696-1698 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 23:40:38

If our minds could be trained properly, drugs would never be required. Belief by itself appears able to restore correct function, correct syntax

- Your Highlight on page 91 | location 1703-1704 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 23:41:40

If you have a chain of semantic thoughts, this chain is automatically accompanied by a syntactic chain.

- Your Highlight on page 91 | location 1715-1719 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 23:43:46

when you are thinking semantically, you automatically get a syntactic outcome too. When you think syntactically, you think robotically, algorithmically, without meaning ... like a computer, a machine, a calculating device. The semantic level is passive rather than active. When you think semantically—which is the entire point of consciousness—the semantic level is active, and the syntactic level passive.

- Your Highlight on page 92 | location 1720-1721 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 23:45:13

The unconscious operates overwhelmingly at the syntactic rather than semantic level, or, alternatively, at a much more primitive semantic level revolving around the brain stem's narrow focus on the 4F's: fighting, fleeing, feeding, and fucking.

- Your Highlight on page 92 | location 1731-1732 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 23:48:04

never forget that the healthy syntax of your body is already stored in your unconscious. All you have to do is get in contact with this information, and your immune system will do the rest.

- Your Highlight on page 92 | location 1734-1738 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 23:49:21

Normally, your immune system is acting syntactically, unconsciously. A conscious belief gives the immune system a new dimension. It makes it much more active. It soups it up. It gives it a new pathway to the vital curative information stored syntactically. All you have to remember is that the semantic layer is the other side of the syntactic layer, so a semantic process can and does trigger syntactic change. It's not "belief" that is curing you, it's the fact that your belief has initiated a syntactic response that was otherwise unavailable to you.

- Your Highlight on page 93 | location 1744-1747 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 23:50:31

Your immune system can achieve wonders, but its powers wane as your will wanes. Old people have far more illnesses than young people because their will is much weaker, hence their immune system operates at a much lower level. Your immune system is tied to your will. Some people have a very strong will, and throw off illness easily. Others have a weak will and succumb rapidly to illness. It's as if they want to be ill!

- Your Highlight on page 96 | location 1796-1796 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 23:54:45

Every syntactic illness is linked to pain, its semantic accompaniment.

- Your Highlight on page 97 | location 1833-1835 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 23:57:42

a homeopathic medicine can deliver syntactic information at the frequency rather than spacetime level, hence can still work. Via an inverse Fourier transform, it gives the mind the information it needs to produce a spacetime syntactic response. The actual physical ingredient is no longer necessary.

- Your Highlight on page 98 | location 1848-1850 | Added on Sunday, 30 January 2022 23:59:10

Once a medical solution exists, its information exists in the ether, so to speak (in the Collective Unconscious), and is available for anyone to "download". Sheldrake Medicine is well worth investigating, but science will of course have nothing to do with it.

- Your Highlight on page 100 | location 1877-1879 | Added on Monday, 31 January 2022 00:01:39

There are not four fundamental forces. There is only one. The strong and weak nuclear forces and gravity are just aspects of electromagnetism, and electromagnetism is the basis of Fourier mathematics, so ultimately the only thing that happens in this universe, or any universe, is that monadic minds perform ontological Fourier mathematics.

- Your Highlight on page 109 | location 2057-2059 | Added on Wednesday, 2 February 2022 23:26:30

The Collective Unconscious is a kind of mental internet. Two individuals can establish a connection within the Collective Unconscious, linking just the two of them, and they can then place mental content there. The other will detect it, and thus two separate minds are exchanging direct thoughts and swapping secrets across any distance through no physical means.

- Your Highlight on page 110 | location 2076-2078 | Added on Wednesday, 2 February 2022 23:29:42

[NexS is based on a real drug, but we won't be revealing its identity since it's the key to some of the most important Illuminati rituals.]

- Your Highlight on page 112 | location 2115-2117 | Added on Wednesday, 2 February 2022 23:33:58

Yes, telepathy is highly dangerous, and it can certainly produce psychosis. In a sense, it's equivalent to voluntarily entering into multiple personality syndrome (dissociative identity disorder). If you did it too often, you would cease to be able to identify your own thoughts, and your own identity would start to disintegrate.

- Your Highlight on page 113 | location 2130-2134 | Added on Wednesday, 2 February 2022 23:35:30

There are two ways to think of hypnosis: 1) A hypnotist can induce left brain consciousness to become passive (to stop taking decisions and go into a quiescent state), and can then order it around, just as the right hemisphere once ordered it around in bicameral days. Or 2) The hypnotist can pacify the objective mind in the left hemisphere and then give orders to the subjective mind in the right hemisphere mind. It's possible that both alternatives are engaged.

- Your Highlight on page 117 | location 2207-2211 | Added on Thursday, 3 February 2022 23:09:09

People succumb to problems such as autism because their souls and will are not powerful enough to combat their genetic inheritance. Sufficiently powerful souls can either avoid potential genetic problems (by choosing a different body), or minimize their consequences if they do choose them. But never forget that most humans were animals in their previous lives, and they are still largely animals now. Nearly all right wingers were sharks, dogs, crocodiles, rats and cockroaches in their lives immediately prior to this one. They are not civilized, cultured beings.

- Your Highlight on page 117 | location 2213-2215 | Added on Thursday, 3 February 2022 23:10:24

Each new incarnation provides a higher ego, closer to your Higher Self. In the case of a highly rational INTJ, who understands Illuminism inside out, the Ego is very similar to the Higher Self! Precisely because it is very close to it because of its highly rational nature and evolution."

- Your Highlight on page 118 | location 2223-2224 | Added on Thursday, 3 February 2022 23:12:39

There's not an orderly queue of souls, with allocated tickets. This is Nature, and Nature is a savage competition. There's no hope for those who want to stand on ceremony.

- Your Highlight on page 118 | location 2227-2228 | Added on Thursday, 3 February 2022 23:12:58

The more evolved a soul becomes, the greater its understanding of DNA, and the better it becomes at beating the competition and choosing the best bodies.

- Your Highlight on page 118 | location 2231-2233 | Added on Thursday, 3 February 2022 23:14:01

During dreams, our frontal lobe—responsible for logical thought, judgment and memory—is inhibited. This is why we can't remember our dreams very well, and also why our ego becomes much more passive during dreams. To engage in lucid dreaming, you need to decrease the level of inhibition applied to the frontal lobe.

- Your Highlight on page 119 | location 2238-2239 | Added on Thursday, 3 February 2022 23:14:35

If you record your dreams in a journal, you will get better and better at recalling the content of your dreams.

- Your Highlight on page 124 | location 2345-2347 | Added on Monday, 7 February 2022 00:08:37

In the same way that every viable theory of existence must have a definitive stance on syntax and semantics, it must also have a definitive position on transcendence and immanence.

- Your Highlight on page 126 | location 2374-2375 | Added on Monday, 7 February 2022 00:17:32

There is nothing more important than interconnecting immanence and transcendence. Science refuses to do so, while Kabbalah renders the relationship in mystical and Mythos terms. Only ontological mathematics makes the interconnection rational.

- Your Highlight on page 129 | location 2433-2437 | Added on Monday, 7 February 2022 00:26:42

Fourier mathematics is inherently a transcendence-immanence hybrid. The Fourier frequency domain is transcendent, while the Fourier spacetime domain is immanent. The two domains are hardwired together, except in the matterless, zero-entropy state prior to the Big Bang. Fourier mathematics is inherently holographic. The whole—the Singularity—is

present in every part of spacetime. Fourier mathematics brings transcendence and immanence together via holography. This is one of the keys to understanding reality.

- Your Highlight on page 144 | location 2696-2699 | Added on Wednesday, 9 February 2022 23:38:28

Jung said that the Self is the center of the whole psyche, so a soul could be conscious without an ego if consciousness instead proceeded by way of the Self (something unavailable to the Buddha since he denied its existence (!)). The human ego plainly does not continue to exist in transhumans. They have switched to the Self as the active agent of consciousness. The ego has been subsumed by the higher entity.

- Your Highlight on page 145 | location 2717-2718 | Added on Wednesday, 9 February 2022 23:40:59

Bicameralism gave way to ordinary consciousness, and ordinary consciousness will in due course be replaced a new, higher form of consciousness.

- Your Highlight on page 147 | location 2762-2766 | Added on Wednesday, 9 February 2022 23:47:33

The soul is not conscious of itself in any ego-like, spacetime way (mediated by thoughts based on manmade human languages). The consciousness of the Self is based on Nature's language—mathematics—hence is totally alien to what humans are familiar with. It is a consciousness not embroidered by manmade fallacies, and it revolves around the syntax and semantics of reality itself (rather than mediated through "human reality".) To get some vague impression of it, take hallucinogenic drugs, which will at least remove you from conventional human states of consciousness.

- Your Highlight on page 154 | location 2898-2901 | Added on Thursday, 10 February 2022 23:53:39

Given that all monads can, finally, be fully linked, there is nothing in principle to prevent two monads entering into a syzygy—an incredibly close union where the barriers between two monads are dropped to the maximum degree. This is what would result from finding your soulmate. Your love for them is so great that you want to become almost one with them.

- Your Highlight on page 157 | location 2942-2950 | Added on Saturday, 12 February 2022 00:40:45

"As souls get more and more evolved, they get better and better at reading DNA. 'Cracking the Code', so to speak. When souls are less evolved they do

it unconsciously, but when they are higher evolved, they can view it consciously. DNA, objectively, is a code, but the monad, when it encounters it, subjectively experiences its content, in the form of images and pictures, i.e. the code always gets automatically 'translated' into subjective sensory familiar content, just like the resistance fighters from the movie The Matrix could. "Through the DNA Code (which possesses information), a soul can see and know their parents, how they are and will be, how rich they are, what kind of country they're living in, etc. All kinds of information! But only the highest souls—the most evolved ones can successfully and effortlessly read the DNA Code. That is, when you're evolved enough, you literally choose your parents and where and how and as what you will be reincarnated!"

- Your Highlight on page 161 | location 3014-3016 | Added on Saturday, 12 February 2022 00:48:14

if access to other minds is granted, then other minds, if they were so inclined, could introduce either pleasurable information or unpleasurable information into the monad's domain, particularly via the Collective Unconscious, which remains open between monads at all times,

- Your Highlight on page 165 | location 3090-3092 | Added on Saturday, 12 February 2022 23:44:27

"The key to discovering and experiencing God, for Spinoza, is philosophy and science, not religious awe and worshipful submission. The latter give rise only to superstitious behavior and subservience to ecclesiastic authorities; the former leads to enlightenment, freedom and true blessedness (i.e., peace of mind)."—Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy

- Your Highlight on page 172 | location 3236-3241 | Added on Sunday, 13 February 2022 23:59:53

The Matrix rebels, through understanding the simulated reality of the Matrix, are able to bend its "physical" laws, granting them superhuman abilities. Likewise, if you understand real reality—mathematical reality—you will be able to find the ways to escape the laws it imposes on ordinary people, and "bend" those laws, which is to say to perform mathematical functions that allow you to circumvent the normal rules. You are not beating mathematics—just as the rebels in the Matrix were not beating the rules of the Matrix—you are beating the rules that apply to ordinary people who know no better. Once you know the underlying code, you know how to bend or circumvent it. If you do not know the underlying code, you are its victim. You will do whatever it constrains you to do.

- Your Highlight on page 181 | location 3387-3396 | Added on Monday, 14 February 2022 00:14:28

The INTJ type is ideally suited to thinking about reality in itself. ESTP is much better suited to thinking about the external, observable world. So, top mathematicians and philosophers will likely be INTJs, while top scientists will be ESTPs. Where INTJs and INTPs can access mathematical syntax to a much greater degree than anyone else, INFPs and INFJs tune into mathematical semantics, the other side of the coin. By having a superb grasp of the way the semantic level of the mathematical universe operates, they are ipso facto connected to the syntactic level, even though they have no conscious grasp of the syntax. They can form an accurate understanding of the universe without using a complex syntactic description of it. Their minds are naturally highly aligned with the operations of the universe. INTJs and INTPs, by contrast, can offer a highly developed syntactic description of existence, but often struggle to say things in simple words and concepts about the fundamental nature of reality.

- Your Highlight on page 183 | location 3432-3433 | Added on Monday, 14 February 2022 00:18:54

The lesson is that by relentless semantic training, you can make your mind incredibly syntactically skilled at some activity or other.

- Your Highlight on page 184 | location 3436-3436 | Added on Monday, 14 February 2022 00:19:07

It is the universal control of semantics that makes you a god. It would take forever to become skilled in every individual activity

- Your Highlight on page 184 | location 3439-3441 | Added on Monday, 14 February 2022 00:19:28

Which is better?—to learn the underlying syntax of reality task by task, at an unconscious level, or to consciously learn the syntax of reality in a general sense, then slowly internalize all of the semantics associated with the reality you have syntactically defined.

- Your Highlight on page 184 | location 3445-3447 | Added on Monday, 14 February 2022 00:20:21

the INTJs and INTPs are the ones who are able to build the gadgets, the starships, the stargates, the OBE and NDE devices, the soul cameras, the Truth Ray, the Emotion Ray, the Beauty Ray, the lucid dreaming interface, and so on, that will revolutionize humanity and prepare it to be divine.

- Your Highlight on page 185 | location 3459-3463 | Added on Monday, 14 February 2022 00:21:23

"Is there a way to remember our past lives and if this is possible, how will we be able to distinguish between our 'past life memory' and 'pure

imagination of our mind'?"—Anonymous Hypnosis is one technique. Special drugs are another. Specific mind-reading techniques are another. Obviously, we are not going to go into any detail about such things since these are the areas of knowledge and praxis associated with full membership of the Illuminati.

- Your Highlight on page 185 | location 3464-3465 | Added on Monday, 14 February 2022 00:21:44

The members of the Illuminati hide objects around the world in each of their lives, and then retrieve them in future lives. It is the recovery of the object that serves as verification that the past life has been authentically remembered.

- Your Highlight on page 185 | location 3469-3469 | Added on Monday, 14 February 2022 00:22:09

We have the biggest database of reincarnational data on earth.

- Your Highlight on page 186 | location 3473-3476 | Added on Monday, 14 February 2022 00:22:54

Maybe we can study higher frequencies and use them to increase our brain power. Maybe if they are used correctly, we can have easier access to mental mana figure memes."—Anonymous Such studies form a major activity of the Illuminati. One of the strands of the God Project is connected with exactly this.

- Your Highlight on page 189 | location 3528-3528 | Added on Tuesday, 15 February 2022 00:00:18

Jerusalem must be destroyed.

- Your Highlight on page 198 | location 3716-3720 | Added on Wednesday, 16 February 2022 02:57:01

Here's the deal. You will never be enlightened if you don't understand this mind-boggling statement by Plotinus: "But the Soul is not divided, nor does it split up in order to give life to each individual. All things live by the Soul in its entirety; it is all present everywhere." Well, do you get it?

- Your Highlight on page 204 | location 3828-3830 | Added on Wednesday, 16 February 2022 03:24:43

Mathematically, calculus is a dimensionality operator. Integration adds dimensions, and differentiation subtracts them. The Big Bang was a universal integration event, and black hole formation is the opposite: a differentiation event. Mass is what you get when you integrate light, and light is what you get when you differentiate mass.

- Your Highlight on page 205 | location 3841-3843 | Added on Wednesday, 16 February 2022 03:26:56

General relativity should be extracted from special relativity, and quantum mechanics should likewise be extracted from special relativity, and thus general relativity and quantum mechanics can be unified since they now have a common basis. The task is of course to cast special and general relativity, and quantum mechanics purely in terms of sinusoids, calculus, symmetry and Fourier mathematics.

- Your Highlight on page 207 | location 3878-3879 | Added on Wednesday, 16 February 2022 23:33:02

The Cosmic Mind, aka God, is inherently transcendent and immanent. God is holographic. The whole is in every part. The Cosmic Mind is in every part of spacetime—because every part of spacetime is constructed from the whole Cosmic Mind.

- Your Highlight on page 212 | location 3971-3972 | Added on Thursday, 17 February 2022 23:19:02

All Illuminists are seized by the Faustian longing for the infinite. Only the Absolute is good enough for them. They will settle for nothing less.

- Your Highlight on page 218 | location 4089-4091 | Added on Saturday, 19 February 2022 00:48:51

A religion of angels would be vastly superior to Christianity. Jack Tanner is the prophet of the new religion of Angelism.

- Your Highlight on page 219 | location 4100-4101 | Added on Saturday, 19 February 2022 00:50:46

For Hegel, God comes to self-consciousness in history through human consciousness.

- Your Highlight on page 222 | location 4175-4178 | Added on Monday, 21 February 2022 23:33:56

The ultimate equation, however, is the ontological one: mathematics = light = mind = life. Why is the universe full of stars ... light producers? It's because the universe is alive, and the universe is thinking. The Cosmos is the brain that accompanies the Cosmic Mind. Stars are brain cells, and planets are synapses. As above, so below.

- Your Highlight on page 230 | location 4328-4330 | Added on Tuesday, 22 February 2022 23:04:40

The essence of a mathematical mind is to perform mathematical mental operations. It cannot ever cease to do so. Monads are always doing math, and what they do is the sole activity of existence. There is no other activity.

- Your Note on page 233 | location 4379 | Added on Tuesday, 22 February 2022 23:15:45

It would be an instructive exercise to explore various such 'transformations', to understand the bounds of stability of the PSR.

- Your Highlight on page 233 | location 4377-4379 | Added on Tuesday, 22 February 2022 23:15:45

our system must be maximally compossible and maximally stable under all conceivable transformations applied to it since there is no reason for the PSR to be implemented in anything other than the most stable, secure, robust, simplest and perfect way, is Euler's Formula.

- Your Highlight on page 244 | location 4577-4580 | Added on Friday, 25 February 2022 00:16:52

Consciousness isn't individual. It's social, it's collective. Social language and social institutions are essential to consciousness and the development of consciousness. The World Spirit, not the individual mind, is what is actually driving consciousness, and will itself become conscious at the state of zero entropy. Conscious World Spirit—collective consciousness—is God. God is greater than the sum of its parts.

- Your Highlight on page 244 | location 4582-4585 | Added on Saturday, 26 February 2022 00:14:13

Nature is a cosmic temple to rational order. It is the Absolute Idea embodied. Nature is the "Other" of Spirit. Nature is the Absolute Idea as syntax. Spirit is the Absolute Idea as semantics. The universe is evolving in both ways at once: syntactically and semantically. Nature provides us with a syntactic understanding of reality, and Spirit with a semantic understanding. Both are essential.

- Your Highlight on page 250 | location 4698-4700 | Added on Saturday, 26 February 2022 23:38:05

Semantic rationalism is what the world knows as "empiricism." In fact, it is just the other side of the rationalist coin. Semantic determinism is exactly what constitutes free will. Syntactic determinism is what constitutes the operations of Nature.

- Your Highlight on page 254 | location 4760-4765 | Added on Monday, 28 February 2022 23:15:50

What ordinary people have never understood is that reason has an appearance and can be experienced. Reason has many dimensions: reason is thinking, reason is feeling, reason is sensing and reason is intuition. It is two types of judging (thinking and feeling), and two types of perceiving (sensing and intuition). All of this flows from the fact that reason is both syntactic and semantic, and is evolving towards Perfect Reason. Everything is just an aspect of reason. There is nothing other than reason, and everything has a reason. A universe made of reason is ipso facto an intelligent, mental, living universe, imbued with meaning and purpose. A universe made of reason is idealist, not materialist. Science has inverted reality.

- Your Highlight on page 256 | location 4808-4809 | Added on Tuesday, 1 March 2022 23:11:46

God is beyond the stupid, but not beyond the intelligent. Only the intelligent can become Gods. Faith does not save you. Faith damns you.

- Your Highlight on page 272 | location 5094-5100 | Added on Sunday, 6 March 2022 23:02:33

It is easy to turn Leibnizianism into Hegelianism. Leibniz's published Monadology involved a Creator God, a pre-established harmony, and windowless monads that could not interact with each other and could not evolve together. To create a Hegelian version of the Monadology, all you have to do is get rid of the Creator God, get rid of the pre-established harmony, and replace windowless monads with windowed monads that exchange information and dialectically evolve together. Then to turn the system of Leibniz and Hegel into modern Illuminism, all you have to do is mathematize monads via Euler's Formula and Fourier mathematics, define the syntactic and semantic levels of monads, and build the dialectic into the semantic layer.

- Your Highlight on page 300 | location 5595-5599 | Added on Thursday, 24 March 2022 23:13:39

God develops dialectically. Mathematically, God develops in two ways: syntactically and semantically. Syntactically, the universe seeks to attain perfect symmetry ... zero entropy, perfect order. Semantically, it seeks to attain perfect consciousness, through which it has absolute knowledge. Perfect reason in thinking terms equates, emotionally, to perfect love, intuitively to perfect insight and imagination, and sensually, to perfect perceptions. Thinking, Feeling, Intuition and Sensing all come together, perfectly. Paradise is regained in unity.

- Your Highlight on page 301 | location 5608-5608 | Added on Thursday, 24 March 2022 23:15:37

Nature is the development of syntax, while Spirit is the development of semantics.

- Your Highlight on page 302 | location 5636-5637 | Added on Thursday, 24 March 2022 23:22:59

Hegel was the ultimate Statist. The State is the end of history. The State is the embodiment of Reason. The Enlightened State is God.

- Your Highlight on page 303 | location 5646-5655 | Added on Thursday, 24 March 2022 23:28:02

For Hegel, human consciousness plays a key role in divine ontology. The ultimate truth of God, hence of all being, is rational and conceptual, hence apprehended intellectually. God, who is visible in Nature, presents himself to human consciousness. Humans, as part of Nature, partially instantiate God, and do so more and more completely as they dialectically evolve, eventually arriving at Absolute Knowledge, through which God is brought to self-consciousness. In Hegel's system, the Absolute Idea (God) externalizes itself in the world where it is "Other", hence alienated from itself. It then returns to itself in the knowledge developed through human consciousness. Human consciousness can therefore be elevated to God consciousness. Humans participate in an essential way in the life of divinity. Human evolution must end in divinity. Otherwise, existence is absurd. Perfection is the meaning of life.

- Your Highlight on page 304 | location 5669-5672 | Added on Thursday, 24 March 2022 23:29:57

The greatest life is not about asking questions, the greatest life is about answering questions. You will never get anywhere until you have trained yourself how to answer questions. (Using reason and logic, of course.) The worst thing you can do is to rely on others to answer your questions because then you have rendered yourself a supplicant, and someone unable to trust their own capacity to find answers.

The Book of Thought—Mind Matters (The Truth Series Book 6) (Stark, Dr. Thomas)

[still to be read!]

Castalia: The Citadel of Reason (The Truth Series Book 7) (Stark, Dr. Thomas)

- Your Highlight at location 60-61 | Added on Monday, 28 March 2022 22:20:23

The Grail, the most precious thing of all, is the Principle of Sufficient Reason, the rational answer to rational existence.

- Your Highlight at location 64-68 | Added on Monday, 28 March 2022 22:24:51

It's time for all the brightest people in the world to unite under Castalia's black banner—the Death's Head, the Skull and Crossbones—representing the death of faith (emotionalism), the death of mysticism (non-intellectual intuitionism), and the death of empiricism (sensory perception). It's time for rationalism to be reborn, for humanity to be liberated from the Cave of Ignorance, Belief, Materialism and Endarkenment. It's time for the Second and Final Enlightenment, for the true Age of Reason, the age of ontological mathematics rather than science.

- Your Highlight at location 85-103 | Added on Thursday, 31 March 2022 22:30:31

you will leave bewildered and enraged. How will you fare? Are you a natural-born Castalian, or does reason nauseate you? Will you survive the ordeal? Let the trial begin ... trial by reason, the most terrifying test of all. ==== "Usury, drunkenness, adultery—these crimes are self-evident and the world knows that they are sinful; but that bride of the Devil, 'Reason', stalks abroad, the fair courtesan, and wishes to be considered wise, and thinks that whatever she says comes from the Holy Ghost. She is the most dangerous harlot the Devil has."—Martin Luther "Reason is contrary to faith ... Reason is the whore of the Devil. It can only blaspheme and dishonor everything God has said or done."—Martin Luther "But every error is due to extraneous factors (such as emotion and education); reason itself does not err."—Kurt Gödel Reasons To Disbelieve If you have reasons for believing in God then you also have reasons for not believing in God, and they are of course the same reasons. Why? If the reasons for your belief prove false or invalid under closer scrutiny then you have lost your stated grounds for believing in God, and you should, if you are being logical, immediately abandon your faith. Of course, that never happens. No believer ever sets conditions on their belief. They don't say anything like, "I will believe in God while I believe the following reasons for belief in God to be true, and I will cease to believe in God if any of these reasons proves defective. Moreover, I shall spend a lot of time studying philosophy, theology, other religions, psychology, science and mathematics in order to keep challenging my existing beliefs and my reasons for holding them. I will keep up to date with all new research and evidence that might falsify the reasons for my belief in God." We all know that no believer ever signs up

for that, or ever would. You might take them seriously if they did, but they never do, so you never take them seriously under any circumstances.

- Your Highlight at location 269-284 | Added on Friday, 1 April 2022 07:29:43

Some people believe that atoms can be reduced to a non-zero limit and then no further. Totally different conclusions are reached about reality if atoms can be reduced all the way down to zero (as in the case of photons and monads). Some people are locked into thinking of reality exclusively in terms of space, time and matter. They cannot conceive of immaterial singularities outside space and time. They would reach entirely different conclusions if they could. Some people can think only of spacetime, and not of frequency. Some people think of reality as a whole, a unity. Others think of it in terms of parts, a disunity. Is it a one, a many, or a one-many? Some people think that reality must be reduced to a core group of independent axioms. Some think it must be reduced to just a single axiom, since multiple axioms would automatically generate conflict. All it takes is for you to make one misstep in how you think about reality and you will automatically be mired in error. Newton thought that space and time were absolute. Einstein said they were relative. Newton thought that light speed was relative. Einstein said it was absolute. Einstein thought that spacetime was continuous. Quantum mechanics suggests it is quantized and granular. Einstein thought that God did not play dice. His opponents claimed that God did nothing but play dice. Rationalists are willing to rely on hidden variables. Empiricists utterly reject real hidden variables, but are willing to cite unreal probability wavefunctions.

- Your Highlight at location 366-371 | Added on Saturday, 2 April 2022 07:49:23

The genius insight that drives ontological mathematics and baffles all ordinary, average people (the confederacy of dunces) is that the dualism of Cartesian mind versus Cartesian matter can be recast as the dualism of mathematics versus science. To put it another way, mathematics is the language that defines non-extended thinking substance (mind), while science is the language that defines extended non-thinking substance (matter). So, science, which dismissed mind as unreal and got rid of it, then reintroduced it in a completely different guise: mathematics.

- Your Highlight at location 386-388 | Added on Saturday, 2 April 2022 07:51:49

So, are you a genius? Do you have enough insight to grasp that mind and matter alike are two invented human concepts and what is really at stake is the relationship of two mathematical domains, one of frequency and one of spacetime?

- Your Highlight at location 390-391 | Added on Saturday, 2 April 2022 07:52:46

The Big Bang is exactly what you get when a Singularity—a frequency domain—uses Fourier mathematics to generate a spacetime universe of matter.

- Your Highlight at location 528-530 | Added on Saturday, 2 April 2022 23:15:39

Minds can construct worlds—dreams prove it—but worlds cannot construct minds. That's literally impossible. A dream cannot give rise to a dreamer. The dreamer—the mental agent—always come first.

- Your Highlight at location 562-566 | Added on Saturday, 2 April 2022 23:20:28

According to the "logic" of Beck, if we live in a universe favoring complexity then we must in fact live in the most complex universe possible (since why would we live in one that was complex but not the most complex possible, the most "favored" outcome?), i.e. the exact opposite of the Occam's razor universe. We live, in Beck's view, in the universe that is most in violation of Occam's razor, the universe where everything is infinitely more complex than it needs to be, for no other reason than to satisfy the statistical mania of scientism, and its utter detestation of the PSR and Occam's razor.

- Your Highlight at location 655-663 | Added on Sunday, 3 April 2022 22:22:00

When you throw a die, you may imagine that there is a 1 in 6 chance of any outcome. This is totally false. There is a 100% certainty of a particular outcome each time you throw, and if you had access to total knowledge of all the forces acting on the die, you would know for certain what this outcome would be. The "probability" concerns your empirical ignorance. It does not relate to the die in itself. It's not the universe that lacks knowledge, it's you. It's your ignorance that involves a probabilistic analysis. The universe has no such ignorance, hence never uses probabilities under any circumstances. Probabilities are impossible in a universe ruled by the PSR, where everything has an exact explanation why it is thus and not otherwise. What scientists have done is project their empirical ignorance onto the universe, which is rational, not empirical, hence does not reflect manmade empirical ignorance. The universe always knows what is going to happen. It always knows what it is doing. There is no ontological uncertainty. We do not live in a cosmic casino. If we did, there would be nothing but chaos, not a cosmos, i.e. a place of ordered existence and rational laws.

- Your Highlight at location 844-873 | Added on Monday, 4 April 2022 22:01:24

Gödel provided a fourteen-point outline of his philosophical beliefs, which are extremely close to the central tenets of Illuminism. The fourteen points are: 1) The world is rational. 2) Human reason can, in principle, be developed more highly (through certain techniques). 3) There are systematic methods for the solution of all problems (also art, etc.). 4) There

are other worlds and rational beings of a different and higher kind. 5) The world in which we live is not the only one in which we shall live or have lived. 6) There is incomparably more knowable a priori than is currently known. 7) The development of human thought since the Renaissance is thoroughly intelligible. 8) Reason in mankind will be developed in every direction. 9) Formal rights comprise a real science. 10) Materialism is false. 11) The higher beings are connected to the others by analogy, not by composition. 12) Concepts have an objective existence. 13) There is a scientific (exact) philosophy and theology, which deals with concepts of the highest abstractness; and this is also most highly fruitful for science. 14) Religions are, for the most part, bad—but religion is not.

- Your Highlight at location 972-974 | Added on Tuesday, 5 April 2022 22:46:06

holenmerism and nullibilism, two foundational concepts that destroy atheism. If you don't know what holenmerism and nullibilism are, isn't that the exact problem? How can you have thoughts concerning concepts of which you are entirely ignorant?

- Your Highlight at location 1063-1065 | Added on Wednesday, 6 April 2022 22:50:02

how would people that oppose rationalism—i.e. all empiricists, mystics and believers—know what rationalism is? How could anyone that fundamentally rejects the Principle of Sufficient Reason imagine they are on the side of reason?

- Your Highlight at location 1197-1202 | Added on Friday, 8 April 2022 23:40:17

What about God? As soon as you say that God is made of something then God is rendered subject to the laws of that thing, which therefore becomes more important than God. God cannot defy the laws of whatever he is made of. God cannot perform a "miracle" if it contradicts the laws of whatever God is made from. Quite literally, as soon as you agree that God is made of something, his power vanishes because now it is the thing of which he is made that has all the power. After all, it has the power to make God! God could not exist without whatever God is made of, so it is this divine, perfect substance that has and defines all of the properties of God. The substance is of course mathematics. The idea that God is not made of anything is ludicrous. In that case, he couldn't exist!

- Your Note at location 1278 | Added on Saturday, 9 April 2022 23:44:25

Complex numbers describe a two-dimensional plane, yet Illuminist literature insists that the Holos consists of three such orthogonal planes, i.e., 6D.

- Your Highlight at location 1277-1278 | Added on Saturday, 9 April 2022 23:44:25

Reality is built on complex numbers rather than real numbers, and revolves around sine waves and cosine waves.

- Your Highlight at location 1396-1405 | Added on Sunday, 10 April 2022 00:07:26

"The development of the Absolute—which is the object of philosophy—thus has three main phases: "The Absolute in itself—the subject matter of Logic. "The Absolute for itself—the philosophy of Nature. "The Absolute in and for itself—the philosophy of Spirit. "The Absolute obtains its self-realization—Absolute Knowledge—in Hegel's philosophy. ... For Hegel, reality is a totalizing circle which presupposes its end as its purpose, and thus has its end for its beginning. Hegel's language, too, is such a circle in that each concept implicates the rest and may itself be viewed from the standpoint of any of the other concepts or the totality at varying stages of their respective developments. Thus, a single concept may encompass several meanings and a single meaning may be expressed by several concepts. Moreover, from a dialectical perspective, concepts in isolation from the process of which they are a part are abstractions and are, accordingly, inherently limited and one-sided, i.e., false."—Carl Mickelsen

- Your Highlight at location 1419-1422 | Added on Sunday, 10 April 2022 07:40:47

Science doesn't know anything. All it does is produce speculation about parts, and then even greater speculation about what the current speculation about parts implies about the whole. That is no formula for ever arriving at true knowledge of the whole. The anti-holistic ideology of scientism will never succeed.

- Your Highlight at location 1508-1509 | Added on Sunday, 10 April 2022 07:50:26

Once the quality of a State's citizenry has dropped below a critical threshold, it is doomed.

- Your Highlight at location 1511-1514 | Added on Sunday, 10 April 2022 07:51:36

From its birth, America was one of the strangest nations in the world. Most of its immigrants were religious lunatics—the most rabid believers from Europe—whose beliefs were so extreme and obnoxious that they were routinely persecuted (with good cause!) in their original countries. They didn't leave Europe to build an enlightened Republic, they left Europe to build an extremist theocracy where they could practice their mad religious faith to its fullest extent, with no interference from the State.

- Your Highlight at location 1669-1671 | Added on Monday, 11 April 2022 22:15:38

Speed is the most fundamental property of existence. It is the basis of energy. Points in motion have energy (frequency) and exist. They are

ontologically real. Static points do not have energy and lack the property of existence. They are purely abstract.

- Your Highlight at location 1672-1675 | Added on Monday, 11 April 2022 22:16:22

Different mathematical speeds imply different sizes of mathematical points: static mathematics has points of zero length, infinite speed mathematics has points of infinite length, finite speed mathematics has points of tiny but finite and constant length (but, crucially, these will average to zero length over the full circumference of an Euler circle), and variable speed mathematics has points of finite, variable lengths.

- Your Highlight at location 1784-1786 | Added on Wednesday, 13 April 2022 22:17:22

Plato's philosophy was the synthesis of the older philosophies of: 1) Parmenides (change is impossible; all apparent change is an illusion), and, 2) Heraclitus (the only constant is change; the only thing that doesn't change is the principle of change). Parmenides was the Philosopher of Being, Heraclitus the Philosopher of Becoming, and Plato the Philosopher of Being that generates Becoming.

- Your Highlight at location 1875-1876 | Added on Thursday, 14 April 2022 22:23:07

Subjects create objects and through studying and interacting with the objects they eventually come to understand that the world is their own construction, and via their construction they come to know themselves.

- Your Highlight at location 1932-1932 | Added on Friday, 15 April 2022 22:19:51

Time is in fact produced by Fourier mathematics and is derived from frequency.

- Your Highlight at location 2023-2037 | Added on Saturday, 16 April 2022 22:32:00

Most people in the world have no clue what true reason—syntactic reason—is, and routinely use semantic reason, driven by their senses, emotions and intuitions, and not by their thinking faculty, which is the only one that can lead us to actual, first-order reason (as syntax). Semantic reason is second-order reason, hence a corrupted form or reason, which cannot be analyzed syntactically. Reality is the language of reason, but this is true both semantically (in terms of content) and syntactically (in terms of form). Only thinking types can understand this. Is the Truth of reality emotional (for feeling types)? No, emotion can tell you exactly nothing about how and why we exist. Emotion is a subjective experience, not an explanation. Love is not the explanation of existence. Is the Truth of reality mystical (for intuitive types)? No, mysticism is not the explanation of existence. Is the Truth of reality sensory (for sensing types)? No, all the

sensory observation conceivable could not explain what reality is. Sensory perceptions are experiences, not explanations. They concern phenomena, not noumena. They do not reveal what things are in themselves. Sensory information is simply data, which has to be interpreted. The interpretation of sensory data is not itself a sensing activity but a thinking, judging activity. Observation is not the explanation of existence. Is the Truth of reality rational (for thinking types)? Yes, it is. There is only one way for the universe to be rational and that, of course, is for it to be made of reason. But what is reason as an existent? It is ontological mathematics.

- Your Highlight at location 2055-2058 | Added on Saturday, 16 April 2022 22:39:57

Thoughts cannot be transformed, but they can be combined to create new thoughts, complex thoughts, temporal and contingent thoughts. "Combination" is a radically different concept from "transformation". Energy is never transformed. It simply enters into different combinations, which are them misinterpreted as transformed energy.

- Your Highlight at location 2231-2237 | Added on Sunday, 17 April 2022 06:48:49

The thing that is normally present in spacetime that is wholly missing from a spacetime vacuum is atomic matter, which is in fact nothing but compacted spacetime. A spacetime vacuum is where only diffuse spacetime exists and all compacted spacetime (atomic matter) has been removed. The reason why light can seemingly travel through a spacetime vacuum is not one that scientists have ever conceived. In fact, light is incapable of moving through spacetime. That's because light isn't in spacetime at all ... it's strictly in the Fourier frequency domain. The astonishing truth is that it is spacetime that can be mathematically conceived as moving through the frequency Singularity.

- Your Highlight at location 2390-2406 | Added on Sunday, 17 April 2022 07:19:30

Richard Horton, editor-in-chief of The Lancet, a prestigious medical journal, damningly wrote, "'A lot of what is published is incorrect.' I'm not allowed to say who made this remark because we were asked to observe Chatham House rules. ... Why the paranoid concern for secrecy and non-attribution? Because this symposium—on the reproducibility and reliability of biomedical research...—touched on one of the most sensitive issues in science today: the idea that something has gone fundamentally wrong with one of our greatest human creations. "The case against science is straightforward: much of the scientific literature ... may simply be untrue. Afflicted by studies with small sample sizes, tiny effects, invalid exploratory analyses, and flagrant conflicts of interest, together with an obsession for pursuing fashionable trends of dubious importance, science has taken a turn towards darkness. As one participant put it, 'poor methods get results'.

... "In their quest for telling a compelling story, scientists too often sculpt data to fit their preferred theory of the world. Or they retrofit hypotheses to fit their data. Journal editors deserve their fair share of criticism too. We aid and abet the worst behaviors. Our acquiescence to the impact factor fuels an unhealthy competition to win a place in a select few journals. Our love of 'significance' pollutes the literature with many a statistical fairy-tale. ... Universities are in a perpetual struggle for money and talent, endpoints that foster reductive metrics, such as high-impact publication. National assessment procedures ... incentivize bad practices. And individual scientists, including their most senior leaders, do little to alter a research culture that occasionally veers close to misconduct. ... "Part of the problem is that no-one is incentivized to be right. Instead, scientists are incentivized to be productive and innovative. ... "Those who have the power to act seem to think somebody else should act first. ... The bad news is that nobody is ready to take the first step to clean up the system."

- Your Highlight at location 2414-2417 | Added on Sunday, 17 April 2022 07:20:27

"The mistake, of course, is to have thought that peer review was any more than a crude means of discovering the acceptability—not the validity—of a new finding. Editors and scientists alike insist on the pivotal importance of peer review. We portray peer review to the public as a quasi-sacred process that helps to make science our most objective truth teller. But we know that the system of peer review is biased, unjust, unaccountable, incomplete, easily fixed, often insulting, usually ignorant, occasionally foolish, and frequently wrong."

- Your Highlight at location 2466-2466 | Added on Sunday, 17 April 2022 07:28:08

Logic concerns syntax only (form only). Reason concerns syntax and semantics (form and content).

- Your Highlight at location 2479-2484 | Added on Sunday, 17 April 2022 07:35:52

Reason is active and logic is passive. The principle of sufficient reason is different from the principle of sufficient logic. Semantic reasons, as humans continuously demonstrate, have almost no connection to logic, and are driven by emotions, beliefs, opinions, interpretations, mysticism, and sensory obsession. Only syntactic reasoning looks like logical reasoning. Reasoning based on dual-aspect syntax and semantics is distinct from syntactic logic. Logic is all about form. Reason is about form and content, syntax and semantics. Hegelian dialectical logic is radically different from Aristotelian logic, and involves primarily semantics rather than syntax.

- Your Highlight at location 2521-2523 | Added on Sunday, 17 April 2022 07:39:52

Ontological mathematics is the culmination of German rationalism and idealism beginning with Leibniz, and proceeding through Kant, Fichte, Schelling, Hegel, and Schopenhauer to Hartmann. It is the means by which the Kantian noumenon is made fully knowable and shown to be the basis of mind and matter alike.

- Your Highlight at location 2586-2590 | Added on Sunday, 17 April 2022 22:56:28

So, what did Kurt Gödel actually prove? Simply that if you take independent axioms and try to build any system from them, the system will be incomplete and/or inconsistent. But that was already known from the problems generated by Cartesian dualism, so Gödel didn't make any significant contribution at all. Only a system based on a single axiom can generate completeness and consistency. This is exactly true of ontological mathematics, which is entirely derived from the Principle of Sufficient Reason, ontologically expressed as Euler's Formula (the God Equation).

- Your Highlight at location 2626-2628 | Added on Sunday, 17 April 2022 23:03:20

is not a number. 0 is a number container—in fact, the number container, the exclusive container for all non-zero numbers. It is the balance point of all of mathematics.

- Your Highlight at location 2634-2637 | Added on Monday, 18 April 2022 22:19:54

Zero is actually holographic. If we regard every number as a part, zero is the whole, the collection of all numbers, and each number makes sense only in relation to the whole, and is defined by the whole. Thus mathematics is completely holographic. All the parts are in the whole, and the whole is in every part. Such a conception totally transforms mathematics, and makes Peano's scheme absurd.

- Your Highlight at location 2827-2841 | Added on Tuesday, 19 April 2022 22:40:24

The PSR does only one thing ... it takes the static mathematical point—which is none other than non-existence since it has no dimensions, no energy, no mass, no matter, no properties at all—and it rationally moves it in a circle, where every point on the circumference has equal status to every other point on the circumference, and none is ever privileged over any other. A static point is non-existence. A point in motion is existence. It's as simple as that. A static point is zero. It's "nothing-nothing". A dynamic point is part of a system that produces a net nothing. It's a "something-nothing", hence is radically different from a "nothing-nothing", yet both systems are exactly equal to nothing, to zero. It is the fact that something-nothing still has a net outcome of zero that allows it to exist, since, as we know, nothing has no requirements, nothing can prevent nothing, and nothing is the compulsory ground state of existence. Incredible as it may seem, existence results from

moving points in Euler circles, i.e. existence results from mathematics, from mathematical motion. It is this perfectly ordered movement in a perfectly symmetric environment, with a net effect of zero, that is none other than energy in itself, energy in its fundamental, foundational form. Energy is exact mathematical motion with a precise net result of zero. The law of conservation of energy is, when properly understood, the law that all eternal energy necessarily has a zero effect. It is zero—nothing—that can be neither created nor destroyed. But if zero is also something, which it is thanks to the perfect mathematical motion mandated by the PSR, which is required to accommodate all equal states equally, which it accomplishes via Euler circles, then nothing is also something that can never be created or destroyed, i.e. it is eternal energy.

- Your Highlight at location 2866-2867 | Added on Tuesday, 19 April 2022 22:43:38

Gödel's incompleteness theorems apply to axiom-based mathematics where the proposed axioms are not tautologies of each other.

- Your Highlight at location 3024-3026 | Added on Thursday, 21 April 2022 22:52:05

There is no such thing as a valid truth that cannot be defined. If you cannot define what you are saying, you are saying nothing. Your statement has no meaning. You are simply stringing words together.

- Your Highlight at location 3206-3209 | Added on Friday, 22 April 2022 23:57:44

The Maddest Claim Ever There are many claims to the title of most lunatic claim ever, but the one that wins the prize without any doubt is the Jewish claim that an insignificant Bronze Age tribe from the Middle East, routinely beaten and humiliated by every regional power, were the Chosen People of the Creator of the Universe. It is simply astounding how much this insane belief has scarred the human psyche.

- Your Highlight at location 3228-3233 | Added on Saturday, 23 April 2022 23:22:41

A semantic universe by itself is impossible. A syntactic universe by itself is impossible. Syntax and semantics provide a dual-aspect ontology, both rational (via syntax) and empirical (via semantics). The empirical, semantic layer is where analytic reason fails ... because it is not dealing with syntactic form but with semantic content. The biggest error of scientism is to treat sensory empirical semantics as scientific syntax. In fact, all syntax is strictly mathematical and concerned exclusively with mathematical waves. All syntax is sinusoidal.

- Your Highlight at location 3334-3337 | Added on Saturday, 23 April 2022 23:45:02

The Castalians (the Philosophers—rationalists and idealists) are opposed by the Feuilletons (the Sophists—empiricists and materialists). The Feuilletons

are pseudo-intellectuals that use their skills not in the search for truth, but to pander to the masses, to produce prolefeed for the entertainmenthungry mob, to provide bread and circuses, to support cheap, populist, political ideologies, full of soundbites and simplistic nostrums.

- Your Highlight at location 3407-3409 | Added on Saturday, 23 April 2022 23:53:53

No radical thinker can enter mainstream philosophy. It's the graveyard of the intellect. You either stage a rebellion, or you comply with the ancien régime. There isn't some covert means by which you can bring philosophers round to your way of thinking. The establishment will always crush you, which is why it is essential to bring down the establishment and their ruling paradigm.

- Your Highlight at location 3442-3444 | Added on Saturday, 23 April 2022 23:57:23

The link between the intelligentsia and the privileged, super rich class must be broken. The intelligentsia have always been willing to serve the rich elite and allow the rich elite to rule. That must end. The intelligentsia must rule and eliminate the super rich elite.

- Your Highlight at location 3470-3472 | Added on Sunday, 24 April 2022 00:00:48

The current academic world has turned its back on universality. Everything is about drone specialists. There are no Renaissance Men and Women, no polymaths, no great synthesizers. Increased specialization goes hand in hand with increased autism and closed mindedness, and decreased empathy and imagination.

- Your Highlight at location 3504-3506 | Added on Sunday, 24 April 2022 00:04:04

Leibniz said, "Music is a hidden arithmetic exercise of the soul, which does not know that it is counting." Schopenhauer said, "Music is a hidden metaphysical exercise of the soul, which does not know that it is philosophizing."

- Your Highlight at location 3852-3853 | Added on Tuesday, 26 April 2022 22:25:57

The only way for the people to rule is via public banking where the people own and control the capital.

- Your Highlight at location 3928-3931 | Added on Tuesday, 26 April 2022 22:36:01

"The logician Kurt Gödel believed that the characteristica universalis was feasible, and that its development would revolutionize mathematical practice. He noticed, however, that a detailed treatment of the characteristica was conspicuously absent from Leibniz's publications. It appears that Gödel assembled all of Leibniz's texts mentioning the

characteristica, and convinced himself that some sort of systematic and conspiratorial censoring had taken place, a belief that became obsessional."

- Your Highlight at location 4039-4039 | Added on Tuesday, 26 April 2022 22:50:19

The God Project will transform the human race.

- Your Highlight at location 4105-4108 | Added on Tuesday, 26 April 2022 22:57:37

Not for one moment does the "free press" serve the interests of the ordinary people. In fact, the "free press" serves the true governors—the Deep Controllers of predatory capitalism that actually run America and the world. Rich elites rule the world. Governments do not run it, and the people certainly do not run it.

- Your Highlight at location 4122-4123 | Added on Tuesday, 26 April 2022 22:59:26

Anything that cannot be defined cannot exist.

- Your Highlight at location 4231-4237 | Added on Wednesday, 27 April 2022 22:39:21

Any system will fall foul of Gödel's incompleteness theorems if it relies on multiple independent axioms, independent principles, independent formulae, independent ontologies and independent epistemologies, i.e. if it is anti-tautological. A tautological system—one flowing from a single master axiom, principle or formula—can never fall under Gödel's steam road roller. The master axiom is not tautological in itself. The tautologies are the axioms logically derived from it. In order for tautologies to exist, there must exist a core principle of which they are tautologies. The core principle is not a tautology of anything else. Everything else is a tautology of it. It is a single axiom from which everything else is derivable, hence they are different ways of talking about it.

- Your Highlight at location 4245-4247 | Added on Wednesday, 27 April 2022 22:40:39

Gödel addresses the case of multiple independent axioms. He never addresses all of mathematics being generated by a single, ultimate axiom. That would be pointless because that axiom could never be incomplete or inconsistent with regard to itself.

- Your Highlight at location 4404-4406 | Added on Saturday, 30 April 2022 00:18:16

One of the great errors in reasoning is to make invalid comparisons between different categories of statements, and especially to get analytic statements confused with synthetic statements, truths of "fact", confused with truths of reason, necessary statements with contingent statements, eternal statements with temporal statements.

- Your Highlight at location 4415-4423 | Added on Saturday, 30 April 2022 00:19:59

Gödel's method contains a deep error because it does not clearly differentiate between syntax and semantics and in fact ingeniously—but wholly invalidly—seeks to disguise semantic statements as syntactic statements, when in fact they are completely different. This is what Gödel numbering accomplishes. It is indeed just a conjuring trick. Using this technique, Gödel managed to cast a self-referential, semantic statement as something it wasn't: a well-formed syntactic statement. It is this false alignment of semantics and syntax that has generated so much confusion regarding what Gödel actually achieved. To put it another way, using a coding scheme, he found a way when comparing apples and oranges to identify a specific point where an apple seemed to be an orange or to be expressible in the language of oranges, but of course the whole exercise is fundamentally meaningless because an apple is never an orange, by definition. Wittgenstein understood this, but was ignored.

- Your Highlight at location 4467-4470 | Added on Saturday, 30 April 2022 00:26:06

A classic discussion of the calculus ratiocinator is by Couturat, who maintained that the characteristica universalis—and thus the calculus ratiocinator—were inseparable from Leibniz's encyclopedic project. Hence the characteristic, calculus ratiocinator, and encyclopedia form three pillars of Leibniz's project.]

- Your Highlight at location 4540-4542 | Added on Saturday, 30 April 2022 08:20:04

Hegel got it wrong: Nothing gives rise to Being and Becoming! The task of evolution is to bring Becoming back into alignment with Being, which consequently brings a cycle of the universe to an end, and triggers the next cycle.

- Your Note at location 4625 | Added on Saturday, 30 April 2022 23:27:55

How can we comprehend the syntax of something when we can only perceive its semantic aspect? And conversely, how can we recognise, in the world we experience, the semantic correlate of something we understand rationally? This same issue crops up again and again—bidirectionally translating between syntax and semantics, form and content. Saying that it's Fourier mathematics doesn't actually help. Despite the claim that dual-aspect idealism provides a unified explanation, the inability to perform even the simplest Fourier transformation involving an actual human experience effectively amounts to an intractable Cartesian substance dualism.

It's tempting to postulate that the key to this might lie in some kind of Gematria or correspondences but I seem to recall that explanation being discredited somewhere in the GS.

- Your Highlight at location 4623-4625 | Added on Saturday, 30 April 2022 23:27:55

Humanity is thus subject to two wildly different aspects of reason, syntactic and semantic, and it gets hopelessly confused about these, frequently mixing them up, or appealing to the wrong one. Empiricism appeals to semantic experience and ignores rational syntax.

- Your Highlight at location 4626-4629 | Added on Saturday, 30 April 2022 23:29:53

What is certain is this. Everything has a reason, but that involves great complexity since reason has a syntactic and a semantic dual-aspect. So, the immediate reason for something can be either syntactic or semantic. If it is syntactic, semantics is passive. This results in a "scientific" universe. If it is semantic, syntax passively accommodates semantics. This is the human universe of free will, where things happen because we will it, and not because the syntax of matter mandates it, as scientists claim.

- Your Note at location 4666 | Added on Saturday, 30 April 2022 23:48:24

Not really. You haven't shown how to perform the Fourier transform with an actual human experience as input or output.

- Your Highlight at location 4664-4666 | Added on Saturday, 30 April 2022 23:48:25

We have shown how this is done in the God Series and Truth Series.

- Your Highlight at location 4684-4685 | Added on Saturday, 30 April 2022 23:51:11

"love" is the supreme positive expression of emotion (the "God emotion"), while hate is the supreme negative expression of emotion (the "Satan emotion"). Love is attraction. Hate is repulsion.

- Your Highlight at location 4696-4698 | Added on Saturday, 30 April 2022 23:52:28

Love, too, is the phenomenal, semantic experience that is produced by certain wavefunctions. There will come a time when people will be injected by the perfect Love Drug because the science of love will be 100% known to humanity, all thanks to mathematics and sine and cosine waves.

- Your Highlight at location 4739-4741 | Added on Sunday, 1 May 2022 07:00:36

So, rationalism has two opposites: an emotional opposite expressed through religious faith and mysticism, and a sensory opposite expressed through empiricism.

- Your Note at location 4865 | Added on Sunday, 1 May 2022 07:23:46

Yet elsewhere in GS & TS it is stated that the spacetime is a projection of the lower frequencies of all monads.

- Your Highlight at location 4864-4865 | Added on Sunday, 1 May 2022 07:23:46

These are not parts since none of them can be removed from a monad and have separate existence. They are the essence of the monad, and none can ever be absent.

- Your Highlight at location 4872-4874 | Added on Sunday, 1 May 2022 07:25:56

Monads, in respect of their eternal being, experience perfect love and bliss, which never changes under any circumstances. In respect of their becoming, they are driven by transient emotion, and obsessed with transient emotion. Monads, as being, are not dependent on their Creation. Monads, as becoming, are their own Creation.

- Your Highlight at location 4878-4879 | Added on Sunday, 1 May 2022 07:27:04

There are no eternal entities apart from monads. Everything that is not concerned with monadic being is concerned with monadic becoming. There is nothing else.

- Your Highlight at location 4887-4890 | Added on Sunday, 1 May 2022 07:28:39

Monads are absolutely bound up with their mathematical properties and definition. They exist—as being—outside causality. They are the source of all causal relationships (becoming), while themselves being uncaused (being). Monads are their mathematical properties, but these give rise to the entire weird and wonderful universe we all experience.

- Your Highlight at location 4924-4931 | Added on Sunday, 1 May 2022 22:06:34

There are two types of people in the world: the history makers, and everyone else. Are you ahistorical, outside history, just along for the ride, for the shits and giggles, or are you actually helping to shape history? If you're not on the side of the history shapers and history makers, fuck off. You're irrelevant. You're a joke. Play your part. Spectators not welcome. Sideline snipers—what a waste of space. If you're not in front of the curtain, where are you? Hiding? You're invisible, and the world doesn't care whether you are there or not. Get on the fucking stage and deliver the best performance of your life.

- Your Highlight at location 4948-4948 | Added on Sunday, 1 May 2022 22:09:00

The Angel Series by Jack Tanner.

- Your Highlight at location 4967-4968 | Added on Sunday, 1 May 2022 22:10:36

Sin for Salvation. Only the Illuminati know how to party. Eyes Wide Shut. Wink Emoticon.

- Your Highlight at location 5102-5108 | Added on Sunday, 1 May 2022 22:24:05

Death is caused by entropy, by the breakdown of useful, organized information into chaotic information. The evolving material world is always increasing in entropy, while the evolving mental world is always decreasing in entropy. Therefore, they are going in opposite directions. A life in the physical world is a compromise between mental negentropy and physical entropy. During a person's physical lifetime, mental order overcomes physical disorder, but as the physical disorder relentlessly increases with age and environmental degradation, it become harder and harder for the mind to control the body. Death is the point at which mental control of the body irreversibly breaks down, and the link between mind and body is severed. Physical entropy has won. The mind can then reconnect to the physical world only via reincarnation, which supplies it with a new body (avatar; drone), a high negentropy organism.

- Your Highlight at location 5243-5244 | Added on Tuesday, 3 May 2022 23:03:05

It is the fact that zero can be written in complicated ways that allows the universe to exist. If zero could only ever be expressed as simply as possible, as 0 = 0, then existence, "something", would be impossible.

- Your Highlight at location 5252-5253 | Added on Tuesday, 3 May 2022 23:04:44

The basis set of x's—the entities that in their "becoming aspect" are seeking zero entropy (their "being aspect")—are sinusoidal waves, generated from Euler circles.

- Your Highlight at location 5284-5286 | Added on Tuesday, 3 May 2022 23:08:32

The semantic, empirical equivalent of the syntactic, rational universe of perfect reason is the universe of perfect love. Perfect love cannot exist in the absence of perfect reason. If you want perfect love, you must achieve perfect reason.

- Your Highlight at location 5555-5559 | Added on Thursday, 5 May 2022 23:12:40

The Big Bang is an event that destroys symmetry—an inevitable event since perfect symmetry is the most fragile state in existence (zero entropy), and the slightest disturbance shatters it explosively across all of its nodes, thus leading to the cataclysm of the Big Bang ... an explosion of mathematics itself, which causes the mathematical universe to lose its perfection, and immediately sets up its evolutionary goal, and the meaning of its existence—to restore its lost perfection. (Becoming erupts from Being then seeks to return to Being.)

- Your Highlight at location 5578-5581 | Added on Saturday, 7 May 2022 01:29:13

If you take every "proof" for the existence of God deployed by the likes of Plato, Aristotle, Thomas Aquinas, St. Anselm, Descartes and Leibniz, you will

invariably find that they instantly make perfect sense if they are reinterpreted in terms of an entirely different proposition, i.e. that they are in fact proofs for the eternal, necessary, essential existence of living, mental, teleological, self-optimizing mathematics.

- Your Highlight at location 5622-5624 | Added on Saturday, 7 May 2022 01:36:34

"It is impossible to speak in such a way that you cannot be misunderstood."—Karl Popper People often willfully misunderstand you, in order to attack Straw Men versions of what you say. We get this all the time.

- Your Highlight at location 5666-5667 | Added on Saturday, 7 May 2022 23:27:41

What is regarded physically as light is metaphysically thought. Light is phenomenal thought. Thought is noumenal light. Light is sensible thought. Thought is intelligible light.

- Your Highlight at location 5805-5806 | Added on Monday, 9 May 2022 22:00:43

Reincarnation is non-local Fourier entanglement of a sinusoidal monadic mind with a sinusoidal body.

- Your Highlight at location 5831-5833 | Added on Monday, 9 May 2022 22:04:08

Ontological mathematics is panentheistic. "God" is the eternal frequency Singularity—pure being—but it generates out of itself the temporal world of becoming: the spacetime universe of matter. It does so via Fourier mathematics, which connects frequency to spacetime.

- Your Note at location 5975 | Added on Wednesday, 11 May 2022 21:28:18

Fallacious, because it assumes complete knowledge of all possible explanations including the truth.

- Your Highlight at location 5974-5975 | Added on Wednesday, 11 May 2022 21:28:18

"Once you eliminate the impossible, whatever remains, no matter how improbable, must be the truth."

- Your Highlight at location 6033-6036 | Added on Friday, 13 May 2022 23:46:46

"If there were no best among all possible worlds, God would not have created one."—Leibniz In fact, "God" spends eternity creating every possible universe compatible with ontological mathematics. We are living in one such universe. There are infinite such universes. They all resemble ours in their general pattern, but not in their specific details and events, which are unique for each universe (there is no Nietzschean eternal recurrence).

- Your Highlight at location 6219-6220 | Added on Sunday, 15 May 2022 22:53:10

The Illuminati are far too intellectually hot. We have burned the mental circuits of almost everyone that has ever come across our work.

- Your Highlight at location 6214-6215 | Added on Sunday, 15 May 2022 22:54:06

Cool medium incorporates increased involvement but decreased description while hot medium is the opposite, decreased involvement and increased description.

- Your Highlight at location 6338-6342 | Added on Sunday, 15 May 2022 23:07:47

"Reality", such as it was (and it was never much), died in the 1960s, thanks to the contraceptive pill, drugs, hippies, Eastern religion, rock 'n' roll, TV, consumer goods, advertising, branding, PR, lobbying, predatory capitalism, the whole machinery of manipulating the masses to make them pay for goods and services that make the rich richer so that the super rich can buy yachts and seclude themselves in gated communities far from the great unwashed.

- Your Highlight at location 6440-6440 | Added on Monday, 16 May 2022 22:51:05

Only ontological mathematicians truly understand music. They alone are interested in the Music of the Spheres.

- Your Highlight at location 6567-6569 | Added on Tuesday, 17 May 2022 22:17:28

Waves are immaterial systems of nothing. They are structured nothingness. Zero is the compulsory ground state of existence. It can never be violated. Energy is reason. Energy is structured nothing. Waves require nothing for their existence, hence are eternal and necessary. They cannot be destroyed.

- Your Highlight at location 6603-6604 | Added on Tuesday, 17 May 2022 22:26:24

What is energy? There is only one way to define energy ... as the product of the Principle of Sufficient Reason, hence as thought.

Extra Scientiam Nulla Salus: How Science Undermines Reason (The Truth Series Book 8) (Stark, Dr. Thomas)

- Your Highlight at location 118-120 | Added on Thursday, 19 May 2022 22:35:32

An intelligible universe can exist only where a very specific condition is met: ontology and epistemology must coincide, i.e. the things that exist are intrinsically knowable things. Things can be knowable in only one way: if they are made of language. It's impossible to understand anything that is not a language.

- Your Highlight at location 189-197 | Added on Saturday, 21 May 2022 07:01:01

There is only one thing in existence that inherently reflects reason and logic and that is mathematical syntax, the syntax of the mathematical waves that convey all of the information in the universe. What you cannot do is automatically and straightforwardly apply reason and logic to mathematical semantics—the experiential information carried by the waves. Feelings, mystical intuitions and sensory perceptions all belong to the semantic layer of existence, not the syntactic layer. They are all empirical, not rational. They are about how the mathematical universe is experienced, not how the mathematical universe is understood. Understanding is an exercise in mathematical syntax. It is a judging function, not a perceiving function. Sensory perception, mystical intuition and feelings are all empirical, perceiving functions concerned with the semantic interpretation of the information carried by mathematical waves (the information carriers).

- Your Highlight at location 216-217 | Added on Saturday, 21 May 2022 07:06:14

The only thing that semantics can do is accompany, and conceal, syntax. The famous problem of empiricism is that it cannot prove the logical necessity of experiential laws.

- Your Highlight at location 219-227 | Added on Saturday, 21 May 2022 07:09:21

the simple architecture of information carrier (rationalism) and information carried (empiricism). It's essential to combine rationalism and empiricism in order to explain all of reality. Only ontological mathematics can do so: 1) Information Carrier: Rationalism; Noumenon; Syntax; Map; Form; Signifier; Container; Knowledge and Understanding; Judging Function; Reason and Logic. 2) Information Carried: Empiricism; Phenomenon; Semantics; Territory, Content; Matter; Signified; Contained; Observation and Meaning; Perceiving Function; Feelings, Intuitions and Perceptions. That's the whole of reality right there, completely and uniquely explained by mathematics.

- Your Highlight at location 520-520 | Added on Tuesday, 24 May 2022 23:04:20

Matter is a product of light phase relations.

- Your Highlight at location 573-574 | Added on Tuesday, 24 May 2022 23:09:19

Albert Einstein dismissively said, "Common sense is the collection of prejudices acquired by the age of eighteen."

- Your Highlight at location 595-596 | Added on Wednesday, 25 May 2022 22:56:43

Leibniz, Descartes, Plato, Pythagoras, Berkeley, Hegel, Plotinus, Socrates, Fichte, Schelling, Schopenhauer, Spinoza and all the other rationalists and idealists?

- Your Highlight at location 655-656 | Added on Wednesday, 25 May 2022 23:05:08

Matching natural patterns to non-empirical, immaterial mathematical formulae is the only rational exercise science in fact performs.

- Your Highlight at location 691-691 | Added on Wednesday, 25 May 2022 23:08:27

as Aristotle so famously says, 'nothing is found in the intellect which was not found first in the senses.'

- Your Highlight at location 698-699 | Added on Wednesday, 25 May 2022 23:09:42

"Nothing is in the intellect that was not first in the senses, except the intellect itself."—Leibniz

- Your Highlight at location 802-805 | Added on Thursday, 26 May 2022 22:41:17
 - 1) Causation: not perceived, hence deemed not to exist. 2) Acausation: not perceived, hence deemed to exist. What's logical about that? It's insane! Option 1) is rejected because it explicitly contradicts Hume's extremist empiricism. Option 2) is accepted because it does not! What kind of system is that?
- Your Highlight at location 843-848 | Added on Friday, 27 May 2022 23:38:34

The empiricists believe that nothing is in the intellect that was not first in the senses. By this standard, unperceived causes cannot ever enter the intellect, and in fact cannot exist at all if everything begins with the senses and what is perceived. But then the question arises: can acausation exist given that it cannot be perceived either. Strictly speaking, empiricist dogmatism demands that neither causation nor its negation—acausation—exists, and both for the same reason, namely that they cannot be perceived. Yet it is insane to claim that there is no causation and no acausation either since logically there must be one or the other. Since this is impossible, empiricism is proved false.

- Your Highlight at location 912-917 | Added on Sunday, 29 May 2022 22:47:03

Here's a list of things science can't do. It can't explain mind, free will, consciousness, the unconscious, purpose, why we exist, what existence is, what time is, what space is, what matter is, what motion is, what energy is, what causation is, what preceded the Big Bang, what comes before temporal existence, what comes after temporal existence, why science uses imaginary and complex numbers if these are unreal, why science refers to unreal, abstract mathematical probability functions if these are unempirical and unobservable (where is the evidence that they "exist", or have any bearing at all on reality if they are inherently "unreal"?). Science most certainly cannot explain life. How can life be produced by material things that do not possess life?

- Your Note at location 1017 | Added on Monday, 30 May 2022 23:09:57

Pot-kettle-black?

- Your Highlight at location 1015-1017 | Added on Monday, 30 May 2022 23:09:57

Most people do not subject their work to their own inner Devil's Advocate. They refuse to cast a critical eye over their work, to try their hardest to undermine their own conclusions. People are always eager to believe their own propaganda. They can't wait to pat themselves on the back and congratulate themselves on how smart they are.

- Your Highlight at location 1209-1212 | Added on Tuesday, 31 May 2022 22:57:50

Many people are fanatically resistant to the God Series and want to trash and troll it (without reading a word of it). Anyone that gets through to the end of the series—32 books and over three million words—knows they have finally found the Truth.

- Your Highlight at location 1232-1232 | Added on Tuesday, 31 May 2022 23:00:15

If idealism is true then materialism is false. If rationalism is true, empiricism is false.

- Your Highlight at location 1282-1284 | Added on Tuesday, 31 May 2022 23:10:57

Commit fully to your sacred cause. Do not hesitate. Do not draw back. Be bold, be powerful. Do not delay. Start now. Once scientists start converting to ontological mathematics, all sorts of things will occur that would never otherwise have occurred.

- Your Highlight at location 1426-1427 | Added on Friday, 3 June 2022 22:34:19

Social proof manifests itself in situations of social ambiguity where people are unsure of the expected way to behave and assume that others possess knowledge about what to do.

- Your Highlight at location 1431-1440 | Added on Friday, 3 June 2022 22:35:24

"Social proof is one type of conformity. When a person is in a situation where they are unsure of the correct way to behave, they will often look to others for clues concerning the correct behavior. When 'we conform because we believe that others' interpretation of an ambiguous situation is more accurate than ours and will help us choose an appropriate course of action', it is informational social influence. This is contrasted with normative social influence wherein a person conforms to be liked or accepted by others." Social proof creates not just public compliance (visibly conforming to the behavior of others), but also private acceptance (invisibly conforming to the behavior and beliefs of others). Social proof is prominent where being accurate is important and others are perceived as experts. The normal world is where normative social influence is paramount and informational social influence occasional, while in the academic world informational social influence is much more significant. In fact, science's revered "peer review" might be considered as nothing but formalized social proof—fitting in with the information and paradigm held by the establishment.

- Your Highlight at location 1477-1477 | Added on Friday, 3 June 2022 22:40:01

Illuminism is a judging system and we cannot get on with "non-judgmental" perceiving types.

- Your Highlight at location 1479-1483 | Added on Friday, 3 June 2022 22:40:37

All rational people have one outstanding gift. They will readily accept a new rational argument if it demonstrates superior application of reason and logic in relation to what they currently hold to be true. No one else has this gift. Scientists will automatically reject any rational argument that does not conform to their empiricist, materialist schema. Buddhists will automatically reject any rational argument that supports the existence of the eternal mind, aka soul/self.

- Your Highlight at location 1485-1489 | Added on Friday, 3 June 2022 22:42:05

Virtually every rationalist argument put forward to defend the existence of an eternal, perfect, necessary God can be repurposed to defend the existence of eternal, perfect, necessary mathematics. In fact, "God" is simply an entity with all the properties of mathematics, i.e. immutable, eternal, perfect, necessary, all-powerful, all-present, all-explanatory, infallible, absolute. "God" is simply mathematics conceived as a person. So, the claim that God made the world is equivalent to the assertion that mathematics made the scientific world.

- Your Highlight at location 1495-1500 | Added on Friday, 3 June 2022 22:43:39

Via Fourier mathematics, light "breaks" and produces the spacetime world of science, where the speed of thought (frequency speed) is broken into speed through time and speed through space. These are themselves mental speeds since they are derived from the speed of thought. They are mathematical mental constructs. They cannot exist without the minds to think them. They have no independent existence. You will never understand reality if you fail to grasp this. Mind is pure light while matter is impure, broken light. Mind is direct thought, while matter is indirect thought: projected, broken thought.

- Your Note at location 1525 | Added on Sunday, 5 June 2022 00:17:09

They've said this endlessly, but they've never once described what adopting Ont Math into science would do to the scientific method.

- Your Highlight at location 1524-1525 | Added on Sunday, 5 June 2022 00:17:09

There's only one way to resolve the farce ... to replace science with ontological mathematics,

- Your Highlight at location 1530-1532 | Added on Sunday, 5 June 2022 00:18:05

"Philosophers are people who know less and less about more and more, until they know nothing about everything. Scientists are people who know more and more about less and less, until they know everything about nothing."—Konrad Lorenz

- Your Highlight at location 1994-1998 | Added on Tuesday, 7 June 2022 23:26:59

The fatal error Kant made was to imagine "reality in itself" as unknowable, with "reality as appearance" as knowable purely because we looked at it via mathematical spectacles built into our minds. Fichte, Schelling and Hegel realized this was absurd, and immediately set about removing Kant's unknowable, non-mental "thing in itself" by converting everything into mind. What Kant should have understood was that minds and their thoughts are the only existents, and they are mathematical minds that think mathematical thoughts, which is exactly why the world in itself is 100% knowable.

- Your Highlight at location 2024-2025 | Added on Wednesday, 8 June 2022 23:12:20

"The stream of human knowledge is heading towards a non-mechanical reality. The universe begins to look more like a great thought than a great machine."—Sir James Jeans

- Your Highlight at location 2037-2039 | Added on Wednesday, 8 June 2022 23:14:56

You understand it by either working out from first principles what basic patterns mathematics makes, or studying what observable patterns Nature

shows us, and then working your way back to what basic, unobservable patterns could produce all of those patterns.

- Your Highlight at location 2040-2049 | Added on Wednesday, 8 June 2022 23:18:46

The staggering insight that Joseph Fourier had—the greatest intuition since Pythagoras said that all things were numbers—was that mathematical sinusoids could produce every observable pattern. The only further step he could have taken—which the Pythagorean Illuminati did then in fact take was to realize that sinusoids are nothing other than thoughts and that in their basis form they exist dimensionlessly in dimensionless minds (monads). Fourier mathematics is none other than a description of how sinusoidal minds create, via their own sinusoidal thoughts, every conceivable pattern, including every spacetime pattern of the observable world. Sinusoids are thoughts and by combining basis waves (basis thoughts) we can generate any thought we like, no matter how complicated. You start with the simplest possible things—waves—and get the most complex things conceivable. This is Occam's razor in action. This is how you get ultimate complexity from ultimate simplicity, how you get Leibniz's best possible world: the world where the simplest things produce the maximum diversity. The language of waves (thoughts) accomplishes all of this. And absolutely nothing could be more beautiful and elegant.

- Your Highlight at location 2099-2101 | Added on Thursday, 9 June 2022 22:02:00

In fact the unconscious mind, not consciousness, is the default mental state of existence. Consciousness is something that evolves from the unconscious via language and socialization. Consciousness is a social phenomenon. Isolated humans can never be conscious.

- Your Highlight at location 2106-2107 | Added on Thursday, 9 June 2022 22:02:55

Tesla was an empiricist, not a rationalist. That's why he never made it to the first rank of thinkers, and that's why he can never be trusted. Many of his ideas are fundamentally unsound and ridiculous.

- Your Highlight at location 2162-2165 | Added on Saturday, 11 June 2022 00:15:03

"God" is mathematical perfection, the state of zero entropy = perfect symmetry, and corresponds to the state of perfect reason, perfect knowledge, perfect understanding, perfect love, and perfect bliss. As Morgue said, "The end of the universe will be like finding your perfect lover after searching for an eternity, multiplied by infinity. Our love is more numerous than the stars in the sky."

- Your Highlight at location 2238-2240 | Added on Saturday, 11 June 2022 23:44:24

"Every genius thinks inwardly toward his Mind instead of outwardly toward his senses."—Walter Russell When will worshipers of scientism grasp this? A genius judges. A fool senses. A genius conceives. A fool perceives.

- Your Highlight at location 2263-2268 | Added on Saturday, 11 June 2022 23:47:22

Everything about Darwinism is more or less ridiculous. True evolution is driven by teleological mathematical monadic minds seeking a state of zero entropy, i.e. mental perfection. True evolution is much better reflected by Wallace, Lamarck and Bergson than by Darwin. Only the materialist atheists and nihilists are spellbound by Darwin. Darwinism is not a fact. It is nothing but a materialist misinterpretation of evolution. When materialism falls, so will Darwinism. There will come a glorious day in the future when the names of Jesus Christ and Charles Darwin are equally unknown.

- Your Highlight at location 2655-2661 | Added on Thursday, 16 June 2022 22:23:50

If you stay at the level of semantic knowledge, you can never gain real knowledge of what's actually going on. That is the fundamental error of empiricism. You cannot work out ultimate reality by experiencing reality. Knowledge of what is seen is not knowledge of what is not seen, and it is what is not seen that is the true knowledge that explains reality. Syntax explains reality. Semantics does not. Semantics "explains" the experience of reality, which is something entirely different, and the explanation is always infected with subjectivity, relativity and misinterpretation.

- Your Highlight at location 2705-2706 | Added on Friday, 17 June 2022 23:23:07

The unconscious always precedes consciousness. The unconscious can deal with both syntax and semantics. The unconscious is absolutely fundamental, and that's because it is mathematics.

- Your Highlight at location 2759-2760 | Added on Friday, 17 June 2022 23:33:17

Music is where semantic mathematics is closest to syntactic mathematics. That's where its awesome power lies.

- Your Note at location 2839 | Added on Sunday, 19 June 2022 01:00:10

Interesting use of the term 'band', which implies a frequency range starting at something other than zero. Elsewhere in GS & TS, they say the Holos is formed from frequencies below some threshold value, which would seem to include zero.

- Your Highlight at location 2837-2839 | Added on Sunday, 19 June 2022 01:00:11

What is the soul? It is nothing other than the entire electromagnetic spectrum gathered into an autonomous unit called a monad, and there are

infinite such monads. They create the visible world through their interactions within a specific band of electromagnetic frequencies.

- Your Highlight at location 2864-2869 | Added on Sunday, 19 June 2022 01:04:02

It would in fact be truer to say that all that exists are waves, and the notion of "particle" is a property of waves relating to the concept of the "flowing point" that traces out waves in the first place. The "flowing" point is where the wave actually is on its wave trajectory. When many people think of a wave, they imagine the full wave existing all at once. In fact, the wave is traced out as the point flows along its pre-programmed wave trajectory. The whole wave exists as a potentiality, but at any instant the wave is actual only where the flowing point is. This is why a wave can also seem like a particle, or, alternatively why a particle can seem like a wave. The flowing point is a particle, but it wholly reflects wave programming.

- Your Highlight at location 2903-2907 | Added on Sunday, 19 June 2022 22:48:33

The truth is holographic. Only mathematics furnishes a system of absolute truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, both immanent and transcendent. Neither transcendence alone nor immanence alone can deliver the truth of the universe. A holographic universe of light (mind) is entirely different from a physical universe of spacetime. When has science ever proved that we do not live in a cosmic hologram? How could it? Selfgenerating ontological holography is outside the paradigm of scientism.

- Your Highlight at location 2921-2925 | Added on Sunday, 19 June 2022 22:52:12

if Wittgenstein is right and a private language is impossible then it would be impossible to attach any objective meaning to "true" and "false". They would literally be meaningless claims. Just as we need others in order to construct a valid verbal language, we need others in order to judge what is true and what is false (in any way other than analytically where we straightforwardly define truth, such as 1 = 1, and $1 \neq 2$). In other words, we need other-reference and non-solipsism.

- Your Highlight at location 2933-2939 | Added on Sunday, 19 June 2022 22:55:39

Solipsism is formally illogical and impossible. But that means that Gödel's method is invalid since it is attempting to create a perfectly isolated, self-referential statement within a whole system, but no such thing can ever truly exist. Gödel's technique for producing the statement actually invalidates the statement since he has to use other-reference to create the context in which he can then refer to self-reference, but that means that it is not a genuinely self-referential statement, which it has to be in order to be valid and say what Gödel intends it to say.

- Your Highlight at location 2981-2986 | Added on Sunday, 19 June 2022 23:02:56

[Actually, if we are being more precise, we can also add a contingent frequency domain of becoming, which is mathematically inter-convertible with the spacetime domain of becoming. In relation to the Euler Unit Circle, necessary frequency waves are associated with the axial radii, while contingent frequency waves are associated with inter-axial radii. If each inter-axial radius is regarded as the hypotenuse of a Pythagorean right-angled triangle, then space and time are associated with the sides of each such triangle. It's all in the math!] The Being domain consists of immutable basis waves, and the Becoming domain consists of the mutable combinations into which the former basis waves enter. You cannot have the latter without the former.

- Your Highlight at location 2988-2989 | Added on Sunday, 19 June 2022 23:04:42

Contradiction can never be considered "complementary". That is a category error and the road to endless fallacies, as we continuously see in scientism.

- Your Highlight at location 3023-3024 | Added on Sunday, 19 June 2022 23:08:50

Bohm, Schrödinger, de Broglie and Einstein were right. Pauli, Bohr, Born and Heisenberg were wrong. It is their dream that is repulsive and in extremely bad taste.

- Your Highlight at location 3047-3055 | Added on Sunday, 19 June 2022 23:12:23

Meditation is totally useless. Only perceiving types, never judging types, expect meditation to prove fruitful. It totally fails to address ontology and epistemology and is about trying to attain a particular mental state that supposedly sheds information on consciousness. But if you cannot define consciousness, hence do not know what it is, how can you reach any mental state that usefully provides information about consciousness by the mere fact of being a mental state, and how can you know that you are not going down a path of false consciousness, false awakening and false enlightenment? Where are your objective criteria and knowledge? How can you perceive rather than judge your way to enlightenment? Consciousness is a social phenomenon. Meditation is an isolated, anti-social phenomenon, hence leads away from consciousness. Meditation runs straight into Wittgenstein's private language problems. When you cut through all the nonsense, what a meditator is seeking to accomplish is some unique, individual state of consciousness that reveals

- Your Highlight at location 3058-3060 | Added on Monday, 20 June 2022 22:33:07

Consciousness is something that evolves from the unconscious. There is no such thing as eternal consciousness in relation to Becoming. It is part of the fabric of eternal, necessary, perfect, zero entropy existence (Being), but that it not something accessible through any simple-minded meditative

technique used by an ill-educated person who does not understand ontology and epistemology.

- Your Highlight at location 3068-3070 | Added on Monday, 20 June 2022 22:34:21

You cannot meditate or perceive your way to knowledge. You cannot use a semantic experience to throw light on rational syntax. Only reason and logic provide a route to rational, syntactic knowledge.

- Your Highlight at location 3611-3627 | Added on Sunday, 26 June 2022 06:51:01

There are four aspects to existence: 1) observable mathematical patterns concerning space, time, matter, extension, dimensionality and atoms with atomic numbers greater than zero, all of which travel slower than light speed. 2) unobservable mathematical patterns concerning non-space, nontime, non-extension, non-dimensionality and atoms with atomic numbers of exactly zero (i.e. photons), which always travel at light speed. Unobservable mathematics concerns an immaterial Singularity of mathematical frequencies, outside space and time, i.e. a non-physical (hence mental) domain. 3) the observable interaction of domains 1) and 2), concerning light interacting with spacetime and matter. 4) the unobservable interaction of domains 2) and 1), concerning spacetime and matter interacting with the light singularity. Ontological Fourier mathematics, which links the frequency domain to the spacetime domain, is the mathematics which runs this system: 1) Fourier spacetime domain (physical world). 2) Fourier frequency domain (mental world). 3) Fourier frequency \rightarrow spacetime interaction (observable in physical world; minds make bodies do things); the inverse Fourier transform ... frequency functions are converted into spacetime functions, hence are observable in spacetime. 4) Fourier spacetime → frequency interaction (unobservable in physical world; registered in the mental world); the forward Fourier transform ... spacetime functions are converted into frequency functions, which cannot be observed in the spacetime world, but are experienced by minds in the frequency domain, the mental Singularity.

- Your Highlight at location 3631-3637 | Added on Sunday, 26 June 2022 06:52:42

We do not live in a scientific universe. We live in a dual-aspect mathematical universe, one of whose aspects is labeled as the physical universe, but science completely ignores the other and much more important aspect: the mental universe, i.e. the Singularity at the centre of existence, in which lie all of the answers to existence. The scientific universe is strictly dimensional, and uses a version of mathematics designed to exclude zero and infinity, imaginary and complex numbers, and nearly all negative numbers. The mathematical universe is both dimensional and dimensionless, and uses all numbers, including zero and infinity, imaginary

and complex numbers, and negative and positive numbers, this being proper mathematics and not an irrational and radically incomplete and inconsistent misapplication of mathematics.

- Your Highlight at location 3641-3645 | Added on Sunday, 26 June 2022 06:56:31

Dimensionless existence = mental and unobservable, associated with a frequency Singularity, with monadic minds. Dimensional existence = material and observable, and is associated with a spacetime universe that originates from a dimensionless, mental singularity of frequencies at the Big Bang (a purely mathematical event), based on the wave property of phase, which is the mathematical origin and cause of space and time.

- Your Highlight at location 3661-3664 | Added on Sunday, 26 June 2022 07:01:34

We are not dealing with an intractable Cartesian dualism but a dual-aspect monism (in terms of frequency mind and spacetime matter) that operates seamlessly via ontological Fourier mathematics. This is a grand unified, final theory of everything with Euler's Formula—the most powerful equation of analytic mathematics, which appears everywhere in physics—serving as the "God Equation" that defines existence.

- Your Highlight at location 3667-3668 | Added on Sunday, 26 June 2022 07:03:22

Since the basis frequencies in ontological Fourier mathematics are defined with respect to an eternal, immaterial domain outside space and time, space and time are self-evidently not included in their definition.

- Your Highlight at location 3685-3688 | Added on Sunday, 26 June 2022 07:06:11

Religious people can't think straight because they are consumed by their emotions. Scientists can't think straight because they are consumed by their senses. Rationalists rise above their feelings and their senses and access the mathematical domain of reason and logic, beyond human experience, but not beyond the capacity of anyone who can think rationally and logically without trying to defend their non-rational feelings or senses.

- Your Highlight at location 3708-3718 | Added on Sunday, 26 June 2022 07:12:08

The frequency domain must be considered in two distinct ways: as 1) necessary being, and 2) contingent becoming. The frequency domain proper is that of pure, unmixed, independent sines and cosines ... orthogonal, axial sinusoids. This is the domain of eternal, necessary, immutable, perfect being. We could even legitimately refer to this aspect of the frequency domain as "God". This domain exists right now at the core of existence. Since it is made of monadic minds then it is itself a living being, a perfect Cosmic Mind. If that's not what God is then what is God? God has an incredible trick it can perform. From its own being, it can—simply by

adding sine and cosine basis waves and creating phase-shifted sinusoids—create a frequency domain of contingent, temporal becoming, and this is none other than the basis of the Big Bang and the spacetime world of matter. In the frequency domain of Being, all monads create a perfect unity, a perfect whole. They are in perfect symmetry, perfect harmony, perfect alignment, perfect integration and perfect interconnectedness. They form a single entity, a unity, a ONE ... the Neoplatonic "One" in many ways, except as pure Being rather than as "beyond Being".

- Your Highlight at location 3730-3732 | Added on Sunday, 26 June 2022 07:14:59

However, the PSR—which is perfectly structured "nothing"—can also generate Becoming from Being, with Becoming corresponding to imperfectly structured "nothing", i.e. a less efficient and sub-optimal expression of the PSR, which is then tasked with returning to its ideal, perfect state of pure Being.

- Your Highlight at location 3756-3760 | Added on Sunday, 26 June 2022 07:18:28

Emotional types want an ineffable emotion as the climax of existence (an encounter and union with God and universal love); sensing types want an ineffable perception (to perceive the whole of existence at once, as God supposedly can); and mystical intuitives want an ineffable experience of total interconnectedness (to be absorbed by base reality, by God). Only thinking types want to know the "Mind of God" and thus know everything. They have a supreme epistemic ambition, whereas the other types have a supreme empirical ambition, which is why these other types are so fond of empiricism and so resistant to rationalism.

- Your Highlight at location 3769-3769 | Added on Sunday, 26 June 2022 07:19:39

So, the Psyche has an upper unitary aspect and a lower fragmented aspect.

- Your Highlight at location 3778-3780 | Added on Sunday, 26 June 2022 07:21:22

The Nous/One is about Being. The Psyche is the agent of Becoming. The Nous/One is the Fourier domain of pure eternal, necessary Being, but it can emanate the Psyche which concerns the domain of contingent Becoming, and from which we derive the universe of space, time and matter, to which the Psyche then links, producing our lived reality of a mind connected to a physical body in a physical world.

- Your Highlight at location 3810-3812 | Added on Sunday, 26 June 2022 07:26:19

Ontological mathematics dispenses with the Creator/Programmer God and instead makes monads windowed and interactive. Their task in the course of a universal cycle is to create God from themselves and their interactions. God does not create them. They create God.

- Your Highlight at location 3813-3814 | Added on Sunday, 26 June 2022 07:26:30

We would be perfectly happy for Neoplatonism (or Gnosticism)—dumbed down ontological mathematics—to become the religion for the masses, and for ontological mathematics itself to be reserved for the rationalist intelligentsia.

- Your Highlight at location 3824-3835 | Added on Sunday, 26 June 2022 07:31:09

Monadic minds can do two things: 1) exist as pure Being, and 2) engage in Becoming. In relation to 1), all monadic minds operate together as a single, zero entropy entity. They are a perfect Unity. They are "God". In relation to 2), all monadic minds operate separately, as entropic entities. They generate a vast amount of entropy via involution (Being → Becoming) and then their task is to eliminate, via evolution, the entropy they have generated (Becoming → Being), and return to their original serene state of pure Being. The perfect state of Being exists permanently. The subtlety is that this necessary state is accompanied by a second, contingent state of existence: Becoming. This is built into the architecture and fundamental properties of Fourier mathematics. The pure frequency domain of basis waves is the domain of Being. It can generate a frequency domain of becoming simply by adding sine and cosine basis waves to produce asymmetric rather than symmetric wave patterns (i.e. phase shifted patterns), and this contingent frequency domain can be mathematically reinterpreted as the spacetime domain of matter. Matter (Becoming) is entropic. Pure mind (Being) has zero entropy. The Second Law of Thermodynamics is said to predict the Heat Death of the universe. In fact, this is false. What it actually predicts is the death of matter, space and time—via the expansion of the physical universe until it flatlines and thus ceases to be.

- Your Highlight at location 3837-3840 | Added on Sunday, 26 June 2022 07:34:00

universe, in readiness for the creation of the next universe. Only at the end of the universe is Becoming not operating in conjunction with Being. However, as soon as Being reaches exclusivity (Becoming has ceased to exist), the first act of Being is to initiate Becoming again, and this is none other than the Big Bang, the cosmic eruption of Becoming across all monadic nodes, and the origin of the "splitting" of all monadic minds into separate centers of agency.

- Your Highlight at location 3882-3889 | Added on Sunday, 26 June 2022 23:32:24

The contingent frequency activity belongs to the "Becoming" frequency domain. This "Becoming" frequency domain, not the "Being" frequency domain, is the one associated with spacetime. In relation to the Euler unit circle, the Becoming frequency domain is associated with interaxial radii,

Adrian Price

92

and the Being frequency domain with axial radii. Think of Pythagorean triangles. Each interaxial radius is the hypotenuse of a Pythagorean triangle, and the two sides correspond to space and time, respectively. The hypotenuse belongs to the Becoming frequency domain, and the other two sides to the derived spacetime domain. In the case of the axial radii, these do not serve as hypotenuses, hence belong to a different category of existence (Being frequency). All radii are directly connected with frequency, but "hypotenuse" radii (interaxial) are distinct from non-hypotenuse radii (axial). That is the frequency nuance.

- Your Highlight at location 3890-3904 | Added on Sunday, 26 June 2022 23:34:48

All sinusoids associated with any of the radii of the Euler unit circle belong to the frequency domain. The axial radii belong to the Being frequency domain, and the non-axial radii to the Becoming frequency domain. Linear combinations of the axial waves are secondary, not primary. They are about Becoming, not Being. The axial waves are the primary waves: the eternal, necessary waves of Being. A phase-shifted sinusoid traveling at light speed exists in the frequency domain, but it creates spacetime due to its constituent sine and cosine contributions. It's important to realize that all phase-shifted sinusoids are hybrids. They are mixed sine-cosine sinusoids, whereas the basis sinusoids are unmixed: they are pure sines and pure cosines. Phase-shifted sinusoids involve the coupling of sine and cosine waves. These waves in themselves are decoupled, which is exactly why they can serve as independent basis waves. All phase-shifted, non-orthogonal sinusoids travelling at light speed exist in the frequency domain, hence are mental waves, but they create spacetime due to their constituent waves that travel below the speed of light because they are non-radial waves (they are the sides of the triangle rather than the radial hypotenuse), and are thus "space" or "time" waves." "Spacetime" is itself an entirely mental construct, and exists within an immaterial frequency Singularity of mind. Spacetime is simply a "perspective": it is what you get when instead of considering the hypotenuse of the Pythagorean triangle, you instead tune into the two sides.

- Your Highlight at location 4050-4060 | Added on Tuesday, 28 June 2022 22:24:02

"Four very significant stumbling-blocks in the way of grasping the truth, which hinder every man however learned, and scarcely allow anyone to win a clear title to wisdom, namely: the example of weak and unworthy authority, longstanding custom, the feeling of the ignorant crowd, and the hiding of our own ignorance while making a display of our apparent knowledge. ... Four causes of error: the example of unreliable and unsuited authorities, the long duration of habit, the opinion of the ignorant masses, and the propensity of humans for disguising ignorance by the display of

pseudo-wisdom. ... Four chief obstacles in grasping truth: submission to faulty and unworthy authority, influence of custom, popular prejudice, and concealment of our own ignorance. ... Four barriers blocking the road to truth: submission to unworthy authority, the influence of custom, popular prejudice, and concealment of one's ignorance with a technical show of wisdom. ... Four obstacles to real wisdom and truth, viz. errors and their sources (the four general causes of human ignorance): the example of weak and unreliable authority; continuance of custom, regard to the opinion of the unlearned, and concealing one's own ignorance, together with the exhibition of apparent wisdom. ... Four stumbling blocks to truth: unworthy authority, custom and convention, unrefined sensibilities, and the ostentation of seeming wisdom."—Roger Bacon

- Your Highlight at location 4280-4283 | Added on Thursday, 30 June 2022 23:05:09

You cannot understand ultimate reality without understanding ultimate reality's own language. It is a fundamental and defining claim of mystics that ultimate reality has no language, hence cannot be understood at all. It is beyond understanding, they say, but not beyond subjective personal experience, and meditation is supposed to allow humans to reach the desired state.

- Your Highlight at location 4343-4345 | Added on Friday, 1 July 2022 22:24:57

Do not refer to things you do not understand. It's not a good look. Admit your ignorance rather than pretending to know things that you clearly do not know. You are being lied to. Most of all, you are lying to yourself.

- Your Highlight at location 4433-4434 | Added on Saturday, 2 July 2022 23:13:26

"The assertion that there is no knowledge outside science—extra scientiam nulla salus—is nothing but another and most convenient fairytale."—Paul Feyerabend

- Your Highlight at location 4440-4442 | Added on Saturday, 2 July 2022 23:15:00

"The sciences of today are business enterprises run on business principles. Research in large institutes is not guided by Truth and Reason but by the most rewarding fashion, and the great minds of today increasingly turn to where the money is."—Paul Feyerabend

- Your Highlight at location 5275-5280 | Added on Wednesday, 6 July 2022 22:34:22

"The most erroneous assumption is to the effect that the aim of public education is to fill the young of the species with knowledge and awaken their intelligence, and so make them fit to discharge the duties of citizenship in an enlightened and independent manner. Nothing could be further from the truth. The aim of public education is not to spread enlightenment at all;

it is simply to reduce as many individuals as possible to the same safe level, to breed and train a standardized citizenry, to put down dissent and originality. That is its aim in the United States, whatever the pretensions of politicians, pedagogues and other such mountebanks, and that is its aim everywhere else."—H. L. Mencken

- Your Highlight at location 5280-5285 | Added on Wednesday, 6 July 2022 22:35:00

A central aim of the education system is to render mathematics toxic to the average person. A mathematically literate population would be one that the elites could not control. They can dominate credulous, superstitious religious people, infected by faith and prayer. They can control meditating mystics that retreat from the world (hence are no threat). They can exploit the masses politically and economically. They can manipulate the herd via experiments. They cannot control billions of highly intelligent, rational, logical, clear, critical thinkers. Mathematics is the exact means to think in this way that is so deadly to the ambitions of the elites. To revalue all values means to replace everything with reason, logic and mathematics. The world would instantly be unrecognizable.

- Your Highlight at location 5299-5301 | Added on Wednesday, 6 July 2022 22:38:26

All of us are creatures of two realities: eternal Being and temporal Becoming. If we could switch to our Being perspective, we would know the Mind of God. That is what gnosis is. That is Enlightenment.

- Your Highlight at location 5419-5423 | Added on Thursday, 7 July 2022 22:34:22

Judging types like order and structure. They like decisions, conclusions, getting things done and reaching objectives. Perceiving types are doubtful and skeptical about all of that. They frequently refer to judging types as "judgmental", which is literally perceived as a bad thing, "authoritarian", "totalitarian", "fascist", "Nazi", and so on. Perceiving types always want to have an open road ahead of them. They never want to actually arrive. Judging types cannot see the point of not wanting to reach your destination.

- Your Highlight at location 5470-5473 | Added on Friday, 8 July 2022 23:36:55

Since scientism is anti-intellectual yet rules the academic world, the whole academic world is anti-intellectual. It is run by Sophists, not Philosophers, by perceiving types, not judging types, and is wholly devoted to funding and careerism. It has no interest in the search for Ultimate Truth, the focus of all intellectual activity. It does not want to reach any definitive position, any "final theory".

- Your Note at location 5503 | Added on Friday, 8 July 2022 23:45:45

Reminiscent of George Orwell's 'Ministry of Truth', doublethink, etc.

- Your Highlight at location 5498-5503 | Added on Friday, 8 July 2022 23:45:45

Classical science was 100% deterministic. Now science stands on claims regarding fundamental indeterminacy and indeterminism. How can anyone take science seriously? The very fact that it is capable of making 180 degree turns—of telling us that what it previously regarded as indisputably white is now to be treated as incontestably black—shows that science is inherently unreliable and incapable of delivering absolute, infallible knowledge. Scientism is just an ever-changing opinion and belief. Tomorrow, a new experiment might cause it to hum an entirely different tune. Who knows? Scientists certainly don't. Can anyone seriously imagine that 100 years from now science will be making the same claims that it does today?

- Your Highlight at location 5507-5508 | Added on Friday, 8 July 2022 23:46:21

Scientism exposed the error of religious faith then created the equally serious error of empiricism. Judging emotionalism was replaced by perceiving empiricism.

- Your Highlight at location 5711-5714 | Added on Sunday, 10 July 2022 23:38:00

"Logotherapy is based on an existential analysis focusing on Kierkegaard's will to meaning as opposed to Adler's Nietzschean doctrine of will to power or Freud's will to pleasure. Rather than power or pleasure, logotherapy is founded upon the belief that it is the striving to find a meaning in one's life that is the primary, most powerful motivating and driving force in humans.

- Your Highlight at location 5720-5723 | Added on Sunday, 10 July 2022 23:38:48

Frankl said, "We can discover meaning in life in three different ways: (1) by creating a work or doing a deed; (2) by experiencing something or encountering someone; and (3) by the attitude we take toward unavoidable suffering ... everything can be taken from a man but one thing: the last of the human freedoms—to choose one's attitude in any given set of circumstances."

- Your Highlight at location 5723-5727 | Added on Sunday, 10 July 2022 23:39:37

"I had the idea of a device that could scramble all of the soul's frequency components in order to wipe it clean of any identity signature. The monad is of course eternal, but the energy frequency components a soul has developed is what gives it its uniqueness, and thus, just as in the Big Bang, it should be possible to totally eradicate a soul's existence in as much as its evolved identity." That's the ultimate weapon ... the Soul Destroyer (or Personality Destroyer, to be more precise).

- Your Highlight at location 5736-5737 | Added on Monday, 11 July 2022 22:58:50

The Universe—the Cosmic Mind—uses itself and its creations to understand itself. Its ultimate creation is the world. This is its laboratory where it experiments on itself and generates knowledge about itself, as Becoming rather than Being.

- Your Highlight at location 5789-5792 | Added on Monday, 11 July 2022 23:04:57

"When the universe was One and Nothing it fashioned a mirror so that it might behold itself. This mirror was fractured into uncountable pieces. I am a reflection of you and you are a reflection of me. As we gaze into each other's eyes we catch a glimpse of what we once were and will become: the Universe, the One, the All."—Morgue

- Your Highlight at location 5888-5891 | Added on Tuesday, 12 July 2022 21:57:55

Kuhn made a remarkable point regarding different scientific paradigms. He said they involved such a fundamental change of conception of reality (even within the same Meta-Paradigm) that they were technically incommensurable, i.e. the new paradigm could not be proven or disproven by the rules of the old paradigm, and vice versa. The logics of the respective systems had deviated too far from each other and were now wholly incompatible.

- Your Highlight at location 5917-5922 | Added on Tuesday, 12 July 2022 22:01:59

Incommensurability actually relates to everything that is non-tautological ... non-analytic. Why is it impossible to base mathematics on a set of independent axioms? It's because the very fact that the axioms are independent means that they are incommensurate and belong to different conceptual systems. For mathematics to a unity, a whole, a completeness, all of its axioms must be derivable from a single master axiom. Mathematics must be holistic and holographic, hence no part of it can be independent of any other part of it. The whole is in every part, thus making all parts commensurate. If the whole is not in every part, as scientism claims, every part is automatically incommensurate with every other part, hence irrational and illogical.

- Your Highlight at location 5940-5943 | Added on Tuesday, 12 July 2022 22:05:30

Kuhn said that there is no impartial language or tool that can be used to perform a neutral comparison between conflicting paradigms. The terminology used by respective paradigms are integral to those paradigms, and cannot be understood in any other context. Paradigms are mutually exclusive. Their advocates are always talking at cross-purposes, and pretending that they are in some sort of dialogue when in fact their diametrically opposed worldviews mean that they can't even understand the other person, let alone have an intellectual debate with them.

- Your Highlight at location 5947-5952 | Added on Tuesday, 12 July 2022 22:07:44

Kuhn said of those advocating different paradigms, "Though each may hope to convert the other to his way of seeing science and its problems, neither may hope to prove his case. The competition between paradigms is not the sort of battle that can be resolved by proofs." Humans imagine that they are in engaged in some sort of common debate and people can be persuaded by facts, rational arguments and so on. Nothing could be further from the truth. All humans subscribe to one paradigm or another (it is impossible not to follow a paradigm), and the vast majority have no comprehension of rival paradigms, and instantly reject them. They continuously talk past one another.

- Your Highlight at location 5959-5962 | Added on Tuesday, 12 July 2022 22:12:41

"Kuhn states that the probabilistic tools used by verificationists are inherently inadequate for the task of deciding between conflicting theories, since they belong to the very paradigms they seek to compare."—Wikipedia This is a vital issue. You cannot use the tools associated with one paradigm to refute another paradigm, which uses different tools.

- Your Highlight at location 5976-5980 | Added on Tuesday, 12 July 2022 22:15:55

When any result appears in "normal science" that prima facie falsifies the paradigm (such as non-locality and entanglement with respect to Einstein's theories which reject "spooky action at a distance"), the conclusion is rejected, and the paradigm is simply "reinterpreted" to explain away the result and to claim that it does not really change anything (although it has in fact changed everything). Every part of scientism is fraudulent, incoherent, anti-intellectual and contemptuous of philosophical rigor.

- Your Highlight at location 5992-5992 | Added on Tuesday, 12 July 2022 22:18:31

Falsification is very much in the eye of the beholder, and is simply part of a belief system.

- Your Highlight at location 5994-5996 | Added on Tuesday, 12 July 2022 22:19:32

"My dialectical method is, in its foundations, not only different from the Hegelian, but exactly opposite to it. ... With Hegel it [the dialectic] is standing on its head. It must be inverted, in order to discover the rational kernel within the mystical shell."—Karl Marx

- Your Highlight at location 6005-6008 | Added on Tuesday, 12 July 2022 22:21:25

If Hegelianism is the thesis and Marxism its dialectical antithesis, what is their synthesis? It is meritocracy. In a meritocracy, there are equal opportunities but no equal outcomes, as opposed to Marxism where there

are equal opportunities and equal outcomes, and Hegelianism, where there are no equal opportunities and no equal outcomes (at least not until an extremely late stage), thanks to Hegel's emphasis on world historical figures rather than social and economic classes (as in Marxism).

- Your Highlight at location 6253-6259 | Added on Thursday, 14 July 2022 22:50:26

"One of the criteria for evaluating scientific theories that Feyerabend attacks is the consistency criterion. He points out that to insist that new theories be consistent with old theories gives an unreasonable advantage to the older theory. He makes the logical point that being compatible with a defunct older theory does not increase the validity or truth of a new theory over an alternative covering the same content. That is, if one had to choose between two theories of equal explanatory power, to choose the one that is compatible with an older, falsified theory is to make an aesthetic, rather than a rational choice. The familiarity of such a theory might also make it more appealing to scientists, since they will not have to disregard as many cherished prejudices. Hence, that theory can be said to have 'an unfair advantage'."—Wikipedia

- Your Highlight at location 6303-6305 | Added on Thursday, 14 July 2022 23:00:04

The universe itself is the ultimate expression of meaning. Mathematics is pure reason, pure meaning, pure purpose, and the cosmos is pure mathematics. The mathematical universe is driven to be perfect, to fully actualize. Parts becomes perfect, and thus the whole becomes perfect. When the totality is perfect, Being must launch Becoming and start again.

- Your Highlight at location 6343-6344 | Added on Thursday, 14 July 2022 23:07:21

The Singularity that precedes spacetime is a zero entropy state. It is GOD: perfect mind, perfect reason. But it is God made of countless monadic minds operating in perfect, blissful harmony.

- Your Highlight at location 6433-6436 | Added on Friday, 15 July 2022 23:07:31

If you judge that one culture is superior to another, why would you have any desire to see the superior culture corrupted, distorted, diluted, weakened and put under existential threat? Yet that's precisely what happens in a multicultural system, where—since all cultures are not equal—inferior cultures are mixing with superior cultures and constantly subverting them. This is exactly what is happening in the West.

- Your Highlight at location 6835-6836 | Added on Thursday, 21 July 2022 22:01:22

What caused the Big Bang? You did. You banged. You are the cosmic bang. You got a lot of bang for your buck. You are the Creator. You have forgotten.

You were the one that said, "Let light do its work. I am the light." The world is made from you and everyone else.

- Your Note at location 6882 | Added on Friday, 22 July 2022 23:18:58

If we regard syntax as the thesis and semantics as the antithesis, is there not scope for a synthesis that encompasses both sides of dual-aspect monadic existence?

- Your Highlight at location 6880-6882 | Added on Friday, 22 July 2022 23:18:58

Science is a perceiving subject driven by observations, and excludes innatism. Mathematics is a judging subject driven by rational and logical judgments, and refers to eternal, necessary, analytic, a priori, innate truths of reason and logic. These are opposite worldviews.

- Your Highlight at location 6891-6893 | Added on Friday, 22 July 2022 23:20:51

It's time to blow scientism out of the water. It's time for judging to replace perceiving. Every time a worshiper of scientism says, "Where is your sensory evidence?" (a perceiving requirement), they should be met with, "Where is your sufficient reason?" (a judging requirement). That will create cognitive dissonance in them. They will go into meltdown.

- Your Highlight at location 6900-6903 | Added on Friday, 22 July 2022 23:22:08

Isn't it amazing how similar the Church of Scientism is to the Catholic Church? There is no salvation outside our Church, they both say. It was an act of genius for Paul Feyerabend to coin the phrase Extra Scientiam Nulla Salus, thus drawing together the two dogmatic Churches and exposing their common spirit and methods.

- Your Highlight at location 6938-6943 | Added on Friday, 22 July 2022 23:25:57

Judging can expose the errors of perceiving. Perceiving cannot do the reverse. Perceiving is utterly false. It cannot perceive the fiber and fabric of existence, i.e. the information carrier. It naïvely predicates everything on the observable information carried. It stakes everything on naïve realism—what you see is what you get; what you see is all there is—and thus conceives of information as magically free-floating, not grounded by any syntax, devoid of any ontology and eternal, necessary epistemology. Perceiving is all about content but no form; territory but no map; signified but no signifier; semantics but no syntax; matter but no form; information carried but no information carrier.

- Your Highlight at location 6948-6953 | Added on Friday, 22 July 2022 23:27:20

There is one place where perceiving is definitively refuted by judging and that is at the state that caused the Big Bang. This was an immaterial

Singularity state, outside space and time, where no physical senses existed, hence no physical observations of physical matter were possible. There was nothing physical. This was a purely mathematical, rational, logical, analytic, a priori, eternal, necessary state. It was the purest judging state. The perceiving state that accompanies such a state is 100% non-physical, thus refuting the whole empiricist, materialist shell game.

- Your Highlight at location 6974-6982 | Added on Friday, 22 July 2022 23:30:40

In the past, the world desperately needed to be freed from religious faith, and science achieved this to some degree. Now, in turn, the world needs to be freed from scientific materialism and empiricism. Ontological mathematics is what will accomplish this. There is nowhere beyond ontological mathematics. It is the end of the line, the ultimate foundation, the base below which nothing else can exist. It is the bottom that holds up all of the "turtles". It is the First Cause, the Prime Mover, Pure Actuality. The intellectual trajectory depicted in human history is that religion produced philosophy, which produced natural philosophy, which produced science (which killed off philosophy in all of its original varieties), which produced more and more mathematics. The trouble is that science interprets the mathematics it uses through the unacknowledged philosophical base of science, namely materialism and empiricism. But these contradict the fundamental nature of mathematics, which is consistent only with the base philosophy of idealism and rationalism.

Holenmerism and Nullibism: The Two Faces of the Holographic Universe (The Truth Series Book 9) (Stark, Dr. Thomas)

- Your Highlight at location 138-141 | Added on Tuesday, 26 July 2022 22:25:26

We might refer to minds as conceptual entities and material bodies as perceptual entities. Concepts are mathematical entities (without matter, space and time), while percepts are mathematical entities that have been conceptually supplemented with the mathematical properties of matter, space and time (which are themselves concepts). This is achieved through the manipulation of the mathematical wave property of phase, without which there could be no space, time and matter.

- Your Highlight at location 146-151 | Added on Tuesday, 26 July 2022 22:27:42

Percepts are simply concepts that can be perceived, and therefore can be treated as "physical things". In fact, they are reified concepts, i.e. perceivable mental entities. They are made perceivable because of the properties conferred on them by mathematical phase. Existence is exclusively mental, but some mental things can be conceptually treated as non-mental, as "physical". Matter is just a mental (mathematical) concept treated as non-mental (non-mathematical) because it is perceivable. To understand reality, that's the central fallacy and delusion that must be overcome.

- Your Highlight at location 171-175 | Added on Tuesday, 26 July 2022 22:39:45

If we were to name the ten philosophers that are essential to understanding existence they would be: Pythagoras, Parmenides, Heraclitus, Plato, Aristotle, Plotinus, Descartes, Leibniz, Kant and Hegel. Then they need to be subjected to the mathematics of Euler, Gauss, Fourier and Riemann. Following that, they need to be considered in the context of the metamathematical claims of Wittgenstein and Gödel. When all of that is done, you get ontological mathematics and modern Illuminism. It's all in the math. This is a strictly rationalist, idealist and mathematical worldview.

- Your Highlight at location 203-205 | Added on Tuesday, 26 July 2022 22:48:11

Physical things are brought into contingent existence by entropy, i.e. by asymmetric phase relations. When such relations are terminated at the end of the universe, the universe returns to a state of zero entropy,

- Your Highlight at location 289-291 | Added on Wednesday, 27 July 2022 22:48:35

All objects are perceptual. All subjects are conceptual. A subject creates a dreamworld by generating percepts—the objects, the "matter", of the dream. A subject creates percepts mathematically—conceptually—by adding the concepts of space and time. Objects under the conceptual

framing of space and time become material things—physical stuff—and are perceived as external to the subject, as "other".

- Your Highlight at location 299-303 | Added on Thursday, 28 July 2022 22:46:42

when all minds working in mathematical conjunction add the concepts of space and time via the manipulation of wave phase, they create a spacetime universe full of material objects, which is then regarded as non-mind, as "other". All monadic minds working together conceive of an "external" universe via the precise mechanism of applying the concepts of space and time to their own content. A monad's contents are sinusoidal waves: basis thoughts, the alphabet of thought. Waves belonging to a specific energy band can be collectively projected to produce what we all experience as the universe.

- Your Highlight at location 338-341 | Added on Thursday, 28 July 2022 23:01:56

we are all God. It is a collective sense, not an individual sense. There is no such thing as an individual soul functioning as God. God is inherently made of all souls. However, the closer an individual soul gets to the truth, the more Godlike it becomes.

- Your Highlight at location 348-349 | Added on Thursday, 28 July 2022 23:03:14

Holenmerism was the term coined by Henry More to describe the ancient concept of the "whole in every part".

- Your Highlight at location 479-481 | Added on Tuesday, 2 August 2022 22:37:38

General relativity is essentially an immanent theory while quantum mechanics is a transcendent theory. General relativity is anti-nullibist while quantum mechanics is nullibist. General relativity is anti-holenmerist while quantum mechanics is holenmerist.

- Your Highlight at location 507-509 | Added on Tuesday, 2 August 2022 22:41:19

In relation to ontological Fourier mathematics, we can regard the frequency domain as that of virtual extension (virtual spacetime), and, likewise, the spacetime domain as that of virtual frequency.

- Your Highlight at location 605-607 | Added on Wednesday, 3 August 2022 22:29:58

It would be useful to re-define the standard model of particle physics in terms of substantial forms and accidental forms, with the ultimate substantial form being that of the photon, the sinusoidal constituent of monads. All other particles can be constructed from photons by adding mathematical "accidents", i.e. additional mathematical properties involving higher symmetries.

- Your Highlight at location 652-654 | Added on Wednesday, 3 August 2022 22:37:49

"Angels", in a sense, are a way of talking about out-of-body experiences, where people are no longer encumbered by a physical body and can "energize" anywhere in the universe via their mental presence. In another sense, an angel is equivalent to a quantum mechanical wavefunction which must "energize" (collapse) at a specific place and cannot energize everywhere at the same time.

- Your Highlight at location 672-675 | Added on Friday, 5 August 2022 22:00:56

"As the soul is whole in every part of the body, so is God whole in all things and in each one."—Thomas Aquinas According to Aquinas, the primary Catholic theologian, God is wholly in each of us! Isn't it bizarre that Christians are so sinful if God is fully present to them at all times?

- Your Highlight at location 685-690 | Added on Friday, 5 August 2022 22:04:25

It's quite clear that Descartes was much less troubled by the mind-body interaction problem than his successors were. The reason for that is that he did not conceive of mind and matter in strict terms of non-extension versus extension, as is commonly attributed to him. Rather, he had holenmerism available to him which allowed him to conceive of mind in terms of virtual extension. Virtually extended minds in a sense directly touch extended bodies, thus removing the standard interaction problem. Descartes' successors found the interaction problem much more intractable because they had abandoned the concept of "virtual extension".

- Your Highlight at location 751-755 | Added on Friday, 5 August 2022 22:16:38

Newton, with his obsession with extension, created scientific empiricism and materialism. Leibniz, with his non-extended monads, was the ultimate nullibist. It was much easier to produce the mathematics of extension than of non-extension and that's why Newton shaped modern science rather than Leibniz. That's why science is about empiricism and materialism rather than rationalism and idealism. That's why it's based on extended matter and the human senses rather than unextended mind and reason and logic. That's why it is about observation rather than analytic reasoning.

- Your Highlight at location 765-773 | Added on Friday, 5 August 2022 22:19:22

The universe of zero is the simplest possible universe and the best possible universe. As Pythagoras said, "All things are numbers; number rules all." As Leibniz said, "In whatever manner God created the world, it would always have been regular and in a certain general order. God, however, has chosen the most perfect, that is to say, the one which is at the same time the simplest in hypothesis and the richest in phenomena." Descartes said, "I now understand the mind to be co-extended with the body: whole in the

whole, and whole in any of its parts." Leibniz wrote, "We can find no true or existent fact, no true assertion, without there being a sufficient reason why it is thus and not otherwise." William of Occam said, "Plurality must never be posited without necessity; entities are not to be multiplied without necessity; it is futile to do with more things that which can be done with fewer." Those five quotes are all you need to explain all of reality. Using these concepts, the whole of ontological Fourier mathematics can be derived.

- Your Highlight at location 820-823 | Added on Friday, 5 August 2022 22:35:23

efforts of individual monadic minds—over billions of years of evolution—to organize prime matter ("atoms") into bodies that could be controlled by individual souls. In other words, Darwinism with its physicalist doctrine of random mutation and evolution by blind natural selection is absurd. Evolution is in fact entirely teleological, and is driven by purposeful minds constantly trying to make matter into usable bodies, then ever better bodies.

- Your Highlight at location 854-856 | Added on Saturday, 6 August 2022 20:51:35

Ontological mathematics takes a similar view to Aquinas: death is caused by the rupture of the connection of the soul to the body. This rupture is caused by the entropic degradation of the body over time as it is subjected to ageing, wear and tear, and DNA deterioration.

- Your Highlight at location 1098-1110 | Added on Saturday, 6 August 2022 21:37:36

So, all you "lightworkers", shed some light on this. How do you define love? What is the ontology of love? What is its epistemology? What is its semantics? How is it conveyed from one place to another? Where does it exist? How does it interact with other thoughts? Where does it go when love has ceased? How does love become less intense? How does one alter the amplitude of love? Or change its frequency and wavelength? What is the relationship between love and quantum mechanics, or love and gravity? Is love a force? Does it interact with the Higgs boson? How does love interact with space and time? What was the love perspective on the Big Bang? Where was love during the Big Bang? Did the Big Bang produce love? How? Why? Where? Is love eternal and necessary or temporal and contingent? Is it analytic or synthetic? Is it a priori or a posteriori? Go on then, you geniuses of love and light, explain it all to us. Or are we going to be waiting until the Second Coming—i.e. forever—for you to formulate any answers? You see, love and light collapses as soon as it runs into reason and logic. Love and light is all about silly stories, happy clappy hymns, ecstatic outbursts and declarations of love and faith, and so on. As soon as anyone

actually has to explain anything, a cosmic silence descends. It's the most frightening silence in the universe, the silence of ineradicable ignorance.

- Your Highlight at location 1269-1270 | Added on Saturday, 6 August 2022 22:06:48

All the action—all Becoming—takes place in the phenomenal foreground, not in the noumenal background, which never changes under any circumstances (it is immutable).

- Your Highlight at location 1318-1320 | Added on Monday, 8 August 2022 21:43:25

Transcendence is always associated with nullibism (it is nowhere in the spacetime world of matter) and always operates holenmerically (it is whole in every part of the physical world or physical body to which it is linked; the collection of all singularities—"God"—is whole in every part of the universe. There is nothing to which it is not linked).

- Your Note at location 1422 | Added on Tuesday, 9 August 2022 21:29:46

Every possible frequency... or every possible harmonic?

- Your Highlight at location 1422-1422 | Added on Tuesday, 9 August 2022 21:29:46 sinusoids of every possible frequency,
- Your Highlight at location 1419-1421 | Added on Tuesday, 9 August 2022 21:30:53

The quintessential holenmeric entities are sinusoidal waves that can be both dimensionless (nullibist) and dimensional ("materialist"), while establishing holenmeric relations between the dimensionless and dimensional waves via ontological Fourier mathematics.

- Your Highlight at location 1438-1439 | Added on Tuesday, 9 August 2022 21:32:47

Spacetime mathematically flows through the frequency singularity, producing the perceptual illusion that light is traveling through spacetime. This illusion is the central problem of science.

- Your Highlight at location 1511-1513 | Added on Wednesday, 10 August 2022 13:22:21

When Einstein got rid of Newtonian absolute space, he was in effect assassinating Newton's God and replacing it with a system in which any observer could consider himself God. Einstein created a myriad of relativist, subjective Gods rather than one absolute, objective God. That mindset pervades the modern world.

- Your Highlight at location 1522-1525 | Added on Wednesday, 10 August 2022 13:23:50

The fundamental reason why general relativity and quantum mechanics cannot be reconciled is that the latter only makes sense in nullibist and holenmerist terms, while general relativity totally dismisses holenmerism

and can countenance nullibism only at singularities, where the theory is said to break down! Ontological mathematics is nullibist and holenmerist and presents gravity, space, time and matter in these terms too, via ontological Fourier mathematics. Hegel was the great exponent of an absolute system of mind.

- Your Highlight at location 1635-1640 | Added on Wednesday, 10 August 2022 14:27:40

Being is eternally perfect. The part of reality that is not perfect is Becoming. How do we get Becoming from Being? All that is required is for the perfect eternal basis waves to mathematically combine in contingent ways, which they do automatically. This creates a second domain, separate from the domain of Being, namely the domain of Becoming. It is this domain that we directly experience, while we are forever "haunted" by the domain of Being, the perfect domain towards which all of our religious and spiritual yearnings are directed. This is the state towards which we are all evolving. This is the meaning of existence, and its endpoint.

- Your Highlight at location 1648-1657 | Added on Wednesday, 10 August 2022 14:30:23

The least average, most improbable, most exceptional, most perfect state you can possibly get is the state of zero entropy. This is the mathematical and scientific means to define God. God is literally the exceptional, unique state that precedes and causes the Big Bang. It is the state of perfect Being. The Big Bang is when Being produces Becoming (involution). From the Big Bang onwards, entropy is relentlessly increasing in the physical world. Everything is become more and more average, and less and less capable of doing anything godlike. However, mentally, entropy is relentlessly decreasing and, at the end of the universe, reaches zero entropy. The curiosity is that maximum physical entropy—the maximally expanded universe—is immediately overtaken by the collapse of this universe to a singularity. We can say that the universe is literally expanding towards its vanishing point. The next state after a maximally expanded physical universe is a Singularity (the zero-expansion universe). This is what ensures that the universe is cyclical. It's all in the math.

- Your Highlight at location 1680-1681 | Added on Wednesday, 10 August 2022 14:34:38

People are extremely entropic. Only reason and logic can cure them, but they love the disease and hate the remedy. Libertarians are the most entropic people you can get, and communists the least.

- Your Highlight at location 1951-1953 | Added on Thursday, 11 August 2022 14:55:24

A material atom cannot have a subjective experience, and nor can any collection of such atoms. They can never have free will and they can never

have the illusion of free will (you would need to have free will first even to formulate the concept of an illusory version of it).

- Your Highlight at location 2033-2037 | Added on Thursday, 11 August 2022 22:51:28

In terms of thought, God has two modes: infinite and finite. He has an infinite intellect and also a host of finite intellects, which are none other than human minds, i.e. our minds are actually just finite modes of God's Mind. Similarly, God has a host of finite extended modes, which are bodies. He also has an infinite extension (space). There are no created substances. What other philosophers consider "created substances"—e.g. human bodies and souls—are in fact finite modes of God rather than infinite modes, but they are nevertheless divine.

- Your Highlight at location 2048-2051 | Added on Thursday, 11 August 2022 22:53:14

Leibniz relied on two great principles. The principle of contradiction states that a proposition and its negation cannot both be true. The principle of sufficient reason states that nothing exists or is the case without a sufficient reason. The principle of contradiction governs truths of reason, and the principle of sufficient reason governs truths of fact. However, the principle of sufficient reason, if it is taken to incorporate the principle of contradiction, then explains everything, all possible truths, whether of reason or fact.

- Your Highlight at location 2083-2089 | Added on Friday, 12 August 2022 19:44:22

Kierkegaard is often regarded as the first existentialist. Kierkegaard, Schopenhauer and Nietzsche can be regarded as an irrationalist triumvirate. They provided a new, resolutely irrational conception of human nature, viewing the mind not as open to rational introspection but as deep and dark, obscure and hidden, thus paving the way for the rise of the philosophy and psychology of the unconscious. All three were brilliant and vivid writers, masters of irony, sarcasm, paradox and absurdity, great stylists and essayists, highly skilled in the use of epigram and aphorism, who changed the way in which philosophy was expressed. They made it into an artform and brought it close to literature and the highest literary criticism.

- Your Highlight at location 2326-2327 | Added on Friday, 12 August 2022 20:32:48 relation to time and space than man. We cannot ascribe to them an ubi
- Your Highlight at location 2496-2496 | Added on Friday, 12 August 2022 21:00:47

 The opposite of syntropy is entropy.
- Your Highlight at location 2568-2569 | Added on Friday, 12 August 2022 21:15:32

"It is the mark of an educated mind to be able to entertain a thought without accepting it."—Aristotle

- Your Highlight at location 2571-2572 | Added on Friday, 12 August 2022 21:16:24

"You will never do anything in this world without courage. It is the greatest quality of the mind next to honor."—Aristotle

- Your Highlight at location 2581-2582 | Added on Friday, 12 August 2022 21:17:33

"A friend to all is a friend to none." -Aristotle

- Your Highlight at location 3015-3018 | Added on Saturday, 13 August 2022 11:33:28

THE SOULMATES APP ... how to get in touch with all your lovers from past lives, including the most special of all, your soulmate. Imagine you had a GPS location for your soulmate ... you knew exactly what body they now possessed. Would you not go anywhere on earth to be with them?

- Your Highlight at location 3402-3404 | Added on Saturday, 13 August 2022 12:38:01

The optimal society is that of a strong individual in a strong community (the left wing ideal), not a strong individual in a weak community (the right wing ideal), or a weak individual in a strong community (the totalitarian ideal), or a weak individual in a weak community (the liberal ideal).

- Your Highlight at location 3858-3860 | Added on Thursday, 18 August 2022 22:51:34

The elected politicians are the dummies used by the real speakers—the super rich elite of the Deep Cabal that actually runs the world. The rightwing masses, with their false consciousness instilled in them by the super rich, are ventriloquists' dummies, mouthing everything favorable to the Deep Cabal.

- Your Highlight at location 3872-3886 | Added on Thursday, 18 August 2022 22:54:52

Does "being busy" mean that you are short of time, or short of mental bandwidth? Imagine you had vast mental capacity and could do many things at once without feeling any strain. Would you say you were "busy"? You wouldn't notice it, hence you wouldn't be busy. A stupid person is "busy" all the time because their limited mental bandwidth is constantly operating at full capacity. The simplest things can use up all of their available bandwidth and they start going into meltdown as soon as other tasks are added on. That's why most have them want to take drugs and alcohol and disappear into a low bandwidth haze. Stupid people suffer from enormous psychology entropy. Intelligent people are those that can exert negentropy. Stupid people's heads are full of entropic noise. Smart people experience negentropic calm and clarity. Psychic entropy is projected confusion. The noise and clutter in stupid people's minds interferes with

their concentration. If you cannot concentrate you cannot be smart. Psychic entropy is associated with anxiety, neurosis, sadness, boredom, anomie and includes negative thought loops, continually reinforcing negativity and making it impossible to escape. Sufferers become mentally frazzled. Their minds fragment and unravel. They can't concentrate on complex tasks. They are paralyzed by inertia and self-conflict. Anxieties and paranoid fears gnaw at them. They have run up against the buffers of their bandwidth. How do you expand your mind? How do you get more bandwidth? Reason and logic provide clarity, order, organization, and direction. Slowly and surely, they re-pattern your mind, get rid of all the clutter, and provide much more mental space. Focused people have low psychic entropy. Unfocused people have high psychic entropy and are tormented and crippled by their mental chaos.

- Your Highlight at location 3906-3907 | Added on Thursday, 18 August 2022 22:58:51

A person with a 15 minute memory would be barely conscious. What about a 1 second memory? What about a 0 seconds memory? Zero seconds corresponds to having no consciousness. Buddhism is a zero-seconds religion.

- Your Highlight at location 3990-3992 | Added on Friday, 19 August 2022 23:35:35

Synesthesia involves cross-talk between the senses. What about cross-talk between Jungian thinking, feeling, sensing and intuition? Imagine you could get four perspectives of the same thing at once. Human thinking about reality would change instantly. Mainstream religions, philosophies and sciences would vanish.

- Your Highlight at location 4007-4007 | Added on Friday, 19 August 2022 23:37:01

The Sacred Cause is all about archetypes, hence is inbuilt with mythic resonance.

- Your Highlight at location 4122-4126 | Added on Saturday, 20 August 2022 14:33:24

In hell, there is supposedly a great demonic eye that, once opened, sees the past and the future, heaven and earth, the blessed and the damned. To control the eye is to see everything and be the most powerful person on earth. Infernus is Latin for "below, beneath, underground." It came to mean the underworld, hell [the Inferno]. How would you feel about a world where all mirrors were cursed or possessed, and directly linked to the Eye of Hell?

- Your Highlight at location 4149-4149 | Added on Saturday, 20 August 2022 14:37:04

Dream telepaths are those able to communicate telepathically with others in dreams.

- Your Highlight at location 4192-4194 | Added on Sunday, 21 August 2022 01:39:35

Until social media arrived, it was impossible to know how fucking dumb average humans are. Now we know. What social media has proved is that the Dunning-Kruger effect is everywhere, overwhelming everything, dragging all high things down into the gutter. The disease of mediocrity is epidemic.

- Your Highlight at location 4202-4205 | Added on Sunday, 21 August 2022 01:41:14

The most hated word in America = "Marxism". The least read philosopher in America = Marx. Shouldn't people actually know what it is they hate? They hate because they are told to hate. Who tells them to hate?—the ruling elite that would be destroyed by Marxism. The zombie masses hate Marxism by proxy, not because they have any actual knowledge of Marxism beyond the elite's self-serving propaganda.

- Your Highlight at location 4454-4458 | Added on Tuesday, 23 August 2022 22:51:23

Contrary to what physicists say, the Higgs boson is in fact associated with a force: spacetime itself! Spacetime is not a fixed background framework, it's a special kind of force ... the framing force! It's the force that supplies Cartesian extension (in terms of both space and time), and thus the context for materialism. The Singularity of electromagnetism supplies the context for Cartesian non-extension: for thinking.

- Your Highlight at location 4501-4501 | Added on Tuesday, 23 August 2022 23:02:57

The likes of Pythagoras, Plato, Plotinus, Descartes, Leibniz, Hegel and Gödel all "got it". They were all rationalists.

- Your Highlight at location 4510-4511 | Added on Tuesday, 23 August 2022 23:03:13

Ultimate reality is 100% knowable provided you take the opposite stance from Kant (as Fichte, Schelling and Hegel did)

- Your Highlight at location 4542-4544 | Added on Tuesday, 23 August 2022 23:08:22

"Beginning with Immanuel Kant, German idealists such as G. W. F. Hegel, Johann Gottlieb Fichte, Friedrich Wilhelm Joseph Schelling, and Arthur Schopenhauer dominated 19th-century philosophy. This tradition ... emphasized the mental or 'ideal' character of all phenomena...

- Your Highlight at location 4556-4560 | Added on Tuesday, 23 August 2022 23:11:02

Hylozoism claims that matter is alive, and hylopsychism claims that matter has mind. Animism says that all material objects have a spiritual essence. Panpsychism says that mind is everywhere: it's a universal and primordial feature of all things. Where idealism would tend to say that material objects are mental content inside minds, panpsychism would tend to say that material objects are mental objects outside minds (with their own minds).

- Your Highlight at location 4686-4687 | Added on Wednesday, 24 August 2022 13:59:07

Lanza's book Biocentrism: How Life and Consciousness are the Keys to Understanding the True Nature of the Universe,

- Your Highlight at location 4910-4914 | Added on Thursday, 25 August 2022 22:36:21

Let's grant the existence of mental temperature. Mental temperature is nothing like physical temperature. It's not connected with "heat". It isn't measured by a thermometer. It is instead associated with the clarity, order and structure of thought. The higher someone's mental entropy, the more irrational they are. Feeling is very high temperature compared with thinking (which is very cool). Sensing is very high temperature compared with intuition. Extraversion is much higher temperature than introversion. Perceiving is much higher temperature than judging. People must work out how to become cool. Feeling, sensing, and extraversion are much more entropic than thinking, intuition, and introversion.

- Your Highlight at location 5012-5015 | Added on Friday, 26 August 2022 12:18:06

Mathematics has no inventor. This can mean only one thing: it is the inventor of everything else. You cannot have multiple uninvented substances. There can only be one uncreated, uncaused, eternal, necessary substance, and that is mathematics, the source of all other things, which are just phenomenal manifestations of noumenal mathematics. Only one thing can be "discovered"—that which has always existed. All other things are "invented" by that thing.

- Your Highlight at location 5041-5044 | Added on Friday, 26 August 2022 12:24:37

It's fascinating to view "God" as "topological" and spacetime as "geometrical" and then to create a new mathematics where the geometrical is always fully penetrated by the topological. Imagine how the great theories of physics—such as general relativity, quantum mechanics and the laws of thermodynamics—would be impacted by such considerations.

- Your Highlight at location 5178-5179 | Added on Friday, 26 August 2022 12:56:04

God put on the mask of perfect goodness and in doing so reified his shadow—the Devil. The Devil is simply God's own Mr Hyde.

- Your Highlight at location 5202-5205 | Added on Friday, 26 August 2022 13:00:06

For a man, the anima functions in some way as his soul, but the animus does not function like that for a woman. It's more akin to an anti-soul. Where the anima can raise up a man, the animus can cast down a woman. A woman unconscious of her masculine side and taken over by it tends to be

aggressive, confrontational and highly opinionated. She has no emotional intelligence and no charm. She cannot seduce. She is animus-possessed.

- Your Highlight at location 5205-5209 | Added on Friday, 26 August 2022 13:01:32

In Jung's system, a man's task in assimilating the anima is to take control of his true emotions. He needs to enhance his subjectivity. A woman's task in assimilating the animus is to take control of her capacity for reason and logic. She needs to enhance her objectivity. A person's task is to come into possession of their soul rather than be possessed by it. They must control it, not be controlled by it.

- Your Highlight at location 5249-5249 | Added on Friday, 26 August 2022 13:09:52

Predatory capitalism is all about "pay it back." Social capitalism is all about "pay it forward".

- Your Highlight at location 5496-5497 | Added on Friday, 26 August 2022 23:39:40

"Mad, bad, and dangerous to know."—Lady Caroline Lamb (about Byron. She was Byron's mistress and sent him a clump of her bloodied pubic hair, which he kept.

- Your Highlight at location 5569-5570 | Added on Friday, 26 August 2022 23:47:46 Salvation is never individual. It is always collective.
- Your Highlight at location 5578-5583 | Added on Friday, 26 August 2022 23:49:07

Awe can be uncomfortable, but we couldn't live without states of awe. We need moments of mystery and transcendence. Awe, not love, is the foundation of all genuine religious experience. Love, by its nature, is possessive and jealous, narrow and self-focused. Awe points beyond rather than to the self. People don't clasp awe, they surrender to it, and in letting go, they become enlarged. Awesome works unsettle you. They create distance. Utter awe creates an unbridgeable gap. People cannot grasp it, cannot possess it. It disturbs them. Awe is numinous.

- Your Highlight at location 5605-5610 | Added on Saturday, 27 August 2022 10:14:18
 - 1) Fermions (matter particles; associated with parts) are non-nullibist and non-holenmerist. 2) Bosons (force particles; associated with wholes) are nullibist and holenmerist. In fact, everything is nullibist and holenmerist, fermions and bosons alike. Higgs bosons (associated with a scalar field) serve as the bridge between fermions and bosons.
- Your Highlight at location 5619-5621 | Added on Saturday, 27 August 2022 10:38:27

The State must be made into a natural, self-organizing, self-directing organism. How else is it to be dialectically perfected? The Dialectic is a

holenmeric concept. The whole is in every part and in the whole system, thus guaranteeing that it will converge on its Omega Point ... the Absolute.

- Your Highlight at location 5729-5730 | Added on Saturday, 27 August 2022 11:27:15

Look like an angel, act like a devil. Don't look like a devil and act like an angel. You're not in Kansas anymore.

- Your Highlight at location 5736-5745 | Added on Saturday, 27 August 2022 11:29:39

In hell's vestibule, the Ignavi chased after a blank banner signifying that they had no cause. But their banner could easily have been a rainbow flag: the flag of all causes, which is therefore the flag of no cause. You must stand behind a specific flag, not a general flag. You must choose this cause or that cause, not every cause. The rainbow flag is the flag of subjectivism and relativism, of "all truths", of "everyone has their own path and own truth." What is the flag of the absolute, eternal, immutable, infallible, perfect, universal truth?—It's Euler's Formula, written in white on a black background. That's the Logos flag. The corresponding Mythos flag is the white skull and crossbones on a black background, showing that Euler's Formula delivers power over life and death, and furnishes knowledge of the eternal, the deathless. Illuminism is about eternal life, hence its Mythos flag is its contrapasso: the Flag of Death.

- Your Highlight at location 5888-5888 | Added on Saturday, 27 August 2022 18:22:52

Existence is all about parts, wholes, parts in wholes, and wholes in parts.

- Your Highlight at location 6109-6111 | Added on Monday, 29 August 2022 23:05:14

Marcus Tullius Cicero said, "The wise are instructed by reason, average minds by experience, the stupid by necessity and the brute by instinct." To put it another way, the wise are instructed by mathematics, average minds by science, the stupid by bad philosophy, and the brute by religion and mysticism.

God Is Mathematics: The Proofs of the Eternal Existence of Mathematics (The Truth Series Book 10) (Stark, Dr. Thomas)

- Your Highlight on page 10 | location 186-186 | Added on Tuesday, 30 August 2022 22:37:30

You cannot have complexity without first having simplicity, indeed ultimate simplicity.

- Your Highlight on page 13 | location 236-241 | Added on Tuesday, 30 August 2022 22:45:35

People have extreme difficulty identifying the fundamental question of existence. Abrahamists address the question of the "world"—the universe—and see it in terms of "What made the universe?" This is rapidly converted into "Who made the universe?" This reflects a fundamental problem in how humans think. Historically, religion and spirituality revolve around a "who"—a being—as the active agent, the "maker". Philosophy, by contrast, often asked "What?" rather than "Who?"—a radically different question involving a fundamentally different way of thinking. Science asks a different question still. It asks, "How?" What, Why and How lead to drastically different conclusions.

- Your Highlight on page 19 | location 346-347 | Added on Wednesday, 31 August 2022 22:57:47

Explanation is a conceptual undertaking. Description is a perceptual undertaking.

- Your Highlight on page 22 | location 401-407 | Added on Wednesday, 31 August 2022 23:06:29

Human thinking is disastrously muddled and confused. People don't understand the difference between concepts and percepts, stories and analysis, syntax and semantics, explanation and description, the a priori and a posteriori, the intelligible and sensible, Logos and Mythos, form and matter, form and content, map and territory, signifier and signified. This means that they can't work out what must be discarded on the way to a final explanation of everything. They don't know what form a final explanation must have, hence they will never arrive at it. They drag in all sorts of extraneous elements that can never constitute an explanation. Perception can never have any relation to ultimate answers.

- Your Highlight on page 30 | location 557-565 | Added on Thursday, 1 September 2022 13:50:22

Aristotle came up with a four-dimensional view of causation: 1) The Material Cause concerns what the changing thing is made of: its material composition, what it is phenomenally and sensibly; 2) The Formal Cause concerns the essence of something, its particular qualities and

characteristics, its internal programming, what makes it what it is, its function, what it is noumenally and intelligibly; 3) The Efficient Cause concerns an external process applied to the thing; it concerns the most obvious cause of a particular change, without which the change would not occur; 4) The Final Cause concerns what the change is for; what it is seeking to ultimately accomplish. Classical science dispensed with all causes except the efficient cause acting on passive matter, which was not considered causal at all. Teleology was emphatically denied, and formal causes—internal programming—were dismissed as unobservable, hence non-existent. Nowadays, formal causes might be referred to as "hidden variables", to which science is notoriously hostile.

- Your Highlight on page 31 | location 575-579 | Added on Thursday, 1 September 2022 13:57:38

The Prime Mover and matter (prime matter) were both conceived as eternal, hence did not come from nothing. However, in that case, each needs a sufficient reason for their existence or will have to be imagined to exist without a reason, which is every bit as offensive to reason as existence coming from non-existence. Aristotle provided no such reasons. Why does existence require a dualism of the Prime Mover and its opposite, Prime Matter? That's as bad as Descartes' mind-matter dualism, and the interaction problem is every bit as insurmountable.

- Your Highlight on page 32 | location 586-588 | Added on Thursday, 1 September 2022 13:58:54

Fourier mathematics provides this exact architecture. The basis waves of Fourier mathematics are eternal and necessary. They never change. They are pure Being. Yet these basis waves, while being immutable, can easily combine with each other. The world of their combinations is a world of Becoming that sits on top of the world of Being, and could not exist without it.

- Your Highlight on page 32 | location 589-592 | Added on Thursday, 1 September 2022 14:00:48

The basis waves, in their aspect of Being, form a perfect array, perfectly ordered and organized—an array with zero entropy. In their aspect of Becoming, they produce entropic combinations, associated with space, time and matter. In their state of Being, basis waves are perfectly independent, yet also perfectly aligned. They form the perfect collective. It's when waves enter into dependent relations and thus become combined that they generate entropy and Becoming.

- Your Highlight on page 33 | location 609-613 | Added on Thursday, 1 September 2022 22:25:48

The basis waves, as Being, are forever independent, yet arranged in a perfect collective array with zero entropy. They are immaterial and outside spacetime. However, as Becoming, they are dependent, combined, and entropic. They are material, in spacetime. We live in a wondrous universe that allows two domains to coexist. The physical universe of Becoming sits right on top of the mental domain of Being as its holographic projection, as its giant collective thought, just as temporal dreamworlds exist within our eternal minds.

- Your Highlight on page 37 | location 675-676 | Added on Thursday, 1 September 2022 22:38:33

(Technically, physical motion is motion associated with non-orthogonal phase; it's motion associated with complex numbers.)

- Your Highlight on page 37 | location 676-679 | Added on Thursday, 1 September 2022 22:38:56

Anything that is physically changeless is automatically eternal and necessary. It is superconductive, has zero entropy and has no physical temperature (it therefore exists at absolute zero). It is a perpetual motion system, conserves energy perfectly and carries out the same, perfect motion forever. No force can change its motion. It does not experience friction. It does not degrade. No energy is dissipated.

- Your Highlight on page 39 | location 716-717 | Added on Thursday, 1 September 2022 22:46:22

Forget your horoscope. Consult your whoroscope instead. What sexual transgressions shall you commit today? Thou Shalt!

- Your Highlight on page 44 | location 808-810 | Added on Friday, 2 September 2022 23:30:59

Since God is uncaused, no cause can be given for his existence, but that means that a reason must be given. Leibniz said, "For in eternal things, even if there is no cause, we must nevertheless realize that there is a reason, which in persisting things is their very necessity or essence..."

- Your Highlight on page 48 | location 877-878 | Added on Saturday, 3 September 2022 23:51:36

Robert Grosseteste, a secret Grand Master of the Illuminati, was one of the primary architects of ontological mathematics.

- Your Highlight on page 49 | location 892-895 | Added on Saturday, 3 September 2022 23:54:16

The human catastrophe has been the failure to realize that when we observe the world we are actually observing a number field, a numerical

pattern. People imagine a number field as a bunch of discrete symbols, e.g. "1", "43", "67", "85", "92". This is a manmade abstraction. In fact, a number field is a continuous field of colors, shades, sensations, perceptions, feelings, intuitions, and so on, i.e. numbers—the essence of rationalism—convey all things empirical.

- Your Highlight on page 55 | location 998-1005 | Added on Sunday, 4 September 2022 22:26:36

Scientists measure time with clocks, i.e. they take an instrumental approach to time. Measuring time tells us nothing about its ontology and epistemology, whether it's tensed or tenseless, whether it's cyclical or linear, whether it's a substance or not. The measurement of something can never tell us what the something actually is. Identical complaints can be levelled at everything that science holds dear. Nothing is ontologically defined in science. Everything is instrumentally defined, which means that science does nothing but produce consistent sets of measurements according to its paradigm. Nothing is ever actually explained. Explanation involves ontology and epistemology, not instrumentalism, which is simply a heuristic. Heuristics have use value but not truth value.

- Your Highlight on page 68 | location 1225-1230 | Added on Tuesday, 6 September 2022 22:30:59

Leibniz argued that nothing can prevent the possibility of that which is without any limits, without any negation, and, consequently, without any contradiction. Furthermore, anything with those properties necessarily exists. If such a thing is possible then it exists. In fact, things exist necessarily if they are possible, have no requirements, have a sufficient reason, conform to Occam's razor, are complete and consistent, have no contradictions, are free of incoherence, are infinitely and eternally stable, have a net result of zero, and it is impossible to prevent their existence. Monads satisfy all of these requirements. They are instances of complete and consistent somethings that equal "nothing".

- Your Highlight on page 69 | location 1254-1260 | Added on Tuesday, 6 September 2022 22:35:47

Contingent minds are impossible. Only contingent bodies—avatars—are possible, to which necessary minds link. Everything is produced by necessary minds. Nothing else exists. Necessary minds produce contingent matter. That is the secret of existence. We encounter true reality every time we go to sleep and dream. Our necessary mind produces a contingent dreamworld. The "real world" is just the contingent construct of the collection of all necessary minds. Necessary minds and their individual and collective contingent constructs … that's the whole shooting match.

- Your Highlight on page 84 | location 1525-1530 | Added on Thursday, 8 September 2022 22:52:58

Ontological mathematics is all about the mathematical syntax of reality, and then explains mathematical semantics via this. But semantics is not knowledge. The error is to imagine it is. As everyone ought to know, your experience of something is not your knowledge of that thing. Humans are continuously having countless experiences, but they are not, via these experiences, acquiring any knowledge of reality. They are acquiring familiarity with the humanly perceived world, and human behavior. That is not knowledge of what existence is, why we exist, and what the meaning of existence is.

- Your Highlight on page 95 | location 1723-1724 | Added on Saturday, 10 September 2022 23:28:40

The only definable reality is that of the Form. "Matter", for example, cannot be defined except with reference to Forms. Matter is sensible; Form is intelligible. If you strip matter of Form, it is automatically unintelligible.

- Your Highlight on page 97 | location 1759-1777 | Added on Sunday, 11 September 2022 12:52:57

Here is a real list of "perfections" concerning an idealized entity: 1) It must be perfectly ordered, i.e. have zero entropy; 2) It must have no temperature—which is associated with disorder—hence it must have a temperature of Absolute Zero; 3) Since it exists at Absolute Zero, it is friction-free and superconductive, i.e. it thinks perfectly, with no resistance, no confusion, no disorder; 4) It must perfectly reflect the Law of Conservation of Energy, hence it can never degrade and never meet any obstacles or contrary forces; 5) It must have a net energy of exactly zero, hence must exist in a perfect environment that will ensure this outcome; 6) It must permanently move at the same speed, the speed associated with perfect order; 7) It must have no net mass, no net materiality; 8) It must not be in the contingent environment of spacetime; 9) It must be completely free of the heat, friction, imperfection, entropy, degradation, and dissipation of the scientific universe; 10) It must be analytically closed, hence must continuously return to itself, to its starting point, i.e. it must reflect periodicity; 11) It must be stable under any transformation applied to it; 12) It must be capable of generating the imperfect, entropic, spacetime universe of matter; 13) It must perfectly reflect the PSR and Occam's razor; 14) It must have no net size, hence must constitute a Singularity; 15) It must be the limit for all scientific processes, hence be capable of serving, in reverse, as the source of all scientific processes; 16) It must have zero net extension, and zero net dimensions, hence, as per Descartes, it must be a purely thinking substance; 17) It must be mental, yet

capable of generating matter, and allowing mind and matter to interact; 18) Causation and determinism must be built into it; 19) It must serve as both information carrier and information carried, syntax and semantics, map and territory, signifier and signified, form and content, form and movement; 20) It must be able to account for multiplicity, plurality and individuation; and 21) It must be free of any possibility of error, incompatibility, incongruity, incompleteness, inconsistency, contradiction, instability, imperfection. Only one thing satisfies all of these requirements: Euler's Formula, which traces out perfect Euler circles and perfect Fourier basis waves. From perfect Fourier basis waves (frequencies), ruled by orthogonal phase (real and imaginary numbers), we can generate the entropic Fourier spacetime environment, ruled by non-orthogonal phase (complex numbers).

- Your Highlight on page 99 | location 1795-1808 | Added on Sunday, 11 September 2022 12:57:59

We would amend the argument in the following way: (1) A monad is defined as a being having all perfections. (2) A perfection is defined as a simple and absolute thought: a sinusoidal basis wave, so a monad is a full collection of sinusoidal basis waves, i.e. all basis thoughts ... the full alphabet of thinking. (3) Sinusoidal basis waves necessarily exist because they are net "nothings", they have no requirements, they are defined by the Principle of Sufficient Reason and are consistent with Occam's razor. Therefore, nothing can prevent their existence. (4) Existence is the essence of a sinusoidal basis wave, hence the full collection of sinusoidal basis waves exists, and constitutes a monad, which is therefore a necessary thinking being. (5) If it is possible for a necessary being to exist then a necessary being does exist. (6) It is possible for a being to have all perfections. (7) Therefore a necessary being—a monad—exists. (8) Since one monad is possible hence has necessary existence, then, for exactly the same reasons, an infinite number of monads is possible hence has necessary existence.

- Your Highlight on page 101 | location 1832-1838 | Added on Sunday, 11 September 2022 13:04:09

Leibniz wrote, "Therefore the reasons for the world lie hidden in something extramundane, different from the chain of states, or the series of things, the aggregate of which constitutes the world." Remember, contingent things cannot explain contingent things, hence science—a system of total contingency—can never explain itself. There can never be a final scientific theory of everything because it would cease to be a scientific theory. The fact that there are contingent things must be explained by something whose existence is not contingent. The contingent world is in fact explained by an infinite array of eternal, necessary monadic minds, including all of us.

- Your Highlight on page 104 | location 1888-1892 | Added on Sunday, 11 September 2022 13:11:35

The Dirac delta function is a heuristic, containing an inherent tension between zero, infinity and one. It works, but it doesn't make sense. It would make sense if every number were assigned a tiny extension rather than being infinitely specified; if every "point" were treated as an extended flowing point rather than an unextended static point. This introduces ontology into mathematics, rather than treating mathematics as a pure abstraction. This is the whole point of ontological mathematics—to remove unreal abstraction from mathematics.

- Your Highlight on page 106 | location 1916-1919 | Added on Sunday, 11 September 2022 23:19:08

"The case against science is straightforward: much of the scientific literature, perhaps half, may simply be untrue. Afflicted by studies with small sample sizes, tiny effects, invalid exploratory analyses, and flagrant conflicts of interest, together with an obsession for pursuing fashionable trends of dubious importance, science has taken a turn towards darkness."—Dr. Richard Horton, editor-in-chief of one of the most well respected peer-reviewed medical journals in the world

- Your Highlight on page 117 | location 2118-2126 | Added on Sunday, 11 September 2022 23:41:23

The universe of zero entropy is perfectly interconnected, perfectly harmonious, and forms a perfect unity. It is complete, whole and perfect in every possible way. It is perfect love and perfect reason. It is perfect perception and perfect intuition. All four Jungian mental functions exist as one. It is perfect syntax and semantics, perfect form and content, perfect map and territory, perfect information carrier and information carried. This is "God", or Cosmic Consciousness, or Universal Mind. It is Brahman, dharmakaya, the Tao, Nirvana, Moksha. It is eternal and necessary. It is the constant background to everything we do. It is the universe of Being. We, however, experience the world of Becoming. Everything depends on the relationship between Being and Becoming.

- Your Highlight on page 118 | location 2140-2144 | Added on Monday, 12 September 2022 22:37:56

The concept of non-compossibility has been updated by Kuhn's notion of incommensurability. Incommensurable theories, concepts, paradigms, worldviews have "no common measure." Science is riddled with incommensurability and non-compossibility, yet scientists ignore these problems because they despise philosophy and analytic rigor and are only interested in useful heuristics and models in limited domains of

applicability. Fitting all such heuristics and models together to produce a grand unified theory of everything is impossible because they are all non-compossible.

- Your Highlight on page 119 | location 2157-2158 | Added on Monday, 12 September 2022 22:39:28

The best of all possible worlds is the most compossible of all worlds. The most compossible world is the one constituted from nothing but sinusoidal basis waves. No other world is compossible.

- Your Highlight on page 120 | location 2184-2185 | Added on Tuesday, 13 September 2022 22:17:18

Had Poe been an initiate Illuminist—and in fact he almost was, and would have been had he not died young (at 40)

- Your Highlight on page 124 | location 2239-2241 | Added on Tuesday, 13 September 2022 22:22:15

Poe worked out that the universe must oscillate. The universe is a periodic wave, made of thought waves (sinusoids). The universe is a giant oscillating thought, a thought of Becoming that begins and ends. It is the most vivid dream of all, the dream of reality.

- Your Highlight on page 130 | location 2352-2353 | Added on Wednesday, 14 September 2022 23:19:17

Nassim Haramein wrote, "Looking for consciousness in the brain is like looking inside a radio for the announcer."

- Your Highlight on page 134 | location 2432-2438 | Added on Thursday, 15 September 2022 22:13:09

It is often said that Gödel's Incompleteness Theorems show that the human capacity to understand the rules upon which any set of algorithms is founded cannot be explained by the algorithms themselves. What Gödel actually proved, although he himself didn't grasp this, is that reality must be based on either zero, one or many "algorithms". The condition of zero algorithms equates to meaningless chaos and, in fact, non-existence since a zero-algorithmic universe would have no sufficient reason for its existence. Gödel proved that the condition of many algorithms leads to incompleteness and/or inconsistency. However, he failed to consider a universe based on a single algorithm. Like everyone else, he could see no means for everything to be derived from just one algorithm. This was an extraordinary rational failure on his part.

- Your Highlight on page 141 | location 2555-2560 | Added on Friday, 16 September 2022 10:07:25

God, in these terms, does exist necessarily. But it is not the theistic God of Christianity, it is the deistic God of Mathematics; the zero-entropy God of eternal, necessary, perfect Being. It is the Fourier frequency Singularity that generates the Fourier spacetime universe of matter, the temporal and contingent domain of Becoming. The God of Being, just like Aristotle's Prime Mover, does not show any interest at all in the domain of Becoming. The task of the domain of Becoming—a living mathematical organism—is to bring its entropy to exactly zero, and thus become identical with the eternal God of Being.

- Your Highlight on page 143 | location 2589-2595 | Added on Friday, 16 September 2022 10:22:10

All monads together, in their eternal and necessary aspect of Being, constitute God. All monads separately, in their temporal and contingent aspect of Becoming, have a vast entropy, and their objective is then to reduce this entropy which exists between them to zero. When that is accomplished, it constitutes a completed phase of the cyclical universe. The Ontological Argument unquestionably succeeds, but only when it is defined with the utmost precision so that existence itself is perfectly defined rather than being treated as a possible property of possible things, e.g. God may be possible and if God is possible then he should possess the property of existence. In fact, if God is zero then God has existence essentially, necessarily and eternally. God's essence is to exist; indeed to be the exact definition of fundamental existence.

- Your Highlight on page 145 | location 2617-2619 | Added on Friday, 16 September 2022 10:30:24

Only temporal, contingent things can have temporal, contingent existence. Such things are always made out of eternal, necessary things. Eternal, necessary things can enter into temporal, contingent arrangements, and then withdraw from such arrangements.

- Your Highlight on page 145 | location 2619-2625 | Added on Friday, 16 September 2022 10:31:47

When "God" is defined properly, which is to say mathematically, then it is impossible for God not to exist. God is exactly that which has necessary, eternal existence, and there is no definition of God that can correspond to God not having existence, or even potentially not having existence. Since existence is mathematical, it is precisely mathematically definable, hence, when the ontological argument is properly formulated, it must work. However, it must be a mathematical proof, not a logical proof of the type attempted by Leibniz and Gödel. That was their error. Ontology is strictly mathematical.

- Your Note on page 145 | location 2629 | Added on Friday, 16 September 2022 10:35:13

I'm pretty sure that GS refers to absolute morality, when discussing moral relativism.

- Your Highlight on page 145 | location 2628-2629 | Added on Friday, 16 September 2022 10:35:14

Morality is an opinion, not a fact, not a mathematically definable property. It is a manmade concept, opinion and belief.

- Your Highlight on page 146 | location 2637-2642 | Added on Monday, 19 September 2022 22:25:42

In the domain of Being, all monads act as one (zero entropy). In the domain of Becoming, all monads act separately (entropically). The task of the collection of all monads in their domain of Becoming is to remove their entropy entirely and thus become God. Their mission is to understand this task, this purpose, to see its astounding beauty. In relation to Being, they are eternally God. In relation to Becoming, the monads are always becoming God. The two systems of Being and Becoming align at a single point ... the end of the universe, the end of a complete cycle of the Cosmos. What could be a better system than that in which you are both God and that which is becoming God? To become God is the ultimate orgasmic experience, the ultimate bliss. Existence delivers this over and over again forever.

- Your Highlight on page 146 | location 2643-2653 | Added on Monday, 19 September 2022 22:28:57

We are all part of two systems. At our core, we are all perfect, all integral parts of God. If we choose to, we can access this perfect part of us at any time. In essence, this is what the very best meditators are capable of doing, and it is the reason why they experience oceanic feelings of bliss and being at one with the universe. But because they have no intellectual understanding of what they are doing, no concept of the ontology and epistemology of what they are doing, they fail to truly benefit from the exercise. They get mere glimpses of core reality rather than proper contact with it. Worst of all, they don't get the main point ... that in terms of our day to day consciousness and our lived experience, we are creatures of Becoming. We must engage with this process, not flee from it. The likes of the Buddhists attempt to detach themselves from Becoming and merge with Being, but the real objective is to complete Becoming in order to truly merge with Being at the end of the universe, in a blissful moment which is experienced as lasting for an eon (since it is outside time). We must attach to our life in the domain of Becoming, not detach from it. We must master Becoming, not run away from it. There is no harm in linking directly to the

Source every now and again to recharge our batteries, but our prime directive, the meaning of our life, is to ascend to the top of the ladder of Becoming.

- Your Highlight on page 148 | location 2679-2685 | Added on Tuesday, 20 September 2022 22:35:00

The Nous is not an autonomous, self-sufficient entity, in contrast with the One, which is. The Nous stands in a strict relation to the One. It can contemplate the One as its prior, and it can contemplate its own thoughts, which are none other than the perfect Platonic Forms. And, like the One, it can emanate. Its emanation is the Soul (Psyche). Where the Nous is static, the Psyche is dynamic. Where the Nous is outside time, the Psyche is temporal. The Psyche is divided into two. It has an upper aspect and a lower aspect. The upper aspect remains in contact with the Nous and contemplates it. The upper Psyche is a collective soul. It contains the laws that govern the Cosmos. The lower aspect emanates the material cosmos itself—Nature—and fragments into a host of individual souls in order to occupy it and animate it.

- Your Highlight on page 150 | location 2721-2726 | Added on Tuesday, 20 September 2022 22:43:25

The ultimate cause of matter must be immaterial. The ultimate cause of entropy must be free of entropy. The ultimate cause of time must be outside time. The ultimate cause of space must be outside space. The ultimate cause of temperature must be without temperature. The ultimate cause of physical motion must be without physical motion.

- Your Highlight on page 151 | location 2733-2734 | Added on Tuesday, 20 September 2022 22:46:57

In ontological Fourier mathematics, it is the property of phase—an aspect of the frequency domain—from which all temporal, contingent things are derived. Eternal, necessary things obey orthogonal phase. Temporal, contingent things obey non-orthogonal phase.

- Your Highlight on page 158 | location 2851-2858 | Added on Wednesday, 21 September 2022 21:31:57

Since we are dealing with an eternal, timeless frequency domain, then, from the perspective of spacetime, we can, for convenience, refer to a perfect system of complete waves. However, if we were to drill down into the detail, we would emphasize that each wave is active at only one location at any instant. The full wave does not exist all at once, but only in potentiality. If we are dealing with a wave system such as Fourier mathematics, we are of course going to omit the discussion of flowing points since the Fourier

mathematics formalism does not explicitly refer to any such things, but only to whole waves. Eventually, Fourier mathematics will be reframed to accommodate flowing points, i.e. a deeper level of Fourier mathematics will be available than exists at present. This new version will solve all of the problems of quantum physics, where flowing points serve the role of "particles."

- Your Highlight on page 159 | location 2882-2888 | Added on Wednesday, 21 September 2022 21:36:35

Imagine a vast array of Euler circles, all of which are evaluated identically at x=0 degrees. They are all synchronized, perfectly aligned, and have an entropy of zero. Now imagine that a global symmetry breaking event occurs causing every Euler circle in the array to now be evaluated at a different x, a unique x for each Euler circle. All Euler circles are consequently out of sync. They are misaligned. Being evaluated at a different x corresponds to being phase shifted with regard to each other. The circles are all individuated rather than acting as one. So, we can imagine going from a zero-entropy state to a state of maximum mathematical entropy (phase shift). This equates to the Big Bang. The task of the universe is then to bring all of the Euler circles back into perfect synchronization (zero entropy), and this process constitutes the life cycle of the universe.

- Your Highlight on page 161 | location 2900-2912 | Added on Thursday, 22 September 2022 22:14:51

Perfect symmetry involves a perfect set of sine waves and a perfect set of cosine waves, in a perfect orthogonal relation (i.e. involving a phase shift of exactly 90 degrees). Let's call this the x = 0 state. This perfect symmetry is automatically broken as soon as you add sines and cosines together, thus generating "sinusoids", i.e. sine-cosine hybrids, which can be expressed as phase-shifted sines or phase-shifted cosines. If sine waves are associated with imaginary numbers and cosine waves with real numbers then sinecosine hybrids are associated with complex numbers. Symmetry breaking at the deepest level is simply the construction of the field of complex numbers from the fields of real and imaginary numbers, respectively. Basis numbers are associated with "Being" and complex numbers with "Becoming". Being is a state of zero entropy, and space, time and matter are absent. Becoming is an entropic state of space, time and matter, all of which—if you could see them from the inside—are just properties of complex numbers. Basis real and imaginary numbers have eternal and necessary reality. Complex numbers do not. They are temporal and contingent in the sense that for complex numbers to exist, we first have to carry out an operation: adding basis real and imaginary numbers together. Complex numbers therefore do not exist in their own right. They are

constructed. They are derived. Symmetry breaking is exactly where constructed numbers come into existence. The very act of adding a sine wave to a cosine wave is the sufficient condition to create a broken symmetry: a complex number.

- Your Highlight on page 162 | location 2932-2934 | Added on Thursday, 22 September 2022 22:18:13

We have to caution that simply looking at Euler's Formula as a pure abstract formula will not capture the full subtlety of what is going on. You have to grasp that Einsteinian special relativity is built into the formula, which adds radical complications.

- Your Highlight on page 167 | location 3012-3014 | Added on Thursday, 22 September 2022 22:31:51

The Absolute was once treated as a synonym for infinity. But what kind of infinity?—linear (open) or circular (closed)? Linear infinity raises the logical problem of an infinite regress and Hegel referred to it as "bad infinity." Hegel understood "Absolute" as a completed whole, hence as "good infinity."

- Your Highlight on page 168 | location 3040-3045 | Added on Thursday, 22 September 2022 22:38:51

Dreams provide the definitive model. A mind creates a dream—the object. The object cannot exist without the subject that constructs it. The defining error of scientism is to imagine that not only can objects exist without subjects, but that objects can create subjects—a category error. It's possible for minds to generate mental content—for subjects to create objects. It's impossible for objects to create minds—for objects to create subjects. Free will is possible in a system predicated on subjects. It is impossible in a system predicated on objects have thoughts. Objects do not.

- Your Highlight on page 172 | location 3113-3115 | Added on Friday, 23 September 2022 23:04:20

Hegel has been called a hyper-rationalist holist. The key to his system is that the Absolute (unconditioned by anything else) is the Absolute Idea. Everything can be understood as the actualization, in nature and across time, of the Absolute Idea. The Absolute Idea in time is Spirit, and dialectically evolves to become Absolute Spirit, which finally fully comprehends the Absolute Idea.

- Your Highlight on page 177 | location 3201-3203 | Added on Saturday, 24 September 2022 23:19:13

What number could you possibly define whereby, if you added "1" to it, it ceased to be a defined very large number but instead became undefined, became a concept, namely infinity? How can infinity ever be reached from "finity"? It seems to involve an impossible phase transition, and is reflective of some catastrophic conceptual misunderstanding.

- Your Highlight on page 177 | location 3205-3207 | Added on Saturday, 24 September 2022 23:19:22

In fact, numbers are concepts. They are well-defined concepts. The problem with infinity isn't that it's a concept but that it's a badly-defined concept. How can well-defined concepts generate ill-defined concepts?

- Your Highlight on page 180 | location 3245-3247 | Added on Saturday, 24 September 2022 23:24:18

Kant was a Transcendental Idealist. Fichte was a Subjective Idealist. Schelling was an Objective Idealist. Hegel was an Absolute Idealist.

- Your Highlight on page 183 | location 3306-3308 | Added on Sunday, 25 September 2022 22:28:48

"Price's square root law or Price's law pertains to the relationship between the literature on a subject and the number of authors in the subject area, stating that half of the publications come from the square root of all contributors. Thus, if 100 papers are written by 25 authors, five authors will have contributed 50 papers."

- Your Highlight on page 183 | location 3314-3346 | Added on Sunday, 25 September 2022 22:31:19

Nc = Na x [fD.fA.fM] Where Nc is the Number of Converts, Na is the Number of people Addressed, fD. is the fraction of people Dissatisfied (Dissatisfaction), fA. is the fraction of people Attracted (Appeal), fM is the fraction of people who discern the message (Meaning). It is worth noting that only fM is directly connected to the truth revelation. If the people are deliriously happy fD = 0, or repelled fA = 0 or the message is gobbledygook fM = 0 then Nc = Na x 0 = 0 When we consider the message being broadcast by the prophet, the equation becomes specifically: Nc = Na x [fDP. fAP. fMP] Where fDP is the fraction of the people with which the prophet can foment dissatisfaction, fAP is the fraction of the people that find the prophet attractive, both in terms of charisma and in motivational speech, fMP is the fraction of people that find his truth revelations meaningful. Again, it is worth noting that only fMP is directly connected to the truth revelation. If there is any truth in this equation then the Prophet must tailor his work to persuade his listeners that they deserve better lives and that they themselves are the special ones who have the power to change things. His

revelations must be calculated to be as personally meaningful as possible to his listeners and that there is great reward in adopting the truth in these revelations.

- Your Highlight on page 191 | location 3468-3469 | Added on Sunday, 25 September 2022 22:49:11

There is only a single sinusoidal field, which manifests in different contexts as electromagnetism, gravity and the strong and weak nuclear forces ... and the field of mind that interacts with them: the Psychon field.

- Your Highlight on page 193 | location 3499-3502 | Added on Monday, 26 September 2022 22:13:12

The source of all movement in the universe is the PSR. Things move in order to satisfy the dictate of reason that no equivalent state can be privileged over any other equivalent state, hence all equivalent states must be sampled one after another in strict, continuous, regular, uniform order. This is the true basis of motion.

- Your Highlight on page 197 | location 3577-3577 | Added on Monday, 26 September 2022 22:28:07

What they say about hookers: You don't pay them for sex, you pay them to leave.

- Your Highlight on page 197 | location 3579-3580 | Added on Monday, 26 September 2022 22:28:33

Time was once much denser than it is now, just as space was. Time is expanding, just like space. That's the meaning of the expanding universe. You cannot have space expanding without time expanding, or vice versa.

- Your Highlight on page 198 | location 3589-3591 | Added on Monday, 26 September 2022 22:32:00

"In logic, the law of excluded middle (or the principle of excluded middle) states that for any proposition, either that proposition is true or its negation is true. It is the third of the three classic laws of thought.

- Your Highlight on page 200 | location 3635-3638 | Added on Monday, 26 September 2022 22:39:55

There is no world where both causation and not-causation can both be true or both be false. Perception cannot determine which is true and which is false because both causation and acausation are unperceivable. Therefore, empiricism is provably false, and can have no rational consequences at all. Perception, if it cannot demonstrate the truth or falsehood of either

causation or acausation, cannot demonstrate the substantive truth of anything.

- Your Highlight on page 205 | location 3710-3711 | Added on Tuesday, 27 September 2022 09:49:34

We need to make mental leaps in order to subject them to rational analysis. Reason would go round and round in circles if it could not break out to higher levels and connections of reason via intuition.

- Your Highlight on page 213 | location 3867-3868 | Added on Tuesday, 27 September 2022 10:44:48

Reason is dynamic and self-transforming, with many different dimensions. It takes on more and more sophisticated shapes over time.

- Your Highlight on page 217 | location 3926-3930 | Added on Tuesday, 27 September 2022 10:53:54

In the mathematical universe, everything exists at exactly the same temperature: Absolute Zero. The mathematical universe, just as it is the source of space, time and matter, is also the source of temperature. Once again, phase is responsible. Space, time, temperature, matter and all the forces acting on matter all derive from the same entity: phase. This is essential in order that they all have a common basis and therefore can all interact with each other and know how to relate to each other.

- Your Highlight on page 217 | location 3935-3937 | Added on Tuesday, 27 September 2022 10:55:10

Ultimately, all forces are expressions of the PSR. We might say that forces are simple and uniform in the mathematical domain, and complex and variable in the scientific domain, or that there are no net forces in the mathematical domain, hence nothing resembling scientific forces.

- Your Highlight on page 218 | location 3944-3948 | Added on Tuesday, 27 September 2022 10:58:25

It's vital to distinguish between a monad as "being" and a monad as "becoming". As being, a monad is forever the same: a set of eternal, immutable, perfect, basis sinusoids, equivalent to Platonic Forms. However, such a system automatically starts combining these basis waves and in doing so creates the world of becoming. So, the system has two components: 1) necessary basis waves (being), and 2) contingent combinations of basis waves (becoming). That's the whole of existence: being and becoming, with the latter being derived from the former, and the latter being dialectical and the former not.

- Your Highlight on page 220 | location 3990-3991 | Added on Tuesday, 27 September 2022 13:02:29

Thoughts, via phase, can be projected from minds as spacetime objects, at which point they seem entirely different from thoughts. They seem like objects external to the mind.

- Your Highlight on page 222 | location 4023-4027 | Added on Tuesday, 27 September 2022 13:10:07

the universe's entropy has been growing ever since the Big Bang, as per the Second Law of Thermodynamics. However, this growing entropy is guaranteed to a reach a maximum, and then fall instantly to zero, corresponding to the universe "flatlining". Imagine taking a wave and then stretching it until it is flat. At that final stage it is no longer a wave, and, in a universe of waves, that means it has ceased to exist. Stretching a wave universe to the maximum kills the universe, and so the only remaining waves are those that belong forever to the Singularity outside space and time.

- Your Highlight on page 224 | location 4057-4060 | Added on Tuesday, 27 September 2022 13:15:07

In a Monadology, monads are the agents, authors and creators of all things. Monads can act individually, in groups, or as the complete set of all monads. Monads are eternal, necessary and are purely mathematical. They are uncaused and uncreated, but cause and create all temporal, contingent phenomena.

- Your Highlight on page 231 | location 4172-4173 | Added on Tuesday, 27 September 2022 13:34:43

Common sense: the faculty that integrates the data of the five senses into a single, unified apprehension.

- Your Highlight on page 235 | location 4241-4243 | Added on Tuesday, 27 September 2022 22:40:05

On the macroscopic scale, Logos is the objective cosmic law that we access via reason and logic. On the microscopic scale, it is our individual faculty of reason. Logos resides within each of us and within the cosmos as a whole. We can reach and understand the latter via the former. We are linked to the rational universe via our reason, not by our senses.

- Your Highlight on page 239 | location 4325-4326 | Added on Wednesday, 28 September 2022 03:50:17

All idealist systems revolve around intrinsic cosmic intelligence. Mathematics is the only way to make the stuff of the world inherently intelligent. Mathematics is ontological reason.

- Your Highlight on page 248 | location 4467-4470 | Added on Wednesday, 28 September 2022 04:21:33

The Ontological Argument concerns the distinction between essence and existence. Temporal, contingent things do not have existence as part of their essence. They need not exist and they can cease to exist. Eternal, necessary things do have existence as part of their essence. It is impossible for them not to exist; they can never cease to exist, and nor can they come into existence. The Ontological Argument concerns the definition of those things that exist necessarily.

- Your Highlight on page 249 | location 4494-4497 | Added on Wednesday, 28 September 2022 04:26:31

Only mathematics can be conceived as not not existing. Any conceivable universe must reflect mathematics. It does not need to reflect science or mainstream religion. Bertrand Russell said, "But mathematics takes us still further from what is human, into the region of absolute necessity, to which not only the actual world, but every possible world, must conform."

- Your Highlight on page 249 | location 4501-4504 | Added on Wednesday, 28 September 2022 04:27:58

You cannot perceive your way to the answer to existence. No amount of observing the world will show you what the world is in itself. No amount of meditating will show you what the world is in itself. Meditation is so primitive it can't even reveal to you whether your self is eternal or a mere transient illusion! If it can't resolve a question as basic as that, it can't resolve anything. It's 100% useless.

- Your Highlight on page 253 | location 4569-4573 | Added on Wednesday, 28 September 2022 04:48:54

Thoughts are sinusoids and space and time are derived from non-orthogonal sinusoidal phase. Sinusoids are defined with respect to the complex plane. Space relates to real numbers, and time (imaginary space) to imaginary numbers. There is substantive equivalence between space and time, the latter simply being a ninety-degree rotation of the latter in the complex plane. It is via non-orthogonal phase that we extract complex numbers (spacetime) from real and imaginary numbers. Real numbers and imaginary numbers are independent (orthogonal). Thanks to non-orthogonal phase, they become dependent and create complex numbers.

- Your Highlight on page 257 | location 4639-4644 | Added on Thursday, 29 September 2022 22:38:53

Any answer to existence—in order to be rational, logical, explicable and intelligible—must have a sufficient reason. But this means that any proposed answer is totally dependent on the PSR, hence not an answer in its own right. The only answer with a sufficient reason that is not dependent on the PSR is the PSR itself, hence the PSR itself is the unique, exclusive answer to existence. Only the PSR furnishes a rational universe. Any other answer, anything that was independent of the PSR, hence contradicted the PSR, would furnish an irrational universe. An irrational universe would be: a) chaotic, b) illogical, c) inexplicable), 4) unintelligible, 5) infinitely unstable, and 6) formally impossible.

- Your Highlight on page 258 | location 4664-4727 | Added on Thursday, 29 September 2022 22:50:15

Nietzsche said, "There are no facts, only interpretations." Nietzsche is right. There are no pure empirical facts and there is no pure empirical evidence. Everything is interpreted. Let's examine the very long chain of interpretations to which empirical "facts and evidence" are subject: 1) Your sense organs—the basis of sensory empiricism—are interpreters. They do not reveal the world in itself. They present the world as a phenomenon, a representation, an appearance, which they have constructed. 2) Humans have very different sense organs from other animals which interpret the world entirely different and from a totally different interpretation of the "facts and evidence". They represent it entirely different. 3) Human sense organs are products of genetics, and human genes, we are told, are the way they are because of random mutations and "natural selection" operating on random mutations. Natural selection has no interest in truth, accuracy, excellence, quality, purpose, meaning. It is about what works in terms of survival and reproduction. There is zero reason to imagine that natural selection leads to the accurate portrayal of information. Just look at the human race and the nonsense it believes about more or less everything. Humans are hardly reliable witnesses. 4) The human sense organs are limited, hence do not accurately reflect what is there; they are unreliable, they are fallible, and they are frequently delusional. Humans hallucinate, project, fantasize, see mirages, see things that aren't there, don't see things that are there (in selective attention experiments, people failed to see a "gorilla" beating its chest right in front of them!). Humans are subject to denial mechanisms that change how they perceive reality. As Descartes pointed out, our human senses can be systematically deceived by a "malicious demon". Our senses are so defective that they can't even separate dreams from reality. Many people are mentally ill and see and hear things that aren't there. Via synesthesia, people can have multiple senses

stimulated at once by an event that most people would register with only one sense. Some humans have tetrachromacy. Wikipedia says, "Tetrachromacy is the condition of possessing four independent channels for conveying color information, or possessing four types of cone cells in the eye. Organisms with tetrachromacy are called tetrachromats. In tetrachromatic organisms, the sensory color space is four-dimensional, meaning that to match the sensory effect of arbitrarily chosen spectra of light within their visible spectrum requires mixtures of at least four primary colors." 5) In Jung's theory of psychological type, people have different preferences for how they perceive and judge the world. There are four cognitive functions: "thinking", "feeling", "sensation" and "intuition". Intuitives have an abstract perception of the environment while sensing types have a concrete perception of the environment. Thinking types impersonally and objectively assess the environment while feeling types bring to bear a person-centered assessment based on subjectivity and emotional relationships. People also have two attitudes: extraversion and introversion. Extraverts have an attitude defining the self in relation to the outer world. Introverts have an attitude defining the outer world in relation to the self. So, different personality types take exactly the same data and perceive and judge it drastically differently, placing completely different importance and emphasis on it. There is no objectivity. 6) Humans are subject to any number of cognitive biases. Humans are "cognitive misers": they solve problems in simpler and less effortful ways rather than in more sophisticated and more effortful ways. The human mind seeks to minimize computational effort. Humans are subject to an echo chamber. Their beliefs are amplified or reinforced by communication and repetition inside a closed system of like-minded people. Humans are very tribal and accept what the rest of the tribe accepts, for fear of being ejected from the tribe. Humans are highly conformist (despite their delusion that they are "free" individuals). Humans are subject to the false-consensus effect whereby they tend to overestimate the extent to which their opinions, beliefs, preferences, values, and habits are normal and typical of those of others. They perceive a consensus that does not exist, as we see when people are mixing with different "tribes" that do not in fact share their outlook at all. The observer effect (expectancy bias; the experimenter effect) relates to the situation where a researcher's cognitive bias causes them to subconsciously influence the participants of an experiment. Confirmation bias causes the experimenter who interprets the results to look for information that conforms to their hypothesis, and overlook information that argues against it, hence they routinely misinterpret and misrepresent the information. "Groupthink" occurs within any group desiring harmony and conformity in the group, and rejecting anything that will upset the applecart. This leads to irrational and dysfunctional decision-making which ignores the real situation. Group members seek to minimize conflict and

reach a consensus decision. They avoid critical evaluation of awkward, alternative viewpoints. They actively suppress dissenting viewpoints. They isolate themselves from outside influences and exist in their own bubble, their own hermetically sealed chamber. That's scientism and religion for you! 7) So, human sensory data is first interpreted by the sense organs themselves, then by specific brain regions associated with each sense organ (and these regions can vary drastically from person to person depending on their genetic make-up), and then by the brain as a whole, and then, finally, interpreted by the mind where we produce an internal representation and "picture" of the original data, which has now been so heavily processed, by sense organs, brain regions, the brain and mind, and had so many things added to it that come from us and not from the original data, that our representation of the original data bears no resemblance at all to the original, external data. 8) If all of that weren't bad enough, people subject the interpreted data to a particular cognitive filter or paradigm. For example, all scientists interpret all data automatically according to their paradigm of materialism and empiricism. Not once do they recognize this as an interpretive framework which has never been proved true. At all times, they reject the alternative paradigm of idealism and rationalism, which would lead to an entirely different understanding of the data. Not once have they ever refuted this alternative. They simply ideologically and dogmatically reject it, just as religious believers dismiss everything that does not conform to their faith. Empiricists are true believers. They belong to the religion of the senses rather than the religion of feelings (faith) and the religion of mysticism (intuition). They wholly reject reason, logic and ontological mathematics. 9) So, after all this, these people then have the nerve to refer to "facts" and "evidence". In your dreams. All you have done is present your interpretation—your misinterpretation—and never once have you established that your system of interpretation is valid. You wouldn't even know how! "Facts" and "evidence" are an interpretation of an interpretation of an interpretation ... to a very deep level. There are no self-explaining facts and evidence.

- Your Highlight on page 270 | location 4859-4862 | Added on Saturday, 1 October 2022 23:29:39

The ancient Greek world revolved around Logos (use of reason), Mythos (use of story), Pathos (emotional appeal), Ethos (character, credibility), Kairos (use of timing; finding the most opportune moment), topos (place), literary topos (topic, theme, trope), Eros (love, creativity), Thanatos (death, destruction), and, of course Pothos (longing for the unattainable).

- Your Highlight on page 271 | location 4883-4886 | Added on Saturday, 1 October 2022 23:31:52

Every universe repeats exactly the same pattern, but the details are always different because the initial conditions—the way in which the Singularity breaks symmetry—are always slightly different. If you think about a perfect Rubik's Cube, there are countless ways to break its symmetry. The Monadic Array can convert from Being to Becoming in infinite ways, and the PSR requires that no particular breaking pattern be privileged over any other. Every breaking pattern must be explored, which keeps the system going for eternity without repetition.

- Your Highlight on page 275 | location 4954-4956 | Added on Sunday, 2 October 2022 22:49:32

If the universe is rational, why would you reject reason as the means of investigating it? If the universe is not rational, why would you use mathematics, the quintessential rationalist subject, to investigate it? That's a category error.

- Your Highlight on page 288 | location 5193-5194 | Added on Tuesday, 4 October 2022 22:23:11

The product of the romantic and the rational is quality.

- Your Highlight on page 289 | location 5196-5198 | Added on Tuesday, 4 October 2022 22:25:51

Empiricists regard percepts as real and concepts as unreal. Rationalists regard concepts as real. Percepts are regarded as simply "reified" concepts, i.e. they are just a special type of concept, namely the type framed by the concepts of space and time, which cause concepts to seem to be "out there."

- Your Highlight on page 290 | location 5229-5232 | Added on Tuesday, 4 October 2022 22:30:41

What is the ultimate beauty? It is perfect order, perfect organization, perfect pattern, flawless and absolute. It has zero entropy. It is "God". The zero-entropy God—the God of Being—is the source of all entropic things in the constructed domain of Becoming. Things become more beautiful the more they reduce entropy. In the limit, zero entropy is ultimate beauty. It can never be exceeded in beauty.

- Your Highlight on page 293 | location 5268-5270 | Added on Tuesday, 4 October 2022 22:37:24

Disembodied souls are just like dreaming souls. They dream an avatar and then the avatar is their vehicle of experience. The Death Current is full of disembodied souls, dreaming yet also observing the world of Becoming, and

waiting to insert themselves back into the Life Current, via which they will be re-embodied.

- Your Highlight on page 294 | location 5291-5292 | Added on Tuesday, 4 October 2022 22:40:28

Zero entropy and zero temperature go hand in hand.

- Your Note on page 294 | location 5295 | Added on Tuesday, 4 October 2022 22:42:45

So asymmetric phase relations and non-orthogonal phase relations are the same thing?

- Your Highlight on page 294 | location 5295-5295 | Added on Tuesday, 4 October 2022 22:42:45

The scientific domain is that of impure mathematics, and is derived from asymmetric phase relations.

- Your Highlight on page 295 | location 5305-5308 | Added on Tuesday, 4 October 2022 22:44:42

Where is light? It is in a mental Singularity outside space. Light does not move through space. Space mathematically moves through light and triggers interactions with light. Since these interactions are perceived from the spacetime perspective, people imagine that light is somehow moving through spacetime. If they could see from the perspective of light, they would see that the exact reverse is true: spacetime is moving through light.

- Your Highlight on page 297 | location 5354-5355 | Added on Wednesday, 5 October 2022 22:34:45

You cannot perceive your way to an understanding of light. You must conceive your way.

- Your Highlight on page 298 | location 5358-5361 | Added on Wednesday, 5 October 2022 22:36:03

Given that imaginary space (time) is the orthogonal counterpart of real space, imaginary mass (time mass) is the orthogonal counterpart of real mass (space mass). Because time mass is unobservable, science has no clue that it exists. What is certain is that the problem of dark matter and dark energy will never be resolved without a complete understanding of imaginary space and imaginary mass—time and time mass.

- Your Highlight on page 298 | location 5364-5365 | Added on Wednesday, 5 October 2022 22:36:56

In fact, in the mental domain, there is no friction, and no contrary forces, and motion does indeed continue forever. Motion, foundationally, is eternal and necessary.

- Your Highlight on page 307 | location 5536-5537 | Added on Wednesday, 5 October 2022 22:57:49

Welcome to the Inverted World. Human beings are the great invertors. They get everything wrong, everything back to front, everything upside down, everything the wrong way around.

Inside Reality: The Inner View of Existence (The Truth Series Book 11) (Stark, Dr. Thomas)

- Your Highlight at location 115-116 | Added on Thursday, 6 October 2022 23:03:16

Without form, eternal and necessary form, there is no other way to get a Big Bang universe going in the first place.

- Your Highlight at location 125-128 | Added on Thursday, 6 October 2022 23:05:49

Inside → out is an a priori, analytic, eternal, necessary, rational, logical, holistic, deductive perspective. Outside→in is an a posteriori, synthetic, temporal, contingent, empirical, sensory, parts-based, inductive perspective. These are therefore opposite worldviews.

- Your Highlight at location 267-272 | Added on Sunday, 9 October 2022 00:28:28

The monad, the essence of the inside view of reality, is a zero container for non-zero things. All sorts of non-zero things are going on inside the monad, but all of this activity always averages to zero, meaning that the monad looks like "nothing" from the outside. The monad, viewed from outside, is an invisible dimensionless point (and therefore science doesn't acknowledge its existence). Inside, it's full of flowing points with tiny extension, all of which average to zero over a complete wave cycle. If you could view the material universe itself from outside, it too would average to zero, despite everything going on in it. Zero is the compulsory ground state of existence, but zero can be organized in limitless different ways.

- Your Highlight at location 277-282 | Added on Sunday, 9 October 2022 00:30:12

Dualism is built into reality: the dualism of the inside and outside. Or, rather, we are dealing with a monism that can generate a dual aspect. You cannot have an outside if you do not first have an inside. Insides can exist on their own. Outsides cannot. Outsides are produced by insides. Insides cannot be produced by outsides, except through the complete collapse of the outside (as in the case of black hole formation). Subjects can create objects, as we see in dreams. Objects cannot create subjects. Dreams cannot produce dreamers. Atoms cannot produce people, regardless of science's foolish claims.

- Your Highlight at location 446-448 | Added on Sunday, 9 October 2022 22:32:09

The optimal way for understanding reality is: Introversion, Intuition, Thinking, Judging (INTJ). Those of this type are the masterminds that can work everything out. They are great at understanding reality, but much less good at experiencing it.

- Your Highlight at location 453-457 | Added on Sunday, 9 October 2022 22:33:42

Human reality would be totally different if it were run by and for INTJs and INTPs, the primary vectors of Logos rather than Mythos. The world would be ontological mathematical rather than religious/spiritual and scientific. People wouldn't pray and meditate. They wouldn't have faith. They would be Gnostics—knowers. The divine trajectory of humanity is guaranteed to provide them with victory. The future will be an Intuitive Thinking future. The past is Sensing and Feeling.

- Your Highlight at location 515-519 | Added on Monday, 10 October 2022 22:21:55

The outside of reality is perceptual, the inside conceptual. The likes of Pythagoras, Plato, Aristotle, Plotinus, Descartes, Leibniz and Hegel all understood the difference between the conceptual and perceptual, form and content, form and matter, the intelligible and sensible, syntax and semantics, map and territory, signifier and signified, inside and outside, rational and empirical, metaphysical and physical, noumenal and phenomenal. Scientists do not. They consider only one side of each pair to be real and dismiss the other side as unreal and abstract.

- Your Highlight at location 530-539 | Added on Monday, 10 October 2022 22:25:48

No scientist could ever think like Pythagoras who said that all things are numbers and numbers rules all. That reduces everything to concepts. Numbers are the supreme concepts. That's what rationalism is all about. No scientist could ever agree with Plato that ultimate reality comprises real concepts—Forms/Ideas—located in a transcendent, immaterial singularity outside space and time. Such notions are routinely mocked by perceiving types. No scientist could ever agree with Aristotle that internal forms intelligible but not sensible—are present in all material things and direct their organization, processes and functions, turning their potentiality into actuality. No scientist could ever agree with the Neoplatonist Plotinus that the One is the inside of reality and emanates a secondary inside—a Cosmic Mind (Nous)—and then a tertiary inside—a World Soul (Psyche). Only then can the material world of Nature, the scientific world, be emanated. No scientist could ever agree with Descartes that there is unextended thinking substance. No scientist could ever agree with Leibniz that reality is made of unextended monads. No scientist could ever agree with Hegel that reality is a conceptual Mind evolving dialectically towards absolute self-knowing.

- Your Highlight at location 543-545 | Added on Monday, 10 October 2022 22:26:17

Here's the fundamental issue: can you imagine concepts to be real? Pythagoras did, Plato did, Aristotle did, Plotinus did, Descartes did, Leibniz did, Hegel did, as did a host of other great thinkers.

- Your Highlight at location 554-555 | Added on Monday, 10 October 2022 22:29:58

Nothing is more important—if you wish to understand reality—than your capacity to grasp that concepts are reality.

- Your Highlight at location 591-595 | Added on Monday, 10 October 2022 22:34:57

standard Periodic Table. In the inside Periodic Table, every element has exactly the same atomic number, namely zero. It is not atomic number that defines their position in the table, it's their frequency and associated wavelength. All of the atoms in this table are photons. One day, every atom in the standard Periodic Table will be explained in terms of their photonic composition and phase. At that point, matter will be known to be mental, not physical, and the whole notion of matter will fade away.

- Your Highlight at location 701-704 | Added on Tuesday, 11 October 2022 22:30:36

In ontological mathematics, sinusoids are the fundamental building block of existence. In a singularity, all sinusoids are closed and are dimensionless. In spacetime, all sinusoids are open and dimensional. So, spacetime is just a vast array of sinusoidal waves. In that case, what are particles? Particles are simply very concentrated spacetime systems.

- Your Highlight at location 807-813 | Added on Tuesday, 11 October 2022 22:45:40

Zero contains all things. What does this mean? It means that the outside is in fact inside! The supreme irony is that the "outside" of reality is actually a holographic projection contained within the inside of reality. It only appears to be outside. It's the perfect illusion. It's just a different type of nothingness: asymmetric nothingness. A dreamworld is not outside the dreamer's mind, it is inside it. Similarly, the Cosmos is inside the Cosmic Mind. Every monad is an inside. All insides together make up the Singularity that caused the Big Bang. The Singularity is eternal and necessary. It cannot not exist.

- Your Highlight at location 918-921 | Added on Wednesday, 12 October 2022 22:40:15

Darwinism does not explain how anything gets naturally selected. It cannot look at a single thing and predict that it will be selected and the alternatives rejected. In other words, natural selection is not a theory. It makes no predictions, and there is no means for it to make predictions since it is based on randomness applied to events that have not been designated any probability. "Natural selection" is a label, not science. It is simply a retrospective judgment:

- Your Highlight at location 1040-1044 | Added on Wednesday, 12 October 2022 22:56:53

Neither Euler nor Fourier had the vaguest idea they were dealing with the biggest issues of all, the solutions to existence. Their thoughts were not directed that way, so they failed to grasp the magnitude of what they had

accomplished. It took an Illuminati Grand Master to see the truth—because he was constantly searching for the answer to the Cartesian problem. He subjected every new mathematical innovation to this test, and saw right away that Fourier mathematics was the answer. That's true genius!

- Your Highlight at location 1102-1109 | Added on Thursday, 13 October 2022 22:43:20

The task of the universe of becoming is to eliminate all semantic errors, to achieve perfection emotionally (perfect love), perceptually (perfect sight, hearing, taste, smell, and so on), and intuitively (perfect comprehension of all of reality, perfect knowledge, perfect vision of reality, perfect interconnectedness, perfect oneness). This state is "God". Semantic perfection is attained at the state of syntactic perfection, the zero entropy state of perfect structure. The universe of becoming is concerned with achieving perfect meaning (perfect semantics associated with perfect syntax). It is not enough for syntax to be error-free, it must also be optimally structured. The syntactic drive of the universe of becoming is to optimize its structure, to remove all sub-optimal, entropic structures and processes.

- Your Highlight at location 1122-1124 | Added on Thursday, 13 October 2022 22:48:12

Everything that science calls an object is in fact a process. Atoms are processes. There will come a time when all atoms will vanish from existence. That's because they were never objects but always processes, and all processes have a beginning and an end. Objects, true objects, have no beginning and no end. They could never have been otherwise.

- Your Highlight at location 1135-1138 | Added on Thursday, 13 October 2022 22:50:49

Are dreams alive? No, but there could be no dream without a living dreamer to dream it. Similarly, the world could not exist without a World Mind to make it. The World Mind is not God. It is the collection of all monadic minds. And you are one of those minds. If "God" is the collective mind then you are part of God. You always have been and you always will be. This whole reality is just "God" coming to the awareness that it is God.

- Your Highlight at location 1147-1149 | Added on Thursday, 13 October 2022 22:52:30

Wittgenstein's catastrophic error lay in two directions: 1) he could not conceive of tautology being associated with ontology, and 2) he could not conceive of tautology having any explanatory power. He was wrong on both counts, and his influence has therefore been disastrous.

- Your Highlight at location 1156-1159 | Added on Thursday, 13 October 2022 22:55:47

The PSR is simply the science of zero, of investigating every property zero can have. The world of zero can exhibit perfect symmetry (zero entropy) or imperfect asymmetry (non-zero entropy). The domain of eternal, necessary

being is all about zero entropy. The domain of temporal, contingent becoming is all about non-zero entropy striving to reduce its entropy to zero.

- Your Highlight at location 1174-1177 | Added on Thursday, 13 October 2022 23:00:15

Existence must be stable under any transformation applied to it. Otherwise, it would be unstable under certain transformations and rendered chaotic, or destroyed. Only a mathematical universe can respond stably to all rational transformations. In fact only a mathematical universe of zero can. Zero is the most stable entity possible, hence is the compulsory answer to existence. Rational transformations are necessarily mathematical transformations, and all revolve around zero.

- Your Highlight at location 1297-1300 | Added on Friday, 14 October 2022 23:13:24

Gnosis (enlightenment) is a cognitive state of intellect, not an experiential state of the body. It is above all a judging function, not a perceiving function. You cannot get there via prayer, meditation, faith or sensory experiments. You cannot use your senses or emotions to get there. You can use your intuition, but only when it is tied to intellect, to reason and logic.

- Your Highlight at location 1304-1306 | Added on Friday, 14 October 2022 23:14:08

There is only one path to the truth and infinite paths to the lie. Illuminism is the one path, the only path. It is the Way. If you're not on the Illuminist path, you are marching away from the truth into an ever-deepening labyrinth of falsehood. That's an indisputable fact.

- Your Highlight at location 1325-1326 | Added on Sunday, 16 October 2022 22:03:31

Why does quantum mechanics get weirder and weirder as smaller and smaller scales are reached? Because that's exactly where it gets harder to separate the game world from the game code itself.

- Your Highlight at location 1330-1333 | Added on Sunday, 16 October 2022 22:04:30

There is a liminal region where game code and game world are almost indistinguishable. That's where quantum mechanics is. To get to the game code itself, a paradigm shift is required, involving the realization that it's not "turtles all the way down", that's there's a base world, a foundation, a Source, an inside, and it belongs to a different ontological category, that of ontological code rather than the ontological world produced by the code. Only ontological mathematics can address that level, the source code level: the whole, the soul.

- Your Highlight at location 1419-1421 | Added on Sunday, 16 October 2022 22:20:43

Insides are subjects. Outsides are objects. Insides are singularities. Outsides are spacetime objects. Insides are wholes. Outsides are parts. As we see with the Big Bang, a Singularity can project a spacetime universe. The Cosmic Subject projects the Cosmic Object.

- Your Highlight at location 1508-1513 | Added on Sunday, 16 October 2022 22:36:12

Toynbee's Challenge and Response framework can be applied to individuals as well as civilizations. Every person is presented with challenges, and how they respond to them shapes their life. Some people succeed spectacularly. Others fail dismally. Most muddle through. In a society based on inheritance, privilege, nepotism and cronyism, you are much more likely to succeed if you are born into the right family, and much more likely to fail if you are born into the wrong family. Most families are "wrong": they are deprived of financial capital, cultural capital, social capital, human capital and symbolic capital. The "right" families are the few elite families that control capital in all of its dimensions.

- Your Highlight at location 1546-1547 | Added on Monday, 17 October 2022 21:35:03

One day, the expansion of the universe will be understood to be black hole formation proceeding by expansion rather than contraction.

- Your Highlight at location 1711-1713 | Added on Tuesday, 18 October 2022 22:04:32

Leibniz, Kant, Fichte, Schelling, Hegel, Schopenhauer, Hartmann and Teilhard de Chardin got very close to the answer. What they lacked was a mathematics to explain their system. That now exists: sinusoidal ontological mathematics defined by Euler's Formula, the God Equation, the grand unified, final theory of everything.

- Your Highlight at location 1803-1806 | Added on Wednesday, 19 October 2022 22:24:30

"The first chord of Tristan, known simply as 'the Tristan chord', remains the most famous single chord in the history of music. It contains within itself not one but two dissonances, thus creating within the listener a double desire, agonizing in its intensity, for resolution. The chord to which it then moves resolves one of these dissonances but not the other, thus providing resolution-but-not-resolution."

- Your Highlight at location 1816-1822 | Added on Wednesday, 19 October 2022 22:29:37

Reason wants to cease to will (or cease to be dominated by Will) and return to its original, quiescent, will-less state of pure potentiality (nirvana). It craves a state of non-willing because willing, according to Hartmann—and Schopenhauer before him—is evil since it produces nothing but pain and hell. If Will is hell then heaven is the end of willing, and that is what Reason seeks to achieve. This is Buddhism for smart people. In the primordial state

of existence, of pure potentiality, before the Will begins its baneful odyssey, there are no individuals, no desires, no pointless striving. There is no misery. There is no attachment. Reason rests in perfect repose, or perfectly contemplates itself, like Aristotle's God.

- Your Highlight at location 1836-1840 | Added on Wednesday, 19 October 2022 22:31:40

Hartmann called his philosophy "transcendental realism", as opposed to Kant's "transcendental idealism." Hartmann sought to reconcile Hegel (all is reason, all is intellect) with Schopenhauer (all is unreason, all is will). Hartmann argued that Hegel was wrong to subordinate Will to Reason, and Schopenhauer wrong to subordinate Reason to Will. Will and Reason need parity. Neither can be privileged over the other. The universe is neither rational nor irrational. The Will provides the "that" of the world, and Reason provides the "what" of the world.

- Your Highlight at location 1844-1846 | Added on Wednesday, 19 October 2022 22:32:38

Hartmann differs from Schopenhauer by emphasizing the collective social and cognitive effort rather than individualistic asceticism to bring about the negation of the will. Salvation is a public exercise, not private. Enlightenment is collective, not individual; rational, not meditative.

- Your Highlight at location 1944-1947 | Added on Thursday, 20 October 2022 21:22:05

So, never forget, life and mind can never appear in an equation unless you make the actual units you are manipulating in the equation the essence of life and mind. The biggest intellectual revolution ever was the identification of the sinusoid with thought. This means that all wave equations and wavefunctions concern thoughts, which automatically denote the presence of life and mind.

- Your Highlight at location 1984-1986 | Added on Thursday, 20 October 2022 21:30:44

Since reality is a mind, its purpose is to be a mind that knows itself, to hold up its magic lantern of consciousness and see itself reflected in that wondrous mirror and know itself for what it truly is.

- Your Highlight at location 1989-1993 | Added on Thursday, 20 October 2022 21:34:45

The domain of becoming is about converting unconscious God (prime matter) into conscious God (matterless). A cycle of the universe ends when its matter, its entropic unconscious thoughts, are eliminated, leaving nothing but pure consciousness, the final outcome of evolution. But the attainment of this glorious object instantly prepares the way for the defining involution event: the Big Bang, which reincarnates the material world. The struggle against the unconscious begins all over again.

- Your Highlight at location 2019-2022 | Added on Thursday, 20 October 2022 21:42:08

The task is for consciousness to overcome matter, the vehicle of the unconscious. It is in our war with matter—the alien "other" that seems so unmindlike—that we are forced to become conscious, to exercise our reason and logic more and more and enter more and more into the mind space rather than physical space.

- Your Highlight at location 2082-2085 | Added on Thursday, 20 October 2022 21:57:28

In fact, the universe (of becoming) breaks itself into infinite separate minds in order to provide the biggest possible challenge to Consciousness, and therefore the greatest possible resultant Consciousness when the greatest possible obstacle to Consciousness is overcome. You can't get to heaven without traversing the whole of hell. The Heaven Gate is on the opposite side from the Hell Gate.

- Your Highlight at location 2109-2112 | Added on Thursday, 20 October 2022 22:02:58

In ontological mathematics, the end of the universe happens as a mathematical inevitability. The universe must end with the maximization of reason and consciousness and the elimination of matter and entropy via the expansion of the universe, which eventually causes the dimensional waves that comprise the physical universe to flatline and thus cease to exist, instantly signifying the death of the material universe, and its restoration to a pure Singularity (Cosmic Mind).

- Your Highlight at location 2138-2140 | Added on Thursday, 20 October 2022 22:06:32

The mental blueprint—the Form—for a human being exists before any human does. One apelike creature tuned into the blueprint and started actively striving towards it. This is directed Lamarckian evolution, as opposed to random Darwinian evolution.

- Your Highlight at location 2149-2153 | Added on Friday, 21 October 2022 23:51:58

Whenever science encounters the inside of reality: the mental, mathematical, causative aspect, it automatically replaces it with one or more of the following: randomness, chance, accident, probability, statistics, acausation, emergentism, infinite regress, indeterminacy, indeterminism, i.e. absolutely anything to avoid any explanation that would refute the primacy of science. All of the "go to" claims of science listed above ensure the following: no reference is ever made to minds, souls, gods, God, cosmic consciousness, the Collective Unconscious, or causal ontological mathematics.

- Your Highlight at location 2255-2257 | Added on Saturday, 22 October 2022 07:06:51

Kant's defining error was to be the victim of Euclidean geometry and Newtonian physics, and to think they were as good as it gets. Non-Euclidean

geometry, relativity, quantum mechanics, and ontological Fourier mathematics make a nonsense of everything believed and argued by Kant.

- Your Highlight at location 2290-2292 | Added on Saturday, 22 October 2022 07:12:56

Nothing transcends the limits of the human intellect because we are part of the Absolute, and it is nothing but mind. There is no mysterious non-mind reality that mind can never get to grips with in itself.

- Your Highlight at location 2354-2358 | Added on Saturday, 22 October 2022 07:22:45

Bowen wrote, "It would be difficult to find two philosophers more unlike each other in their characters, their tastes and pursuits, and the general tendencies of their intellects, than Descartes and Fichte. They were trained in wholly dissimilar schools of thought, and approached the problem in opposite directions. But they arrived at the same result. Both teach that the central truth of philosophy, and therefore of all human knowledge, is the existence of Self, the indivisible and consequently immaterial Ego of consciousness."

- Your Note at location 2374 | Added on Saturday, 22 October 2022 07:27:55

What kind of transformations are we talking about, and how exactly does one 'transform a universe'? What does that even mean?

- Your Highlight at location 2373-2374 | Added on Saturday, 22 October 2022 07:27:55

The only conceivable universe is that which is eternally and necessarily stable under all transformations applied to

- Your Highlight at location 2388-2390 | Added on Saturday, 22 October 2022 23:20:06

The PSR affirms itself because all it deals with are identities such as A = A (A is A) and tautologies such as x = 0 where x is any expression that is not 0 per se (not simple 0) but has a net result of zero (it's a complicated zero), hence is just a different way of expressing zero.

- Your Highlight at location 2404-2406 | Added on Saturday, 22 October 2022 23:27:36

For ontological waves, the proposition is unconditioned or absolute in Content as well as Form. Each wave syntactically is accompanied by a precise and absolute semantic content. The content is the opposite side of the coin from the form.

- Your Note at location 2410 | Added on Saturday, 22 October 2022 23:30:46

Is it not wondrous, and somehow reassuring, that the universal mind cannot exist without the individual minds?

- Your Highlight at location 2409-2410 | Added on Saturday, 22 October 2022 23:30:46

All that exists are thinkers and their thoughts. The Collective Mind has its thoughts, and individual minds have their thoughts. The Collective Mind cannot exist without the individual minds, its cells.

- Your Highlight at location 2461-2462 | Added on Saturday, 22 October 2022 23:41:11

Why not abolish matter in its own right and make it strictly a product of mind? Why would that make reality any less "real"? Why do materialists associate matter with reality and mind with some kind of fantasy, with unreality?

- Your Highlight at location 2478-2487 | Added on Saturday, 22 October 2022 23:48:09

It's matter that is totally unreal. Anything we know about it is exclusively thanks to our minds, so why would we imagine that our minds are unreal, illusory, epiphenomenal or emergent, and matter real? That's crazy. In fact, how could unreal, illusory, epiphenomenal or emergent minds tell us anything at all about reality? Any conclusion reached by an unreal mind must itself be unreal, any conclusion reached by an illusory mind must itself be illusory, any conclusion reached by an epiphenomenal mind must itself be epiphenomenal, and any conclusion reached by an emergent mind must itself be emergent. To argue otherwise is to commit a category error. Therefore, it is literally impossible for minds—if they are in any sense not real and the not the fundamental basis of reality—to say anything at all, or reach any conclusion at all, that could possibly connect with reality. Everything they say would be of the order of fantasy. Hume and Kant would be right. We could have no clue about reality in itself—assuming that we are even entitled to refer to "reality in itself" if our minds are unreal and all of their conclusions necessarily unreal too—and we would be consumed by total and absolute skepticism. Real knowledge would be utterly and permanently impossible.

- Your Highlight at location 2590-2594 | Added on Sunday, 23 October 2022 22:49:30

Descartes said that causes cannot be less real than their effects. Equally, they cannot belong to a disconnected order of existence, i.e. mind could never cause material effects if matter were not in fact an aspect, a construct, or product of mind. Noumena cannot belong to a different, unrelated order of existence from phenomena. Both must belong to a systemic monism, meaning that we can discover an immense amount about noumena from phenomena since the latter are a causal product and consequence of the former, obeying the same overarching system of laws.

- Your Highlight at location 2627-2629 | Added on Sunday, 23 October 2022 22:53:58

The time is coming. Our triumph shall be total. In the end, the truth crushes every lie. When the God Project is complete, we shall be able to exercise the power of the gods, and none shall prevail against us.

- Your Highlight at location 2658-2661 | Added on Monday, 24 October 2022 08:59:57

It is central to Schopenhauer's philosophy, his defining thought, that body and will are not separate things, but two different perspectives of one thing, the same thing. Schopenhauer said, "My body and my will are one." The body is the phenomenal form of the will and the will the noumenal form of the body. This is true of every body in the universe.

- Your Highlight at location 2788-2794 | Added on Monday, 24 October 2022 23:00:20

"God" is not separate from the world. God needs to create the world—from himself—in order to understand himself. He needs an object to study, and that object is the world, his unconscious construct. He comes to self-awareness through his creativity, through understanding what he created, how he created it, and why he created it. We are all nodes or cells of God, all engaged in the same divine task. Bowen makes the crucial point that mind is not consciousness. It's amazing how many people make mind and consciousness synonymous. They are not. Mind, fundamentally, is unconscious. Consciousness is what it creates in order to come to self-awareness. There can be no such thing as consciousness without the unconscious, the "inside" of consciousness.

- Your Highlight at location 2798-2803 | Added on Monday, 24 October 2022 23:01:59

Consciousness is not continuous and fixed, a fundamental aspect of reality, as so many people imagine. It is the unconscious that performs that role. The unconscious is the eternal and necessary aspect of mind. Consciousness is a temporal and contingent process that arises from the unconscious and depends on the unconscious, and cannot exist without the unconscious to support it. Consciousness is a product of socialization. A community is essential to its development. A human who grew up on a desert island would never be conscious. He would remain an unconscious animal, albeit a bit more intelligent than other animals. At best, he would be bicameral, not conscious.

- Your Highlight at location 2837-2839 | Added on Tuesday, 25 October 2022 20:56:13

We never achieve true consciousness of our will; it always resides in our unconscious Shadow, making us do things we never intended. What we achieve consciousness of is our intellect, and through our intellect we come to a complete understanding of reality, including the nature of the will.

- Your Highlight at location 3009-3011 | Added on Tuesday, 25 October 2022 21:22:35

Idealism taken to its logical conclusion makes the knower the source of all that is known, i.e. the knower creates the known. The knower is the true reality (eternal and necessary), while the known is a derived reality (temporal and contingent).

- Your Highlight at location 3058-3060 | Added on Tuesday, 25 October 2022 21:29:47

What is consciousness? It is the experience of concepts, via language. Animals do not have language, hence do not experience concepts, hence are not conscious. Our thoughts are reality. Our concepts are conscious reality.

- Your Highlight at location 3053-3054 | Added on Tuesday, 25 October 2022 21:30:09

Knowledge is everything. All that exists are knowers and the knowledge they create. There is nothing else.

- Your Highlight at location 3115-3116 | Added on Thursday, 27 October 2022 22:28:57

Intellectual intuition brings about the identity of subject and object. All knowledge of the object is immediately apparent to the subject. God thinks in this way. All answers are instant and total.

- Your Highlight at location 3223-3225 | Added on Friday, 28 October 2022 23:32:59

The ideal community would be one that could act like a family. Multiculturalism is disastrous because it erodes and destroys any sense of a common identity and common cause. It produces ghettos and competing cultures. It splinters "the family".

- Your Highlight at location 3267-3272 | Added on Saturday, 29 October 2022 07:43:52

Schopenhauer rejected Hegel's intellectual, rational system. However, rather than appeal to the unthinking matter of science, he instead proposed that reality was based on an aimless, non-intellectual, irrational impulse, which he named "Will." The inside of reality, for Schopenhauer, is irrational, not rational. Schopenhauer's system—although fascinating—is ridiculous and impossible. No inherently irrational Will could ever exist. It could have no rational syntax, hence it would be infinitely unstable, and in fact it could never even exist in the first place since it could have no sufficient reason and no means of organizing and ordering itself.

- Your Highlight at location 3342-3346 | Added on Saturday, 29 October 2022 07:55:26

Mathematics is the mysterious inside of everything. We ourselves are mathematical beings having a mathematical experience. But a mathematical experience is not some dry, academic exercise in boring people to death ... it is this stupendous, wondrous, mind-boggling, ineffable experience that we are all having, and it really does give us all the

opportunity to experience being God, which corresponds to mathematical optimization, mathematics finding the answer to itself (zero entropy, corresponding to Indra's Net of perfect jewels, majestically reflecting each other in infinite repose).

- Your Highlight at location 3515-3519 | Added on Saturday, 29 October 2022 22:56:04

If the Will turned against itself and ceased to will then it would cease to be. Existence would come to an end. Since existence cannot end, the fundamental aspect of the Will is to will its own existence. For Nietzsche, things do not merely wish to exist, they wish to be powerful. So, Nietzsche replaces the Will to Exist with the Will to Power. Everything is an expression of this Will. Nietzsche wrote, "The world seen from within, the world defined and designated according to its 'intelligible character'—it would simply be 'Will to Power,' and nothing else."

- Your Highlight at location 3954-3958 | Added on Tuesday, 1 November 2022 23:41:17

Our ears physically hear music, but our emotions feel it, and our intuition takes us to the deepest feelings in themselves. The other arts address the noumenon indirectly, while music expresses it in the most direct way possible for us. Artistically, it is the most vivid articulation of the noumenon, as close to the noumenon as we can get, which is why it puts such a spell on us. It is "magical" and numinous.

- Your Highlight at location 3960-3962 | Added on Tuesday, 1 November 2022 23:41:32

Music allows us to experience universal emotions, e.g. sadness itself or joy itself rather than particular instances of sadness or joy. It delivers the essence of emotion. It gives us access to emotional Universals. That's why it overwhelms us.

- Your Highlight at location 4002-4006 | Added on Wednesday, 2 November 2022 23:40:49

Just as science claims that the inside view is illusory, Eastern religion claims that the outside view is illusory. Western religion says that God is the inside of reality and he made the outside view out of nothing. Science says that there is no inside view and the outside world sprang into existence out of nothing by chance rather than by divine design, i.e. science replaced God—the inside—with chance, accident, randomness, acausation, emergence, indeterminacy, indeterminism, probability and statistics. These are the only means to get to an outside once you have dismissed the reality of an inside.

The Stairway to Consciousness: The Birth of Self-Awareness from Unconscious Archetypes (The Truth Series Book 12) (Stark, Dr. Thomas)

- Your Highlight on page 4 | location 63-67 | Added on Tuesday, 13 December 2022 20:42:08

Idealism, which is all about the mind rather than matter, has consciousness as its ultimate evolutionary product. Not just any consciousness, maximum consciousness, i.e. absolute consciousness, God consciousness. The meaning of idealist existence is for existence to come to complete self-awareness. God does not create the universe, the universe creates God. That is its purpose.

- Your Highlight on page 5 | location 90-91 | Added on Tuesday, 13 December 2022 20:50:49

The point of life is to know what the point of life is, and you can accomplish that only via the attainment of absolute knowledge, absolute understanding, absolute consciousness.

- Your Highlight on page 5 | location 93-96 | Added on Tuesday, 13 December 2022 20:51:35

You need to be a God to survive the dialectic. It takes you to hell and forces you to confront the Devil. The Devil is you. The Devil is your Shadow. Only Devils can become Gods. That is the law of the dialectic. As Nietzsche said, "Everything good is the transmutation of something evil: every god has a devil for a father." And everything conscious has the unconscious for a mother.

- Your Highlight on page 9 | location 151-155 | Added on Tuesday, 13 December 2022 21:13:27

Human consciousness is initially born from the human mind's response to the sense-space, but it can then evolve in its own way and become totally independent. We can live as pure conscious minds, wholly separate from the physical world of the senses. We can still have externality, but externality would now consist of mental entities that surround our personal conceptual space. What mentally contains us?—the collection of all monadic minds, which manifests itself to us as the Collective Unconscious. It also offers the possibility of direct mental interaction with other minds via telepathy.

- Your Highlight on page 13 | location 233-234 | Added on Tuesday, 13 December 2022 21:34:01

What is the problem with meditation? It seeks to reduce both the sense-space and mind-space to zero, leaving nothing but nothingness—which is imagined to be an enlightened state of nirvana ... pure mind, pure consciousness, pure acceptance, pure bliss.

- Your Highlight on page 16 | location 289-290 | Added on Tuesday, 13 December 2022 22:13:16

Intelligence is the mind expander, while drugs are a perception expander. Drugs are for perceiving types. Books are for judging types. Trip, meditate or study. Which shall it be?

- Your Highlight on page 19 | location 344-346 | Added on Tuesday, 13 December 2022 22:24:26

Consciousness is the flashlight. All it ever sees is the light of consciousness. Yet it is everywhere surrounded by the dark room of the unconscious. Any number of unconscious processes feed into consciousness and then need to be interpreted and rationalized by consciousness in terms of a "big picture", an executive summary on which we can reach our decisions.

- Your Highlight on page 23 | location 419-421 | Added on Tuesday, 13 December 2022 22:40:10

Empiricists such as Kant cannot grasp that empiricism is grounded in rationalism, percepts in concepts. Percepts are just a certain type of concept. All percepts are concepts framed by the concepts of space and time. Remove the concepts of space and time and we would have no concept of anything "out there".

- Your Note on page 26 | location 466 | Added on Wednesday, 14 December 2022 00:33:40

Not sure this statement holds water. If the claim is that animals don't conceptualise, how is it that they fight over territory, food, mating rights, social status, etc. Aren't these things conceptual?

- Your Highlight on page 26 | location 465-466 | Added on Wednesday, 14 December 2022 00:33:41

People don't fight and squabble because of sense-certainty. Every war is born of concepts, of love of one concept or another, and hatred of its dialectical opposite.

- Your Highlight on page 27 | location 475-476 | Added on Wednesday, 14 December 2022 00:35:20

Antisthenes said, "The most useful piece of learning for the uses of life is to unlearn what is untrue."

- Your Highlight on page 29 | location 520-521 | Added on Wednesday, 14 December 2022 23:46:03

Schopenhauer said, "Talent hits a target no one else can hit; Genius hits a target no one else can see."

Adrian Price 153

The Stairway to Consciousness: The Birth of Self-Awareness from Unconscious Archetypes (TS-12)

- Your Highlight on page 31 | location 547-552 | Added on Wednesday, 14 December 2022 23:49:39

Mindfulness is in fact mindlessness. Mindfulness is a scandalous attack on the intellect and reflects a crude desire to wallow in pure perception, cut off from the mind-space of concepts, judgment, decisions and action. Only morons seek to be "mindful" in the manner prescribed by gurus, mystics and meditators. You need more judgement, not less; more concepts, not fewer; more intelligence, more reason, more logic, more knowledge, more understanding. You need less emotionalism, less mysticism, less empiricism, less faith, less observation, less exposure to sense-certainty, less perceiving, less interaction with percepts, less meditation.

- Your Highlight on page 37 | location 659-662 | Added on Thursday, 15 December 2022 17:37:39

The Collective Unconscious—the objective psyche—is the greatest and most primal and powerful force in the universe. The physical world is its primary creation. The Collective Unconscious is the true Platonic Demiurge. The Collective Unconscious, with its archetypes, is a program for living, a program for evolving. There are no accidents. We are all swept along by a teleological current. Go with the flow!

- Your Highlight on page 37 | location 669-670 | Added on Thursday, 15 December 2022 17:38:20

To level up in the real Game of Life is to gain access to much more powerful archetypes: angel or demon archetypes, then God or Devil archetypes. Don't you want to play the game at the highest level? Then wake up, level up, learn all about archetypes, especially the highest ones.

- Your Highlight on page 40 | location 715-716 | Added on Thursday, 15 December 2022 23:36:11

The point of the Hegelian dialectic is to convert the Collective Unconscious into the Collective Conscious = God fully realized, fully actualized. We all take our place as essential cells of God, able to enjoy the full God experience.

- Your Highlight on page 41 | location 728-730 | Added on Thursday, 15 December 2022 23:37:33

Your ego, the center of your consciousness, is your agent for dealing with life and its difficulties. If your ego weakens and fails, your psyche looks elsewhere and thus becomes open to the archetypes of the Collective Unconscious, but in an uncontrolled way, leading to disaster, to the loss of sanity.

- Your Highlight on page 41 | location 743-744 | Added on Thursday, 15 December 2022 23:39:41

Archetypes are not just psychic entities. They provide a bridge to matter. In fact, the laws of physics could be regarded as low-grade archetypes.

- Your Highlight on page 45 | location 807-810 | Added on Saturday, 17 December 2022 00:33:00

If Jaynes is right, consciousness is more cultural than biological, and is inextricably tied to language. This means that we can increase consciousness through language and culture. If we had the correctly designed society, we could have a far more conscious and developed humanity, far more enlightened, well on the way to becoming a Community of Gods and a Society of the Divine.

- Your Highlight on page 48 | location 867-870 | Added on Saturday, 17 December 2022 00:42:42

Jaynes denied that consciousness is a biological phenomenon. He's right. It's a conceptual phenomenon. It can't happen in the absence of concepts. Consciousness originated in cultural change, not in biological change, and that means we can radically change human consciousness in no time at all by radically changing human culture. A superior culture will lead to superior consciousness across the board, and much higher productivity and fulfillment.

- Your Highlight on page 54 | location 970-972 | Added on Sunday, 18 December 2022 00:25:53

Consciousness is really only a net of communication between human beings: it is only as such that it had to develop; a solitary human being who lived like a beast of prey would not have needed it."

- Your Highlight on page 54 | location 975-978 | Added on Sunday, 18 December 2022 00:26:52

According to the cultural theory of consciousness, the human that lived like a beast of prey would be a mere animal, a brute. He would emphatically not be conscious since he totally lacks socialization, culture, communication, and language. He could not construct a conceptual mind-space—the sine qua non of consciousness—in which to reflect on his existence.

- Your Highlight on page 55 | location 989-992 | Added on Sunday, 18 December 2022 00:29:41

If you want to see how humanity can be disastrously affected by a bad culture, you need only watch the brilliant documentary Starsuckers, a 2009

British documentary film that exposes the "shams and deceit involved in creating a pernicious celebrity culture."

- Your Highlight on page 56 | location 1008-1010 | Added on Monday, 19 December 2022 01:04:12

So, language arises here and there, and slowly and surely generates consciousness. Consciousness is a language-mediated output of the unconscious and it grows in direct proportion to the sophistication of the language. This means that the most intelligent individuals are more conscious than stupid people.

- Your Note on page 57 | location 1028 | Added on Monday, 19 December 2022 01:12:26

More insane lefty-liberal prejudice and projection. The reason so-called 'right-wingers' hate the state is that they can see clearly how the state relentlessly seizes power at the expense of individual rights and freedoms.

- Your Highlight on page 57 | location 1026-1028 | Added on Monday, 19 December 2022 01:12:26

To be on the right of the political spectrum is automatically to be severely sociopathic. That's a fact. Right wingers despise community and society. They hate the State and government. They are only interested in individuals and families.

- Your Highlight on page 57 | location 1033-1035 | Added on Monday, 19 December 2022 01:13:37

The whole point of concepts is to reach more and more general and universal levels until we ultimately arrive at one concept—the ultimate universal which explains the whole of reality. That concept is the PSR.

- Your Highlight on page 59 | location 1063-1070 | Added on Monday, 19 December 2022 01:19:04

Isn't it amazing that the very thing these meditators seek is the very thing that meditation renders impossible? That's the human condition for you. That's irrationalism for you. Meditation does not bring people together, it drives them apart. People sit on their own—in their own antisocial, particular little bubbles of pure subjectivity—then delusionally imagine they are breaking through to a more universal level of consciousness. What a joke. These people are infinitely deluded. They should abandon right-wing, extreme individualistic meditation and start studying mathematics, the universal language, the communist language. Meditation is the exact means to separate yourself as much as possible from the Oneness, the Collective, the Universal ... from Collective Consciousness.

- Your Highlight on page 61 | location 1094-1100 | Added on Tuesday, 20 December 2022 00:12:42

Science has only partially embraced mathematics. The final progression is to move entirely to mathematics (ontological mathematics). There is nowhere left after that. We have attained ultimate synthesis, ultimate symmetry, ultimate universality. That's the end of the line. It's impossible to go beyond maximum universality. It has an entropy of zero. Entropy is measure of particularity. Zero entropy is where particularity is eliminated. Unity is perfect. Through dialectical evolution, languages that reflect high particularity—verbal languages—gradually morph into more and more general and universal languages. Ultimately, words undergo a conceptual phase transition into numbers and we leave behind manmade languages and arrive at the universal language of existence—mathematics—the one, true language used by nature itself.

- Your Highlight on page 61 | location 1106-1118 | Added on Tuesday, 20 December 2022 00:15:44

Consciousness is a double-edged sword. That's the dialectic for you. Rightwingers soon enough use consciousness to emphasize the particular: the individual, the family, the tribe. They demonize the collective, the State, the commune, society. Left-wingers, however, champion all of those. They are driving the dialectic towards greater and greater collectivism, community, generality, and universality, while the right-wingers provide the constant antithesis, seeking to keep everything broken up, fragmented, atomized, disconnected, particularized, localized. Consider the right-wing love of inheritance, privilege, nepotism, cronyism and conservatism. These are all designed to preserve the status quo, to maintain the powers-that-be, the prevailing hegemony, the patriarchy, the ruling elite—to avoid increasing universality at all costs. Communism is the supreme expression of universality, but it is only for the gods. The Community of Gods, the Society of the Divine, is absolute communism: all gods are equal. Right-wingers are localists, particularists, "somewheres". Left-wingers are generalists, universalists, "anywheres". Right-wingers are obsessed with particular details of sex, sexuality, class, race, nationality, religion, political affiliation, status, and so on. Left-wingers couldn't care less. After all, they are universalists. In the end, everything will be rendered universal. The dialectic guarantees it. The right-wingers are on the losing side of history. They are the persistent brake on progress, on evolution. They are the barrier that enlightened people must overcome. They are the sinister army of endarkenment.

- Your Highlight on page 68 | location 1220-1221 | Added on Tuesday, 20 December 2022 23:01:16

Both types of archetype are possible: unconscious group archetypes, or conscious individual archetypes that influence groups and individuals.

- Your Highlight on page 69 | location 1247-1251 | Added on Wednesday, 21 December 2022 10:18:37

Archetypes must furnish a complete apparatus to accompany all mental phenomena. They must serve as the atoms and molecules of the psyche. So, just as a body is made up of atoms and collections of atoms (molecules), so the psyche is made up of simple atomic archetypes and more complicated molecular archetypes. We could, in principle, dismantle any archetype and reduce it to a unique collection of basis archetypes. In this way, we could directly liken archetypes to DNA. The DNA of the body is accompanied by the corresponding archetypal DNA of the psyche.

- Your Note on page 73 | location 1308 | Added on Wednesday, 21 December 2022 23:58:29

I'm not sure this claim is true. Animals show an instinctive reaction to, for example, the outline or call or smell of a predator. Surely this indicates an awareness of the universal corresponding to the particular? How else would they recognise the threat? There's a whole branch of biology devoted to signifiers and signifieds; it is named 'bio-semiotics'.

- Your Highlight on page 73 | location 1307-1308 | Added on Wednesday, 21 December 2022 23:58:29

They have no concept of leaf, which is exactly why they have no language, and no capacity to reason.

- Your Note on page 74 | location 1329 | Added on Thursday, 22 December 2022 00:13:04

One is bound to ask how the archetypes arise in the first place.

- Your Highlight on page 74 | location 1328-1329 | Added on Thursday, 22 December 2022 00:13:04

To have archetypes is to have universals. These are not fantasies, or manmade ideas, as Nietzsche claimed. They are real things, really encoded in the fiber and fabric of existence, and we really do access them and manifest them.

- Your Highlight on page 77 | location 1392-1396 | Added on Saturday, 24 December 2022 00:17:41

Nietzsche said, "What, ultimately, are man's truths? Merely his irrefutable errors." That's exactly what an empirical particularist says. No rational universalist would ever say such a thing. Nietzsche said, "There are no facts, only interpretations." Again, this is what empirical particularism and

skepticism reduces too. All true facts are actually non-empirical, non-particular rational universals, via which we can successfully render all particular "facts" intelligible.

- Your Note on page 80 | location 1445 | Added on Saturday, 24 December 2022 00:33:30

I disagree: in its use of mathematics, equations, etc., science is clearly concerned with universals, not particulars.

- Your Highlight on page 80 | location 1444-1445 | Added on Saturday, 24 December 2022 00:33:30

Science is exclusively about particulars, none of which it can explain without resorting to mathematical concepts and universals, which it dismisses as unreal, manmade abstractions.

- Your Highlight on page 82 | location 1476-1479 | Added on Sunday, 25 December 2022 00:15:48

Universals provide all of the templates, archetypes, blueprints, plans, patterns, forms, and designs from which all particulars are made, and without which no particulars could ever be made in the first place. Concepts furnish the production line off which particulars (individual percepts) are pulled. Particulars don't summon themselves into existence. They don't pull themselves up by their own bootstraps. They are all derived from preexisting universals encoded mentally, not physically, in the fiber and fabric of existence—just as Plato said.

- Your Note on page 82 | location 1486 | Added on Sunday, 25 December 2022 00:22:30

Wow, interesting. Elsewhere, in GS for example, Nietzsche is lauded as a great philosopher and quoted extensively. Ditto Kant, Schopenhauer & Wittgenstein yet all are also utterly demolished in GS or TS!

- Your Highlight on page 82 | location 1485-1486 | Added on Sunday, 25 December 2022 00:22:30

Nietzsche was one of grand philosophy's greatest enemies, and can even be identified as its assassin. Nietzsche didn't kill God—religion continues as insanely as ever—he killed philosophy, which is now an embarrassing joke.

- Your Highlight on page 83 | location 1495-1500 | Added on Sunday, 25 December 2022 00:24:14

In fact, analytic philosophy is in essence a stultifying exploration of the "precise" use of manmade language in order to supposedly reflect reality, while continental philosophy is an admission that manmade language tells us absolutely nothing about reality. Analytic philosophers think continental

philosophers are anti-philosophers engaged in a sinister project to destroy truth, while continental philosophers think analytic philosophers are delusional and trying to prop up a set of "truths" that are patently false and pernicious. In fact, both groups are sophists. True philosophy, in the grand tradition, has now moved on exclusively to ontological mathematics, the conceptual language of numerical truth, with nothing to do with fallacious manmade languages of words.

- Your Highlight on page 88 | location 1598-1600 | Added on Monday, 26 December 2022 00:13:35

To get to the realized Self we must pass through three earlier stages: (1) integrating our shadow; (2) integrating our soul image (anima or animus); (3) and integrating our mana-personality, or archetype of power.

- Your Highlight on page 89 | location 1612-1631 | Added on Tuesday, 27 December 2022 23:30:35

In Jung's scheme, the confrontation with our personal Shadow is the first stage in our difficult journey of inner development towards individuation. We must "own" our dark side rather than rejecting it. We must sublimate it and harness its energy and power. We must not deny it and project it. The Soul Image Having dealt with our Shadow, we can then confront our Soul Image (Anima or Animus). This is our inner "Other", our inner representation of the opposite sex. The Soul Image is full of creative potential because it's so strange and mysterious to us. The Soul Image routinely shows up in dreams and fantasies as a beguiling (though sometimes intimidating) figure of the opposite sex, seducing us, casting a spell over us. The Mana Personality Beyond the Soul Image lies the domain of spiritual power or guidance, where the Wise Old Man lives, or the Goddess of Wisdom, or the daemon, the guardian angel, the mentor, the Guide, the Sage, the Shaman, the Sky Father, the Earth Mother, the Wise Woman, the Sibyl, the Oracle. These are all personalities of great power denied to us in normal life—they are the Mana personalities (Mana is a Polynesian word meaning "supernatural power"). Where the Soul Image has the opposite sex from us, the Mana Personality has the same sex. If we over-identify with the Mana Personality, it can lead to megalomania, or the development of a Guru complex, with all the sinister manipulation and exploitation which that typically entails. The Realized Self Finally, we can approach the Self itself, the center of the psyche, the center of power, our God Image (Imago Dei). The Self (the controller of the whole psyche) is originally unconscious and undifferentiated. Through psychological development (both outer and inner), we gradually expand our consciousness through coming to terms with, and integrating, the various

archetypes that direct our lives, and finally we can combine with the Self and make it conscious. We thus become God.

- Your Highlight on page 90 | location 1633-1634 | Added on Wednesday, 28 December 2022 23:51:36

To become conscious of the Self, to fully realize the Self, is to become conscious of everything, to be transformed into God.

- Your Highlight on page 90 | location 1637-1644 | Added on Wednesday, 28 December 2022 23:52:11

The Ego, our consciousness, is the center of our relationship with the Outer World and is protected by the Persona (our public mask) on the outside and the personal unconscious (for all our repressed elements) on the inside. Below the personal unconscious is the collective unconscious. Straddling the personal unconscious and the collective unconscious are the archetypes of the Shadow, the Soul Image, and the Mana Personality. Individuals develop patterns of unconscious tendencies that derive from repressed, emotionally-charged (especially painful) experiences, particularly those that occurred in childhood. Jung labelled these unconscious patterns "complexes". The archetypes are, strictly speaking, located in the Collective Unconscious, but they enter the personal unconscious as centers of our various complexes.

- Your Highlight on page 91 | location 1645-1650 | Added on Wednesday, 28 December 2022 23:56:46

These complexes affect our behavior in profound ways. The complexes reside in the personal unconscious. Although complexes are individual, they become entangled with the archetypes of the Collective Unconscious. These manifest themselves in our lives by channeling their energies through our personal complexes. An Ego-Self axis runs from the Ego through the Shadow, Soul Image, and Mana Personality to the Self. We can become whole only by addressing all of the components on the axis. The Self controls our Inner World. The Ultimate Self, the Universal Self, is God.

- Your Highlight on page 98 | location 1778-1790 | Added on Sunday, 1 January 2023 01:53:40

Every mind is an individual mind within the whole collection of minds. Each mind can be regarded as a subjective psyche within an objective psyche. The Ego is an entity that emerges for each individual from the archetypal structure of the objective psyche. Each individual psyche has its own Self (Imago Dei = God Image), and the complete collection of Selves is God. Individuation is the process that leads individuals to integration with, first, their own Self (the Lesser God), and then the collection of all Selves (the Greater God). When the collection of all Selves becomes conscious—via the

input of all the disparate Egos—this corresponds to the Omega Point, Absolute Knowledge, Absolute Consciousness ... God fully realized. It is also the end of the universe and the signal for a New Beginning. The universe cannot slide into stasis. It must reach a climax and then begin again. The universe is orgasmic, not "happy", not "tranquil". Its job is to achieve peaks, not plateaus and flatlines. If you have peaks, you necessarily have troughs. This really is a rollercoaster ride. It's inevitable. It's built into reality. Existence is made of sinusoids, the archetypal rollercoasters, permanently cycling between peaks and troughs. If God is the ultimate peak (zero mental entropy), the Big Bang is the ultimate trough (maximum mental entropy).

- Your Note on page 100 | location 1814 | Added on Monday, 2 January 2023 02:21:25

Honestly, these people sometimes spout the most utter nonsense, the most sweeping generalisations. Total 'straw man' arguments.

- Your Highlight on page 100 | location 1813-1814 | Added on Monday, 2 January 2023 02:21:25

Right wingers are never spiritual.

- Your Highlight on page 105 | location 1894-1895 | Added on Monday, 2 January 2023 23:13:56

"The aim of individuation is nothing less than to divest the self of the false wrappings of the persona on the one hand, and of the suggestive power of primordial images on the other."—Jung

- Your Highlight on page 109 | location 1976-1977 | Added on Monday, 2 January 2023 23:29:14

Individuality can only occur within a strong collective, a collective unafraid of the individual, hence willing to be a collective of autonomous individuals.

- Your Highlight on page 109 | location 1980-1984 | Added on Wednesday, 4 January 2023 00:26:58

Jung wrote, "Individuation does not isolate, it connects." This is a crucial idea. Individuation does not separate you from the collective, it makes you an essential part of the collective. Everything right wingers do is opposed to individuation. Jung wrote, "Before [individuation] can be taken as a goal, the educational aim of adaptation to the necessary minimum of collective norms must first be attained."

- Your Highlight on page 110 | location 1994-2001 | Added on Wednesday, 4 January 2023 00:30:57

You are insane if you depend on yourself, if you believe you are your own salvation. That's the gospel of far-right libertarians and extremist narcissists and psychopaths. We are all in this together. We are all helping each other. We must all depend on the geniuses to guide us. We must never imagine that we know better than the greatest minds that ever lived. We must be humble, we must be realistic, we must be able to realistically assess our own talents. The Dunning-Kruger effect—pure Buddhism—is where people of low ability lay claim to intellectual superiority and mistakenly assess their cognitive ability as much higher than it actually is. Like the Buddha, they reject experts and say they have no need of others and that they can save themselves. You can save yourself, and have no need for others, if you are a God. Are you?

- Your Highlight on page 111 | location 2004-2008 | Added on Wednesday, 4 January 2023 00:32:47

Anyone that opposes top-down, "big picture" (i.e. conceptual) thinking is on the autistic spectrum. All scientists are engaged in bottom-up, "all-the-details" (i.e. perceptual) thinking. One of these approaches is totally right and one disastrously wrong. Which? Foolish people claim that both can be used. That's to fall foul of Cartesian substance dualism. Top-down thinking goes with rationalism while bottom-up thinking goes with empiricism. You must choose one or the other. They are fundamentally incompatible worldviews.

- Your Highlight on page 114 | location 2063-2066 | Added on Wednesday, 4 January 2023 00:46:14

The idea that we become stronger personalities the more we engage in a stronger and stronger collective is utterly alien to the right-wing mind. Right-wingers assert that we become more individual the further we depart from the collective. In fact, if we all lived alone on desert islands, not only would we all be miserable animals and brutes, we would never be conscious.

- Your Highlight on page 115 | location 2089-2090 | Added on Thursday, 5 January 2023 23:35:12

Reality is all about "God" splitting himself into innumerable parts. Why? In order to become conscious!

- Your Note on page 116 | location 2100 | Added on Thursday, 5 January 2023 23:38:23

How could we possibly know what goes on in the mind of an animal?

- Your Highlight on page 116 | location 2099-2100 | Added on Thursday, 5 January 2023 23:38:23

No animal can think anything at all about percepts that are no longer in their immediate perceptual field. They move on to the next percept and never give a further thought (they can't!) to what they were perceiving just a moment before.

- Your Highlight on page 117 | location 2126-2128 | Added on Thursday, 5 January 2023 23:42:59

Reality exists to optimize reason and logic. Love is optimized as a by-product. Love, by itself, cannot do the reverse—i.e. it cannot optimize reason and logic. Love, by itself, merely produces its antithesis = hate! All lovers are haters!

- Your Highlight on page 118 | location 2137-2139 | Added on Thursday, 5 January 2023 23:45:17

Reason allows us an escape from emotion. We must all embrace Logos. Yet we also need an escape from reason. We need downtime. We must all embrace Mythos. We need Apollo by day and Dionysus by night: work and play, thought and feeling. We need both.

- Your Highlight on page 124 | location 2258-2259 | Added on Saturday, 7 January 2023 00:11:27

The Fall is broken symmetry. The Fall is reversed by restoring symmetry.

- Your Highlight on page 126 | location 2292-2294 | Added on Saturday, 7 January 2023 00:18:40

In the forbidden city, the council of twelve plan the Terra Paradiso Project—heaven on earth ... to create the community of gods, the society of the divine.

- Your Highlight on page 127 | location 2308-2314 | Added on Saturday, 7 January 2023 00:21:47

Mystics play a tried and tested game. They say that the truths of mystical experiences cannot be expressed in words, while being the deepest truths of all. Of course, if they can't be expressed they are not truths at all, but mere subjective impressions. They are not knowledge. They cannot be communicated. Hegel said, "A truth cannot lose anything by being written down." Mystics think otherwise. They think everything is lost. They believe that truth is incommunicable, which renders it all the more absurd that they attempt to communicate it. That's why they always conclude by saying that you have to experience it for yourself, meaning they never have to explain what it is, which they have already declared an impossibility. That's convenient! Yet people fall for this gibberish by the billions.

- Your Note on page 127 | location 2319 | Added on Sunday, 8 January 2023 00:25:46

I can understand what is meant by this epistemological principle, but I am curious to know how the Illuminati regard ineffable, transcendental experiences of unitary cosmic consciousness. Those who have such experiences claim to have received direct and profound intuitive insights into the fundamental nature of reality and consciousness, but say they lack the language to articulate their insights. Of course, the Illuminati would say the requisite language is mathematics, but that language is only useful between those who speak it, i.e. mathematicians.

- Your Highlight on page 127 | location 2317-2319 | Added on Sunday, 8 January 2023 00:25:47

True knowledge is always shareable and that means it must always be conceptual, expressed via universals. Private languages are impossible, hence private knowledge (i.e. knowledge that in principle no one else could ever know or articulate) is impossible. All mystical knowledge that cannot be expressed cannot be knowledge at all.

- Your Note on page 128 | location 2327 | Added on Sunday, 8 January 2023 00:27:48

What about bio-semiotics?

- Your Highlight on page 128 | location 2326-2327 | Added on Sunday, 8 January 2023 00:27:48

They can't share them, can't conceptualize them, can't universalize them, can't express them through a language.

- Your Note on page 129 | location 2353 | Added on Monday, 9 January 2023 00:52:50

The PI have repeatedly made such claims throughout the GS and TS books. However, they haven't taken even the first step towards showing how this could be achieved. Surely the first step would be to explain the semantics of individual numbers as 'irreducible basis thoughts', the ontology of addition and multiplication, of prime and perfect numbers, and so on?

- Your Highlight on page 129 | location 2352-2353 | Added on Monday, 9 January 2023 00:52:50

Science is the study of percepts, using mathematics to make sense of them. It should in fact be studying concepts, the concepts of mathematics, and then deriving all percepts from these concepts.

- Your Highlight on page 130 | location 2365-2367 | Added on Monday, 9 January 2023 00:57:10

Existence is all about language coming to consciousness of itself. Once it is conscious, it can use its own language to tell itself exactly what it is and to take possession of absolute knowledge of all of reality.

- Your Highlight on page 130 | location 2371-2374 | Added on Monday, 9 January 2023 00:58:11

We are all mathematical beings and we all come to full consciousness when we understand ourselves and everything around us to be living mathematics. Once we comprehend this, we have complete awareness and power over reality. Subject and object merge. We can do anything simply by thinking it. We have become Gods. That is the guarantee of ontological mathematics. We are a divine species, on our road to divine perfection.

- Your Highlight on page 137 | location 2496-2498 | Added on Monday, 9 January 2023 23:24:50

DNA is not a physical code that came into existence by chance, by accident, by random processes. DNA is a mental construct. Mind—intelligent, purposeful mind—produced the code. What is DNA? It is the physically encoded link between the eternal mind and the temporal avatar to which it connects.

- Your Highlight on page 137 | location 2501-2503 | Added on Monday, 9 January 2023 23:26:03

DNA not only codes for bodies, it also codes for how minds "dock" with bodies and control bodies remotely (from the mental, immaterial Singularity beyond space and time). DNA binds minds and bodies and creates the connection of mind to body that is so strong that it makes the immaterial mind believe it is somehow inside the material body.

- Your Highlight on page 140 | location 2547-2553 | Added on Monday, 9 January 2023 23:39:16

The mind-space (rationalism) can reflect on the sense-space (empiricism), but the sense-space cannot reflect on the mind-space, showing how absurd it is to privilege the senses over reason, as scientists do. Consciousness, true consciousness, must have two capacities: to reflect on all other spaces, and to reflect on itself. Language alone—conceptualism—has this quality. This leads to a mind-boggling conclusion. Only a universe made of concepts can become self-aware. Only a universe of language can become conscious. Only one language is eternal and necessary, hence only this language can be the language of existence, reality, nature. That language is of course ontological mathematics.

- Your Highlight on page 141 | location 2578-2579 | Added on Tuesday, 10 January 2023 23:08:47

True consciousness is mathematical consciousness, when we think mathematically. That's when we become God.

- Your Highlight on page 142 | location 2580-2581 | Added on Tuesday, 10 January 2023 23:09:07

It is hard to attain mathematical consciousness because verbal consciousness comes first. Words precede numbers in the course of the evolution of consciousness.

- Your Highlight on page 142 | location 2596-2598 | Added on Tuesday, 10 January 2023 23:13:24

It's essential to define different types of intuition. Three are possible: 1) thinking intuition where conceptual answers are presented fully formed, 2) emotional intuition, involving "gut feelings", and 3) intuition as the simple opposite of sensory perception, i.e. extra-sensory perception involving visions unrelated to the immediate data present to the sense organs.

- Your Highlight on page 165 | location 3008-3016 | Added on Thursday, 12 January 2023 23:35:22

Wikipedia says, "Plato believed there to be a sharp distinction between the world of perceivable objects and the world of universals or forms: one can only have mere opinions about the former, but one can have knowledge about the latter." It cannot be stressed enough how vital these considerations are. Knowledge can only concern universals (eternal, necessary, immutable, mathematical concepts). As Hegel demonstrated, sense-certainty—concerned purely with the perceivable world—provides no knowledge at all. When people try to understand percepts without using a strict conceptual, rational, logical approach then they generate nothing but opinions, beliefs, conjectures, heuristics and an ever-growing list of discarded hypotheses, exactly as we see with science, which will never attain to any absolute truth. Its entire method and worldview precludes it. Anyone who supports the principle of falsification is not a friend of the truth: the truth, by definition, cannot be falsified. Only an empiricist, a particularist, could think up such an idiotic principle.

- Your Highlight on page 167 | location 3041-3052 | Added on Thursday, 12 January 2023 23:40:53

The PSR is explained extremely simply: it is the Principle of "Nothing", nothing being the only that has compulsory existence since it requires nothing and nothing can prevent its existence. The PSR determines

everything that can rationally be done with "nothing". Nothing—zero—is that which cannot be prevented by anything. It has no requirements. It has no activation energy, i.e. it has no energy barrier to cross to allow it to happen. It is the permanent ground state energy of existence, namely exactly zero. It is subject to no indeterminacy; it has nothing of the cosmic casino about it, of the kind promoted by modern science. Since it needs nothing, nothing can stop it. Indeed, zero is the only thing that can exist. Everything else has requirements that can never be met. Zero is the inescapable ground-state energy of existence. Zero, rationally, has compulsory existence. The question is in what way can zero be ontological? How can zero exist eternally, necessarily, without error, with infinite stability? Zero cannot be chaotic, random, or indeterminate. When zero is rationally analyzed, only one formula can stably deliver it: Euler's Formula. Euler's Formula is the key to the science—the mathematics—of nothing. Euler's formula uniquely provides a means to generate "something", which is also "nothing".

- Your Highlight on page 168 | location 3062-3071 | Added on Thursday, 12 January 2023 23:44:07

The entire monadic system of ontological mathematics comprises "God". God, therefore, is a Being made of many cells (nodes, monads, minds). These cells can either cooperate or compete. Their divinity (their "good", their symmetry) is expressed through their cooperation. Their non-divinity (their "evil", their asymmetry) is expressed through their competition. The cosmic dialectic demands that good and evil (cooperation and competition) enter into conflict. That's why we have left-wing (good, cooperative) politics facing off against right-wing (evil, competitive) politics. Despite the evils of competition, we need to compete with each other—and survey the evil calamities which result—in order to come to our senses and cooperate. The evil right-wingers—the Devil's Army, Satan Incarnate—are essential to the development of consciousness. We need a road of trials, a succession of ordeals, to provide the resistance we must overcome in order to become moral (rational), which is to say intelligent. If we met no resistance, there would be no need for us to evolve. For a system to evolve into God, it needs the maximum possible resistance—it needs the Devil. The "world" is Satanic in order that we must become God to overcome it.

- Your Note on page 169 | location 3081 | Added on Friday, 13 January 2023 14:14:51

Whilst some of this may be true, it is nonetheless an outrageous generalisation and mischaracterisation based on a false left-right dichotomy. The majority of people who identify as conservative / right-leaning do not endorse the extreme views you ascribe to them, and furthermore it's dangerous to claim that left-wingers, socialists and

communists are on the side of humanity. I mean, for God's sake, learn some fucking history and understand how tyrannical and anti-human communist regimes have actually been!

- Your Highlight on page 169 | location 3073-3081 | Added on Friday, 13 January 2023 14:14:51

Astoundingly, right-wingers—far from seeing themselves as the evil antithesis that must be defeated—imagine themselves as the truly Godly people, and insanely demonize their enemies as "evil". At all times, they promote the greedy, selfish individual and cut-throat competition. They support inheritance, privilege, nepotism and cronyism. They are obsessed with individual status, yet they are also viciously tribal, in a strictly supremacist sense, i.e. they see their tribe as superior to every other tribe. They call themselves the Chosen People or Master Race. They say they have a unique Covenant with God or Providence. They are racist, xenophobic, nationalistic, "patriotic", sexist, homophobic, misogynistic, conservative. They love discrimination, intolerance, oppression, repression, persecution (doing these things to others, of course, to the "out groups", the other tribes; but never having them done to them). These people demonize the collective, the State, benevolent government, society, socialism, the commune, communism, the general will, the common good, utopianism for all, equal rights, equal opportunities.

- Your Highlight on page 180 | location 3281-3282 | Added on Sunday, 15 January 2023 23:13:04

Hegel made the whole of reality conceptual and derived percepts from concepts as part of dialectical evolution (percepts can be regarded as the dialectical antithesis of concepts, or as a necessary alienation from concepts).

- Your Highlight on page 181 | location 3305-3309 | Added on Sunday, 15 January 2023 23:21:31

Science claims that it is always testing itself. What a laugh. When has it once tested its preposterous interpretive paradigm of materialism and empiricism? When has it once confessed that rationalism might be true and empiricism false? When has it once confessed that idealism might be true and materialism false? When has it once confessed that conceptualism might be true and perceptualism false? When has it once confessed that the scientific method might be disastrously wrong because it rejects all rational unobservables and hidden variables?

- Your Highlight on page 184 | location 3362-3365 | Added on Sunday, 15 January 2023 23:29:41

How can objects have any form without form? The simple fact is that you cannot reach particulars without first having universals as the basis from which to make particulars. Particulars don't summon themselves into existence and design themselves. However, science more or less claims they do. Science says that particular things come into existence via chance, accident, randomness, acausation, indeterminism, indeterminacy and emergence. They form themselves without the need for any universal forms that exist independently of them.

- Your Highlight on page 186 | location 3388-3392 | Added on Sunday, 15 January 2023 23:39:04

Frost wrote, "Thus there developed two great theories about the nature of the universe. One, following the tradition which was begun by Plato and Aristotle, held that forms, ideas, universals were real things existing either apart from objects or in them and in some way determining what they are. This tradition taught that the real things of the universe are not the individual objects of our experience, but the universals, the forms which determine likenesses ... The other theory of the nature of the universe taught that the real things in the universe are the individual objects which we experience, and that universals are mere thoughts in the mind."

- Your Highlight on page 188 | location 3428-3430 | Added on Sunday, 15 January 2023 23:48:55

Percepts are not the kinds of things that can generate answers. Concepts are. A percept, in and of itself, tells us nothing at all. Percepts become epistemological only when they are operated on by concepts. Concepts are the intelligible entities, not percepts. Percepts can never be intelligible in themselves.

- Your Highlight on page 192 | location 3489-3489 | Added on Monday, 16 January 2023 08:22:17

The scientific claim that matter exists is just a thought in minds, and can never be anything other than that.

- Your Highlight on page 195 | location 3556-3558 | Added on Monday, 16 January 2023 08:34:48

Peter Sjöstedt-H said that the hard problem of consciousness is "ultimately the problem as to how that which is spatiotemporally describable (e. g. neuronal activity) can cause, be caused by, have a common cause with, run in parallel to, or be identical to, its mental correlates which are essentially non-spatiotemporally describable (e.g. blue)."

- Your Highlight on page 211 | location 3847-3851 | Added on Tuesday, 17 January 2023 14:02:59

The mind must by default be either unconscious or conscious. If it is unconscious by default then consciousness must be something that develops from the unconscious, as Freud and Jung explained. If it were conscious by default, there would be no reason for consciousness to create the unconscious, and by what means would it accomplish this? It would have to subtract something from itself rather than add something. How can consciousness produce something that lacks consciousness? The unconscious, by contrast, can produce consciousness, exactly by the process we have described in this book.

- Your Highlight on page 216 | location 3929-3933 | Added on Tuesday, 17 January 2023 23:29:07

Monadic minds do not by default have consciousness. They develop consciousness. Consciousness is a product of the unconscious. Consciousness is what is generated when the unconscious acquires the ability to reflect on itself through the use of sophisticated conceptual language. Language transforms the unconscious by holding up a conceptual mirror to it. When the mirror sees itself and knows itself, it is conscious.

- Your Highlight on page 218 | location 3967-3971 | Added on Tuesday, 17 January 2023 23:36:52

The real hard problem of consciousness is how language got started in the first place. The answer is that language is encoded in reality via mathematics. Language is ontological. Language is a rational substance that supports the rational syntax of reality. Language is both an information carrier (a syntax) and the information carried (a semantics). It is both rational and empirical. It is the empirical (semantic) aspect that explains sentience. It is the rational (syntactic) aspect that explain consciousness. Human consciousness relies on a manmade syntax, which leads to the falsification of reality because humans use a syntax based on words while nature uses a syntax based on numbers.

- Your Highlight on page 219 | location 3991-3992 | Added on Tuesday, 17 January 2023 23:46:10

Why are no more animal species attaining consciousness? It's because humanity is now hogging all the consciousness bandwidth and all the relevant archetypes necessary for constructing consciousness.

- Your Highlight on page 220 | location 4018-4019 | Added on Tuesday, 17 January 2023 23:51:29

Evolution does not ascend smoothly. In attaining consciousness, humanity lost a huge amount of power. We need to get this power back, while also retaining the gift of consciousness. If we succeed, slowly and surely we shall become the gods themselves.

- Your Highlight on page 221 | location 4033-4035 | Added on Tuesday, 17 January 2023 23:56:54

Consciousness is the source of lies and evil. That's why humanity was said to have fallen when it ate of the Tree of Knowledge—the Tree of Language, the Tree of Concepts—and became conscious. No animal can do any evil because it has no concept of evil. No animal can lie because it has no concept of lying.

- Your Highlight on page 224 | location 4073-4074 | Added on Wednesday, 18 January 2023 00:05:36

God the Devil—the False God, the Demiurge—is as necessary to the operations of the universe as God. Only through God the Devil can God come to consciousness. And the same is true for all of

- Your Highlight on page 233 | location 4243-4245 | Added on Thursday, 19 January 2023 17:58:52

We are all participants in a great war of the different mental spaces. Humanity as a whole has almost no capacity to identify the correct space. It takes the sense-space, emotion-space and intuition-space to be real because these are experienced as "concrete".

- Your Highlight on page 234 | location 4255-4257 | Added on Thursday, 19 January 2023 18:02:30

How many humans have ever grasped that consciousness itself was both their greatest gift and most powerful enemy? We are at our war with our own consciousness, which for most people is a permanent false consciousness, by which they believe nothing but absurd and mad things.

- Your Highlight on page 245 | location 4456-4456 | Added on Friday, 20 January 2023 16:38:44

The Watchers are masters of lucid dreaming. The Watchers are masters of out-of-body traveling. All hail the Watchers.

The Sheldrake Shift: A Critical Evaluation of Morphic Resonance (The Truth Series Book 13) (Stark, Dr. Thomas)

- Your Highlight on page 1 | location 89-91 | Added on Monday, 23 January 2023 10:50:00

Everything that counts in the world goes on in secret chambers, private rooms, clandestine meeting places, far from the madding crowd, far from the reach of "democracy", the sham used by the elite to dupe the gullible masses. The doors are always shut against the people. The in-group are on the inside, the out-group firmly locked out.

- Your Highlight on page 3 | location 130-131 | Added on Monday, 23 January 2023 14:01:40

What you consider incontestable—if it is not adamantine reason—is invariably a confession on your part. You are confessing what personality type you have.

- Your Highlight on page 18 | location 411-413 | Added on Tuesday, 24 January 2023 16:17:26

Science despises Plato, Pythagoras, Aristotle, Plotinus, Descartes, Spinoza, Leibniz, Berkeley, Fichte, Schelling, Hegel, Schopenhauer and Hartmann. All of these geniuses demolish the scientific materialist worldview. No scientist is ever taught any philosophy. Average scientists know nothing about their opponents. They are wholly philosophically illiterate.

- Your Highlight on page 21 | location 473-474 | Added on Tuesday, 24 January 2023 23:10:58

The true source of Driesch's entelechy is the monadic mind, defined by Euler's Formula (generalized to accommodate all possible frequencies). The mind interacts with the body via ontological Fourier mathematics, the basis of which is, naturally, Euler's Formula.

- Your Highlight on page 22 | location 478-480 | Added on Tuesday, 24 January 2023 23:13:21

The collection of all monads controls the whole universe, meaning that the universe is a body too. Stars are its energy cells and power plants. Planets, with life on them, are its active brain cells. Lifeless planets are dead brain cells, or passive brain cells. The Cosmos is therefore just a giant brain, controlled by a Cosmic Mind.

- Your Highlight on page 25 | location 557-560 | Added on Tuesday, 24 January 2023 23:23:45

Sheldrake fundamentally rejects eternal, archetypal and changeless Forms, saying these are contrary to evolution. In fact, evolution—a temporal and contingent process—can rationally occur only within an eternal and necessary system. Otherwise, it has to irrationally invoke variations on infinite regress or random, spontaneous creation.

- Your Highlight on page 32 | location 693-696 | Added on Wednesday, 25 January 2023 23:52:47

Physics is asserted on the basis of laws that predict black holes, where the laws of physics are said to fail. How can a coherent set of laws point to the existence of entities or locations where the laws are invalid? That is fundamentally an incomplete and inconsistent system. How can laws "inside the box" point to things "outside the box"? That's a variant of Gödel's incompleteness theorems.

- Your Highlight on page 40 | location 827-830 | Added on Thursday, 26 January 2023 16:04:32

Genes and proteins are mathematical constructs imbued with mathematical form. All form is mathematical. It's impossible to have non-mathematical form, as Sheldrake claims. His stance is anti-Platonist and anti-mathematical. He believes in evolving, immanent, non-mathematical, non-energetic forms. There are no such things.

- Your Highlight on page 42 | location 880-884 | Added on Thursday, 26 January 2023 16:16:11

If science proceeds by coherence (creating a single unified system) it is driven by reason and logic, essential prerequisites of coherence, and leads to ontological mathematics. But science in fact proceeds by correspondence between formulae and observed patterns. It is driven by the human senses, not reason and logic. That's why science produces theories that agree with experiment, but not with each other. That's why general relativity and quantum mechanics disagree. Science produces incommensurate theories, hence is incoherent. It is not worried about this. It dismisses such considerations as "merely philosophical. ... Shut up and calculate!"

- Your Highlight on page 45 | location 941-943 | Added on Thursday, 26 January 2023 16:25:50

Only Western thinkers—a small, exclusive group—have pursued hardcore rationalist philosophy. They are right. Everyone else is wrong. The truth is a zero-sum game. There is only one right answer. There are infinite wrong answers, which humanity has delighted in endlessly exploring, while resolutely ignoring the right answer, the Truth.

- Your Highlight on page 46 | location 960-966 | Added on Friday, 27 January 2023 00:00:08

Science fails to be coherent because it relies on observation, which necessarily produces a correspondence worldview. Wikipedia says, "In epistemology, the correspondence theory of truth states that the truth or falsity of a statement is determined only by how it relates to the world and whether it accurately describes (i.e., corresponds with) that world. "Correspondence theories claim that true beliefs and true statements correspond to the actual state of affairs. This type of theory attempts to

posit a relationship between thoughts or statements on one hand, and things or facts on the other."

- Your Highlight on page 47 | location 968-973 | Added on Friday, 27 January 2023 00:00:19

The Correspondence Theory of truth asserts that truth is what propositions have by corresponding to the apparent way the world is. A proposition is true provided there exists a fact corresponding to it. A scientific theory is deemed to provide true explanations because its predictions are verified through empirical testing. Science primarily follows the Correspondence Theory of truth, a "realist" view. It also reflects the Pragmatist Theory of truth, an instrumentalist view. The Pragmatic Theory of truth holds that a proposition is true if it is useful to believe. Science, regardless of its truth value, has great use value, so it is useful to regard it as true.

- Your Highlight on page 47 | location 979-991 | Added on Friday, 27 January 2023 08:04:01

Ontological mathematics primarily follows the Coherence Theory of truth. Coherence Theories account for the truth of a proposition in terms of the relationship between that proposition and other propositions. Wikipedia says, "...truth is a property of whole systems of propositions and can be ascribed to individual propositions only derivatively according to their coherence with the whole. While modern coherence theorists hold that there are many possible systems to which the determination of truth may be based upon coherence, others, particularly those with strong religious beliefs hold that the truth only applies to a single absolute system. In general, truth requires a proper fit of elements within the whole system. Very often, though, coherence is taken to imply something more than simple formal coherence. For example, the coherence of the underlying set of concepts is considered to be a critical factor in judging validity. In other words, the set of base concepts in a universe of discourse must form an intelligible paradigm before many theorists consider that the coherence theory of truth is applicable. "Early versions of the coherence theory were associated with idealism. Walker attributes coherentism to Spinoza, Kant, Fichte and Hegel." Coherence provides a systemic worldview, as opposed to the piecemeal worldview of correspondence. The whole system has to work, to be a complete and consistent unity. If one part of it is correct, all of it is necessarily correct. Ontological mathematics is entirely coherent because it is a system of tautology deriving from a single master formula, Euler's Formula (the God Equation). Science is not coherent. That's why general relativity and quantum mechanics cannot be unified.

- Your Note on page 49 | location 1016 | Added on Friday, 27 January 2023 08:22:47

Surely, though, a comprehensive unified theory of everything must not only be coherent but it must also account for empirical observations and have

predictive power? If it doesn't, it has no pragmatic utility value. Wouldn't one expect a 'true' system to explain empirical observations? If it is possible for multiple complete coherent systems to exist (which it may not be), surely the one with the most predictive power is the most useful?

- Your Highlight on page 49 | location 1010-1016 | Added on Friday, 27 January 2023 08:22:47

It's a category error to imagine you can empirically test uncreated, uncaused, eternal, necessary, a priori things. Metaphysics and mathematics are "tested" with regard to their coherence not their correspondence or pragmatism. Their propositions are proved, not verified. The Truth is, above all, coherent. It's a whole, a unity, a harmony. The truth is not a set of piecemeal correspondences. The truth is not about parts, it's about the whole. The truth is top down, not bottom up. The truth is holistic, holographic. The truth must be whole in the whole, and whole in every part. No two parts can ever contradict each other, as general relativity and quantum mechanics contradict each other, thus disproving them. They are only simulations of truth. They are not truth.

- Your Highlight on page 55 | location 1122-1128 | Added on Friday, 27 January 2023 15:19:09

"Noether's 'first' and 'second' theorems were published in 1918. The first theorem relates symmetries under global spacetime transformations to the conservation of energy and momentum, and symmetry under global gauge transformations to charge conservation. In continuum mechanics and field theories, these conservation laws are expressed as equations of continuity. The second theorem, an extension of the first, allows transformations with local gauge invariance, and the equations of continuity acquire the covariant derivative characteristic of coupled matter-field systems. General relativity, it turns out, exhibits local gauge invariance. Noether's theorem also laid the foundation for later generations to apply local gauge invariance to theories of elementary particle interactions."

- Your Highlight on page 64 | location 1299-1301 | Added on Friday, 27 January 2023 23:35:10

In the mathematical idealist system of reality, evolution is a set of teleological processes of a temporal and contingent nature, which take place within an eternal and necessary framework, with the teleological goal of arriving at a state of zero entropy (perfect order).

- Your Note on page 65 | location 1307 | Added on Friday, 27 January 2023 23:41:17

Elsewhere, the PI criticise Schopenhauer for saying that as his 'blind, striving Will' is not informed by Reason, it cannot be capable of teleology.

- Your Highlight on page 65 | location 1307-1307 | Added on Friday, 27 January 2023 23:41:17

In Schopenhauer's idealism, Plato's Forms provide the means to organize the Will, the ground of existence.

- Your Highlight on page 68 | location 1361-1363 | Added on Friday, 27 January 2023 23:48:37

The world itself can be regarded as a collective projection of the collective unconscious. All minds create a collective dreamworld, to which they then all link via avatars (bodies). The world is what minds created so that they could communicate with each other in an objective dreamscape. Why dream individually when we can all dream together?

- Your Highlight on page 71 | location 1429-1431 | Added on Monday, 30 January 2023 00:01:15

Plato was the advocate of the transcendent, while Aristotle emphasized the immanent. Ontological Fourier mathematics shows exactly how both are related. It has the transcendent built into it via frequency, and the immanent via spacetime.

- Your Highlight on page 75 | location 1515-1516 | Added on Monday, 30 January 2023 00:17:52

In ontological mathematics, sinusoidal waves can produce different symmetry groups, and it's these different symmetry groups that produce different fields and their associated particles.

- Your Highlight on page 76 | location 1543-1544 | Added on Monday, 30 January 2023 00:22:51

Monads—made of photons (sinusoids)—are mental superfluids with zero friction. They can act as single Superphotons, i.e. as groups of photons that behave as one.

- Your Highlight on page 82 | location 1641-1642 | Added on Tuesday, 31 January 2023 00:04:01

DNA is integral to ontological mathematics, to mapping the mental world of frequency to the material world of spacetime, to mapping mind outside spacetime to body inside spacetime.

- Your Highlight on page 87 | location 1714-1725 | Added on Tuesday, 31 January 2023 23:48:42

David Hume addressed causation in terms of matters of fact (perceptualism; correspondence) rather than relations of ideas (conceptualism; coherence). This is the essence of the error of empiricism. Hume's fork contains the central dilemma of both philosophy and science. Wikipedia says, "Hume's fork is an explanation, developed by later philosophers, of David Hume's 1730s division of 'relations of ideas' from 'matters of fact and real

existence'. A distinction is made between necessary versus contingent (concerning reality), a priori versus a posteriori (concerning knowledge), and analytic versus synthetic (concerning language). Relations of abstract ideas align on one side (necessary, a priori, analytic), whereas concrete truths align on the other (contingent, a posteriori, synthetic)." All problems in thinking stem from this division. To create a unified monism, one prong of the fork must be shown to be derivable from the other, thus proving that there are not two separate orders of existence: 1) Being; rationalism, and 2) Becoming; empiricism. The latter must occur within the former.

- Your Highlight on page 88 | location 1742-1745 | Added on Tuesday, 31 January 2023 23:52:36

[Dr. TS: The analytic is associated with coherence, the synthetic with correspondence.] In other words, analytic propositions are true by virtue of their meaning, while synthetic propositions are true by how their meaning relates to the world. [Dr. TS: analytic propositions define the world, hence all meaning in the world.]

- Your Highlight on page 91 | location 1796-1796 | Added on Wednesday, 1 February 2023 23:32:41

All matters of fact are grounded in relations of ideas.

- Your Highlight on page 91 | location 1796-1798 | Added on Wednesday, 1 February 2023 23:33:40

All matters of fact are grounded in relations of ideas. Their connections do not of course belong to the empirical, perceptual, sensible order of observable correspondence, but to the rational, conceptual, intelligible order of logical coherence. It is therefore possible to prove something about the world with certainty. That's the whole point of ontological mathematics

- Your Highlight on page 96 | location 1889-1891 | Added on Wednesday, 1 February 2023 23:49:50

Hume showed how destructive of knowledge the empirical worldview is. Kant made a feeble philosophical attempt to counter Hume. It was left to Fichte, Schelling and, above all, Hegel, to philosophically refute Hume. Yet their idealist philosophy has been practically wiped out by scientific materialism, by the lovers of the senses, and haters of reason and logic.

- Your Highlight on page 103 | location 2020-2022 | Added on Thursday, 2 February 2023 23:44:15

The concepts of space and time furnish a conceptual framework where concepts can be reified as spacetime objects and thus perceived, yet they remain concepts all the while. The concept of tree is reified through all the

actual trees in the world. You are not seeing "trees", you are seeing instantiations of the concept of tree.

- Your Highlight on page 104 | location 2044-2058 | Added on Saturday, 4 February 2023 00:11:49

Russell wrote, "But a reason for what exists must itself exist..." Science sees no reason to accept that this is true. It doesn't require reasons for existence; it deals only with the fact of existence. You cannot apply "matters of fact" to why anything exists. You can, however, accept existence as a matter of fact, and this is what scientists do. The reason for existence can be ascertained only using relations of ideas, which means that the reason for existence is not scientific. Science deals purely with matters of fact. For there to be matters of fact, there must be existence. Matters of fact presuppose existence and therefore can never explain existence. Therefore, science is incapable of explaining existence. Why has no scientist realized this? Science tries to avoid explaining existence by claiming that existence jumps out of non-existence, or that there is an inexplicable infinite regress of universes, or there is a Multiverse of all possible types of existence. None of these constitute any kind of reason. Scientists don't care. A subject that is all about matters of fact is incapable of explaining how the world of matters of fact is possible since how to explain the source of matters of fact is not itself a matter of fact. There is no matter of fact that explains matters of fact. (This is why Hume's philosophy terminated with total skepticism and nihilism, the inevitable destination of all empiricist "thinking".) To explain matters of fact, relations of ideas must be used, but these are precisely what are ontologically forbidden by science. These are dismissed as unreal and abstract.

- Your Highlight on page 108 | location 2108-2109 | Added on Sunday, 5 February 2023 00:36:51

Light is the fundamental substance and it has no extension. All matter comes from light (via phase relations, as per Fourier mathematics), from the Light Singularity at the Big Bang.

- Your Highlight on page 115 | location 2256-2258 | Added on Wednesday, 8 February 2023 00:00:51

Analytic statements go with coherence. Synthetic statements go with correspondence. Analytic statements are internally consistent. Synthetic statements are not. They require externality, something "out there", and then some dubious, arbitrary link, some supposed, interpreted correspondence.

- Your Highlight on page 123 | location 2403-2405 | Added on Wednesday, 8 February 2023 23:37:53

What the Higgs field is really all about is phase manipulation of sinusoidal waves in Fourier mathematics by creating sine-cosine hybrids, thus producing space, time and matter (which has mass and volume and exists in spacetime ... it has mass and volume because it exists in spacetime).

- Your Highlight on page 129 | location 2518-2521 | Added on Saturday, 11 February 2023 00:00:22

Thanks to its extra dimension, the PSR is enormously more powerful than the simple-minded imagine. It directs everything, including how we respond to our feelings. Feeling is not in fact something different from reason. It is a species of reason. The same is true of perception, intuition, desire, will, and so on. The PSR accommodates and unifies all of our mental activity. It doesn't have some narrow application to mathematical syntax alone. It isn't dry rationalism.

- Your Highlight on page 133 | location 2574-2578 | Added on Saturday, 11 February 2023 00:06:42

The world is a hologram contained within the Singularity. It does not extend beyond it. It is an extended projection within a mind, just as a dream is an extended projection within a dreamer's mind, and has no consequences anywhere else. Only the smartest people of all can grasp that the dimensional world sits inside a dimensionless mind. This is accomplished strictly mathematically. Only a mathematical universe can achieve such wonders. A scientific universe cannot. The greatest "magic" of all is mathematics. It is rational, logical magic, the sole true magic.

- Your Highlight on page 135 | location 2611-2615 | Added on Sunday, 12 February 2023 00:15:10

Scientists such as Einstein believed in the existence of "hidden variables" to explain the oddities of quantum mechanics. No one has ever refuted the existence of hidden variables. Bell's theorem states, "No physical theory of local hidden variables can ever reproduce all of the predictions of quantum mechanics." The theorem does not exclude non-local hidden variables, such as those that feature in the De Broglie–Bohm pilot-wave theory, or ontological mathematics.

- Your Highlight on page 137 | location 2650-2653 | Added on Sunday, 12 February 2023 00:20:57

The Singularity—eternal and necessary—is what conditions and causes the temporal, contingent spacetime where evolution takes place. The Singularity is the source of involution (whereby a higher state produces a lower state), while the spacetime world is where evolution takes place (whereby a lower state produces a higher state).

- Your Highlight on page 144 | location 2787-2790 | Added on Sunday, 12 February 2023 23:42:40

Look at the way Sheldrake argues. His general style is to attack the many absurdities of scientific materialism, and then, having created ample room for doubt, to fill the gap with his speculative, New Age mysticism dressed in its pseudo-scientific garb, derived from quantum mechanical obscurantism. The trouble is that his "solution" is even worse than science's, even more hostile towards mathematical rationalism and logic.

- Your Highlight on page 145 | location 2806-2815 | Added on Sunday, 12 February 2023 23:44:41

If you remove the PSR from ontological mathematics, nothing is left, but no rational alternatives can be conceived. There aren't any. Without the PSR, reason is gone and mathematics is gone. Logic is dead. Without the PSR, existence is predicated to be totally, absolutely irrational. Yet one look at the world shows that we live in a Cosmos (an Order), not a Chaos (a Disorder). The very fact that we are here—the fact of our existence, and the fact of our ability to reflect on our existence and make sense of it—proves definitively that we live in a universe of reason, of ontological mathematics, in which the PSR is the defining, absolute, infallible principle. It is formally impossible for ontological mathematics not to be true. Its defining assumption—that existence is rational and not irrational—is also its defining proof since reason alone can furnish the eternal stability essential to existence and the law of conservation of energy it enshrines. Only if this assumption is true can existence be true. Existence is true and therefore the PSR is true, and the PSR is ontologically expressed through mathematics and nothing but mathematics. Existence is 100% mathematical.

- Your Highlight on page 152 | location 2940-2942 | Added on Tuesday, 14 February 2023 00:02:41

Space and time, being a product of light, travel through light. Light does not travel through space and time. Humans, being spacetime creatures, imagine that they are stationary and light is moving. In fact, light is stationary and people (and the universe) are moving through it in a complex mathematical way dictated by Euler's Formula, whose power and meaning have barely yet been scratched by humanity.

- Your Highlight on page 171 | location 3296-3299 | Added on Thursday, 16 February 2023 23:57:08

Only weird empiricists could feel alienated from Pythagoreanism. Reason and logic are not their things. They are people of the limited and fallible human senses. They believe that looking at the world, rather than rationally and logically thinking about it, reveals its secrets. The fatal problem with

that view is that what is observed does not explain itself. Since it is not self-explanatory, how is the observable world supposed to be explained? Through what means? Through unreason and illogic?

- Your Highlight on page 172 | location 3321-3323 | Added on Friday, 17 February 2023 00:05:15

The real Forms are exclusively those of ontological mathematics, Nature's own language and substance. You cannot understand reality unless you grasp that mathematical language is a substance, that ontology and epistemology are unified in this language-substance, this concrete conceptual, intelligible, rational and logical reality of ontological mathematics.

- Your Highlight on page 175 | location 3381-3388 | Added on Friday, 17 February 2023 00:16:01

As soon as you understand that the PSR is the basis of all mental activity and thus of all life, you understand exactly what God is—mathematics—and you understand how utterly false materialism is, and its partner in crime, empiricism. No amount of observation could ever demonstrate that life is reason, that mind is reason, that mathematics is the basis of mind and life, that sinusoids are the basis components of life and mind, and that eternal sinusoids are organized into eternal, living monadic minds. The whole of existence comprises sinusoidal monadic minds. Matter is their construct, which they create from themselves. Idealism is true. Materialism is false. Rationalism is true. Empiricism is false.

- Your Highlight on page 178 | location 3437-3441 | Added on Friday, 17 February 2023 00:25:10

Euler's Formula, the God equation, doesn't correspond to life. It is life. It is expressed through living, thinking monads. The equation is not one thing and the monads another. They are one and the same. The Form of Life = the Formula for Life = Euler's Formula, but Euler's Formula exists purely via the eternal monads in which it is encoded. The Formula for Life is therefore alive since it is made concrete only through its living agents.

- Your Highlight on page 185 | location 3562-3567 | Added on Saturday, 18 February 2023 00:28:00

The only thing that can exist eternally and necessarily, with infinite stability and capacity to sustain any transformation, and total simplicity and productivity, is structured nothing (singularity existence), i.e. ordered something whose net result is always nothing. Euler's Formula is The Formula—the formula of structured nothing that defines sinusoids and monads (analytic singularities), the basic units of existence. Structured

nothing is the ultimate foundation, the final base. There is nothing beyond it, no infinite regress. There are no nested layers of superformulas. Euler's Formula is the master equation.

- Your Highlight on page 186 | location 3574-3575 | Added on Saturday, 18 February 2023 00:30:11

If you want a crash course in rationalism, read Plato, Descartes, Spinoza, Leibniz, Hegel and Gödel. Leibniz is the greatest rationalist.

- Your Highlight on page 191 | location 3682-3689 | Added on Sunday, 19 February 2023 00:27:49

Imagine that when you dreamt, you had no idea you were the dreamer and the dream was your construct. Imagine you believed the dream to be real and that you were not the author of it. Imagine all of the fallacies you would produce in those circumstances, based on your ontological ignorance that you were part of a dreamer-dream system. Instead of being the dreamer (subject) that generates dreams (objects), you yourself would become an object, a thing, in a dream. The dream might well seem more real than you yourself do. That's how materialism works. Scientists are those that take the dream to be real and the dreamer to be an artifact of the dream. In fact, the dreamer is real, and the dream is an artifact of the dreamer. The latter stance concerns idealism, the former materialism. If reality is idealist (as it is) then once you have gone down the materialist route, as science has, you have falsified everything. You have got everything wrong.

- Your Highlight on page 194 | location 3741-3752 | Added on Sunday, 19 February 2023 23:35:55

Sheldrake provides a useful schema outlining various worldviews according to the criteria of their understanding of God and Nature. The Christian worldview, according to Sheldrake, involves Nature as a living organism created by a living God, who interacts with his Creation. Sheldrake says that the early phase of the mechanistic worldview, such as advocated by Newton, depicted Nature as machinelike and God as interactive (interventionist). This worldview evolved into Enlightenment deism whereby God created the world but did not interact with it. The world was pure machine. In Romantic deism, God created the world but made it as a creative, living, evolving organism. In Romantic atheism, there was no God and Nature was a living organism. In the first phase of modern materialism, there was no God and Nature was a machine. Now, in the second phase, materialism sees Nature as a Godless cosmic casino. Einstein said that God does not play dice. Modern science says that Nature does nothing but play dice. It is a cosmic system of atheistic probabilities. Sheldrake refrained from mentioning pantheism (God is immanent Nature), and panentheism

(God is immanent Nature and also transcends Nature; God is greater than the sum of the parts). In pantheism God/Nature is a living organism. In panentheism, God is a Cosmic Mind that creates its own Cosmic Body (the Cosmos). Nature is alive to the extent that it is the creation of the living God, but God is not identical with Nature.

- Your Highlight on page 195 | location 3761-3764 | Added on Sunday, 19 February 2023 23:39:14

Holon, a term coined by Arthur Koestler, designates wholes made up of parts that are themselves wholes. The ontological mathematical universe is holonic. The whole cosmos can ultimately be reduced to whole monads—autonomous eternal minds that make up God, the Collective Mind (the collection of all monads).

- Your Highlight on page 201 | location 3871-3876 | Added on Monday, 20 February 2023 23:23:38

[Dr. TS: The Heisenberg uncertainty principle is no such thing. It is in fact a certainty principle. It is simply an alternative way of defining a monad. A monad has a precise location in a singularity, but a singularity is outside spacetime. What is the momentum (energy) of a monad? If a monad has infinite frequencies then, viewed from outside, there is infinite uncertainty as to what its energy is. So, Heisenberg says that if you specify the position of a point-particle exactly then you have no idea what its momentum/energy is. It is infinitely uncertain. But what Heisenberg has effectively done, without knowing it, is define a monad: an entity that exists at a point, and which has infinite energy, and can express any particular frequency energy available to it.]

- Your Highlight on page 205 | location 3939-3961 | Added on Monday, 20 February 2023 23:42:24

Gödel provided an outline of his philosophical religion in fourteen points: 1. The world is rational. 2. Human reason can, in principle, be developed more highly. 3. There are systematic methods for the solution of all problems. 4. There are other worlds and rational beings of a different and higher kind. 5. The world in which we live is not the only one in which we shall live or have lived. 6. There is incomparably more knowable a priori than is currently known. 7. The development of human thought since the Renaissance is thoroughly intelligible. 8. Reason in mankind will be developed in every direction. 9. Formal rights comprise a real science. 10. Materialism is false. 11. The higher beings are connected to the others by analogy, not by composition. 12. Concepts have an objective existence. 13. There is a scientific (exact) philosophy and theology, which deals with

concepts of the highest abstractness; and this is also most highly fruitful for science. 14.Religions are, for the most part, bad—but religion is not.

- Your Highlight on page 211 | location 4068-4072 | Added on Tuesday, 21 February 2023 23:04:38

"God" is the total collection of all possible autonomous, individual Fourier frequency domains. These are called monads. In philosophical terms, they are eternal minds. In religious terms, they are immortal souls. The great news is that each of us is one if these. We are all nodes, units or cells of God. We were all involved in creating and sustaining the world, and we are all directing its fate. We are all doing so unconsciously. Our task is to bring this unconscious knowledge into consciousness. And then we shall all be God consciously, individually and collectively.

- Your Highlight on page 215 | location 4138-4142 | Added on Wednesday, 22 February 2023 09:19:50

The last thing the world needs is any more Christianity, the most dismal religion ever, which has defaced the world and turned it against reason. Sheldrake himself has been entirely corrupted by it. It has rotted his reason. The world doesn't need more faith, it needs more reason and no faith at all. Can you imagine how dazzling the human race would be if it had followed Pythagoras, Plato and Plotinus rather than Moses, Jesus and Mohammed?

- Your Highlight on page 215 | location 4145-4150 | Added on Wednesday, 22 February 2023 09:24:18

Neoplatonism was not just a new take on Platonism. It created a grand synthesis of Pythagoreanism, Platonism and Aristotelianism. Gnosticism and Hermeticism had a similar profile, but emphasized different aspects of the older traditions. Neoplatonism, founded by Plotinus, was the most philosophical. Gnosticism was the most religious and drew the strongest contrast between the world as it is and as it should be, and who is actually in charge of it. The mystery tradition of Hermeticism, based on writings attributed to Hermes Trismegistus ("thrice-greatest Hermes") was the most mystical and esoteric. All three systems mixed philosophical, religious, mystical and esoteric elements.

- Your Highlight on page 217 | location 4169-4180 | Added on Wednesday, 22 February 2023 09:30:48

Following a mystical epiphany or a mental breakdown, cult science-fiction writer Philip K. Dick explored Christian, Neoplatonist, Hermetic and Gnostic themes. As an experiment, we wrote two books in this same vein. They were designed for a "Christian" audience to introduce them gently to Illuminist ideas. The two books, by James Axel, are: The Christian Detective:

A Project Proving the Christian Truth and The Third Testament: The True Christian Revelation. The first book tries to steer Christians towards the brilliant work of Julian Jaynes regarding bicameralism, and thus gradually wean them away from toxic Christianity. The second book explores the Neoplatonism, Gnosticism and Hermeticism of Philip K. Dick and his fascination with Dante and the apocalyptic abbot Joachim of Fiore. Again, the idea is to draw Christians away from their mainstream beliefs and expose them to radical, esoteric versions of Christianity, which will eventually lead them away from Christianity entirely. Years ago, on the old AC site, we said we intended to produce a new version of Christianity, with which we could convert Christians to a new worldview. These two books constitute our first concentrated effort in that direction. Eventually, a third book will appear, with our fully expressed New Christianity ... The Church of Christ Without Christ.

- Your Highlight on page 218 | location 4188-4201 | Added on Wednesday, 22 February 2023 09:35:09

People wonder why we bother to write for Christians and try to seduce them to our cause given that we hate them so much. Of course, it has always been an ambition of the Illuminati to take over the Catholic Church and make it a vehicle for what it always ought to have been: a celebration of ancient Greek philosophy, of the ideas of Anaximander, Pythagoras, Empedocles, Anaxagoras, Heraclitus, Plato, Aristotle and Plotinus, i.e. pagan intellectualism, freed of Judaism and Jesus Christ (the Jewish rabbi rejected by the Jews). The Knights Templar and the Jesuits were the two clearest attempts. Both orders were suppressed: the Templars once and for all, and the Jesuits for several decades. Our ambition is to purge Christianity of every trace of Judaism and the Hebrew rabbi Yehoshua ben Yosef, leaving just glorious pagan philosophy. The New "Christianity" will be centered on Apollo (Reason) and Dionysus (Intoxication), presided over by Janus or Abraxas. The figure of Christ will be replaced by Mithras (the Mystery god), Lucifer (the bearer of the light of reason), Sophia (the goddess of wisdom), or Simon Magus (human becoming God; the power of the true "magic" mathematics). To convert Christians, you first of all have to take their ideas seriously, or at least appear to do so. Only then can you move them to more intelligent territory, lure them away from the dark side towards the light. However, it's very difficult for an anti-Christian to write as a Christian with any degree of authenticity. See for yourself. Is our insincerity transparent, or have we managed to spout enough nonsense about "Our Lord and Savior Jesus Christ" to seem vaguely convincing?

- Your Highlight on page 219 | location 4215-4216 | Added on Wednesday, 22 February 2023 09:41:23

The reification, the concreteness, the ontology of concepts (yielding ontological mathematics), is the hardest of all ideas for people to grasp. Only the finest minds can embrace it.

- Your Highlight on page 220 | location 4226-4229 | Added on Wednesday, 22 February 2023 09:44:14

In Aristotelian philosophy, the efficient cause pushes and the final cause pulls. The final cause is an attractor. The efficient cause applies in the present and recedes into the past. The final cause pulls in the present, and points us towards the future.

- Your Highlight on page 220 | location 4235-4237 | Added on Wednesday, 22 February 2023 09:45:48

Science has no final cause. According to the Second Law of Thermodynamics, the universe is about nothing other than efficient causes, pushing us towards the state of maximum entropy, total heat death, the inability of the universe to do anything at all.

- Your Note on page 226 | location 4338 | Added on Wednesday, 22 February 2023 10:07:12

And equally true of the geopolitical truths dismissed by the MSM as 'conspiracy theory'!

- Your Highlight on page 226 | location 4338-4338 | Added on Wednesday, 22 February 2023 10:07:13

Russell's Law states: "The resistance to new ideas increases by the square of their importance."

- Your Highlight on page 230 | location 4432-4438 | Added on Wednesday, 22 February 2023 10:39:51

The intellectual history of humanity has revolved around the problem of bringing the intelligible (rational) and sensible (empirical) into a single coherent system. In modern philosophy, Descartes, Spinoza and Leibniz all emphasized rationalism while Locke, Hume and Berkeley all took aggressive empiricist positions. Kant styled himself as the mediator who merged these two different schools into a single system of rationalism and empiricism. In fact, his philosophy was just a more sophisticated but less consistent version of David Hume's empiricism, using "transcendental" means to impose intelligible categories on the world. Fichte, Schelling and Hegel all rejected Kant and created an outright idealist position. Their worldview was destroyed by scientific materialism, but has now been resurrected by mathematical idealism (ontological mathematics, predicated on eternal monadic minds made of mathematical sinusoids).

- Your Highlight on page 233 | location 4476-4490 | Added on Wednesday, 22 February 2023 10:57:07

Aristotle's system of hylomorphism (formed matter) is extremely close to the doctrine of hylozoism (living matter). All we have to do is equate form and life, and hylomorphism and hylozoism are the same. Equally, if we associate form with mind then the presence of form in all hylomorphic objects means that all such objects have mind. Mind is everywhere. This is the doctrine of panpsychism. In such terms, Aristotle's system depicts the Cosmos as a living, growing, aspiring, purposeful organism. The universe is characterized by purposes—by a teleology—which matter seeks to realize. There is a constant striving in the universe, an unquenchable seeking to become. This is not a world of mere chance. It is imbued with purpose and meaning. Bertrand Russell wrote, "I said that Aristotle was not by temperament deeply religious, but this is only partly true. One could, perhaps, interpret one aspect of his religion, somewhat freely, as follows: God exists eternally, as pure thought, happiness, complete self-fulfillment, without any unrealized purposes. The sensible world, on the contrary, is imperfect, but it has life, desire, thought of an imperfect kind, and aspiration. All living things are in a greater or less degree aware of God, and are moved to action by admiration and love of God. Thus God is the final cause of all activity. Change consists in giving form to matter, but, where sensible things are concerned, a substratum of matter always remains. Only God consists of form without matter. The world is continually evolving towards a greater degree of form, and thus becoming progressively more like God. But the process cannot be completed, because matter cannot be wholly eliminated. This is a religion of progress and evolution, for God's static perfection moves the world only through the love that finite beings feel for Him. Plato was mathematical, Aristotle was biological; this accounts for the differences in their religions."

- Your Highlight on page 240 | location 4598-4606 | Added on Wednesday, 22 February 2023 21:42:23

All worthwhile knowledge has come from philosophy, mathematics and science (once it became mathematical)—exactly what you never find in any religious or spiritual "holy" scripture. It's scandalous when the likes of Sheldrake take the side of ancient superstition and ignorance, and try to dress it up as some higher ancient wisdom and more advanced state of consciousness. It's the exact opposite. Religion and spirituality have mired humanity in thousands of years of darkness and stupidity. They have been a catastrophic break on humanity's progress. Imagine a world that instead of following Moses, Zoroaster, the Buddha, Jesus, St, Paul, Mohammed, and so on, had instead followed Thales, Anaximander, Anaximander, Pythagoras, Empedocles, Anaxagoras, Heraclitus, Plato, Aristotle and

Plotinus. Humanity would be entirely different, enormously more intelligent, and much closer to the Source. Religion and spirituality have led us away from the Truth, not closer to it. They are the servants of the Lie, of human delusion and wishful thinking, such as Sheldrake himself all too often engages in.

- Your Highlight on page 243 | location 4658-4665 | Added on Wednesday, 22 February 2023 21:50:35

The biggest possible change to religion and spirituality would be to realize that "God", in relation to our world of becoming, should be regarded as absolutely unconscious. Consciousness then becomes exactly what God is seeking to acquire in order to know himself. Consciousness is his goal, his teleological mission. This leads to a radically different worldview and understanding of religion and spirituality. The task is not to unify with a pre-existing consciousness—a "God". It is to become God, to create God consciousness from the universal unconscious. There is no conscious superbeing (a Master, A Creator, an Other) standing separate from us. God is coming to consciousness through us. Each of us is an indispensable cell of the becoming God.

- Your Highlight on page 245 | location 4705-4706 | Added on Thursday, 23 February 2023 21:52:10

Matter is not a substance different from mind: matter is made of thoughts, but these are syntactic mathematical thoughts which give it a machine-like quality.

- Your Highlight on page 279 | location 5362-5364 | Added on Sunday, 26 February 2023 12:55:39

Rationalists always direct their attention at form. Empiricists (irrationalists) always direct their attention at matter. Empiricists rely on their embodied sensory organs, rationalists on their disembodied reason and logic. Empiricists are unintelligent.

- Your Highlight on page 283 | location 5446-5447 | Added on Sunday, 26 February 2023 13:22:33

"In logic and mathematics, an intensional definition gives the meaning of a term by specifying necessary and sufficient conditions for when the term should be used.

- Your Highlight on page 283 | location 5449-5452 | Added on Sunday, 26 February 2023 13:22:54

[Dr. TS: In general, the concept and power of definition is wholly neglected in academic circles. In ontological mathematics, it is the core of the entire

system and the root of all possible knowledge. Without definitions, you have nothing, no knowledge at all.]

- Your Highlight on page 284 | location 5458-5460 | Added on Sunday, 26 February 2023 13:24:42

Rationalists have an intensional worldview, and empiricists an extensional worldview. Rationalists are universalists—seeking universal forms—while empiricists are particularists—seeking particular instantiations and specific objects. Rationalists always focus on intelligible form, empiricists on sensible matter.

- Your Note on page 284 | location 5470 | Added on Sunday, 26 February 2023 13:30:11

How on Earth does one prove the ontological equivalence of an abstract substitution? What kinds of substitutions are we talking about here, anyway?

- Your Highlight on page 284 | location 5467-5470 | Added on Sunday, 26 February 2023 13:30:13

In mathematics, as interpreted in university mathematics departments, substitutions are performed which are entirely valid in a system of total abstraction, but have no validity in an ontological system. An equivalent must be proved to be an equivalent—ontologically—rather than merely be assumed to be an equivalent (abstractly). Its equivalence must be explicit, not implicit, within the prevailing paradigm.

- Your Highlight on page 284 | location 5474-5476 | Added on Sunday, 26 February 2023 13:42:20

Philosophy is full of the pseudo-intensional. Science deals only with the pseudo-intensional when it seeks to make any ultimate truth claims. Science is a use system (dealing with the heuristic intensional) and not a truth system (dealing with ontological and epistemological intensionality).

- Your Highlight on page 291 | location 5598-5599 | Added on Sunday, 26 February 2023 14:30:45

All of the central ideas that mark religious and spiritual thinking can be translated into exact mathematical concepts and made compatible with science. Mathematics is true religion and spirituality, as Pythagoras understood.

- Your Highlight on page 292 | location 5612-5613 | Added on Sunday, 26 February 2023 14:32:39

Can't we build morphic resonant amplifiers around the world—Tesla Towers—and pump out ontological mathematics 24/7 until everyone "gets it"?

- Your Highlight on page 294 | location 5648-5649 | Added on Sunday, 26 February 2023 14:37:12

All memories are in fact sinusoidal wavefunctions, available to us because, in holography, the whole is in the parts, and that goes for the hologram in time as well as in space.

- Your Highlight on page 295 | location 5666-5667 | Added on Sunday, 26 February 2023 14:40:52

If you want to read an account of a man who genuinely believed he had made contact with a higher consciousness, read Valis by Philip K. Dick.

- Your Highlight on page 297 | location 5689-5693 | Added on Sunday, 26 February 2023 14:46:00

Are you ready to transform yourself? Are you ready to be one of the Special Ones, the Illuminated Ones? Are you ready to play the God Game? Only the strongest, the smartest, the boldest, can play. This is not a drill. This is your life. Stop being what you have been. Become what you were meant to be. See the Light. Join the Hyperboreans. Become a HyperHuman. Only the highest, only the noblest, only the most courageous are called. A new dawn is coming... the birth of Hyperreason. It's time to enter Hyperreality.

- Your Highlight on page 300 | location 5751-5760 | Added on Sunday, 26 February 2023 15:09:33

A distinction must be drawn between eternal, immutable Forms and temporal, mutable Forms. As recognized by Sheldrake, it's useful to think of the latter in terms of mathematical attractors. The archetypes posited by Jung can be thought of as entities that evolved over time and became stable once they fell into basins of attraction, after which they became capable of only minor variation centered on the relevant attractor. It would be interesting to view Hegel's dialectic in terms of attractors, with the triadic process of thesis, antithesis and synthesis converging on an Omega Attractor. In ontological mathematics, thanks to everything being framed by an eternal, necessary system, the ultimate synthesis terminates with a perfect, analytic attractor, the Omega Point, the Absolute, the state of zero entropy (perfect symmetry) = "God". The Last Judgement of the universe is the creation of God, who then sentences himself to suicide (the Big Bang).

- Your Note on page 302 | location 5792 | Added on Sunday, 26 February 2023 16:14:50

Interesting. Unless I missed it, this is the first time these books have equated the physics 'particle' with what they refer to elsewhere as a 'flowing point'. Mind you, elsewhere they say that flowing points have some minimal extension but here they say that they are discrete mathematical points.

- Your Highlight on page 302 | location 5782-5792 | Added on Sunday, 26 February 2023 16:14:51

Sinusoidal waves are constructed via a point traveling around a circle. The key consideration is that what physicists think of as "point-particles" are in fact "point-waves", i.e. the wave's point (spearhead, tip) is tracing out a wave trajectory. It is not a point-particle that sometime behaves in some wavelike way. It is a wave-point always behaving in a wavelike way, always following a wave trajectory, and the laws of waves (and not of anything else). The point-wave does not travel like a wave yet arrive as a particle. It travels as a point-wave and arrives as a point-wave, i.e. with the properties of the wave present, focused, at a point. This behavior is mistaken by physicists as particle behavior rather than wave behavior since it seems like the detector is being struck by a point-particle rather than something "spread out", which is how physicists always think of waves. In fact, an individual sinusoidal "wave" is always a wave-point moving in a wave trajectory. The full wave is the wave-point's full trajectory, but the wave itself is, at any instant, always concentrated at a point. The point is where the wave is "active". The implicit wave trajectory is where the wave is passive. It's what you would see if you watched the wave-point tracing out its full pattern. However, when the wave-point collides with anything, it collides as a point, not as a "smeary" thing.

- Your Highlight on page 340 | location 6542-6543 | Added on Sunday, 26 February 2023 20:47:06

To be crystal clear, free will is impossible unless one part of existence is uniquely and exclusively yours forever, which is exactly what monads delivers, but which no scientific atoms deliver. Mathematics alone gives you freedom.

- Your Highlight on page 343 | location 6597-6603 | Added on Sunday, 26 February 2023 21:00:52

Just as there is a conservation law of energy, exactly the same applies to life and thought. The total quantity of life is eternally conserved and the total quantity of thought is eternally conserved. For us, what counts is the quality of life and thought. Divine life and thought is the highest quality possible. Why is mathematics alive? It's because it is the ontological expression of the Principle of Sufficient Reason. The only thing that can reason is mind. The PSR is therefore the Principle of Mind. Since mind is alive, the

ontological expression of reason is inherently expressive of life. It is communicated through eternal living minds (monads). It would be impossible for a biologist to comprehend an argument such as this. Biologists are as fanatical materialists as neuroscientists.

- Your Highlight on page 352 | location 6730-6733 | Added on Monday, 27 February 2023 11:22:18

Neoplatonism involves the One (the thing-in-itself), the Nous (the first emanation of the One), the Psyche (the unitary Upper Psyche is the rational soul and looks up to the Nous, while the fragmentary Lower Psyche is the irrational soul, the sensitive and vegetative soul, and looks down to the world, to Nature, where it becomes caught up in material bodies), and Nature, the spacetime world of matter.

- Your Highlight on page 360 | location 6882-6885 | Added on Monday, 27 February 2023 11:49:30

Aren't panpsychists just dishonest materialists? They basically agree with materialism, but just want to give it a bit more "ooomph" by adding some vague mental element to matter. They never consider making matter an outright product of mind, as in ontological mathematics, and idealism in general. Why are they so opposed to mind as the primary reality?

- Your Highlight on page 363 | location 6940-6943 | Added on Monday, 27 February 2023 12:00:53

Gödel, with his incompleteness theorems, did not say a single thing about mathematics in itself. What he revealed were errors in the manmade conception of mathematics, flowing in particular from the fallacy that mathematics is built from independent axioms. Existence, in order to be coherent, to be complete and consistent, must be based on a single axiom, a single principle, a single master formula.

Tractatus Logico-Mathematicus: How Mathematics Explains Reality (The Truth Series Book 14) (Stark, Dr. Thomas)

- Your Highlight on page 1 | location 94-102 | Added on Monday, 27 February 2023 14:11:50

Here is the No.1 Law of Critical Thinking. Whatever your "Big Thought" is, the thought that you believe will change the world, the thought that gets you so excited about its incredible potential (how it will change everything), you can be sure its defining error is present immediately and foundationally. The error is hard-wired into the idea. All Big Thoughts are wrong, except one—the correct Big Thought, the exclusive answer to existence. The correct answer to existence has a unique property: it preceded the existence of the human race. Unlike lies, it cannot be invented (lies are always inventions). It can only be discovered (the truth always concerns discovery, never invention). It is hidden in plain sight—all around us. The difficulty is one of recognition. Human beings are not designed for recognizing the truth.

- Your Highlight on page 5 | location 171-186 | Added on Monday, 27 February 2023 14:37:19

Once a person has invested their identity in something, it's almost impossible to retrieve them since you are asking them to change their identity, which is more or less unthinkable to them. Only monumental disillusion with their belief system can bring people back from whatever identity hole they have jumped into. Yet even the biggest disappointments aren't guaranteed to end a person's faith. Look at the Jews. Their capital city of Jerusalem was conquered by the Babylonians, the Temple of their God destroyed, and they were all marched into slavery. To a normal person, that would signal the end of Judaism as a viable religion. After all, the facts on the ground had definitively refuted it: the Jewish God had been vanquished. Yet the Jews saw things differently. They concluded not that their God was false but that they were false ... they weren't good enough Jews. Had they been better Jews, so they reasoned, their God would not have abandoned them. Thus, incredibly, they became even more fervent Jews after their apocalyptic defeat by the Babylonians. Later, the Greeks kicked their asses, and then the Romans expelled them from their "Promised" Land. Every European nation persecuted them and, finally, in the Holocaust, six million of them were murdered by the Nazis. The question has to be asked—is there anything at all, excepting their total annihilation, that would convince the Jews they are wrong, that everything they believe is provably false? Their God, who once allegedly helped them all the time (Exodus, and all that), never seems to help them at all now, no matter how good they are at being Jewish. Their thesis that to be a better Jew is the way to gain divine help has been as comprehensively refuted as their God. They were saved in WWII only by the intervention of the

communist atheists of the Soviet Union, by the glorious Red Army, not by their God who supposedly prized them above all other peoples in the created universe and entered into a solemn and unbreakable Covenant with them.

- Your Highlight on page 7 | location 214-218 | Added on Monday, 27 February 2023 15:15:17

Observable data, in and of itself, tells you nothing about reality. You could apply any interpretation at all to it, no matter how lunatic. The interpretive paradigm is always much more important than what is observed. The interpretive framework is never perceived. It is always the product of conceiving, an entirely different activity from perceiving.

- Your Highlight on page 10 | location 271-273 | Added on Monday, 27 February 2023 15:22:48

Never forget, the exact location of your blind spot is exactly where your core belief is found. You never see its problems and errors.

- Your Highlight on page 10 | location 278-280 | Added on Monday, 27 February 2023 15:24:38

Descartes had the right idea. He used the Method of Doubt to demolish everything that could be doubted, until what was left was that which could not be doubted. Whether he personally succeeded—whether he could have doubted even further—doesn't detract from the extraordinary value of his method. We must discover what is certain, not what we like. Therefore, the nature of certainty becomes the key issue.

- Your Highlight on page 21 | location 481-484 | Added on Monday, 27 February 2023 16:24:16

As we have seen, Kant's philosophy went wrong straight away too. He located concepts (forms) in the wrong place, in minds that observe the world rather than in the world itself. He left the world in itself as formless, as pure chaos. It would make no sense for the world to possess form and yet for minds to project their own form onto the world rather than detect and discover the form inherent in the world. That would create a form dualism: world-form versus mind-form. How would they be compatible? How would they interact?

- Your Highlight on page 22 | location 494-497 | Added on Monday, 27 February 2023 16:25:08

Kant was partly right. Mind is indeed the source of form, of concepts, of structure, of order, of organization. Minds shape the world and are the world. Kant's disastrous error was to imagine that there was some world beyond mind, onto which mind projected structure. All of his idealist

successors—Fichte, Schelling, Hegel, Schopenhauer and Hartmann—corrected his error. Mind makes the world strictly from itself. There is no world beyond mind.

- Your Highlight on page 23 | location 509-513 | Added on Monday, 27 February 2023 16:32:29

How do we interact with content? We perceive it. We perceive it with our sense organs, our intuition, our feelings. These all furnish concrete experiences, which is why they seem so real. How do we interact with form? We conceive it. Reason and logic are how we conceive, and they lead to mathematics as the ultimate conceptual subject. These, to the ordinary empirical person, seem unreal and abstract.

- Your Highlight on page 23 | location 520-523 | Added on Monday, 27 February 2023 16:34:14

How do you establish what the inherent form of energy is given that you cannot perceive energy, only its effects? You must conceive energy. Energy in itself is not perceptual. Just as no one can see causation, no one can see energy. You see the results of energy. No one actually sees energy itself. Actually, energy is causation. The laws of energy are the laws of causation.

- Your Highlight on page 31 | location 659-663 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 11:25:02

A system predicated on conceptual coherence is one that is holistic, analytic and tautological. It obeys a holenmeric, holographic principle. A system predicated on pragmatic correspondence between concepts and percepts is automatically species-dependent, and its progress is driven by how the sense organs of that species evolved. For humans, they did not evolve with regard to truth but with regard to use. They are not organs for truth, but organs for use. Perhaps, somewhere in the universe, is a species that evolved with regard to the truth. If so, it would have reached ontological mathematics extremely rapidly in its evolution.

- Your Highlight on page 33 | location 702-708 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 11:51:46

A rationalist seeks a coherent way to understand the relation between concept and percept and finds it in the concept of dual-aspect monism. On one side of the coin stands concept, rationalism, map, form, signifier, syntax, coherence, the analytic a priori, the deductive, the information carrier. On the other side stands percept, empiricism, territory, content, matter, signified, semantics, correspondence, the synthetic a posteriori, the inductive, the information carried. Form and content can never be

separated. They are always partners, always the opposite side of the same coin.

- Your Highlight on page 35 | location 736-739 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 12:10:13

Ontological mathematics is all about removing correspondent mathematics from coherent mathematics. When Gödel's incompleteness theorems are properly understood, what they signify is that any attempt to build mathematics on correspondent grounds is doomed to fail. It will automatically lead to incompleteness and/or inconsistency. It will inevitably produce incoherence and fatal fallacies.

- Your Highlight on page 37 | location 784-794 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 12:40:42

University mathematics, like science, is wrong because university mathematicians do not understand how to properly treat correspondence and coherence. They use simplistic arguments involving linear, one-to-one (parts-in-whole) correspondence, and arrive at any number of fallacious conclusions, which would be obvious to them if they instead relied on circular, one-to-all (whole-in-parts) correspondence, which equates to coherence. Why are they so easily fooled? It's because they think wrongly systemically—part-wise rather than holistically (whole-wise), using one-to-one correspondence rather than coherence, and linearly rather than circularly. Conceptualism is Universalism, with everything derived from one top-down Universal. Conceptualism involves coherence. Perceptualism is Particularism, with everything being built from the bottom up. Perceptualism involves correspondence. Correspondence is all about parts-in-whole, while coherence is all about whole-in-parts. Only numbers can be coherent. Manmade words cannot be.

- Your Highlight on page 39 | location 810-812 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 12:52:22

The process of creating the ultimate language involves building up from one-to-one correspondence to one-to-many correspondence to one-to-all correspondence. There's nowhere to go after that. The ultimate language, the language of existence, is the one where the whole, the all, is in every part. That is true of ontological mathematics, and nothing else.

- Your Highlight on page 44 | location 915-921 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 13:23:14

Leibniz based his philosophy upon two logical premises, the law of contradiction and the law of sufficient reason. Both depend upon the notion of an 'analytic' proposition, which is one in which the predicate is contained

in the subject—for instance, 'all white men are men'. The law of contradiction states that all analytic propositions are true. The law of sufficient reason (in Leibniz's esoteric system) states that all true propositions are analytic. This applies even to what we should regard as empirical statements about matters of fact." So, the PSR says that all true propositions are analytic and the law of contradiction says that all analytic propositions are true. The law of contradiction is therefore a corollary of the PSR.

- Your Highlight on page 45 | location 931-932 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 13:26:13

We have already demonstrated how essential it is to make concepts coherent rather than correspondent. Science can't create a final theory of everything unless it becomes coherent. Correspondence can never lead to finality.

- Your Highlight on page 48 | location 1001-1005 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 13:46:31

In ontological mathematics, where everything is based on sinusoidal waves, any pattern that can ontologically exist is a wave pattern and can be broken down into its constituent basis waves. In relation to a single monad, to understand any pattern at all, you must take into account every one of the monad's basis waves, i.e. the whole is in any part, in any particular pattern. The only thing that changes from pattern to pattern is the exact contribution each basis wave makes (measured by the particular wave's amplitude).

- Your Highlight on page 53 | location 1085-1089 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 14:10:27

The idea that there is something necessarily wrong with circular logic is itself a logical fallacy. In fact, only circular logic can be correct. Only such logic can offer total holistic coherence and analytic closure, i.e. perfect tautology—provided it is the correct circular logic, which means it must have the correct starting premise: the PSR itself.

- Your Highlight on page 55 | location 1120-1124 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 14:20:16

You cannot place a matter of fact, or proposed fact, at the center of any circular argument. When it comes to relations of ideas, and all relations that necessarily flow from the starting valid premise, then you will necessarily be dealing with circularity. This is not any kind of weakness but the defining strength, and a necessary condition, of any closed, analytic, coherent truth

system. You merely show how stupid you are if you mindlessly believe that circular reasoning is always fallacious.

- Your Highlight on page 56 | location 1140-1144 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 14:27:19

The components of a linear argument are never logically valid because there can be no connection between the premises and the conclusion since the conclusion is defined as not being present in the premises. Linear reasoning is a formal logical fallacy because it has no defined beginning, no necessary end, no necessary closure, no guaranteed completeness and consistency and has to be asserted on the basis of non-defined, non-analytic matters of fact, which always fall victim to Nietzsche's doctrine: "There are no facts, only interpretations."

- Your Highlight on page 58 | location 1182-1183 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 14:46:13

Only when metaphysics becomes mathematical can it "talk" to physics. Non-mathematical philosophy can never achieve anything.

- Your Note on page 59 | location 1200 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 15:03:34

But when set membership is defined by a predicate that must be true for all of its members (and also possibly false for all non-members), doesn't this predicate characterise the set even if it is empty? For example, the 'set of all black swans' may be empty, but does this mean it doesn't exist?

- Your Highlight on page 59 | location 1199-1200 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 15:03:34

The term "set" can be applied only when members exist that can be grouped in this way. (Empty sets have no ontology, and correspond to non-existence).

- Your Highlight on page 60 | location 1209-1215 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 15:16:01

Gödel wrote, "Reason and understanding concern two levels of concept. Dialectics and feelings are involved in reason. We have also intuition of higher concepts. Christian Wolff confuses understanding with reason and uses only logical inferences." Such wisdom! Reason is not mere abstraction. It is semantic as well as syntactic, and that means that feelings, perceptions, intuitions and dialectical responses can all serve as sufficient reasons, while being radically different from syntactic sufficient reasons. Reason has two dimensions, not one. It is ontological, not abstract. It is a dual-aspect system. Reason proceeding by way of logical inferences concerns syntax

(form) only. However, we empirically live in a semantic world of content, with sufficient reasons of its own kind.

- Your Highlight on page 61 | location 1226-1227 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 15:19:48

It's true that rationalism is more expansive than logic. Logic concerns form and syntax alone. Rationalism also embraces content and semantics.

- Your Highlight on page 61 | location 1234-1236 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 15:23:00

There is a staggering lack of study of the relation between particulars and universals, particulars and particulars, and universals and universals. Above all, no attention is paid to the hierarchy of universals and what constitutes the final universal (which is of course also the first, prime universal), the God Universal.

- Your Highlight on page 70 | location 1389-1392 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 16:04:05

One of the biggest fallacies is that numbers can be legitimately split off into separate groups such as integers, rational numbers, real numbers, and so on. Numbers are in fact holistic. Every number is what it is because every other number is what it is. There is absolute dependence between numbers, hence all exercises in treating numbers as if they formed independent sets with different countabilities are fallacious, manmade abstractions

- Your Highlight on page 77 | location 1524-1527 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 16:26:18

All universities are brainwashing institutions. They are full of cultic paradigms which the established elite—the powerful careerists that rule the subject—sell to the credulous masses, all those gullible students up to their neck in debt because of their tuition fees and living costs. The first thing you should be taught at university is not to trust what the establishment is telling you, to question, question, question and think, think for yourself.

- Your Highlight on page 80 | location 1568-1573 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 16:35:39

Ortega wrote, "As one advances in life, one realizes more and more that the majority of men and women are incapable of any other effort than that strictly imposed on them as a reaction to external compulsion. And for that reason, the few individuals we have come across who are capable of a spontaneous and joyous effort stand out isolated, monumentalized, so to

speak, in our experience. These are the select men, the nobles, the only ones who are active and not merely reactive, for whom life is a perpetual striving, an incessant course of training." We seek only the most exceptional human beings: Higher Humanity.

- Your Highlight on page 81 | location 1604-1608 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 16:40:50

All subjects today are extremely specialized. You need to spend years getting up to speed with the subject, to the almost total exclusion of everything else. By the time you have become a game-player, someone able to contribute to the subject, you are an expert on that subject, and a total ignoramus regarding everything else. This means you have no other perspective from which to judge your specialization. You are unable to pass judgement on it, except in its own terms. You are, in effect, brainwashed. You have joined the cult.

- Your Highlight on page 82 | location 1625-1627 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 16:43:39

Our books have been spectacularly unsuccessful. They would have been spectacularly successful if we had talked vaguely about "energy" and "frequencies", love and light, and never once mentioned sinusoids, Euler's Formula and analytic rationalism.

- Your Highlight on page 86 | location 1685-1694 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 18:22:57

Mathematics, logic and reason must be grounded in ontology. The simplest is the all. What is simpler than nothing? Nothing is the all. Waves are "nothing" (net-zeros). Waves are the all. Only analytic propositions are meaningful. All manmade synthetic propositions are mere opinion and belief. All manmade synthetic propositions concern semantics, not syntax. Syntax is always analytic, concerned purely with the laws of wave mathematics.

- Your Highlight on page 94 | location 1848-1850 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 22:42:06

At any time, the syntax of the wavefunction is active (hence the semantic aspect is passive), or the semantics of the wavefunction is active (hence the syntactic aspect is passive). Human consciousness is mostly in semantic mode, while the human unconscious is mostly in syntactic mode. The deeper into the unconscious you go, the deeper you go into syntax.

- Your Highlight on page 97 | location 1909-1913 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 22:54:37

How many scientists are taught that Step One of their method commits them to an incoherent worldview and makes it impossible for them to ever arrive at a grand unified, final theory of everything? Such a theory can only be produced by not observing the world and instead by thinking, with extreme rational and logical rigor, about what properties reality must have in order to be universally, perfectly coherent.

- Your Highlight on page 98 | location 1926-1930 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 22:55:50

We can state the fundamental problem in one sentence. It is a sentence that cannot occur to any scientist, given their obsession with empiricism. The statement that foundationally destroys science is this one: General relativity is based on the logic of correspondence (parts-in-whole in relation to spacetime), while quantum mechanics is based on the logic of coherence (whole-in-parts in relation to singularities), so it is impossible to unify general relativity and quantum mechanics because they belong to formally incompatible logics.

- Your Highlight on page 100 | location 1966-1969 | Added on Tuesday, 28 February 2023 22:59:48

Inductive logic follows correspondence. Deductive logic follows coherence. The true method, in philosophy as in mathematics, should be deductive, meticulous, respectful of detail, and should enshrine the belief that it is the duty of each philosopher to be capable of solving all problems by himself. It is this method which has inspired analytic realism [a.k.a. logical holism], and it is the only method with which philosophy will succeed in obtaining results as solid as those obtained in mathematics.

- Your Highlight on page 103 | location 2026-2032 | Added on Wednesday, 1 March 2023 11:46:14

How can you validly apply concepts to percepts? Isn't that a variant of Cartesian substance dualism? In fact, concepts can only validly interact with other concepts, in one coherent conceptual system. A basic percept is related to concepts, but it is not related conceptually. Rather, it is related ontologically. Every conceptual object is accompanied by the experience conveyed by that concept. The percept is the other side of the coin. It is not a case of concepts and percepts belonging to a mono-aspect monism where you can talk of the one in terms of the other. Rather, the system is actually a dual-aspect monism. In one aspect, it is about concepts (rationalism). In the other aspect, it is about percepts (empiricism). The intelligible aspect is the conceptual aspect. The experienceable aspect is the perceptual aspect.

- Your Note on page 105 | location 2079 | Added on Wednesday, 1 March 2023 12:06:28

This passage says that it is possible to map a basis wave to a particular subjective experience. A certain wavelength of light produces the quale of redness. Doesn't this imply a *correspondence* between forms and their content? This being the case, why don't GS & TS get right into it?

- Your Highlight on page 105 | location 2075-2079 | Added on Wednesday, 1 March 2023 12:06:28

A sinusoid is a mathematical form with precise properties. All form in the universe is provided by sinusoids. Form has no other source. What of content? Content is the experience that accompanies the sinusoidal form. For example, a specific frequency of sinusoid might produce the experience of the color red, while another sinusoid of a different frequency might produce the experience of the color blue. The exact mathematical properties of the wave—its frequency and wavelength—dictate what experience it produces, and the amplitude of the wave dictates the intensity of the experience.

- Your Note on page 107 | location 2107 | Added on Wednesday, 1 March 2023 12:26:16

Leibniz said that objects with identical properties are identical.

This passage admits that one monad can experience sinusoids from another monad. Isn't the obvious question 'how?' What's the maths for such an interaction? Is it a resonance phenomenon?

- Your Highlight on page 106 | location 2102-2107 | Added on Wednesday, 1 March 2023 12:26:17

Haecceity proves vital in terms of monads. Each sinusoid of a monad belongs eternally to that monad and only that monad. It is experienced subjectively by its monadic owner. Any other sinusoid, any belonging to another monad, will be experienced objectively, not subjectively. So, every sinusoid has monadic haecceity. It makes a critical difference whether we are dealing with our own sinusoids, or the sinusoids of other monadic minds. This means that the scientific concept of "identical particles" is false because no two particles are ever actually identical. They always necessarily have unique haecceity. It cannot be stressed enough how critical this idea is.

- Your Highlight on page 107 | location 2110-2113 | Added on Wednesday, 1 March 2023 12:30:22

With monads, your sinusoids are eternally yours. Not one of them can be replaced by any sinusoid from outside. All sinusoids are necessarily attached to specific monads, and are integral to those monads. This is exactly how subjectivity and free will are possible. Only you can feel what you feel and

will what you will because your constituents—your sinusoids, your thoughts—are intrinsically yours forever.

- Your Note on page 108 | location 2127 | Added on Wednesday, 1 March 2023 12:39:27

Presumably this haecceity is what is being referred to in the GS when it talked about every monad having its own unique 'coordinates'?

- Your Highlight on page 108 | location 2124-2127 | Added on Wednesday, 1 March 2023 12:39:27

It is the monadic system, where each monad has its own unique collection of sinusoids, that is currently being ignored by the statistical schemes used by physicists. When monads are factored in, everything changes because every "identical" particle is now assigned an additional property—haecceity—which ensures it must always be treated separately from all others.

- Your Note on page 108 | location 2141 | Added on Wednesday, 1 March 2023 12:42:28

Aha! My key recent question answered!

- Your Highlight on page 108 | location 2136-2141 | Added on Wednesday, 1 March 2023 12:42:29

Leibniz talked about the indiscernibility of identicals: the principle that if x and y are identical then x has every property that y has and y has every property that x has. But no two different things are identical when haecceity is added to the system, even if all their other properties are identical. Haecceity means that no two things can ever be identical. Even if you put two monads side by side and they appear identical in every way, they are not identical because each monad is uniquely itself. Each monad has its own haecceity, and that means that each monad will evolve uniquely. It is this, and only this, that permits subjectivity and free will to exist in a scientific system.

- Your Note on page 109 | location 2144 | Added on Wednesday, 1 March 2023 12:52:04

So haecceity is some magical property that doesn't inhere in the sinusoidal properties of frequency, amplitude and phase. What the hell is it made of, then?

- Your Highlight on page 108 | location 2142-2144 | Added on Wednesday, 1 March 2023 12:52:04

Haecceity is the key principle of idealism. If you remove haecceity, you get materialism. In materialism, a physical clone of you is you. It doesn't have its own separate soul, its own soulful haecceity. In idealism, no monadic

mind can be cloned. It is uniquely that mind, with its constituent sinusoids, which belong exclusively to it.

- Your Highlight on page 160 | location 3118-3120 | Added on Thursday, 9 March 2023 23:48:58

God, in his simplest state, has zero entropy. God, in his most complex state, has maximum entropy, in which case he is the Demiurge, the False God. He then needs to restore himself to his original, pristine condition, and does so through the long, cosmic, dialectical journey to divine consciousness.

- Your Highlight on page 163 | location 3167-3171 | Added on Thursday, 9 March 2023 23:57:55

The first thing a perceptualist does is perceive and then apply a conception to a perception (i.e. perception comes first and conception is its tool). Their priority is to address percepts, using correspondence with concepts. The first thing a conceptualist does is to conceive and then apply a perception to a conception (i.e. conception comes first and perception is its tool). Their priority is to address concepts, using coherence, then account for perception within that coherent system.

- Your Highlight on page 168 | location 3271-3281 | Added on Saturday, 11 March 2023 00:30:27

"Emergence" is the temporal, contingent pseudo-concept that science uses to opposes eternal, necessary essence. Rationalism asserts that nothing can acquire properties it does not possess. No amount of mixing and organizing mindless atoms can produce mind. Empiricism takes the opposite view. It claims that if you take mindless atoms and organize them in just the right way, a way which becomes apparent only in retrospect and can never be predicted in advance, then any property at all can "emerge"—whether it be life, mind, free will, consciousness, meaning, purpose, love, you name it. People of faith refer to miracles rather than "emergence". But these are the same thing. No one can explain emergence. It is merely something perceived, not something conceived. How could you conceive of something that is only apparent after the fact and involves something taking possession of a property it didn't previously possess and for which no explanation can be offered for how it acquired it, and which could never be predicted? The fact that language exists means that reality itself is a language. There is no route from non-language to language. Language cannot be an emergent property of non-language. That's a category error.

- Your Highlight on page 170 | location 3302-3304 | Added on Sunday, 12 March 2023 00:21:52

Any system where ontology and epistemology are separate suffers from Cartesian "substance dualism". If knowledge is something different from existence, how can it apply to it? How can you have knowledge of something if it does not itself contain knowledge, by its own essence?

- Your Highlight on page 171 | location 3332-3336 | Added on Sunday, 12 March 2023 00:37:03

The desire for truth is a very late-stage aspect of human evolution. The desire for comforting lies is a very early-stage aspect of evolution. These comforting lies then have to be overcome, which is the hardest task of all since they are so ingrained and so deeply internalized, and so deeply desired and welcomed. Separating people from the Lie is the prerequisite for people discovering the Truth. No enterprise is more difficult and challenging.

- Your Highlight on page 190 | location 3721-3724 | Added on Monday, 13 March 2023 23:34:47

One brilliant contribution Wittgenstein made to philosophy, perhaps the only one, was to shut up Bertrand Russell and make him feel suicidal. Wittgenstein had a morbid belief that he would die young and that he had no right to live unless he created some great work. He didn't die young. He didn't create any great work. Sad.

- Your Note on page 198 | location 3866 | Added on Wednesday, 15 March 2023 00:02:37

Not sure I buy this. Seems to me animals are aware of universals to the extent that their instinctive response to a particular is driven by some innate knowledge of the corresponding universal. Prey animals recognise the danger posed by predators; animals recognise food; animals recognise members of their own species and they recognise the opposite sex for mating and bond-pairing. Sure, they may not conceptualise universals with language in the way that we do, but they do seem to have some awareness of universals.

- Your Highlight on page 198 | location 3865-3866 | Added on Wednesday, 15 March 2023 00:02:38

No animals can think in terms of concepts and universals. Animals, like empiricists, are prisoners of particulars.

- Your Highlight on page 199 | location 3883-3886 | Added on Wednesday, 15 March 2023 00:05:05

Isn't it remarkable that someone such as Bertrand Russell took Wittgenstein seriously, but dismissed Gödel? We do the opposite. Wittgenstein's thinking is largely worthless. It's incoherent. It sets out to try to make a system of

correspondence a coherent system, rather than setting out with a system that is actually based on coherence.

- Your Highlight on page 204 | location 3975-3976 | Added on Thursday, 16 March 2023 00:16:04

Ludwig Feuerbach said, "God did not, as the Bible says, make man in His image; on the contrary man ... made God in his image." Humanity does nothing but create false gods in its own image.

- Your Highlight on page 208 | location 4073-4077 | Added on Thursday, 16 March 2023 23:31:33

Empiricists have always struggled to link their percepts to concepts, and rationalists have had the opposite problem. The consequence is that empiricists have produced incoherent but practical models of reality, while rationalists have provided coherent but impractical models, which, to the average person, seem incredibly abstract and unreal. Leibniz's system of monads, a staggering rationalist achievement, seems like total science fiction and fantasy to perceiving types ... because monads are not perceptual. To the average sensory dunce, monads are the most abstract entities possible.

- Your Highlight on page 210 | location 4105-4108 | Added on Thursday, 16 March 2023 23:36:01

As soon as philosophers refer to the mystical and the unknowable, you know they have lost their minds and ceased to be philosophers. Nothing is unknowable. Everything has a sufficient reason. There are no unsolvable mysteries. The secrets of reality are not hidden from us. They are right there in your mind right now. Your monadic mind is made of what reality is made of. All you have to do is get in touch with yourself—your innermost core—and you will know everything and be God. You do not look out with your human senses, you look in with your logic and reason.

- Your Highlight on page 213 | location 4152-4155 | Added on Thursday, 16 March 2023 23:44:13

Meditation—the attempt to wipe out concepts so that a person can inhabit the perceptual moment without judgment—does not expand consciousness, it eradicates it. A meditator is someone who ceases to be able to represent reality or conceive reality and just dumbly inhabits the moment. Meditation is the means to become a beast, to divest your mind of consciousness.

- Your Highlight on page 217 | location 4246-4249 | Added on Sunday, 19 March 2023 00:05:16

All true facts are provable. They all have a sufficient reason. It is impossible for a fact not to have a sufficient reason. It is irrational to claim that things are merely "given", without sufficient reasons. That's the sort of idiocy science engages in. Not only is it not futile to try to prove what is given, it is essential. And nothing is in any case merely "given". It can always be accounted for. It always has an explanation, a cause or a reason. All temporal, contingent things have a cause. All eternal, necessary things have a reason.

- Your Highlight on page 219 | location 4282-4284 | Added on Sunday, 19 March 2023 00:09:54

Jung said, "Knowing your own darkness is the best method for dealing with the darknesses of other people. ... People will do anything, no matter how absurd, in order to avoid facing their own souls. One does not become enlightened by imagining figures of light, but by making the darkness conscious."

- Your Highlight on page 231 | location 4502-4504 | Added on Monday, 20 March 2023 23:37:34

In manmade language, syntax is arbitrary (in the sense that it is not designed to produce completeness, consistency, coherence, the absolute, the immutable, the infallible), semantics is arbitrary, and the link between them is arbitrary, which is exactly why manmade language can never explain the world.

- Your Highlight on page 234 | location 4549-4551 | Added on Tuesday, 21 March 2023 12:33:50

The object of thought of the unmoved mover is itself ... pure perfection. Its thinking does not include the contingent affairs of individual human beings. It not only doesn't care about any human being, it isn't even aware of their existence. So much for Abrahamism.

- Your Highlight on page 234 | location 4555-4561 | Added on Tuesday, 21 March 2023 12:37:39

What about the second aspect of syntax, that of becoming rather than being? This is wholly concerned with the temporal, contingent, imperfect, perceptual, empirical, and phenomenal. This syntax reflects a journey. The universe starts off in a state of zero mental entropy (pure being; God) then becomes maximally mentally entropic (the Big Bang; the shattered God). It then embarks on a dialectical cosmic journey to bring its mental entropy back to zero. This corresponds to the broken God, the God of myriad jigsaw pieces, coming back together again as one God. God is the mirror that breaks. In his aspect of becoming, he loses the ability to reflect on himself

and ceases to be conscious. The dialectic restores consciousness and recreates the divine mirror of consciousness where God is again fully self-aware.

- Your Highlight on page 235 | location 4573-4578 | Added on Tuesday, 21 March 2023 12:41:51

Enlightenment is about accessing the eternal, perfect syntax of being, which also grants access to the blissful semantic experiences that accompany that state: infinite love, intuition, and perception. You are all seeing, all knowing, all-loving, and you are everywhere and are everything. You are God. Meditation—a perceptual, semantic exercise, opposed to conception, syntax, coherence and judgment—is the worst thing you can do if you wish to be enlightened. The Buddha was unenlightened. The proof is obvious. Just study Buddhism. After studying it, do you understand reality? Read our books. Don't they show you the path to Absolute Knowledge?

- Your Highlight on page 238 | location 4637-4643 | Added on Tuesday, 21 March 2023 12:57:01

Bertrand Russell wrote, "The final conclusion is that we know very little, and yet it is astonishing that we know so much, and still more astonishing that so little knowledge can give us so much power." The astonishing thing is that anyone imagines that we cannot know everything. Occam's razor says that we live in the simplest possible world. The PSR says everything has a sufficient reason. That means everything has a rational answer, and reason delivers the simplest possible answer. The world isn't mind-bogglingly complicated, it's mind bogglingly simple.

- Your Highlight on page 256 | location 4987-4991 | Added on Tuesday, 21 March 2023 23:37:34

Reality has one language and one answer, conveyed in that language. Thoughts, language and the world are all one, which is exactly why we can understand the world using our thoughts. The limits of the world are the limits of language are the limits of thought. Wittgenstein was right to equate language and the world. What he got horribly wrong was the identity of the language. It is eternal, necessary mathematics, not temporal, contingent, manmade language.

- Your Highlight on page 264 | location 5132-5133 | Added on Wednesday, 22 March 2023 23:32:24

There will come a time when not to know about Fourier mathematics will be equivalent to a "philosopher" not knowing who Plato are Aristotle are.

- Your Highlight on page 264 | location 5135-5144 | Added on Wednesday, 22 March 2023 23:33:27

Materialism states that everything is matter. There is no mind except as some output of matter. Mind cannot exist without matter. Idealism states that everything is mind. There is no matter except as some output of mind. Matter cannot exist without mind. Dualism says that matter and mind are two different substances, independent of each other. They coexist. Panpsychism says that mind and matter are somehow one; where one is found, so is the other in some obscure fashion or other. Physical atoms have sentience, and so on. Our position couldn't be clearer. There is nothing but mind. Matter is wholly dependent on mind, a construct of mind, and cannot exist without mind. Mind and matter are not one. That's a statement of pure panpsychism and we reject it utterly. Mind can control matter not because mind and matter are one but because matter is something that mind produces, hence mind can amend and manipulate what it produces.

- Your Note on page 268 | location 5208 | Added on Thursday, 23 March 2023 23:36:30

An interesting statement that rather contradicts earlier claims that form and syntax cannot be reached through perceptually-driven conceptualisation.

- Your Highlight on page 268 | location 5206-5208 | Added on Thursday, 23 March 2023 23:36:30

Glimpses of the underlying form are present in the patterns made by content, and allow scientists to produce mathematical formulae to describe observed patterns. These mathematical formulae are only approximations to the real mathematical formulae that underlie reality, which are strictly concerned with mathematical wavefunctions.

- Your Note on page 270 | location 5241 | Added on Thursday, 23 March 2023 23:51:11

So a vital principle to understand must be: how does collective thought happen? Is it some kind of resonance effect? Or do sinusoids somehow 'escape' from monads? I think not.

- Your Highlight on page 270 | location 5239-5241 | Added on Thursday, 23 March 2023 23:51:11

Reality comprises: 1) individual monads having their individual thoughts, and 2) the collection of all monads having its collective thoughts. Collective thoughts are perceived by monadic subjects as the external, objective world of material things in space and time.

- Your Note on page 271 | location 5257 | Added on Thursday, 23 March 2023 23:53:46

Try telling Morgue!

- Your Highlight on page 271 | location 5253-5257 | Added on Thursday, 23 March 2023 23:53:46

Adrian Price 210

Tractatus Logico-Mathematicus: How Mathematics Explains Reality (TS-14)

The idea of DMT being a way to decode reality is great—for the dumb masses! But not for us. Our point is that reason and logic decode reality. The whole DMT schtick is just another way to pretend to perceiving types that they can dispense with reason and logic and just need to take a drug and then they will perceive the truth of reality. DMT is simply chemical meditation and suffers from all the same problems. A dunce on DMT is still a dunce, and no further forward.

- Your Highlight on page 273 | location 5295-5304 | Added on Friday, 24 March 2023 00:02:34

God, at zero entropy, is a unity. As soon as zero entropy breaks, God becomes a myriad of individual minds, which give rise to the entropic world of matter. The True God, the God of pure light = God at zero entropy. The False God = the Demiurge = the God of broken light (matter) = God at nonzero entropy. The task of the False God is to become the True God by working out how to reduce its entropy to zero. Love and lighters regard this as a process of universal love, bringing everything together through the attraction of love. In fact, it is a process of pure reason and is all about removing all asymmetry from the world, leaving nothing but perfect unity, wholeness, completeness, integration, harmony, coherence = perfect symmetry (zero entropy), where everything is perfectly interconnected. Do the math! "Universal love" is the semantic experience that accompanies the reduction of universal syntactic entropy to zero. Universal hate, expressed through right-wing extreme individualism, reflects chaotic, entropic, asymmetric physicality

- Your Note on page 276 | location 5340 | Added on Friday, 24 March 2023 00:12:45

To me, this seems to be saying that it IS possible to draw correspondences between noumenal form/syntax and phenomenol content/semantics. Yet elsewhere it is claimed that correspondence systems cannot inform us about what a thing is in itself.

- Your Highlight on page 276 | location 5338-5340 | Added on Friday, 24 March 2023 00:12:45

In ontological mathematics, a dual-aspect ontology applies. So, a wave of a specific frequency has a specific syntax (rational reference) and a specific semantics (empirical sense). For example, the wave might have a frequency of x and a "sense" of the color red, or a frequency of y and sense of the color blue.

- Your Highlight on page 278 | location 5362-5364 | Added on Sunday, 26 March 2023 00:27:49

Behind every sense-object in the phenomenal world, we know there is an exact mathematical wavefunction, but this wavefunction cannot be named

linguistically. It is a strictly mathematical entity and only complex mathematical formulae can capture it.

- Your Highlight on page 281 | location 5418-5449 | Added on Sunday, 26 March 2023 00:38:01

How would you go about discovering the answer to everything? Humanity has proved remarkably defective in setting about the task. Here's a list of common errors: 1) Using the scientific method. 2) Observing nature. 3) Being preoccupied with percepts. 4) Using induction. 5) Relying on correspondence. 6) Following empiricism. 7) Being guided by the synthetic a posteriori. 8) Praying. 9) Meditating. 10) Having faith. 11) Reading a "sacred" text. 12) Listening to a prophet or guru. 13) Taking DMT. Ontological mathematics goes about things in an entirely different way. What is the only principle that can explain a rational universe? The Principle of Sufficient Reason alone can account for a rational universe. It is a unique explanatory tool. It is the exclusive way to the truth. There are infinite wrong paths to the truth, and only one correct path. The sole path that delivers a rational, intelligible explanation of reality is the one that proceeds by way of the PSR. Any path that involves anything at all that deviates from the PSR will deliver an "answer" contrary to reason, an irrational answer. Since all irrational answers are as bad as each other, they can all be discounted. There is only one right answer, one right path, one master principle, one master axiom: the PSR. So, once the PSR has been chosen, what then? The PSR mandates that the answer to existence must be as simple as possible, since there could never be any reason for existence to exclude the simplest answer in order to explore some arbitrary more complex answer. How could the PSR even identify a more complex solution? What means would it choose, and why would it choose "this" arbitrary complex answer over "that" complex answer? There could never be a sufficient reason for any arbitrary, unnecessarily complex answer.

- Your Highlight on page 282 | location 5450-5531 | Added on Sunday, 26 March 2023 22:40:08

The PSR guarantees that existence will reflect the simplest possible answer. The PSR will not do so at "this" time or "that" time, it will do so for all time. In other words, the answer to existence is eternal, immutable, perfect, indestructible, and infinitely stable. It is the forever answer. No temporal, contingent process has any relevance to it. The answer is a priori. We can therefore omit any a posteriori considerations. They are simply redundant in this context. (They are applicable to temporal, contingent semantics, not to eternal, necessary syntax ... the immutable form of existence.) In philosophy, the analytic/synthetic distinction refers to a distinction between two kinds of "truth". Synthetic truths are true both because of

what they mean and because of the way the world is (i.e. we need the world before we can have synthetic truths; correspondence applies), whereas analytic truths are true in virtue of meaning alone (we do not need the world in order to have analytic truths, we only need existence; coherence applies). Only analytic truths can concern existence itself. Only analytic truths can precede synthetic worlds produced by existence. What is the simplest possible analytic entity? It's the mathematical point, the ontological zero. The point has no properties. What can the PSR do with the point? The PSR can do only one thing with it: make it move. Why should the PSR make something move? If the PSR has no sufficient reason for selecting one particular state of affairs, it must select all equivalent states of affairs. What is the only shape that involves all states being treated equally (none being arbitrarily privileged over another)? It's the circle. As Aristotle understood, the circle is perfect. Reality, by virtue of the PSR, must be analytically based on circles. But what kind of circles? The PSR can never arbitrarily privilege anything over anything else. In mathematics, "real" numbers cannot be privileged over "imaginary" numbers, and positive numbers cannot be privileged over negative numbers, meaning that PSR circles must be defined with respect to the complex plane. So, step by step, using nothing but analytic deduction proceeding by way of the PSR, without requiring any observations, any induction, any reference to percepts, any need for a world, any need for correspondence, and so on, we can establish the core formula of the world, as defined by the PSR. It is Euler's Formula. The PSR requires that Euler's Formula be generalized to include all possible frequencies (since no frequency can be arbitrarily privileged over another). When all a priori factors are fully accounted for, we arrive at a system based on entities in which all possible rational extensions are incorporated in Euler's Formula. The resultant generalized Euler Formula defines a "monad"—a basic autonomous unit of existence. This is an a priori, eternal, necessary entity. It is none other than an uncreated, eternal mind ... a perfect energy system where energy can be neither created nor destroyed, only expressed in different ways via contingent, temporal worlds. This deathless entity is what the religious refer to as the "soul". Since the soul has existed forever, it has no cause and no Creator. Moreover, it is indestructible. It can never perish. Mathematically, a monad is a single Fourier system, an immaterial singularity, a self-contained frequency domain. It possesses everything required to perform all of the operations of Fourier mathematics on its own. It is an autonomous Fourier system. There is no sufficient reason why just one monad should exist. The same conditions that allow one to exist must allow any number of other monads to exist, up to an ontological limit defined by the PSR. If there is no such limit then there are infinite monads. So, to sum up, the PSR can be translated into a mathematical formula—Euler's Formula—which can be generalized to produce a monad, a living entity, an eternal mind, which can

perform ontological Fourier mathematics. A monad is an eternal energy system, an eternal frequency domain. By itself, it can, by the operations of Fourier mathematics, create a spacetime domain for itself. A dreamer—a monadic mind—produces a dreamworld (a Fourier spacetime domain), its own mental sandpit where it can do whatever it wants and make whatever it wants. What happens when a monad finds itself surrounded by a vast number of other monads? Each one is capable of performing autonomous Fourier operations. But what about all monads together? What can they do when they interact? They can combine their Fourier operations to generate a collective spacetime domain: one collective world to which every individual monad is linked. This is none other than the objective universe in which we all live. It's the result of pure mathematical operations, all stemming from the PSR, hence fully reflecting the PSR. We did not have to do any science to work this out. We did not have to pray, or meditate, or undergo shamanistic rituals, or seek out prophets or gurus, or take DMT. We did not have to carry out any observations or experiments. All we had to do was use our reason. Anyone can do what we did. Anyone can check our work. Our system contains no beliefs. No faith is required. Nor does any appeal have to be made to science and observations. Everything flows from simple rational deduction. This is as simple as it gets—provided you can reason correctly. If you can't, it's as difficult as it gets and seems absurdly abstract. We don't tell you to believe anything we say. We don't expect you to take a single thing on trust. All we ask you to do is use your reason. If we all reason correctly then we shall all inevitably arrive at the same correct answer. Yet we get called "monsters" and "fascists" and "cultists" and haters of science and religion. Blah de blah. Here's the bottom line. If you use your reason, you will agree with us. If you don't, you're irrational, and fuck you! You are a preacher of lies and falsehoods. The only thing that never lies, that never errs, is reason conducted properly. As Gödel said, "But every error is due to extraneous factors (such as emotion and education); reason itself does not err." Ontological mathematics is about the following: 1) Using the rational method. 2) Using concepts. 3) Using deduction only. 4) Relying on coherence. 5) Following rationalism. 6) Being guided by the analytic a priori. 7) Using reason and logic. 8) Reducing everything to analytic mathematics. 9) Embedding the PSR and Occam's razor in existence. 10) Grounding everything in mathematical points. Our system is radically different from science, religion and philosophy. Our system requires only one activity: rational thinking. You are not required to look even once at the world in order to work out the entire basis of existence. Everything is a priori, rational, logical, analytic, deductive and coherent. What kind of person could possibly oppose us? Certainly no rational and logical person. People of faith oppose us, and mystics, and empiricists. All of these people are irrational and oppose reason, logic and rigorous, clear thinking. They deny that reason can be used to understand the world, and

they deny that the world is grounded in reason and defined and controlled by the PSR. They are all fanatical irrationalists, doing everything in their power to undermine reason and logic. Nothing has shocked us over the years more than the insane hatred scientists have for the ontology of mathematics. They use mathematics every day, yet refuse to consider that this indispensable tool is concrete, and is none other than the rational form of existence, upon which all content hangs.

- Your Highlight on page 287 | location 5570-5576 | Added on Sunday, 26 March 2023 22:52:09

Eastern mysticism is the uttermost nonsense. We are not one mind pretending to be many. We are many minds capable of being one mind when we mathematically achieve a very particular and precise analytic state of zero entropy. No mind can create another mind. All minds are uncreated, uncaused, eternal and necessary. The idea of one mind that produces other minds—which is effectively what you are conjuring with when you refer to just one Monad rather than a multiplicity of monads—is just the continuation of Creationism by other means. We are wholly opposed to any such concept. It's the antithesis of our system, which is fundamentally predicated on each of us being an uncaused, uncreated, eternal entity.

- Your Note on page 292 | location 5672 | Added on Monday, 27 March 2023 22:45:15

I wish they would describe exactly how monads manage to share sinusoids and create the world.

- Your Highlight on page 292 | location 5671-5672 | Added on Monday, 27 March 2023 22:45:15

In ontological mathematics, the Forms are sinusoidal waves organized into monads. The sinusoids are immutable, eternal and perfect, but can enter into temporal and contingent combinations, which constitute the empirical world. It's all in the math.

- Your Note on page 293 | location 5698 | Added on Monday, 27 March 2023 22:51:55

I wish they would provide the maths for those who want it! Throughout 32 books of the GS and 17 books of the TS, they only give a handful of formulae.

- Your Highlight on page 293 | location 5694-5698 | Added on Monday, 27 March 2023 22:51:55

We are using words—with which you are familiar—to describe a numerical system, with which you are not familiar at all. We can never accurately convey numbers in words, mathematical language in manmade language. We do our best, but we know we will be frequently misinterpreted and misunderstood. It's inevitable. We aren't using the right medium to convey

our message. If we did use the right medium, no one would have a clue what we were saying. So, we have to operate in the world of compromise and a large degree of ambiguity because words simply cannot capture the mathematical nuances we are trying to communicate.

- Your Note on page 297 | location 5761 | Added on Tuesday, 28 March 2023 22:49:33

Huh? This makes no sense. c1 = 0.5 is an amplitude, not an angle. What do they mean by 'the first half of the wave'?

- Your Highlight on page 297 | location 5760-5761 | Added on Tuesday, 28 March 2023 22:49:33

But if c1 = 0.5 then what does 0.5 of a wave constitute ontologically? How can you halve an infinite wave-train? For argument's sake, let's imagine that c1 = 0.5 means that you can only consider the first half of each wave cycle.

- Your Note on page 297 | location 5764 | Added on Tuesday, 28 March 2023 22:54:43

This is bloody confusing. Throughout GS & TS they say repeatedly that spacetime extension results from non-orthogonal phase relations. They also talk about Fourier Transforms being the mechanism by which frequency domain signals result in spacetime manifestation.

- Your Highlight on page 297 | location 5762-5764 | Added on Tuesday, 28 March 2023 22:54:43

Fractional waves, depending on their nature, are interpreted by our minds as either spatial or temporal signals. The whole of spacetime is populated by fractional waves. These are incomplete, notional waves, not independently-existing waves.

- Your Highlight on page 297 | location 5773-5776 | Added on Tuesday, 28 March 2023 22:57:26

Mathematics offers us many different perspectives on the mathematical information in which we are immersed. Evolution decides which mathematical perspective is the most useful. Consider space versus time. Our minds could have shown us both a space picture and a time picture. But that's too much information, so we see a space picture and experience the passage of time, but do not literally watch ourselves traveling through the time environment as we watch ourselves traveling through the space environment.

- Your Note on page 298 | location 5780 | Added on Tuesday, 28 March 2023 22:59:08

Actually, yes, you do see negative readings on scientific instruments.

- Your Highlight on page 298 | location 5778-5780 | Added on Tuesday, 28 March 2023 22:59:08

We do not "see" positive and negative numbers. We see only positive numbers. That's why science is based on positive real numbers and gets rid of imaginary numbers, complex numbers and negative numbers. You never see them on any measuring instruments, do you?

- Your Highlight on page 301 | location 5836-5844 | Added on Tuesday, 28 March 2023 23:08:27

All radial waves are in the frequency domain (i.e. both axial and interaxial waves). All full sinusoids of any complexion are in the frequency domain. The only "waves" that are in space or time are incomplete, fractional waves. Their linear combination is in the frequency domain; it's as components treated separately that they are interpreted as being in the spacetime domain. It cannot be emphasized enough that all that actually exists is a Cosmic Singularity: one Cosmic Point, the Alpha and Omega Point. The whole of existence unfolds within a point. Our dreams happen inside our heads, inside our minds, inside a monadic point. The same is true for the whole world. It unfolds inside all of our minds, inside the Collective Monadic point. Spacetime is a hologram projected within this Singularity, and appears, to the unreliable senses, to be outside it. "Spacetime" is just a way of interpreting mental information by way of the two non-hypotenuse sides of a Pythagorean triangle rather than the one hypotenuse side. It really is as simple as that. Spacetime is a well-founded mathematical illusion.

- Your Highlight on page 304 | location 5897-5927 | Added on Wednesday, 29 March 2023 22:59:37

The Euler Circle defines three domains: 1) The axial domain. In this domain, only pure cosines and pure sines are possible. These pure waves are eternal and necessary and travel at the speed of light. They are the basis waves of existence and of all Fourier processing; 2) The interaxial domain. In the Khan Academy video, the interaxial domain is wherever the rotating green vector is found, provided the green vector is not lying along any axis (in which case it would be in the axial domain). Where the axial domain concerns eternal and necessary basis waves, the interaxial domain concerns sine-cosine hybrid waves, i.e. sines and cosines are no longer independent, as they are in the axial domain, but are now mixed together, in various proportions. Each sinusoid associated with the interaxial domain always contain a part of a sine wave and a part of a cosine wave, the two fractions combining to produce a full sine-cosine hybrid wave. All of these hybrids are still sinusoids, and travel at light speed. They differ from axial sinusoids by being temporal and contingent rather than eternal and necessary, and mixed rather than unmixed. Both types are mental waves, existing in the mental

domain, in the Singularity. The axial domain is the domain of Being, while the interaxial domain is the domain of Becoming. All of your immutable basis thoughts belong to the axial domain, which define your monadic mind forever. Your changing, dynamic thoughts are generated by combinations of basis waves and belong to the interaxial domain. Basis thoughts are fixed. Thoughts made from combinations of basis thoughts can be anything. They can have infinite variety. This is why we can all think the thoughts we do, all completely different from each other. So, what of domain 3)? What is it? In fact, it's the familiar spacetime domain we all inhabit. It's critical to realize that it contains exactly the same information as domain 2), except the fractional sines and cosines are now treated separately rather than as a unity, meaning that we can experience space and time. We might refer to domain 3) as the domain of Pythagorean triangles. In the Khan Academy video, the green rotating vector, provided it does not lie along an axis, forms, at any point on its trajectory, the hypotenuse of a Pythagorean triangle in the complex plane. The hypotenuse relates to complete sinecosine hybrid waves. The other two sides relate to the sine and cosine fractions, the relative contribution of which to the hybrid sine-cosine wave is always changing as the green vector in the video rotates. The questioner asked us, "Why are we relating the radii to speed?" In fact, we can relate the "radius", the green vector, the hypotenuse, to any spacetime property such as speed through space, speed through time, spatial length, temporal length, spatial mass, temporal mass, and so on. Domains 2) and 3) are all about complex numbers (rather than the pure real and imaginary numbers that belong to domain 1), the axial domain). The green rotating vector in the video corresponds to z, the magnitude, or absolute value, of the complex number. This value always stays the same ("1"). Its x and iy components make up its space and time components, respectively. Our spacetime minds tune into this information and interpret it as the spacetime world of our daily experience. By resolving the green single vector into two separate components, we create more information, and evolution has decided this extra information is extremely useful, hence has given us the sense organs to physically interpret it as our sensory field in spacetime. So, the "radius" is now serving a different function, namely that of a dynamic hypotenuse in a domain of complex numbers. The exact location of the "radius" (the rotating green vector), tells us where we stand in spacetime, and how much space signal and how much time signal is present at that instant.

- Your Highlight on page 306 | location 5938-5972 | Added on Wednesday, 29 March 2023 23:07:57

Why is the speed of light always the same no matter where you are measuring it from, or what your speed through space is? It's because the speed of light is ontologically mapped to the rotating "radius" in the Euler

Circle. This means that any sine-cosine hybrid wave traveling along any interaxial radius will always be traveling at light speed. Its x and y components will always be traveling at less than light speed, as per the Lorentz factor. The questioner asked, "Why are we relating the radii to speed?" We are doing so because the radius can be considered as defining a frame of reference, in which any sinusoidal wave is traveling at light speed. The axial radii define axial frames of reference for pure sine and cosine waves, traveling at light speed. The interaxial radii define interaxial frames of reference for hybrid sine-cosine waves, traveling at light speed. The waves that do not travel at light speed are the fractional waves associated with space and time. These are the x and y components of the interaxial radial hypotenuses. Any interaxial frame of reference provides the context for consideration of all scientific quantities, such as speed, energy, mass, momentum, space length, time interval, and so on. It's not that the radius that defines the frame of reference is any of these things, but it provides the calculational context for all of these things, and determines what values these properties can have in that context. It serves as a "general quantifier" for many different properties, not a "single quantifier" for a single property (such as speed). The central idea to take away is that every radius in the Euler Circle denotes a unique frame of reference for waves traveling at light speed, and that, in all interaxial cases, that frame of reference can be decomposed into two sub-frames of reference concerning space and time, in which we can consider any physical properties, such as length, mass, momentum, etc. The radius defines a frame of reference, and the frame of reference determines all physical properties, including speed through space, associated with that reference frame. Radius and speed are linked by way of the concept of frame of reference for sinusoids, whether pure sinusoids (sines or cosines) or impure sinusoids (sine-cosine hybrids). All of the information of the universe is contained within Euler's Formula, especially via its radial frames of reference, which are complex-numbered reference frames. The questioner referred to "spacetime waves". It's important to clarify this concept. As explained above, these are not "full" waves but fractional waves. The full waves are the real waves; the fractional waves are mathematical components of the full waves, which can be interpreted as separate space and time signals, even though they are in fact always combined (to produce the full waves). One of the reasons why the senses cannot be trusted is that they make us believe space and time are separate systems when in fact they are fully integrated together in spacetime, and anything that happens to one has immediate and automatic consequences for the other since they are mathematically bound to each other and immediately mathematically correct for any change to the other. Only a mathematical reality can produce this automatic adjustment process. You never perceive the adjustment taking place; you simply experience the effects of the

adjustment. The most obvious effect is that measured light speed never alters, no matter what environment you are in. The world produces a vast amount of information and our mathematical minds are entitled by evolution to interpret it in whatever way seems most useful from the evolutionary perspective. The only real waves are mental waves, but interaxial mental waves can be loosely, but usefully, interpreted in terms of "space" waves and "time" waves (in practice, we perceive material objects moving through time), creating separate domains of space and time. In fact, space and time are always bonded together into spacetime.

- Your Highlight on page 308 | location 5976-5976 | Added on Wednesday, 29 March 2023 23:08:47

The amplitude of light is proportional to the number of photons.

- Your Highlight on page 313 | location 6064-6067 | Added on Thursday, 30 March 2023 23:16:51

Frames of reference are essential to the understanding of ontological mathematics, metaphysics and physics. The radii of the Euler Circle analytically furnish all possible reference frames. Each interaxial radius involves a different speed through space (hence also a different speed through time), resulting in different time dilation, length contraction and mass dilation for each reference frame.

- Your Highlight on page 314 | location 6081-6083 | Added on Thursday, 30 March 2023 23:20:40

Although it's hard to imagine, it's a fact that all feelings are actually wavefunctions made of basis light waves. The content of an "emotional" wavefunction (i.e. a wavefunction experienced as a feeling rather than as a perception) is compounded from the content of the basis light waves from which it is constituted.

- Your Highlight on page 315 | location 6094-6098 | Added on Thursday, 30 March 2023 23:23:25

God is the supreme communist—"We're all in it together; All for One, and One for All." The Devil is the most extreme libertarian—"Fuck everyone else as long as I'm fine." God is the Superego, the Devil the Id, while the Ego is the advocate that stands between them, pleading both their causes and reflecting the reality principle: that we live in a world of good and evil, God and the Devil, altruism and hedonism, selflessness and selfishness, the collective and the individual, left wing (morality; the good of all; the general will) and right wing (immorality; the good of one; the particular will).

- Your Highlight on page 316 | location 6113-6117 | Added on Thursday, 30 March 2023 23:26:25

Everyone who reads this book is part of the cognitive elite. The fact that you are here reading this signifies that, no matter your educational attainment, or how you perceive your own intelligence, you are one of the smartest people on earth, easily in the top 1%. The fact that the world has not recognized your talent is not your fault, it's the world's. We live in a Dunning-Kruger world, an Idiocracy, a Moronarchy, where the dunces imagine they are the smart ones and scoff at all those who are infinitely smarter than they are.

- Your Highlight on page 316 | location 6126-6128 | Added on Thursday, 30 March 2023 23:27:49

What is Euler's Formula? It's the thought generator. It's the source of all basis thoughts. It's the mind equation, the soul equation, the God equation. Euler's Formula is the ontological basis of thought and mind, from which all other things are derived.

- Your Highlight on page 320 | location 6202-6206 | Added on Thursday, 30 March 2023 23:37:46

As Nietzsche said, "Let us look one another in the face. We are Hyperboreans—we know well enough how much out of the way we live. 'Neither by land nor sea shalt thou find the road to the Hyperboreans': Pindar already knew that of us. Beyond the North, beyond the ice, beyond death—our life, our happiness... We have discovered happiness, we know the road, we have found the exit out of whole millennia of labyrinth. Who else has found it?" No one. We are it. We are the only ones that have found the path. Ahead of us are the gods themselves. They are beckoning to us.

Hive-Mind Dreaming: The Amazing World of Collective Dreaming (The Truth Series Book 15) (Stark, Dr. Thomas)

- Your Note on page 3 | location 41 | Added on Saturday, 1 April 2023 23:03:50

Er... but what about the Collective Unconscious? By definition that is a shared resource.

- Your Highlight on page 3 | location 39-41 | Added on Saturday, 1 April 2023 23:03:50

What is a mind like on its own? What can it do? Anything? The closest we get to this state is when we dream. In a dream, our unconscious mind serves content to our conscious mind. It's all about our own mind. Nothing else is involved.

- Your Highlight on page 4 | location 52-54 | Added on Saturday, 1 April 2023 23:05:47

It's not a material world—there's no such thing—it's a dreamworld constructed by minds acting in concert, and that changes the entire basis of what we consider reality to be. We're not the victims of matter, we are its authors. Matter did not make us. We made matter. We did so together, using dream physics, which is none other than the profound subject known as ontological mathematics.

- Your Highlight on page 5 | location 83-85 | Added on Saturday, 1 April 2023 23:09:57

A specific mathematical function exists for "docking" an individual mind to a body (avatar) in the collective dreamworld. All manner of mathematical subroutines—mathematical archetypes—exist for allowing mind to control "matter" (bodies).

- Your Highlight on page 6 | location 89-91 | Added on Saturday, 1 April 2023 23:10:30

Reincarnation is just one of many mathematical functions linking private minds to public bodies. The ultimate task is to find out what all of these functions are. Once we control these, we will have total control of reality.

- Your Highlight on page 6 | location 97-100 | Added on Saturday, 1 April 2023 23:11:40

The world is simply a mental construct—a holographic projection beamed from the Hive Mind. It's a hologram that we misinterpret as a material world. There is no matter. There is only mind and mental content produced by mind. There is just Hive Mind and what the Hive Mind produces, individually and collectively. "God" is the Hive Mind in a particular mathematical state, that of exactly zero entropy. The "World" is the Hive Mind's entropic product.

- Your Highlight on page 7 | location 117-121 | Added on Saturday, 1 April 2023 23:16:19

In the Hive-Mind Dreaming theory, it's the collective unconscious—linking all the minds in the hive—that produces the collective dream, the objective public dream ... the "world". The personal unconscious produces private dreams while the collective unconscious produces the public dream. Our conscious mind simply switches between what our personal unconscious serves up (during sleep), and what the collective unconscious serves up (during waking). That's it. It's the simplest possible system.

- Your Highlight on page 7 | location 125-127 | Added on Saturday, 1 April 2023 23:16:59

What is the purpose of the Hive Mind? It's to achieve the perfect collective dream—heaven—and that's exactly what it accomplishes at the end of the universe. Heaven is attained, and then the dream begins again. A new dream, a new world. Although every cosmic dream is different, it always ends the same way—in perfect heaven. Yet it also begins the same way—as hell, the Big Bang, the Cosmic Inferno.

- Your Highlight on page 8 | location 128-137 | Added on Saturday, 1 April 2023 23:18:25

We are all guaranteed to reach perfection. It's baked in. Yet we all have to go through hell to get there, as we all know. There is a general heaven and also a particular heaven. The general heaven is where we all enjoy bliss together. Particular heaven is where we personally reunite with our most loved ones—our family, our best friends, our lovers, our fondest pets, and so on. We are all fated to meet our soul mates over and over again through the lifecycle of the cosmos, and our relationships gets closer and closer, more and more intense, every time. Nothing is as wondrous as the Hive Mind. The Collective Unconscious is God, the Creator of the world, while the personal unconscious is the individual creator, of our private dreamworld. We differ from God only in degree, not in kind. God is simply the whole collection of minds like ours. When all minds operate together, they are God. When they operate separately, they are non-God. Non-God is the state of isolation, of fragmentation and isolation.

- Your Highlight on page 9 | location 158-159 | Added on Saturday, 1 April 2023 23:21:55

The Self is the Unity Archetype. The Shadow is the Division Archetype. The Anima/Animus is the Harmony Archetype.

- Your Highlight on page 10 | location 174-175 | Added on Saturday, 1 April 2023 23:23:05

You have to bring the unconscious into consciousness. You have to make peace with the Archetypes. In fact, there are Archetypes for helping us to access higher states and become Higher Humanity, but we must deal with the lower Archetypes first.

- Your Highlight on page 13 | location 235-239 | Added on Sunday, 2 April 2023 22:38:01

To properly understand Einstein's theories, you have to consider the theory from the perspective of light, not from the perspective of spacetime, yet that's exactly the perspective physics forbids—because it overthrows scientific materialism. Einstein's theories are pure holenmerism, but we alone have understood this. Holenmerism is how you unify general relativity and quantum mechanics, but there is no scientist on earth working on holenmerism, which is to say on Hive Mind theory, the theory of a Cosmic Mind made of light! Light is pure math. Light is all about sinusoidal waves generated by Euler's Formula.

- Your Highlight on page 14 | location 241-242 | Added on Sunday, 2 April 2023 22:38:10

The Collective Unconscious is fully present in every part of the universe, which means that everything is connected by that means, unconsciously.

- Your Highlight on page 30 | location 525-525 | Added on Monday, 3 April 2023 22:29:44

"Great minds discuss ideas, average minds discuss events, small minds discuss people."

- Your Highlight on page 33 | location 572-576 | Added on Monday, 3 April 2023 22:39:32

Imagine minds as having windows which they can open or close, and they can shutter all the windows. A fully closed mind has closed and shuttered all of its windows. Nothing can get in or out. It's entirely self-contained. The monads can also remove the shutters, throw open all the windows and fully interact with each other. They can then build a whole universe together. But minds can also selectively open some windows and keep others closed. They can open the windows to targeted minds, and exclude other minds. This is how mental telepathy is possible.

- Your Highlight on page 34 | location 593-594 | Added on Monday, 3 April 2023 22:42:07

Telepathy is a misnomer. We are in fact all in automatic mental contact with each other, but at the level of the Collective Unconscious. With lucid dreaming, we can bring unconscious links between minds into consciousness.

- Your Highlight on page 34 | location 597-599 | Added on Monday, 3 April 2023 22:43:05

Our minds actually have to work hard to shield themselves from other minds. At the level of the Collective Unconscious, there is no shielding, and all minds are linked to each other.

- Your Highlight on page 40 | location 710-713 | Added on Tuesday, 4 April 2023 22:06:13

Do we secrete dream hormones? Can we concentrate dream hormones and have even more powerful dreams, dreams where we have the power of gods? Are archetypes available to allow us to dream better, bigger, more

powerful dreams? Can we turn dream hormones on and off? Could we eliminate the capacity to dream by suppressing dream hormones?

- Your Note on page 41 | location 724 | Added on Tuesday, 4 April 2023 22:09:53

I'm sure this is the first mention of Joe Dixon and the Mandarin Conspiracy. Is it related to the Armageddon Conspiracy?

- Your Highlight on page 41 | location 719-724 | Added on Tuesday, 4 April 2023 22:09:53

Did you love our latest Joe Dixon book? It caused quite the stir. It unmasked the conspirators, it flushed them out. It left them red-faced and raging, shaking their fists futilely at the heavens. You have no idea how deep the rabbit hole goes, the extent of the conspiracy. Our webpage about the Mandarin Conspiracy reveals all. There you will discover the guilty parties. Will you find your own face in the gallery of high traitors? Many will. To each we say, "...we separate him from the society of all Illuminists, we exclude him from the bosom of our Illuminated Brotherhood and Sisterhood, we declare him excommunicated and anathematized and we judge him condemned to eternal fire with Satan and his angels and all the reprobate..."

- Your Highlight on page 42 | location 736-739 | Added on Tuesday, 4 April 2023 22:13:00

What the ego wants to do is unite with the Self, which is both its Higher Self, and also the direct link to God (the Collective Unconscious). Bicameralism, the Hive Mind and Jungian psychology all fit together perfectly. This will become the default model for the operations of the psyche, once humanity escapes from the clutches of nihilistic materialism.

- Your Highlight on page 43 | location 756-761 | Added on Tuesday, 4 April 2023 22:16:33

Remember, the world is a collective dream, and we are its dreamers, hence we can change it—if we all cooperate. Nothing is more important than the realization that we can literally alter "material" reality with our minds, but we have to collaborate or we can achieve nothing. Just as the individual mind can change an individual dream effortlessly, the collective mind can effortlessly the change the collective dream, but only if minds are indeed working collectively, with common, directed intent.

- Your Highlight on page 44 | location 769-772 | Added on Tuesday, 4 April 2023 22:18:41

Nothing can match the strength of the dreaming of the tribe, the strength of the dream leader, the orchestrator, the conductor, the shaman, the witch, channeling and focusing the collective power. Nothing can match the power of unity and wholeness, everyone operating as one.

- Your Highlight on page 45 | location 793-798 | Added on Tuesday, 4 April 2023 22:22:12

Religion is a kind of self-hypnosis. You internalize it. It becomes your identity. Your consciousness is then constructed by the religion. Your thoughts have ceased to be your own. The likes of Christians, Jews and Muslims are not conscious beings. They are the nodes of a virus ... the religious virus that has infected them and taken them over. Religion hardwires itself into your inception system ... you become capable of having only those thoughts compatible with your religious faith. Everything else gets pushed into the Shadow, where it becomes monstrous and is then projected onto everyone who does not share your religious beliefs.

Base Reality: Ultimate Existence (The Truth Series Book 16) (Stark, Dr. Thomas)

- Your Highlight on page 3 | location 51-55 | Added on Thursday, 6 April 2023 21:42:21

What about matter? Here's what three Nobel laureates said. First, Max Planck, founder of quantum theory, said, "As a man who has devoted his whole life to the most clearheaded science, to the study of matter, I can tell you as a result of my research about the atoms this much: There is no matter as such!" Next, Niels Bohr said, "Everything we call real is made of things that cannot be regarded as real." Lastly, Werner Heisenberg said, "...the smallest units of matter are not physical objects in the ordinary sense; they are forms, ideas which can be expressed unambiguously only in mathematical language."

- Your Highlight on page 4 | location 80-81 | Added on Thursday, 6 April 2023 21:46:04

"God", in fact, if we wish to properly define it, is simply zero-entropy mathematics. It's a perfect mathematical Singularity. The physical world, by contrast is simply entropic mathematics, i.e. a spacetime world of matter. What could be simpler than that?

- Your Highlight on page 10 | location 191-193 | Added on Thursday, 6 April 2023 21:59:43

Light is the foundation of existence. Light is thought and thoughts comprise mind. A mind is simply a complete set of basis thoughts, from which all other thoughts are constructed by combination of basis thoughts, just as all words are created by combining the basis letters of the alphabet.

- Your Highlight on page 10 | location 196-199 | Added on Thursday, 6 April 2023 22:00:19

Science's materialistic periodic table begins with the element hydrogen with atomic number 1. It should in fact begin with photons, with atomic number 0. Photons comprise the mental periodic table, which precedes and generates the material periodic table. All atoms are just combinations of light waves, subject to particular phase adjustments.

- Your Highlight on page 12 | location 221-227 | Added on Thursday, 6 April 2023 22:05:23

Jung, like the Pythagoreans, paid special attention to the numbers 1, 2, 3 and 4. One corresponds to unity (non-differentiation); two corresponds to division (polarity, opposition, differentiation; first plurality); three corresponds to synthesis (movement toward resolution; the trinity); and four corresponds to stability (wholeness, quaternity, mandala). Jung speculated that number is the most primitive archetype of order. This idea turns out to be core to ontological mathematics. The essence of order is number and the sequences into which numbers can enter. Order is impossible without number, and therefore the cosmos (the ordered

227

universe) is impossible without number, hence the cosmos must be grounded in mathematics.

- Your Highlight on page 15 | location 283-286 | Added on Thursday, 6 April 2023 22:10:08

The answer to existence must have the following ten characteristics: it must be 1) eternal, 2) necessary, 3) a priori (prior to any experience), 4) complete, 5) consistent, 6) maximally coherent, 7) maximally stable, 8) maximally analytic, 9) maximally simple and 10) maximally general. Only ontological mathematics qualifies. It is the unique solution to existence.

- Your Note on page 17 | location 326 | Added on Friday, 7 April 2023 21:31:18

Huh? The different classes of number are hardly artificial!

- Your Highlight on page 17 | location 325-326 | Added on Friday, 7 April 2023 21:31:18

When humans talk about natural numbers, whole numbers, integers, rational numbers, irrational numbers, and so on, they are picking out artificial features of numbers and trying to separate them from each other when in fact they exist as a total

- Your Highlight on page 20 | location 376-376 | Added on Sunday, 9 April 2023 22:10:31

Content without form is impossible, as is form without content. Form is always ontological because it is always accompanied by content.

- Your Highlight on page 20 | location 386-386 | Added on Sunday, 9 April 2023 22:11:26

Everything you see is number, or number sequences, to be more precise.

- Your Highlight on page 21 | location 397-400 | Added on Sunday, 9 April 2023 22:14:01

The universe is a living mathematical organism coming to know itself through itself, through overcoming itself and returning to itself at a higher level. It is a mental system obeying an evolving conceptual dialectic of thesis, antithesis and synthesis, converging on an Omega Point, the Absolute ("God"). God is the culmination of cosmological thought. God is zero entropy = perfection.

- Your Highlight on page 23 | location 411-413 | Added on Sunday, 9 April 2023 22:15:37

Reason, when understood ontologically, takes on an entirely different meaning from the one conventionally assigned to it. It takes on the extra "dimensions" of emotion, perception, intuition, desire and will. All of these are involved in the intricate nexus for providing sufficient reasons for actions.

- Your Highlight on page 24 | location 432-434 | Added on Sunday, 9 April 2023 22:18:58

There are those who believe that our system is totally against feelings. How could it be? Feelings are sufficient reasons for action, hence are critical to our system. Feelings, like everything else, belong to the ontological mathematical system of syntax and semantics. They are integral to our system.

- Your Highlight on page 26 | location 476-482 | Added on Monday, 10 April 2023 21:13:52

At no time has science ever justified privileging perceiving over conceiving and in fact, by science's own method, perceiving in and of itself would be useless were it not followed up by conceiving (working out a hypothesis to account for what has been perceived). Ontological mathematics goes in the opposition direction and privileges conceiving over perceiving, which is why it is based on reason, logic, the a priori, analytic and deductive. Perceiving is of value only as an empirical test. In science, by contrast, conceiving is of value only as a generator of hypotheses to accommodate perceptions. It is dismissed as an essentially unreal, mental activity, while perceptions are deemed to furnish reality.

- Your Highlight on page 34 | location 647-653 | Added on Tuesday, 11 April 2023 20:52:35

Jung is saying that when you remove directedness and activity from thinking it undergoes a phase change from judging to perceiving, and morphs into the irrational function of intuition. Likewise, when you remove directedness and activity from feeling, it undergoes a phase change from judging to perceiving, and morphs into the irrational function of sensing. Intuition is not a process of thinking but a flash of thinking. As for feeling, when we have removed all emotion from something we are looking at, all that remains is what we are looking at. Intuition is thinking stripped of judgment, while sensing is feeling stripped of judgment. All you are left with is the data of the moment, prior to any judgmental processing.

- Your Highlight on page 41 | location 786-789 | Added on Tuesday, 11 April 2023 21:14:21

Consciousness is not perception. Consciousness is thinking about perception, thinking about emotion, thinking about intuition, and, finally and most importantly, thinking about thinking.

- Your Highlight on page 45 | location 860-861 | Added on Wednesday, 12 April 2023 21:53:26

Science is a product of the human condition. When you remove the human condition, you are left with ontological mathematics.

- Your Highlight on page 48 | location 922-928 | Added on Wednesday, 12 April 2023 22:04:59

Existence is nowhere. There is no sufficient reason for it to be anywhere in particular. After all, nowhere can be rationally privileged over anywhere else. Why here and not there? So, the whole of reality unfolds nowhere, in

a single point of nothing—the Singularity, the Cosmic Mind. But everything happens strictly within this nowhere, i.e. all things happen somewhere within nowhere, within the Singularity. The Singularity is absolute and the spacetime hologram it internally projects is relative to it. The Singularity thus has a complex and dynamic internal structure, which we are all living in and experiencing. The whole of reality unfolds within a single point located nowhere. Trippy, man! It's all a well-founded mathematical illusion.

- Your Highlight on page 62 | location 1185-1187 | Added on Saturday, 15 April 2023 09:57:24

the only possible universe is the simplest universe, and it will automatically be the richest in phenomena. That's because the simplest solution is the most general, the most universal. If you added more and more things to the most general solution, you would make it less and less general and more and more specific.

- Your Highlight on page 64 | location 1220-1224 | Added on Saturday, 15 April 2023 10:06:03

If the "God" conceived by Abrahamists actually existed, then he would be required to use the simplest possible mathematics, because it would be perverse for him to use anything superfluous. But if God used the simplest possible mathematics to construct the world then the question is automatically raised of what God itself is made of. How could God be made of anything other than existence in its simplest possible form—exactly the same as mathematics itself? In other words, God and mathematics are one and the same: all-powerful, all-knowing, all-seeing, ubiquitous.

- Your Highlight on page 65 | location 1247-1253 | Added on Saturday, 15 April 2023 10:12:52

Here's another vital consideration. The cosmic operations of the mathematical God are by default performed unconsciously and archetypally. They contain inherent design and intelligence because they are intrinsically mathematical, the ontological basis of reason and logic. Consciousness is something that evolves, in individual monadic minds, from the Collective Unconscious of God, and has the purpose of bringing all of us to a conscious understanding that we are, collectively, God. This process of coming to consciousness actually belongs to a mathematical law that the universe has to reduce its entropy to zero in order to bring a cosmic cycle to an end. Only when the universe has reached zero entropy can a Big Bang occur and a new cosmic cycle start.

- Your Highlight on page 77 | location 1460-1470 | Added on Saturday, 15 April 2023 22:57:28

Ilmari Jauhiainen wrote, "[In Hegel's system,] we can arrive at epistemological perfection—knowledge of totality of everything or absolute—undoubtedly, not by a route of infinite progression of adding more and more material to our cognition, but at one fell swoop, perhaps

through some mystical or organic apprehension of the whole." In fact, we arrive at the "one fell swoop" answer via a single, master principle—the PSR, expressed mathematically as Euler's Formula—and ontologically conveyed by eternal, necessary minds (monads), which then create everything else. This is an entirely whole, closed system. This is analytic infinity and thus good infinity. Alfred Weber wrote, "The infinite is the essence of the finite, and the finite is the manifestation of the infinite, the infinite existing. Infinity determines itself, limits itself, sets boundaries to itself; in a word, it becomes the finite by the very fact that it gives itself existence. Existence is possible only under certain conditions, in certain modes, or within certain limits. Existence is self-limitation. Existence is finite being. Finite being, the individual, the atom, is infinity existing in a certain manner, limited infinity: quality becomes quantity."

- Your Highlight on page 77 | location 1471-1480 | Added on Saturday, 15 April 2023 22:59:03

The whole must express itself through parts, which is to say the eternal must express itself through the temporal, frequency must express itself through spacetime, the necessary must express itself through the contingent, the a priori must express itself through the a posteriori, the analytic must express itself through the synthetic, non-entropy must express itself through entropy ... the infinite must express itself through the finite. We can say that if the finite is the thesis and the infinite is the antithesis then reality—the finite-infinite hybrid—is the dialectical synthesis. The finite and the infinite are, we might say, abstractions. Their union is the concrete reality. Wherever you find the finite, the part, you also find the infinite, the whole, and vice versa. Only a reality based on net-zero circles and net-zero waves—i.e. singularities—can deliver this. Science is based on particles and lines, not waves and circles. Quantum mechanics and string theory are painfully slowly inching towards the truth. Ontological mathematics has already arrived there.

- Your Highlight on page 82 | location 1546-1554 | Added on Sunday, 16 April 2023 23:43:16

Being is existence. Non-Being is the absence of existence; non-existence. Being is existence that is unlimited or unqualified. Becoming is existence that is limited or qualified. Being is eternal and necessary. Becoming is temporal and contingent. Being is infinite and Becoming is finite. Becoming is derived from Being. There can be no Becoming without Being. And there can be no Being that does not give rise to Becoming.

- Your Highlight on page 83 | location 1571-1583 | Added on Sunday, 16 April 2023 23:47:46

Form is Being; Matter is Becoming. Being involves unlimited—i.e. eternal—existence. Being exists without qualification or dependency. It cannot not exist. The essence of Being is to exist. Being does not exist anywhere or

anywhen or have any qualities that would limit or distinguish it from any other existence. All Being has the same character and qualities, i.e. all monads, as Being, are identical. Monads become distinguishable only via Becoming. When a monadic Being generates Becoming, it generates a unique Becoming. All monads in relation to Being are the same. All monads in relation to Becoming are different. The involution of the universe (the Big Bang) is the birth of Becoming. The evolution of Becoming terminates with the Absolute, the Omega Point, the return of Becoming to Being, in preparation for the next universe of Becoming. Being has no entropy. Becoming is entirely entropic. God is Being. The World—the creation, the construct, of Being—is Becoming. We are all one as God, as Being. We are all separate as non-God, as Becoming. (We are the Devil!)

- Your Note on page 87 | location 1662 | Added on Monday, 17 April 2023 23:24:04

Beavers build dams, birds build nests, foxes have lairs, primates build leafy beds in trees.

- Your Highlight on page 87 | location 1660-1662 | Added on Monday, 17 April 2023 23:24:04

Think of an animal. It looks at its world and at no time does it think to itself, "I'm going to build a totally different environment for myself, a much more comfortable one. In fact, I'm going to change the whole nature of the world so that it better suits me."

- Your Highlight on page 88 | location 1674-1675 | Added on Monday, 17 April 2023 23:26:39

The collective unconscious that serves all monadic minds gives rise to a personal unconscious in each monadic mind, and the personal unconscious gives rise in due course to a personal conscious.

- Your Highlight on page 99 | location 1893-1896 | Added on Thursday, 20 April 2023 22:50:06

In his analogy of the divided line, Plato divided into four segments a line representing the modes of operation of the psyche with respect to the world. The lower two sections represent the visible (sensible) order while the higher two represent the intelligible order. As you go from the lower to the higher, you are increasing the level of reality and truth. The lowest level is conjecture, followed by belief, followed by thought, and finally understanding.

- Your Highlight on page 100 | location 1902-1906 | Added on Thursday, 20 April 2023 22:51:51

Corresponding to these four levels are four states of the psyche, beginning with the highest: 1) knowledge/ understanding/ intuition (noesis); 2) discursive thought, which means proceeding by reasoning or argument

rather than intuition (dianoia); 3) confidence/belief (pistis); 4) conjecture/ opinion (eikasia).

- Your Highlight on page 103 | location 1964-1966 | Added on Friday, 21 April 2023 23:26:15

Becoming arises from Being via involution and returns to Being via evolution. Becoming is always bounded by Being. There is no such thing as Becoming as an independent entity. Becoming is always conditional, provisional. Being, by contrast is forever.

- Your Highlight on page 106 | location 2020-2048 | Added on Sunday, 23 April 2023 22:47:52

Philosophy has been unable to rise above speculation. Like science, it has no first principles, no core principles, no adamantine foundations. Unlike science, philosophy does not have a method to relate it to the world (science relates to the world via observing the world), and unlike science it does not use mathematics (the quintessence of reason and logic), so philosophy then wanders around blindly, not guided by either empiricism or rationalism, not directed by a method, not directed by first principles, but entirely based on the conjectures of hopeless, deluded Mandarins. How is that ever going to lead to anything good? Philosophy has refused to make itself a stable, reliable fount of knowledge. It has a simple choice now. It should ally itself with theology and become totally irrelevant, ally itself with science, in which case it will be entirely subsumed by science (it more or less is already), or ally itself with mathematics and become an impregnable bastion of reason and logic. But most philosophers detest mathematics. It's too hard for them. These people are humanities graduates, not graduates of "hard" subjects. They're not good enough for science, not good enough for mathematics, and too good for theology, so they exist in a strange limbo where they have no real role. Modern philosophers resemble the absurd "projectors" described by Jonathan Swift in Gulliver's Travels. Gulliver is taken on a tour through the Grand Academy of Lagado, a think-tank populated by inventors of perfectly useless or insane conceptions and contraptions. Philosophers aren't inventors of gadgets, only of outlandish and usually silly ideas, cut off from reason and logic, or using pseudo-reason and pseudo-logic. Samuel Johnson in his 1755 Dictionary defined a "projector" as: 1) One who forms schemes or designs; 2) One who forms wild, impracticable schemes. That more or less describes today's philosophers. "Projector" was a pejorative term commonly used in the eighteenth century to refer to eccentric inventors and strongly associated with dreamers, schemers, speculators, cheats, hacks, snake oil salesmen, charlatans, promoters of bubble companies, and so on. Modern philosophy has degenerated into mere conjecture. Science involves observation, hypothesis and testing. Philosophy does not observe and does not test. It is mere hypothesis that never does and never will lead anywhere. Philosophy

isn't anchored by anything. In the past, in the days of true philosophy, Grand Philosophy, all hypotheses were framed within a Big Idea, an all-embracing system. But these grand narratives have all been removed from philosophy. Today's philosophers are small thinkers, pygmy thinkers, tinkering with ideas in and around the paradigm of scientism. Philosophers aren't literate enough scientifically or mathematically to offer any practical critique of science, so all they do is speculate pointlessly on the margins, to no effect. The world doesn't care what they say. They are irrelevant to the world. Stephen Hawking said, "Philosophy is dead. Philosophers have not kept up with modern developments in science." Philosophy hasn't kept up with developments in anything. Only one thing is to blame ... the kind of person who today practices philosophy. Philosophers are a dismal bunch. They seem not to care that they have become a joke and serve no function at all.

- Your Highlight on page 117 | location 2229-2234 | Added on Monday, 24 April 2023 22:04:28

So, the Id is amoral while the Superego, as the agent of morality, is also the agent of immorality since to know the one is to automatically know the other. It is the Ego that decides whether to enact an amoral Id action or a moral or immoral Superego action. The Ego obeys the reality principle. The Superego, it turns out, isn't just the foundation of our morality, but also of our immorality. It's not the Id that is immoral, it's the Superego. The Id is simply amoral. Only that which is moral can also be immoral.

- Your Highlight on page 125 | location 2380-2392 | Added on Tuesday, 25 April 2023 22:10:40

Robert A. Heinlein wrote, "Most people can't think, most of the remainder won't think, the small fraction who do think mostly can't do it very well. The extremely tiny fraction who think regularly, accurately, creatively, and without self-delusion, in the long run these are the only people who count." We need a world where everyone can think: clearly, critically and analytically. Imagine how powerful and wondrous such a world would be. We need a Revolt of the Experts, the Sages, against the Mandarin elite that control the world's Prussian education system. We need an Intellectual Insurgency. The intelligentsia must take on all the great orthodoxies and overthrow them all. No safe spaces for the Mandarins, no trigger warnings. Deplatform them. End their malevolent reign. The Prussian model of education says, "Obey authority." It is designed to produce factory workers and soldiers who do not question authority. It is designed to produce worker bees rather than freethinkers. It is designed to produce subjects that know their place, who know who the rightful elite are. The Prussian education model has morphed into today's Mandarin education system, run by careerist functionaries, bureaucrats, drones, drudges, dullards and bores, who usually hate their students and are only interested in wannabe

fellow Mandarins. The world is changing. Education must change most of all.

- Your Note on page 134 | location 2533 | Added on Wednesday, 26 April 2023 22:19:50

Did I read somewhere that Edgar Allan Poe was Illuminatus? [UPDATE: I read that he would have become an Illuminatus had he not died at the young age of 40.]

- Your Highlight on page 134 | location 2533-2534 | Added on Wednesday, 26 April 2023 22:19:50

Edgar Allan Poe wrote, "Every other science than Logic is the science of certain concrete relations."

- Your Highlight on page 135 | location 2559-2559 | Added on Wednesday, 26 April 2023 22:22:23

Hegel said, "The history of the world is none other than the progress of the consciousness of freedom."

- Your Highlight on page 140 | location 2654-2657 | Added on Wednesday, 26 April 2023 22:46:41

Prime numbers are often described as the building blocks of mathematics. The fundamental theorem of arithmetic states that every natural number greater than 1 is either a prime number or can be factorized as a product of prime numbers. The primes are the 'atoms' of the natural numbers. With prime numbers you can build up all other numbers, the composite numbers (the molecular numbers). Prime numbers cannot be broken down into smaller parts.]

- Your Highlight on page 151 | location 2856-2868 | Added on Friday, 28 April 2023 22:27:02

The pyramid is the perfect symbol to represent the process of evolution. The shape of the pyramid, its convergence from a broad base to a point, to an apex, a zenith, represents the ever-increasing perfection of the universe through evolution towards the Omega Point. The pyramid's base can be likened to the maximum degree of space, time and matter—the physical state furthest from the point, the apex of the pyramid. The apex is the Singularity, where there is no space, no time, no matter. The base is matter, while the apex is mind. The base is the inversion of the apex. The apex, the Singularity, produced the base (spacetime) at the Big Bang. This was an involution event. Evolution, mentally, shrinks the base until, at the Big Crunch, it has vanished entirely and become simply a point. In Pythagorean terms, solid figures become plane figures, plane figures become lines, lines become points, and points become the Monad, the Source, the One. In terms of calculus, integration adds dimensionality. Points (OD) become lines

(1D), becomes planes (2D), become solids (3D). Differentiation reverses the process, terminating with points, the foundations. The symbol of the incomplete pyramid denotes that evolution hasn't completed. The unfinished pyramid represents the current unfinished state of the universe, the world, and humanity. The Great Work is not yet achieved. The capstone is the critical component, the piece that completes the puzzle.

- Your Highlight on page 152 | location 2874-2881 | Added on Friday, 28 April 2023 22:28:14

On the American one-dollar bill, the All-Seeing Eye of Providence—an eye surrounded by rays of light or a glory—watches over the world and humanity, which are represented by an unfinished pyramid. The All-Seeing Eye supervises the Great Work from the immaterial domain, from the Source. It is the Panopticon. It sees all from a single point. It is the Watcher on High. Come with us to the summit of the pyramid, to the perfection of the universe and the perfection of yourself. At the top of the pyramid are the gods and goddesses themselves—fully optimized, perfected minds. They have reached the Hyper Point, the Point Above All. Join us. Join the Society of the Divine. Join the Community of the Gods. Do not worship God. Become God.

- Your Highlight on page 153 | location 2904-2906 | Added on Friday, 28 April 2023 22:30:49

The endpoint of evolution is the Absolute, the All, the One, the Source. It's the universe become completely conscious of itself. It's the end of the domain of matter. All of us have reached complete perfection as immaterial Minds. We have achieved the divine state of zero entropy.

- Your Highlight on page 156 | location 2954-2957 | Added on Friday, 28 April 2023 22:36:25

Gödel's fundamental error in his incompleteness theorems was to treat mathematics as an abstraction rather than as ontological. Any abstraction can be treated in alternative abstract ways. Gödel's entire proof depended on being able to express manmade symbols as numbers and then to manipulate the resultant numbers—so-called Gödel numbers—as if they were valid numerically. This can be done legitimately in an abstract sense, but is wholly invalid ontologically.

- Your Note on page 160 | location 3032 | Added on Saturday, 29 April 2023 23:02:12

So is Descartes' claim that mind is unextended, together with the idea that photons are unextended, the basis for the PI's notion that light is thought?

- Your Highlight on page 160 | location 3030-3033 | Added on Saturday, 29 April 2023 23:02:12

Hydrogen atoms are physical atoms. Photons are mental atoms. According to Descartes, all material things are extended while all mental things are

unextended. Photons, as unextended, massless, dimensionless entities outside space and time (they reflect maximum length contraction and maximum time dilation), qualify as perfect agents of the Cartesian mind. This concept, by itself, totally changes the whole basis of science.

- Your Highlight on page 164 | location 3119-3128 | Added on Sunday, 30 April 2023 23:24:32

The world of photons furnishes an entirely mathematical viewpoint, the viewpoint of the mind, the soul, of eternity, of the Ontological Singularity, of what came before the Big Bang. What preceded matter was pure light, light in itself. All matter is derived from light. The state that preceded the Big Bang was a state of pure light, obeying the simplest possible symmetry, the U(1) gauge symmetry associated with light (electromagnetism). What could be more obvious than that we start with the simplest possibly symmetry then break it and create the more complex symmetries associated with space and time? The simplest symmetry is the mind symmetry. All the other symmetries that science describes are matter symmetries, derived from mind via various symmetry-breaking operations caused by the wave property of non-orthogonal phase.

- Your Highlight on page 166 | location 3143-3145 | Added on Sunday, 30 April 2023 23:29:28

When you switch on a light bulb, you are not creating light. You are creating a spacetime, material interaction with light, which you then misinterpret because you are seeing reality from the viewpoint of spacetime, and not from the viewpoint of the photons, outside space and time.

- Your Highlight on page 167 | location 3166-3168 | Added on Sunday, 30 April 2023 23:33:54

This is a universe of light and of all the relations into which light can enter. Matter is one of light's primary products, and is created via applying complex symmetries to light via the mathematical property of phase.

- Your Highlight on page 171 | location 3241-3259 | Added on Sunday, 30 April 2023 23:46:29

In fact, we know absolutely everything about God and we ourselves are God. There is no other God. We are just different, entropic perspectives on God, which is our zero-entropy collective state. We are the shattered mirror, but we all came from the same whole mirror. When we attain zero entropy, we all see ourselves as one, as God. Between us, we contain all the power, all the energy, in the universe. At zero entropy, at a temperature of Absolute Zero—the perfect temperature of the superconductive, friction-free mind—we reason perfectly, manifest perfect logic, and have crystal clear, infinite perception. We see perfectly and we see that we are all cells of a single Cosmic Mind, which is God. We are all the components of God. There is no divinity except us. We are God splintered. When we all unite, we become the True God, the only God there is. But what is harder than

union? It is the most difficult thing there is. What is the Devil? It is simply the entropic force of extreme individualism telling you that you individually are great—divine—and the collective is evil, a monster, the Devil. In fact, the exact opposite is true. It is extreme individualism that is Satanic, while it is extreme collectivism that is divine. There are two forces bringing us all together: the force of love, and the force of reason. There are two forces driving us all apart: the force of hate (which includes extreme self-love, and extreme love of family and tribe, these being the primary drivers of hate and division), and the force of unreason, leading to subjectivism, relativism and the pernicious gospel of all paths and all truths. Coming together is not simple. Actually, it's as hard as it gets. It involves adopting a completely different perspective—altruism rather than selfishness. Few people can claim that they are anywhere near that state. But at least many know what the target state is. That's a start. They now have their goal. Meritocracy, based on equal opportunities for everyone, regardless of background, is the first political step towards paradise. Genuine meritocracy is based on pure altruism, not unadulterated selfishness. That's why it requires 100% inheritance tax.

- Your Highlight on page 173 | location 3291-3293 | Added on Sunday, 30 April 2023 23:51:01

Carnegie wrote, "He that cannot reason is a fool. He that will not is a bigot. He that dare not is a slave." We are in a world of fools, bigots and slaves. Reason is the antidote.

- Your Highlight on page 180 | location 3422-3426 | Added on Monday, 1 May 2023 22:32:52

Some people believe that God is the center of the moral universe. In fact, God is not moral at all. It is impossible for either God or the Devil to serve any moral role whatsoever since God can do no evil and the Devil can do no good, hence these individuals cannot choose between good and evil. They cannot exercise moral judgment. They are like robots. They are actually amoral, outside the moral order. They are creatures of the Id, not the Superego.

- Your Highlight on page 182 | location 3457-3460 | Added on Tuesday, 2 May 2023 22:26:48

When a monadic mind's body dies, the mind enters its own Limbo—it has direct access only to its own infinite, unconstructed dream space. It is "saved" from Limbo only by acquiring a new body, thus allowing it to reenter the collective, structured dream space of the Collective Mind (the Monadic Collective, primarily expressed through the Collective Unconscious).

- Your Highlight on page 184 | location 3495-3497 | Added on Tuesday, 2 May 2023 22:32:35

Marie-Louise Von Franz, a prominent Jungian disciple, wrote, "To sum up: numbers appear to represent both an attribute of matter and the unconscious foundation of our mental processes. For this reason, number forms, according to Jung, that particular element that unites the realms of matter and psyche."

- Your Highlight on page 184 | location 3504-3507 | Added on Tuesday, 2 May 2023 22:35:00

is essential to treat mathematics archetypally, as at the heart of the Collective Unconscious, from which it enters consciousness, and also as qualitative as well as quantitative. Mathematics, seen as dealing purely with quantity, is an abstraction. Once you confer quality on it too, once you make it something that can be subjectively, concretely experienced, you make it ontological. This is the decisive step that needs to be taken in order to understand mathematics properly.

- Your Highlight on page 186 | location 3539-3543 | Added on Tuesday, 2 May 2023 22:41:30

No scientist has ever understood the special theory of relativity, especially Einstein himself. He considered every viewpoint other than that of light itself, which is the mental rather than physical viewpoint, and is none other than the "ether" whose existence Einstein denied, i.e. light itself is the ether, not something that travels through the ether. That's exactly why it is absolute. It is spacetime (matter) that travels through the mind ether, and it does so via rotation in the complex plane.

- Your Note on page 190 | location 3619 | Added on Tuesday, 2 May 2023 22:53:47

An idiotic claim to make, given the appalling record of human rights abuses and inequality found in the Communist regimes we've seen so far!

- Your Highlight on page 190 | location 3617-3619 | Added on Tuesday, 2 May 2023 22:53:47

Libertarians are the death force. Communists are the life force. Libertarians are the hate force. Communists are the love force. Libertarians are the divisive force. Communists are the unifying force.

- Your Highlight on page 193 | location 3677-3683 | Added on Wednesday, 3 May 2023 14:31:35

When the populist demagogue Julius Caesar, a super-rich senior member of the Roman elite, attacked the Senatorial elite in order to make himself top dog, he provoked his own assassination and bloody civil war. When the dust settled, the elite had been consolidated under one man—Augustus Caesar, the first emperor. This time round, the people need to stop the emperor from coming to power. Instead, the people themselves must become the Sovereign. The end of the elite is coming. They have lost control. Like Caesar and Pompey, they are now fighting each other. They will try to drag the

people into it, but the people should stand aside and let the elite drag themselves down.

- Your Highlight on page 196 | location 3733-3755 | Added on Wednesday, 3 May 2023 14:46:16

Wikipedia says, "Truth of oneself as self-conscious is achieved only if both [master and slave] live; the recognition of the other gives each of them the objective truth and self-certainty required for self-consciousness. Thus, the two enter into the relation of master/slave and preserve the recognition of each other. "However, this state is not a happy one and does not achieve full self-consciousness. The recognition by the slave is merely on pain of death. The master's self-consciousness is dependent on the slave for recognition and also has a mediated relation with nature: the slave works with nature and begins to shape it into products for the master. As the slave creates more and more products with greater and greater sophistication through his own creativity, he begins to see himself reflected in the products he created, he realizes that the world around him was created by his own hands, thus the slave is no longer alienated from his own labor and achieves self-consciousness, while the master on the other hand has become wholly dependent on the products created by his slave; thus the master is enslaved by the labor of his slave." So, the slave becomes active and smart while the master becomes lazy and stupid. The slave ought to replace the master but this, as the world shows, doesn't happen. The master hangs on and indeed continues to prosper. What the masters manage to do is create a false consciousness in the masses so that the people admire the values and culture of the elite and desire them too. They don't want to overthrow the masters, they want to join them. They crave the lifestyle and status of the elite. They don't want to kill them, they want to become them. Successful working-class people are admitted to the ranks of the elite and then become the masters themselves. They cease to advocate for the people. In fact, they become enemies of the people. They pull the drawbridge up behind them, and identify with the elite rather than with the people. They are traitors. The elite never have to worry because the most ambitious of the people do not want to overthrow the elite on behalf of the people, but to become the elite themselves. This is what has to be changed. That's why 100% inheritance tax is so vital. The elite must be wiped out as a dynastic financial force. Institutions have to be implemented to ensure that the people can never be betrayed by their own most successful members. It must become impossible to commit high treason against the people since there can be no rival to the people, with whom would-be traitors can conspire. Once the elite have gone for good, no one can ever again oppress the people.

240

- Your Highlight on page 203 | location 3875-3879 | Added on Wednesday, 3 May 2023 15:09:47

Plato said, "If you do not take an interest in the affairs of your government, then you are doomed to live under the rule of fools." We must get the wise into positions of power. We must educate the world about the dialectical process in which we are all engaged. Everything will change once humanity understands the situation it is in. It is human ignorance of the truth that is causing all of the problems that beset the human race.

- Your Highlight on page 206 | location 3940-3951 | Added on Wednesday, 3 May 2023 15:19:08

According to Hegel, the world is not made out of nothing at all but out of God himself and is essential to God's own development. God exists in himself, but he needs to transform into an embodied God of Becoming in order to become fully conscious of himself. Otherwise, he only has abstract, theoretical knowledge of himself. He has no practical, lived knowledge of what it is to be God. According to Aristotle, the God of Being is completely conscious, but thinks only about himself, hence is only actually selfconscious. He has no consciousness at all of the world of Becoming that exists all around him and yearns for him. Is the zero-entropy mathematical God of Being conscious? Yes, but in exactly the same way as Aristotle's God. The zero-entropy God does not think about the entopic world of Becoming at all. So, for humanity, only the evolving God of Becoming has immediate relevance. The God of Being does not think or care about us at all. For the most part, it is as if the God of Being does not exist as far as we are concerned. However, if we can switch our minds to an eternal perspective, as Plato advocated with regard to the contemplation of Forms, or as Schopenhauer advocated with regard to absorption in the aesthetic contemplation of high art, we can in a sense join the God of Being.

- Your Highlight on page 209 | location 3999-4009 | Added on Wednesday, 3 May 2023 22:19:08

The ontological mathematical Singularity isn't non-dualistic. It is all about the dualism of positive and negative numbers, real and imaginary numbers, sine and cosine waves, odd and even functions, symmetric and antisymmetric functions. But nor is it dualistic in the Taoist sense. It is in fact dialectical in the Hegelian sense. Within the Singularity is contained everything needed for the triadic process of thesis, antithesis and synthesis. Non-dualism is an absurdity. If it existed as the foundation of existence, nothing would ever happen since no dualism or dialectic could ever emerge from something that did not contain anything but absolute unity. Unity must be a net unity, a balance between equal and opposing forces, and it must have the means to make them clash and then reach a synthesis

(according to the laws of the dialectic). All of that must be implicit in the apparent unity of the Singularity. Therefore, it cannot be a simple unity, a pure unity, a non-dual unity. An absolute unity, with no polarities at all, cannot exist.

- Your Highlight on page 216 | location 4140-4143 | Added on Thursday, 4 May 2023 22:23:57

There are those who say that Eternity is predisposed to stillness. In fact, everything is in motion and it is strictly impossible for anything to ever be still. Stillness denotes non-existence. Eternity is where motion is conducted without entropy. Temporality is where it is conducted entropically.

- Your Highlight on page 217 | location 4150-4154 | Added on Thursday, 4 May 2023 22:27:30

Proclus said that Eternity measures the intelligible, as a unity. Eternity is about Being. It's about the number one. Time, by contrast, measures the sensible, as a plurality. Time is about Becoming. It's about many numbers. Human minds are beings while human bodies are becomings. Minds exist forever. Bodies come and go. Minds belong to eternity (timelessness) while bodies belong to time. Minds are immortal and bodies mortal. We are all immortal beings linked to a succession of mortal bodies.

- Your Highlight on page 217 | location 4160-4168 | Added on Friday, 5 May 2023 06:06:04

The sensible cosmos is the image (eikon) of the intelligible cosmos; scientific reality is an image of mathematical reality. Eternity is a unity; Time is a plurality. Eternity measures what is unified (the Singularity; zero), while Time measures what is numbered (non-zero). Eternity is about the whole, Time about the parts. Eternity measures the permanence of beings, while Time measures the duration of becomings. The Eternal versus Time is the a priori (mathematical) versus the a posteriori (scientific). It is essential to understand that all temporal things are necessarily derived from eternal things. The eternal order is the true order. Science claims that the temporal order is the true order and there is no eternal order. It replaces eternity with chance, accident, randomness, probability, chaos, statistics, indeterminism, uncertainty, and emergence.

- Your Highlight on page 218 | location 4171-4172 | Added on Friday, 5 May 2023 06:14:07

Plato said, "Time is the moving image of eternity." Actually, eternity is all about movement too, but movement that is inherently net-zero directly, while spacetime involves net-zero indirectly and ultimately across the whole lifetime of the universe.

- Your Highlight on page 220 | location 4207-4213 | Added on Friday, 5 May 2023 06:20:31

Is existence made of: 1) percepticons (matter), 2) emoticons (feelings), 3) philons (love), 4) intuitons (mystical intuition), 5) psychons (consciousness),

or 6) concepticons (mathematics)? The last is correct. Only if reality is made of concepts can we form a concept of it in its entirety. If it is made of anything else, it is literally inconceivable, hence has no answer, hence is inexplicable and unintelligible. We cannot understand matter in itself unless matter in itself is made of concepticons rather than percepticons. According to scientists, it emphatically isn't made of concepticons. They say that matter must be perceived, not conceived. That's their defining error.

- Your Highlight on page 222 | location 4232-4239 | Added on Friday, 5 May 2023 06:25:21

The Archons seek to prevent souls from leaving the material realm. They are the agents of anti-gnosis. They want to keep you endarkened. The Archons were often named as: 1) Yaldabaoth (also Saklas or Samael), associated with Saturn; 2) Iao (Jupiter); 3) Sabaoth (Mars); 4) Astaphanos (Venus); 5) Ailoaios (Mercury); 6) Adonaios (the Sun); and Horaios (the Moon). The Archons do not want you to understand reality. They have invented everything under the sun to convince you that reality is anything other than it is. The less you know, the more they can control you. Your ignorance is their best ally. The illuminated Robespierre said, "The secret of freedom lies in educating people, whereas the secret of tyranny is in keeping them ignorant."

- Your Highlight on page 225 | location 4297-4299 | Added on Friday, 5 May 2023 13:07:47

Christianity is Platonism for the masses. Christianity could actually be saved if it got rid of Christ and became pure Platonism. Imagine every Christian place of worship as a New Academy where the masses went to be taught Platonism! The world would be transformed in one generation.

- Your Highlight on page 231 | location 4385-4388 | Added on Friday, 5 May 2023 13:21:30

The forces that detest the truth are always trying to sabotage it. The Mandarin Effect by Joe Dixon is the dazzling, explosive book that unmasked the traitor we found in our own ranks and ended online Illuminism in one stroke. It was a mercy killing. Online Illuminism had been a zombie for years. It never recovered from the last Troll War. So it goes. Nothing is harder than the fight for the truth.

- Your Highlight on page 233 | location 4432-4434 | Added on Friday, 5 May 2023 13:27:59

Come and join the Revolution. A whole new paradigm has come ... God as the Cosmic Hive Mind, and you are one of its nodes. God cannot be complete without you, and you can literally become God if you understand how the Hive God dreams. Dreams, as you always suspected, are the keys to unlocking the greatest secrets of reality. Only ontological mathematics can explain their ontology.

- Your Highlight on page 234 | location 4441-4443 | Added on Friday, 5 May 2023 13:30:19

243

A material universe is one you cannot control. A mental universe is one you can—just by thinking about it, provided you know the right thoughts to think, and how to think them.

- Your Highlight on page 236 | location 4475-4477 | Added on Friday, 5 May 2023 13:37:00

Karl Marx, who himself had Jewish roots, said, "In the final analysis, the emancipation of the Jews is the emancipation of mankind from Judaism." If Christianity and Islam are regarded as the offspring, or perhaps miscarriages, of Judaism then we might say, "In the final analysis, the emancipation of the Abrahamists is the emancipation of mankind from Abrahamism."

- Your Highlight on page 238 | location 4509-4526 | Added on Friday, 5 May 2023 23:06:33

According to the Hive Mind, we are all cells (monadic units) of God. No one created us. We are eternal, necessary beings. No one owns us. We are no one's slaves. We are the uncaused. We are the divine. The dilemma we all find ourselves in is that we are fully God only when we are all completely, perfectly united and acting as One (we have reduced our entropy to zero), but we are individuals when we are entropic, when we are separated, competing, striving on our own. The nature of the Becoming aspect of existence is that we aspire to be God (the perfect collective where all conflict ceases and love and reason reign everywhere) but to get there we first have to be individuals squabbling with each other (the perfect individualists in continuous conflict with each other, in a situation where hate and unreason prevail everywhere). This is both the most magnificent tale ever told, and also the most horrific. We are God collectively and the Devil individually. There is no tale more powerful than that one—and it's actual reality! Leibniz spoke of the "best of all possible worlds". In fact, we live in the best of all possible stories—the clash of God and the Devil, where we are both! If you want the maximum excitement, thrills, meaning, purpose, and so on, the cosmic configuration that delivers the best adventure, the most exciting narrative—no less than the archetypal cosmic hero's journey, for all of us—then this is indeed the best of all possible worlds. What it certainly isn't is the nicest of all possible worlds, the world of unconditional love and universal syntactic reason. The world is the way it is because it cannot be any other way. We are all being swept along by the dialectical current whether we like it or not, but what we know for sure is how the story ends—with perfection itself. We all become God, in the fullest sense of that word. What could be better? Lucky us!

- Your Highlight on page 240 | location 4548-4556 | Added on Friday, 5 May 2023 23:10:58

The online Illuminism scene became utterly toxic, a total embarrassment to us, which is why we happily killed it off. Any scene that doesn't have a

purpose shouldn't exist. Anyone who is interested in the themes we discuss should in the meantime check out Morgue and the Hyperians. Hitherto, Hyperianism has proved a much more liberal and "nicer" version of online Illuminism. We hope it now acquires a harder edge given how shitty the world is. Nice people get eaten. In the world of doves (prey) and hawks (predators), doves, for all their niceness, get relentlessly exploited and abused. Don't take it anymore. Become retaliators. No more love and light, folks. Those days are gone. Now you must buckle up and face the world with total resolve, and a true edge. Hyperianism must get serious. Online Illuminism didn't, and now it's wiped out. That's how it goes. The world isn't standing on ceremony. Grow or perish. Hyperianism can succeed only if you give Morgue enough support to be fully unleashed.

- Your Highlight on page 246 | location 4659-4663 | Added on Saturday, 6 May 2023 22:30:17

Come on, folks, let's assemble a Grand Alliance. Here's a list of all the Myers-Briggs types capable of grasping our system: INTJ, INTP, ENTJ, ENTP, INFJ, INFP, ENFJ, ENFP. We are the intuitives, split between the thinking intuitives and feeling intuitives. It's our time to take over the world. The sensing types, split between the scientific and the religious, have ruled for far too long and made the world the hell it is. We are the mathematicians and the empaths. We can create a perfect world between us.

- Your Highlight on page 249 | location 4717-4723 | Added on Sunday, 7 May 2023 22:52:21

The astounding thing is this. All advanced human beings are profoundly attracted to this New World Order. After all, who but a lunatic is happy with the Old World Order? Who in their right mind would want to maintain the way the world is currently being ruled, or more accurately, misruled? Nothing is more important than demolishing the old in order to prepare the way for the new. Old religions must perish. Old monarchies must be toppled. Old families of inheritance and privilege must be abolished. Old money must be rejected. The old lords and masters must be jettisoned. The old economic, political, religious and spiritual systems must be superseded. They are all way past their sell-by date. They are rancid and toxic. They are decomposing in front of our eyes.

- Your Highlight on page 250 | location 4732-4827 | Added on Sunday, 7 May 2023 23:01:32

Why have you not been given your fair chance in life? It's because the world is designed to pander to old ruling elites and their old, tired, self-serving way of doing things. You are not invited to their party. You are not part of their magic circle. You're not one of the in-crowd. They don't want you, they don't care about you, they don't like you and they are not interested in you. They like their families and friends, their cronies and partners, and they will do everything for them, but not for you. They never do anything for you.

Why should they? They've got your number. They know you are never going to stand up to them and demand what is yours. You have no chance. You are not even in the game. Only a sucker, a mark, a patsy, plays a game he knows he can never win. They are playing a game you don't even know you're in, where the deck is permanently stacked against you. It's not a case of reforming the game. That's a fool's errand. The game is rotten to the core, and irredeemable. The task is to create a new game, one that gives everyone a fair chance, where there are no invisible levers that ensure a few win and the many lose. All of us that don't belong to the elite have trapdoors under our feet. We are all playing snakes and ladders, but we find only snakes and no ladders. Every direction takes us down, not up. If you haven't understood that the Wizard of Oz stands behind a curtain and does everything to help himself and nothing to help you, what planet have you been living on? It certainly can't be Earth. You've been on the DMT too much, talking to those invisible machine elves. Let's see them aliens! The only way we shall have a better future is to understand what is wrong with the past. Let's face it, everything is wrong with the past. That's why we're living in shit now. The shambolic, chaotic present is a direct consequence of all the errors and calamities of the past. George Orwell wrote, "He who controls the past controls the future. He who controls the present controls the past." The Old World Order controlled the past, and they are seeking to ensure they control the future too by using their same old tried and tested methods of elevating themselves and putting you down. Their game revolves around inheritance. They inherit and you don't. Their game revolves around privilege. They have it and you don't. Their game revolves around nepotism and cronyism. They belong to rich and powerful families and networks and you don't. They know all the right people. You don't know any of them. All doors open to them, none open to you. They are all slammed in your face. You fail downwards, they fail upwards. They know every trick in the book. After all, they wrote it! They never once consulted you, because you are not part of the game. You don't play the game. The game is done to you, inflicted on you. You have no control over the rules of the game, or the pieces on the board. You are just a humble pawn, waiting for the important game pieces to do what they will with you. They do what they like, and you do what you don't like. That's the game you've been forced to participate in. Why don't you play a different game, one where you actually stand a chance of winning? Isn't that the sane thing to do? You would need to be a lunatic to believe that the Old World Order—the old rulers, the old religions, the old political systems, the old economic systems, the old beliefs, the old ideas—are suddenly going to do what they have never done before ... give you a good life and a fair chance. How dumb would you have to be to believe that this world isn't all about rule of the people by the elite for the elite? That is Lesson One of life. If you have failed to learn it, no wonder you're so screwed. You haven't even identified who

the enemy is, who is holding you back and ruining your life. It's not the New World Order that is persecuting you and making your life hell. The New World Order doesn't even exist! The Old World Order are trying to make you terrified of the future so that you change nothing and they can go on enslaving you exactly as before. The elites have nothing to gain from a whole new way of doing things. All of their gains come from doing everything in exactly the same way they are done right now—perfect for them, and catastrophic for you. If you were rational, you would be terrified of the Old World Order. They are the cause of your misery and failure. Your only hope, your only chance, is a New World Order, and that is exactly what we have come to proclaim. The hour has arrived for the Heralds of the New. For millennia, the champions of the new have been oppressed and forced underground. Everything you've heard about us is wrong. You've been drip fed lies since the moment you were born. The ruling class fear us and thus they've made us the target of a constant smear campaign geared towards vilifying us to such an extent that no one will ever listen to us. Contrary to what you have been told, we are here to overthrow the rich elite, and establish a New Society led by the people. What better way for the rich elite rulers—the controllers of the Old World Order—to prevent change than to demonize all new ideas and all advocates of new ideas that threaten their power? Don't buy into the propaganda. The global elite remain in control by instilling fear and division among the people. Why do you think there's so much fear surrounding the New World Order? If the people fear the new then the old will remain in power. If the people fear unification, they'll remain divided. Unity is the biggest strength the people have, and that's why the elites do everything to create disunity. Divide and rule. It has always been thus. Bread and circuses. Junk food and mindless entertainment to keep the masses fat and permanently distracted. Dumb phones for dumb asses. Isn't it the case that the world hates anything new and different? Often that which is declared evil turns out to be the greatest good. In the past, we were burned as heretics, blasphemers, infidels, apostates, freethinkers, witches, devils and demons. We've always been the outcasts, the rebels, the different, the "Other". But our time has come. We will not be stopped. Victor Hugo wrote, "One resists the invasion of armies; one does not resist the invasion of ideas. ... Nothing is stronger than an idea whose time has come." We are the Idea. This is our time. The world is groaning under the tyranny of the old. Only the New can dispel the darkness. We are the New Reality. We are the Star People. We are the New World Order. Per aspera ad astra: "through hardships to the stars". This planet is on the brink of destruction. You've been so psychologically fucked over that you can't tell the difference between up and down, good and evil, black and white, order and chaos. We are the Remedy. We are the Antidote. We are the Cure. We bring the Catholicon. The Old World Order, to serve their own selfish, greedy interests, have driven the planet into catastrophic

imbalance. We shall bring back balance. We shall restore sanity. We shall take power from the elites and give it to its rightful, legitimate owners ... the people. Throughout history, we have been working behind the scenes under many different names to bring about a global revolution. Each time we have ventured into the light, we have met with the full force of tyranny to crush us. But we have never submitted to the masters, the elites. We have always preserved the precious, unquenchable flame of resistance. The time has come for heralds to step out of the shadows to bring you the message that will lead you into light, that will illuminate one and all. Here is our declaration to the world. We shall establish a New Order. All major institutions will be undone and new ones made, fashioned in the people's image, not that of the elites. All values will be revalued. All corruptions will be exposed and dismantled. We will replace politics with an illuminated meritocratic system in which the most meritorious are in power, and strictly charged with advancing the interests of the people and not those of the old elites. Right now, the world is led by the psychopathic 1%. Self-service is the only concept they understand. Serving the people is a concept so alien to them that it makes them sick. They say things like, "Greed is good", "Selfishness is virtuous", "Always look after No.1", "Your problems are not our problems"; "Family First Forever". They must be stopped. The world must be led by the people, for the people. Only the most rational and empathetic will be allowed to lead. In the current political system, votes by uneducated racists vastly outnumber votes by philosopher geniuses. The system has failed. It's a Dunning-Kruger system, based on pretending to the stupid that they are smart and virtuous. That's why Donald Trump, a classic beneficiary of privilege and inheritance and a key member of the Old World Order and super-rich elite, is the American president. Mainstream religion will be abolished and replaced by Illuminism and Hyperianism, i.e. reason will take the place of faith and mysticism. This world has been ravaged by the virus of mainstream religion. It has stood in the way of human progress for far too long. No longer will it be allowed to damage the minds of children by implanting fear in them and warping their minds, rendering them unable to think rationally. Logic and reason will prevail. Mainstream religion will be superseded by positive, rational, optimistic, non-fearful spirituality, philosophy and psychology, grounded in the highest wisdom and knowledge. We need no God, and we need no priests to intercede for us. We can all access the divine through ourselves. We are all gods and goddesses in the making. The universe lives within us all. We are the universe optimizing itself. We will replace scientific materialism with ontological mathematics. Those of us that exist behind the scenes in the inner world have access to advances in thought that are beyond the comprehension of the masses. But we are bringing our system to light now. Scientific materialism is a primitive, childish, and fractured philosophy. The discoveries brought forth by quantum mechanics have destroyed its

foundations. Ontological mathematics is the New Science and it proves that you are an eternal, indestructible being of Mind. Your energy can be neither created nor destroyed. It has existed forever, and can never perish. You yourself are the proof of the insurmountable law of the conservation of energy. You can express your energy in myriad ways, and the optimal way you can express it is as a God or Goddess. Don't you want to be divine? Then all you have to do is learn the secret knowledge of how deities use their eternal energy.

- Your Highlight on page 255 | location 4827-4889 | Added on Sunday, 7 May 2023 23:08:07

Reality is not composed of matter. Reality is composed of mathematical energy: analytic sine and cosine waves. These are the basic units of thought, hence a universe of sinusoidal waves is a thinking, mental, purposeful, meaningful, striving, evolving universe, seeking to optimize and perfect itself. The God of Becoming does not create the universe. The universe creates the God of Becoming. The God of Becoming is not the start of the universe. The God of Becoming is its appointed end, its final Becoming, the point of perfect symmetry and zero entropy, corresponding to flawless, infallible thinking. Eternal mathematical energy, satisfying the inviolable law of the conservation of energy, is contained in discrete, autonomous units known as monads. There are countless monads and each one contains a universe of information within itself. These monads are uncaused and uncreated. They're minds, they're souls. A monad—an eternal system made of energy—is what you truly are. You're not a body but an immaterial Mind of infinite energy that can express its energy in infinite ways. Most ways are sub-optimal. The task is to use your energy optimally, and thus become your perfect self ... the divine you. This world could be anything at all. Yet humanity has collectively chosen to have the hellish experience it's having now. It has used its energy disastrously, primitively, childishly. It has not worked out how to use its power productively, maturely and creatively. In the Illuminist and Hyperian New World Order, humans will no longer be treated as slaves and commodities but instead will be given the freedom to explore themselves, their world, and each other. We'll live in a healthy community where people aren't dragging each other down but instead are building each other up. It will be a society focused on healthy growth, the experience of love, the creation of art, and the cultivation of the Mind. The focus will no longer be on money and dominance but instead on improving the quality of life of the individual and the collective. Equal opportunity will be given to all regardless of gender, sex, age, or race. The damaging patriarchy will be brought to an end and women will finally be liberated. A sexual revolution will occur where people will finally be able to explore love and lust without the sick guilt instilled by an oppressive society and repressive religions. All of the infighting and division will be eradicated. We'll come together as one world and one people. Advances in technology

will allow us to explore the stars. The quality and effectiveness of medicine will increase at an exponential rate. The world's biggest problems will be solved. Diseases will be cured, the homeless will be housed, the hungry will be fed. We have the resources to do make all of this happen, but the psychopathic rich elite are greedily hoarding everything for themselves. Once they've been stopped, the rebuilding and healing of the planet can begin. For all of this to happen the controllers must be halted and defeated. Progressive optimists are excited by the potential gains that come from radical change. Regressive pessimists—conservatives—are petrified of loss. They see every change brought about by anyone other than themselves as a sure loss, as a disaster that must be resisted. These people are closed minded, small minded, narrow minded and weak minded. They cannot cope with change. They cannot adapt. They do nothing but look to the past. They are a constant brake on evolution. They are the human dinosaurs in our midst. It's time to choose. Whose side are you on? Humanity is diverging into two groups: the old and the new; those who stand for the intolerant, religious, hateful, sexist past and those who stand for the freethinking, empathic, rational future. You must choose old humanity or new HyperHumanity. Join us, become Illuminists and Hyperians and stand with us as we create a new world. This universe has freedom planets and slave planets. Earth is currently a slave planet, but, working together, we can convert it into a freedom planet. We can make it the glory of the cosmos. We are the revolution. We are that which has been growing within the collective consciousness of humanity. The whispers of our coming have been heard in every corner of the world. This is what you've been waiting for. This is the black swan event that will shake nations and change the destiny of humanity. We are the New World Order. We are the dizzying, upwards spiraling trajectory of the Hegelian dialectic. We are the new, bright, glinting, gleaming, sparkling future, as dazzling as fresh crystals of newly fallen snow. We are the new dawn, the new sun in the sky. We are the higher sun and the higher sky for a higher humanity. We are those who escaped from Plato's Cave of Ignorance and Delusion and discovered the true light. Our enemies are terrified of us. They ought to be. We are Evolution. We are the Revolution. We have come to revalue all values. It's time to get off your knees. Friends, fellow gods and goddesses, it's time to rise. Join our Sacred Cause. Transform this world. Undergo the ultimate personal and collective metamorphosis. The old gods are dead. We are the new gods. On whose side will you stand on the fateful fields of Armageddon? Will you support the evil tyrants of the past, or will you make your stand shoulder to shoulder with those who will never serve any gods but will instead become gods themselves? It's them or us: the enlightened versus the endarkened. We are good and they are evil. We are the light and they are the perpetual night. Get off your knees. Stand up. God is not outside you. You are God. And who can defeat an army of Gods? Certainly

no army of abject slaves who worship a monster that threatens them with eternal hell unless they do exactly what he wants. We are the progressive, free Army of Liberty, and we are opposed by the regressive, slave Army of Tyranny. Escape your chains. What have you got to lose? Don't you want to taste freedom? Don't you want to step into the light? Don't you want to be a heroic bearer of the sacred, ineffable starlight and the bright flame that can never be extinguished? Join us. Embrace the future.

What Is Mathematics? The Greatest Detective Story Never Told (The Truth Series Book 17) (Stark, Dr. Thomas)

- Your Highlight at location 184-185 | Added on Tuesday, 9 May 2023 22:31:15

In fact, reality is made of circles and their accompanying waves, so pi is present everywhere.

- Your Highlight at location 216-219 | Added on Wednesday, 10 May 2023 03:46:53

If you accept the reality of complex numbers—an essential aspect of ontological mathematics—then the whole of science's probabilistic, statistical, random, indeterministic interpretation of quantum mechanics, and indeed of reality itself, simply vanishes! The whole lot goes. We enter a new mathematical reality where probabilities are abolished and everything has a mathematical sufficient reason, meaning that everything has a precise explanation and nothing at all happens randomly or statistically.

- Your Highlight at location 248-250 | Added on Wednesday, 10 May 2023 03:50:34

Terence McKenna brilliantly observed, "Modern science is based on the principle: 'Give us one free miracle and we'll explain the rest.' The one free miracle is the appearance of all the mass and energy in the universe and all the laws that govern it in a single instant from nothing."

- Your Highlight at location 269-272 | Added on Wednesday, 10 May 2023 03:54:58

The so-called "mystery" of life is simply the inability of the average person to comprehend mathematics. Once you understand what mathematics actually is—the language of existence, the source code of existence—you instantly grasp that mathematics explains everything, exactly as computer code entirely defines the world of a video game.

- Your Highlight at location 281-284 | Added on Wednesday, 10 May 2023 03:57:19

We feel betrayed by the academic mathematical community. 100% of them should have signed up to ontological mathematics. Not one prominent figure has done so. That proves how much resistance there is to rationalism. Only young mathematicians are receptive to ontological mathematics. Only their minds are still open. As Planck said so grimly, "Science advances one funeral at a time." The same goes for mathematics.

- Your Highlight at location 302-303 | Added on Wednesday, 10 May 2023 04:00:35

Reality is simply mathematics experiencing itself and coming to consciousness of itself across its myriad mental nodes (its living, sentient monadic computers), of which each of us is one.

- Your Highlight at location 339-344 | Added on Wednesday, 10 May 2023 04:08:42

If thinking comes first, it automatically means that reality is mental, not material. If sensing comes first, it automatically means that reality is material, not mental. That in turn means we can never know what ultimately reality is because reason, logic, mathematics, thinking and conceptualization cannot help us, and we can never perceive a thing in itself. We can only ever see it as phenomenon. This is Kantian Philosophy 101. Kant concluded that ultimate reality is unknowable. He would be correct if ultimate reality were material, but it's not. It's mental, which means it's conceptual.

- Your Highlight at location 370-371 | Added on Wednesday, 10 May 2023 04:11:32

The supreme principle of connectivity is what we call the holographic principle: the part is in the whole, and the whole is in every part.

- Your Highlight at location 381-384 | Added on Wednesday, 10 May 2023 04:14:24

Science is about matching particular observed patterns to particular mathematical equations. It is not about arriving at any coherent, general account of reality. You would never go about arriving at a final, grand unified theory of everything without making coherence, completeness, consistency and holism your defining approach. Science instead uses correspondence, instrumentalism, pragmatism and parts.

- Your Highlight at location 440-464 | Added on Wednesday, 10 May 2023 21:13:23

Schrödinger made serious errors. He said, "Consciousness cannot be accounted for in physical terms. For consciousness is absolutely fundamental. It cannot be accounted for in terms of anything else." Selfevidently, consciousness isn't fundamental. If it were, the Big Bang was a process imbued with consciousness, and there's no such thing as the unconscious. You can't have a consciousness/unconsciousness substance dualism. Either consciousness is derived from the unconscious, or vice versa. There is every reason why the unconscious would evolve a higher mode (consciousness). What reason is there for consciousness to devolve a lower mode (the unconscious)? To say that consciousness is absolutely fundamental is to say that consciousness is the arche, the substance from which everything else is made. That means that matter must be made from consciousness and thus itself be conscious! It's not. What is consciousness made of? If consciousness cannot be analytically defined then to say that consciousness is at the root of everything is to say that reality is a permanent mystery, even to itself. In Hegel's philosophy, reality comes to self-awareness via a long dialectical process. If reality were inherently conscious, it would have no need to go on any journey, and, right now, we would all be fully conscious of the answer to existence, since existence is

consciousness. We would literally all be God right now, fully conscious of everything, with nothing at all being unconscious. And that would always have been the case. How can consciousness work out what consciousness is made of? What process would it use? If consciousness is not reason and logic, then it cannot use reason and logic. If consciousness is not mathematical, it cannot use mathematics. What will it use? Observation? How does observation reveal what anything is in itself? Will it use its experiences? How do experiences explain anything? Every human has any number of experiences. How many humans know anything worth knowing? If consciousness is absolute, why aren't animals and rocks and thermometers conscious? Why aren't human babies conscious? Like so many, Schrödinger confused consciousness with sentience. Babies and animals are sentient, not conscious. The universe of Becoming is sentient it inherently experiences itself—but it is not conscious of itself. Consciousness is what it is seeking to attain. Reality is definitely not made of consciousness. Reality is made of the principle of sufficient reason (ontological mathematics), which, in its mode of Becoming, is unconscious, with the attainment of absolute consciousness being its teleological goal.

- Your Highlight at location 659-663 | Added on Saturday, 13 May 2023 00:38:07

When you follow the empiricist track, all genuine knowledge vanishes. All proof vanishes. All evidence vanishes, except the evidence of what you are perceiving right now. But what are you perceiving right now? Who knows? How could you ever grasp what it is? After it's gone, it's no longer even an empirical object anymore. It can't be perceived ever again in the way you just perceived it. Everything becomes discontinuous, fragmentary and momentary.

- Your Highlight at location 664-669 | Added on Saturday, 13 May 2023 00:38:56

According to Hume, we know nothing for sure. So why would any seeker of knowledge follow this anti-knowledge ideology? There is no proof, from Hume's perspective, that an objective world exists at all. All that exists is what is perceived right now, and since each perception instantly ceases to be perceived as soon as the current instant ceases, then that doesn't exist either, so nothing at all really exists! Even the idea of some sort of stream of consciousness is empirically unverifiable. You cannot perceive this whole stream, only the part you're currently experiencing, which is a snapshot, not a stream. You simply imagine there is a stream, but where is your evidence? Prove it! How would you go about it? You can't empirically experience the past. It isn't an object of perception.

- Your Highlight at location 764-770 | Added on Saturday, 13 May 2023 11:51:08

The PSR is simply the science of structured nothing. Nothing cannot be stopped. Nothing needs nothing. It has no activation energy to surmount. Nothing exists eternally and necessarily and that's what the PSR actually is—something that has compulsory existence. By its essence, it is the source of all construction. All things are produced from analytic, structured nothing. The PSR is simply how nothing automatically structures itself, and nothing can prevent it from doing so. Nothing does not structure itself chaotically. If it did, it would be infinitely unstable and instantly self-destruct. It orders itself and is infinitely stable, as is required for any eternal, necessary thing. Only mathematics—the ontological expression of the PSR—is eternal, necessary and infinitely stable, with a zero net result.

- Your Highlight at location 815-817 | Added on Saturday, 13 May 2023 12:09:44

There was a time when we believed our work would sweep the world in no time at all. Above all, we expected the whole of science to come onboard. Oops. Science still hasn't understood Leibniz and he's been dead for over 300 years. Science still hasn't understood Plato and he's been dead for thousands of years.

- Your Highlight at location 841-850 | Added on Saturday, 13 May 2023 12:13:37

The task is not to be a child who seeks its father. The task is to grasp that you yourself are an essential node of foundational existence. You are an eternal, indestructible monad. You have no parent. You are infinitely old, and you have infinite life in front of you. No one created you, no one has any answers inaccessible to you, no one has any secret knowledge about ultimate reality that is denied to you. You are God in any real sense of the word. You can know everything. You have done so in the past, and you will do so again in the future. Reality is mathematically configured to swamp you in entropy and make you forget who you really are, but it is also configured to eventually reduce your entropy to zero, thus fully restoring your memory of who you really are ... and you really are God. We are all God having an out-of-God experience. Why are we all so interested in God? It's because we all intuit our own divinity, but we simply cannot believe that we are God, so we externalize God and then worship this externalization. The Abrahamists worship a theist God. The followers of Eastern religion follow a pantheist God. The followers of materialism follow Nature itself as God. The True God is the Logos ... pure reason.

- Your Highlight at location 862-865 | Added on Sunday, 14 May 2023 00:12:13

The dialectic is, mathematically, an exploration of entropy. Maximum entropy is maximum conflict of thesis and antithesis. The perfect synthesis has no entropy: all opposites are perfectly reconciled in one absolute Unity. Reality is all about Unity (zero entropy) creating Multiplicity (entropy), then

journeying back to zero entropy (the Omega Point, which serves as the Alpha Point for the next cosmic cycle). All of this is built into the foundational, dynamic mathematics of existence.

- Your Highlight at location 881-893 | Added on Sunday, 14 May 2023 00:18:20

The real issue isn't one of losing God, or discovering that God was dead, or never alive, but realizing that we were God all along. God is the universe and the universe is alive. According to Abrahamism, God created the universe from nothing, is separate from the universe and is permanently conscious. In fact, God creates the universe from itself, and, in doing so, creates enormous entropy—the material universe of space and time which makes God forget what and who God is. God is then mathematically compelled to reduce its entropy to zero in order to come to complete consciousness of itself. We are all right now in a great cosmic calculation to bring about zero entropy. Those people who oppose the Truth are themselves an essential part of the calculation. You have a simple choice. To work out where the calculation is going (zero entropy; total unity of all minds), or to luxuriate in entropy. Entropy has one exceptionally seductive feature—extreme individualism. "Satan" wanted to be God, and he understood that he needed entropy, the material world of space and time, in order to make this happen. All extreme individualists are echoes of Satan. Deep down, they believe they are perfect and that everyone should be just like them, but under their control. This is exactly the Satan Doctrine. Any mature person soon grasps that extreme individualism is exactly what Thomas Hobbes most feared, and why he advocated a "Leviathan".

- Your Highlight at location 1140-1146 | Added on Sunday, 14 May 2023 22:27:16

Hegel's system reflects Neoplatonist thinking. The Absolute Idea is the Neoplatonic One, the ineffable Source. The One emanates the Nous, the Cosmic Intellect, which needs something to intellectually explore. It emanates the Psyche (the Cosmic Soul). The Psyche needs something to animate and it creates Nature, the material world. The Psyche divides into two. The upper half is unitary and contemplates the Nous. The lower half is a plurality and inserts countless individual souls into Nature. Individual souls either succumb to materialism and empiricism (they are obsessed with the world), or they turn to idealism and rationalism and contemplate first the Higher, Collective Soul, then the Cosmic Intellect, and then "God" itself. Through achieving contemplative identity with the One, the Neoplatonist soul becomes Absolute Mind and achieves Absolute Knowledge.

- Your Highlight at location 1228-1230 | Added on Monday, 15 May 2023 21:56:39

Science totally rejects final causation, just as it rejects first causes, formal causes and material causes. Arguably, it doesn't even accept efficient

causes and is now more or less an uncaused system of self-actualizing probabilities. ("God plays dice.")

- Your Highlight at location 1236-1238 | Added on Monday, 15 May 2023 21:58:15

The activity of reason is intellectual. The whole of reality, if properly understood, is just one vast flexing of intellect. Reality is an intellectual problem, not any other kind or problem. It's definitely not a problem of faith, mystery, observation, love, or anything else.

- Your Highlight at location 1379-1385 | Added on Tuesday, 16 May 2023 11:48:45

Einstein wrote, "In my opinion the answer to this question is, briefly, this:-As far as the laws of mathematics refer to reality, they are not certain; and as far as they are certain, they do not refer to reality." There you have it, the supreme absurdity. Einstein creates a mathematical dualism. He posits one mathematics that concerns reality (uncertain mathematics, according to Einstein) and another mathematics that concerns unreality (certain mathematics). This is preposterous. What sufficient reason exists for it? How could reality create an uncertain but real mathematics, while humans create a certain but unreal mathematics? This is a bizarre idea. We are better at math than reality, apparently. We can create a mathematics of certainty, while reality cannot.

- Your Highlight at location 1401-1405 | Added on Tuesday, 16 May 2023 11:53:09

In fact, mathematics is a dual-aspect monism, with both a syntax and a semantics, with both form and content. It is both the information carrier and the information carried. Scientists have created a fiction where "real" matter deals with content and "unreal" mathematics deals with form. The implication is that content has no form, which then has to be transplanted from unreal mathematics—an ontologically ridiculous claim. In fact, content is always accompanied by form. Semantics always has a syntax.

- Your Highlight at location 1471-1477 | Added on Tuesday, 16 May 2023 12:08:25

The first to propose this line of thinking was the innovative Jewish philosopher Philo of Alexandria (20 BCE—50 CE). Wikipedia says, "Philo represents the apex of Jewish-Hellenistic [Greek] syncretism. His work attempts to combine Plato and Moses into one philosophical system." Catholic Christianity, by contrast, was the attempt to combine Plato, Aristotle, Plotinus (Neoplatonism) and Jesus Christ into one philosophical system. Protestant Christianity rejected the work of Plato, Aristotle and Plotinus as unbiblical pagan heresy and got rid of it all. Protestantism combines Moses (the Old Testament) and Jesus Christ (the New Testament) and is wholly Biblical. It contains no high-level philosophy whatsoever and

is staggering anti-intellectual. All American Protestants study the Bible. None study philosophy, and they all hate it as some sort of Satanic trick.

- Your Highlight at location 1484-1486 | Added on Tuesday, 16 May 2023 12:10:25

What is life? Life is what is instantiated by monadic minds, which are made of thoughts. An individual thought is not alive. It is the collection of all thoughts in a monad—all of these thoughts interacting with each other and inherently linked to each other—which constitutes life.

- Your Highlight at location 1487-1492 | Added on Tuesday, 16 May 2023 23:29:22

The Formula for the monad (this formula being a generalized version of Euler's Formula) is both the Form of Life and the ontology of life, i.e. the Form of Life is inherently alive. It is alive because its Form (Formula) defines the thoughts of which it is comprised, and the definition of a thought is none other than the thought itself, i.e. with regard to the foundations of existence, definitions are ontology. This is perhaps the most difficult idea ever, and completely impossible for empiricists to understand. They can't observe it, hence they can't believe it or know it.

- Your Highlight at location 1503-1508 | Added on Tuesday, 16 May 2023 23:31:35

Everything is made of thoughts. A mind—a full collection of basis thoughts—is what thinks. It thinks privately by combining its own internal basis thoughts. However, each mind is also a member of the collection of all minds. What happens when this collection thinks? Its collective thoughts form the objective world that we all inhabit. Collective thoughts, since all minds are responsible for them, are objectively real for all minds. Thoughts in your mind belong just to you. Thoughts in the collective mind belong to all minds. That's what being "objectively real" means. All minds, not one, accept objective reality.

- Your Highlight at location 1621-1630 | Added on Wednesday, 17 May 2023 12:09:16

How can you predict anything in terms of emergence when the whole point of emergence is that it will produce unknown and unknowable properties that are strictly absent from the constituents that are supposedly going to produce the emergence? You might as well refer to magic and miracles, which equally deal with impossible things happening. "Emergence" is just a scientific euphemism for "miracle". When a scientist needs a miracle to get out of the logical impossibilities implied by materialism and empiricism, you can be 100% certain he will make an appeal to some such absurdity as emergence, randomness, probability, statistics, the Multiverse, indeterminism, indeterminacy, acausation, chance, accident. He will invoke absolutely anything to avoid the inevitable logical conclusion that there is an eternal, necessary order, governed by the principle of sufficient reason,

whereby everything has a reason, a cause, an explanation, and absolutely nothing happens in the manner proposed by science, which is essentially the ideology of randomness and chaos miraculously producing an ordered, logical, non-random, non-chaotic cosmos.

- Your Highlight at location 1727-1727 | Added on Wednesday, 17 May 2023 12:31:16

Schopenhauer said, "Talent hits a target no one else can hit. Genius hits a target no one else can see."

- Your Highlight at location 1804-1808 | Added on Wednesday, 17 May 2023 13:12:34

Given one set of quantum mechanical equations, there are many different interpretations of what the equations mean. So, which interpretation is right?—the Ensemble interpretation, the Copenhagen interpretation, the Many Worlds interpretation, the Consistent histories, the De Broglie-Bohm theory, Relational quantum mechanics, the Transactional interpretation, Stochastic mechanics, Objective collapse theories, Consciousness causes collapse (the von Neumann–Wigner interpretation), the Many minds interpretation, QBism, Quantum logic, Modal interpretations, time-symmetric theories, branching space-time theories, and so on?

- Your Highlight at location 1895-1899 | Added on Wednesday, 17 May 2023 13:48:24

Postmodernism was right to focus on the issue of the privileged terms versus the marginalized terms and how this undermines the validity of arguments. Unfortunately, it reached a catastrophic conclusion, namely that there is no position—the absolute, objective Truth—that is rightfully to be privileged over all other positions. Postmodernism made all truth subjective and relative. No position could be privileged over any other, hence there was no absolute, objective Truth.

- Your Highlight at location 2101-2104 | Added on Wednesday, 17 May 2023 22:35:38

The four great empiricists of the modern age were Locke, Berkeley, Hume and Kant. Locke was a materialist, Berkeley an idealist, Hume a skeptic, and Kant a transcendentalist. Hardly a coherent and consistent set of worldviews! These philosophers couldn't agree with each other, despite empiricism being something that supposedly directly links us to "reality".

- Your Highlight at location 2166-2170 | Added on Thursday, 18 May 2023 12:42:16

It is said that Gödel demonstrated the fundamental limitations of formal logic. He didn't. He demonstrated the fundamental limitations of manmade logic, devised without any regard to holism, coherence, completeness, consistency, eternity and necessity, top-down analysis, and the analytic a priori. Human logic is piecemeal, parts-driven, bottom up and highly influenced by the empirical, inductive, synthetic and a posteriori.

- Your Highlight at location 2225-2229 | Added on Thursday, 18 May 2023 13:04:00

When Hegel's system is converted into ontological mathematics, his basic dialectical process of Being (thesis) and Nothing (antithesis) \rightarrow Becoming (synthesis, the dynamic driver teleologically driving everything towards an Absolute, an Omega Point) is converted into Something (thesis) and Nothing at all (antithesis) \rightarrow Something that exactly balances to Nothing (synthesis), i.e. a mathematical system of real and imaginary numbers, and positive and negative numbers—"somethings"—whose exact net result is zero (nothing).

- Your Highlight at location 2229-2232 | Added on Thursday, 18 May 2023 13:04:53

In ontological mathematics, an eternal and necessary system of basis frequencies (the thesis) mathematically creates a temporal and contingent world (the spacetime universe of matter; the antithesis), with which monadic minds (frequency singularities) then interact (the synthesis), and they then dialectically evolve towards a complete (Absolute) understanding of this entire process and thus the true nature of existence itself.

- Your Highlight at location 2256-2259 | Added on Thursday, 18 May 2023 23:06:20

Perceptualism is the picture-thinking version of conceptualism, empiricism the picture-thinking version of rationalism. The less intelligent you are, the less able you are to cope with pure concepts and the more you find them alien, unreal and abstract. Mathematics is the supreme conceptual subject, hence the most feared subject, the most alien subject. It is furthest from human "picture-thinking".

- Your Highlight at location 2315-2322 | Added on Thursday, 18 May 2023 23:14:13

Why is axiomatic mathematics—responsible for Gödel's incompleteness theorems—so contrary to real mathematics? It's exactly because the axioms, the foundations of the system, are just plucked out of thin air. They are not chosen with regard to coherence, completeness, consistency, stability, ontology, epistemology, the eternal and necessary, monism, holism, tautology, the need to always be associated with a system of "nothing". They are literally just invented human concepts—complete abstractions—and that's exactly why they produce incompleteness and inconsistency. Reality's own concepts, in order not to suffer from all the problems, contradictions and paradoxes of abstract, manmade concepts must be derived from a single source, a single principle, a single axiom. That foundational unitary principle is the PSR. The PSR can of course be neither incomplete nor inconsistent with regard to itself. Only manmade axioms, not designed with coherence and holism in mind, can furnish an incomplete and inconsistent system.

- Your Highlight at location 2346-2350 | Added on Thursday, 18 May 2023 23:18:25

In Hegelian Christian terms, God the Father is the Absolute Idea. He creates the world and causes Jesus Christ to become incarnate as the Son of God. God, via Jesus, is identified with the human race, making humanity a divine species. God the Holy Spirit is the evolving human Noosphere and its task is to unite with the Absolute Idea (God the Source) at the Omega Point where Absolute Knowledge is attained and Jesus Christ (humanity), the Holy Spirit (human spiritual evolution), and God (the Source) all become One. Time ends, but is ready to begin again at the next Big Bang.

- Your Highlight at location 2396-2399 | Added on Thursday, 18 May 2023 23:29:23

Raymond Plant wrote, "...for Hegel consciousness involves encounters with otherness; that is to say engagement with and recognition by what one is not. So God, as a conscious being, has this inner necessity, as all conscious beings do, to externalize himself in nature and in human life—that is to say in otherness—and through this process of externalization to come to full consciousness."

- Your Highlight at location 2407-2410 | Added on Friday, 19 May 2023 12:21:10

Language—conceptualization—is what consciousness is all about and the ultimate language of concepts is mathematics. Therefore, supreme consciousness—God consciousness—is where you think entirely mathematically and have total knowledge and self-awareness of mathematics, and complete knowledge of your mathematical power, to do anything at all compatible with mathematical ontology, which is basically anything and everything. You authentically experience divinity.

- Your Highlight at location 2413-2418 | Added on Friday, 19 May 2023 12:24:28

God is mathematics and it the study of mathematics that reveals the nature of God. God is the monadic array at zero entropy. To generate the 'Other', mathematics has to generate entropy. It does so via symmetry breaking (the Big Bang) to produce the spacetime world of matter. This has the effect of shattering the Unitary God (the monadic ensemble at zero entropy) into countless individual minds. Their teleological task is then to all come back together again. Hegel said, "The making or creation of the world is God's self-manifesting, self-revealing. ... it is God's nature to reveal himself, to be manifest."

- Your Highlight at location 2530-2542 | Added on Friday, 19 May 2023 13:11:58

Encyclopedia Britannica says, "Gödel's idea was that if he could prove the incompleteness theorem, then he could show that there were unprovable mathematical truths. This, he thought, would go a long way toward establishing Platonism, because it would show that mathematical truth is

objective, i.e., that it goes beyond mere human provability or human axiom systems." Funnily enough, most of those who accept Gödel's result completely reject his philosophical thinking behind it. What Gödel definitively proved was that the human axiomatic approach to mathematics is false. He in no way proved the truth of Platonism. Ontologically, there can be no such things as unprovable mathematical truths. That would mean that mathematics was at its core profoundly irrational and mysterious. There would be elements of mathematics with no sufficient reason. Mathematics is in fact entirely tautological, exactly as Wittgenstein asserted, and is holistic and holographic. The whole is necessarily in every part, so the whole system is both true and provable. When mathematics is reduced to a single axiom—the principle of sufficient reason itself—then it is impossible for mathematics to be either incomplete or inconsistent since the PSR cannot be incomplete or inconsistent with regard to itself. Moreover, the PSR by definition provides a sufficient reason for every truth, so there can be no truths without sufficient reasons, which is to say without proof.

- Your Highlight at location 2616-2619 | Added on Friday, 19 May 2023 13:25:55

The difficulty people have with God is they that cannot reach the intellectual level required to properly understand God and they instead stop short at relating to God via mystical intuitions (Eastern religion), feelings and faith (Abrahamic religion), and picture thinking—trying to comprehend ultimate conceptual reality via parables, stories, myths, paradoxes, signs, symbol, images, soundbites, five-minute videos, superhero movies, and so on. Anything but actual hard, challenging, demanding conceptual thinking.

- Your Highlight at location 2709-2712 | Added on Sunday, 21 May 2023 00:36:34

Humanity arrived at an intellectual fork in the road when it came to the titanic clash between Leibniz (rationalism) and Newton (empiricism). The German idealists—Kant, Fichte, Schelling, Hegel, Schopenhauer and Hartmann—followed Leibniz to some degree, but science went over wholesale to science, and the success of science wiped out German idealism in due course.

- Your Highlight at location 2716-2718 | Added on Sunday, 21 May 2023 00:37:32

However, outside the mainstream, in secret, the Leibnizian project was zealously carried forward and has delivered the astonishing achievement of ontological mathematics, which is now in an advanced state where it could replace scientific materialism wholesale.

- Your Highlight at location 2856-2865 | Added on Monday, 22 May 2023 12:57:33

We imagine the world is separate from us. That is the basis of materialism. In fact, the world is our own construct. That is the basis of idealism. The task of Hegelian philosophy and ontological mathematics is to come to complete realization that we are the world, and there is nothing else other than us. There is no "matter" of the kind science imagines. We imagine we are somehow how alienated from the world. When we realize we actually made the world, then the alienation ceases. It's equivalent to attaining lucidity in our dreams. Firstly, we realize we are dreaming, secondly we realize we are the creator of the dream, and thirdly we then direct the dream. Imagine applying the same rules to reality itself. First, we realize that we are in an objective dream (objective because it is made by all of us, and we are all experiencing it), second we realize we made it between us, and, thirdly we then shape it as we will, to achieve the best of all possible worlds—paradise, heaven. Heaven is not somewhere we go, but somewhere we make when we are advanced enough.

- Your Highlight at location 3273-3282 | Added on Tuesday, 23 May 2023 12:45:16

Freedom is injected by individual semantic wills, particular wills. Think of your physical body, obeying just the syntax of the laws of physics. You could sit all day long doing nothing at all, or lie in your bed doing nothing at all. The laws of syntax would soon lead to your inevitable death. You actually have to will your body to defy the collective syntax. You have to inject energy, exercise free will, overcome the collective resistance. You have to use your particular will against the general will. You are able to control that little part of the physical universe that is uniquely yours—your own body, your physical agent in physical reality. Particular wills bring semantics—individual meaning—into a collective universe driven by the general will, which is pure syntax, pure mathematical law at its most economic. It takes particular wills with the power of gods to make any serious difference to the collective universe. As more and more gods are generated by dialectical evolution, the universe transitions from syntax to semantics, and the great minds shape it more and more in their own image.

- Your Highlight at location 3743-3744 | Added on Tuesday, 23 May 2023 23:14:28

Natural objects are strictly those created by the Collective Mind. Artifacts are those created by individuals or groups. The definition is simple.

- Your Highlight at location 3753-3762 | Added on Tuesday, 23 May 2023 23:18:53

Hyperborean Meritocracy is about exploring—via Cimmeria—the Shadow, Terra Incognita (the Unknown Land), the mysterious, the unknown, the strange, the wild, the unleashed, the "Other". From there, we can make our way to the known, the rational, the logical, the understandable, the Self. Cimmeria's defining slogan is "Know the Other". One must embrace

Strangeness. Hyperborea's slogan is "Know Thyself". One must embrace Familiarity. Yet the more you explore yourself, the more you realize how strange you are. You are, in a sense, the Ultimate Other. After all, what could be stranger than the fact that the entity most familiar to you, the unique entity within which you are having an interior, subjective experience, has an unconscious that you are unaware of, and even your own consciousness is a total mystery to you. What could be more difficult than to know yourself? You need to understand reality itself in order to know yourself. As above, so below.

- Your Highlight at location 4071-4074 | Added on Thursday, 25 May 2023 22:17:46

The whole of reality is just one vast quantum system, which is to say a system of sinusoidal waves, organized into monadic minds, which operate inherently via superpositions, and which enact specific superposition options via deterministic mathematical rules, or the specific semantic choices of individual minds, and which never at any time involve randomness, unreal probabilities, indeterminism, acausation, or any other speculative fiction proposed by irrationalist science.

- Your Highlight at location 4081-4085 | Added on Thursday, 25 May 2023 22:20:38

Consciousness is invariably presented by science as consciousness of the sensory world. We are said to be conscious of the color red, for example. In fact, we are sentient of the color red, just as animals with color vision are. Consciousness is not sentience. Consciousness is when we apply non-physical concepts to the physical world, which is to say we label the physical world mentally, conceptually. Having done so, we can then think about the labels we have created without any need for the presence of any physical objects corresponding to them.

- Your Highlight at location 4140-4143 | Added on Friday, 26 May 2023 22:32:49

Consciousness is the ability to reflect on the world, on existence, on the self and others, via language. It has no other meaning. Language is the essence of conscious, the means to build in our minds worlds other than this one, but which can directly influence this world by causing changes in our behavior. Language is what enables the structured formation of thoughts in a continuous stream, allowing the construction of a "narrative I"—the ego, the entity with which we consciously identify, the active agent of our consciousness.

- Your Highlight at location 4173-4179 | Added on Friday, 26 May 2023 22:39:04

In fact, reality is more or less a self-playing computer game. The "computer" is a living mathematical organism—the mental Singularity of frequency—and the game world is the spacetime world it creates and then manipulates

via avatars (bodies) which it inserts into the game. Individual monadic minds are the individual players. Some players are much more influential than others. Most people in this world are, in effect, NPCs, i.e. "non-player characters". They are not controlled by sufficiently powerful monadic minds to make any difference to events. They behave in highly average and stereotypical ways, as if all their lines and actions have been pre-scripted. They have very little personal agency. They might as well be simulations of people as opposed to actual people.

- Your Highlight at location 4216-4231 | Added on Saturday, 27 May 2023 22:30:54

Quantum reality inside the individual mind is the subjective world we inhabit when we dream or just think our own private thoughts. Since we control our own thoughts independently of the Collective, we can change our dream reality at will, or our private thoughts. Normally, our unconscious controls the production of our dreams. It's responsible for the choice of dream content. In lucid dreaming, the conscious mind takes control and starts directing the dream content, i.e. it starts choosing its own thoughts rather than letting the unconscious choose from its own thoughts. This raises the issue that, thanks to our hemispheric brains, we actually have two quantum thought streams operating in our private mental domain: one for the conscious mind and one for the unconscious mind. These two streams overlap and interact. In normal dreaming, our conscious mind accesses the quantum system of the unconscious, and this seems like an incredibly weird place. When we dream lucidly, the conscious mind starts working with the quantum system of the unconscious and choosing all the superposition thoughts it desires in order to produce a vivid and delicious dream. If the Collective Mind were collectively conscious, the objective world could be changed at will too, just as in a private dream. Together, we could create paradise for ourselves. Heaven is only a collective thought away! The objective world is produced by the Collective Unconscious. If we attained collective lucidity, this would be the same as a Collective Conscious, which could then work with the Collective Unconscious to generate the collectively perfect world! (In fact, the Collective Unconscious would eventually be fully replaced by the Collective Conscious. This is God.)

- Your Highlight at location 4408-4420 | Added on Sunday, 28 May 2023 15:27:03

What science is at absolute pains to avoid is a designed order—a "God" order or a mathematical order—that precedes science, is more fundamental than science, and explains science. So, all terms concerned with such an order are marginalized and banished, while any terms that avoid this order are prioritized and privileged. Science is more or less an activity with a prime directive to evade any rationalist explanations of any phenomena whatsoever, so totally irrational explanations based on

randomness are always sought. Have a look: the Big Bang involves the random appearance of existence from non-existence; quantum mechanics involves the random collapse of unreal wavefunctions; evolution involves random mutations; the appearance of life from non-life involves random emergence; the appearance of mind from non-mind involves random emergence; the appearance of the illusion of free will from a system with no freedom is a random epiphenomenon; the appearance of consciousness randomly arise from components with no consciousness, and so on. The objective at all times is to make sure that design, intention, purpose, meaning, teleology, entelechy, inherent order, and so on, never once appear in any scientific theory. The scientific paradigm is designed to exclude design. Scientists are completely comfortable with guessing and randomness and absolutely horrified by rational, analytic a priori reasoning and deduction where guessing and randomness are themselves wholly forbidden (since they would constitute a violation of the PSR).

- Your Highlight at location 4423-4425 | Added on Sunday, 28 May 2023 15:28:49

Marshall McLuhan said, "Every society honors its live conformists and its dead troublemakers." Science only honors its conformists. All radical thinkers are purged from science. Anyone who dares to accept the existence of the eternal and necessary—the mathematical order—has no place amongst the scientific drudges, drones and Mandarins of science.

- Your Highlight at location 4548-4574 | Added on Sunday, 28 May 2023 23:36:44

Mathematics must reflect the following properties: 1) Be eternal and necessary. 2) Be analytic a priori. 3) Be complete and consistent. 4) Be absolutely coherent. 5) Be absolutely tautological. 6) Be infinitely stable. 7) Be stable under all possible transformations applied to it. 8) Be holistic. 9) Be holographic: the whole must be in every part. 10) Be absolutely simple (the maximum expression of Occam's razor). 11) Be absolutely general. Mathematics is the universal subject. 12) Be absolutely rational and logical (the maximum expression of the PSR). 13) Be maximally productive of phenomena (this is the best of all possible worlds). 14) Be capable of generating space, time, and matter. 15) Be capable of addressing the temporal and contingent.

- Your Highlight at location 4671-4682 | Added on Sunday, 28 May 2023 23:51:31

Poincaré said, "This is one of the characteristics by which we recognize facts which give a great return: they are the facts which permit of these happy innovations of language. The bare fact, then, has sometimes no great interest: it may have been noted many times without rendering any great service to science; it only acquires a value when some more careful thinker perceives the connection it brings out, and symbolizes it by a term." This is

so important. Scientists see bare facts. What they don't see are connections. Nothing is more important than the ability to see connections. That's what geniuses do. They see the underlying connections that no one else can see. Their talent is exactly what makes them so hated, and so rarely understood. It's as if they have made a hundred leaps at once, and no one else can keep up. No one else grasps what they are doing. What are connections if not the means for making things less and less particular and more and more general? You are finding what things have in common, not what separates and particularizes them. What is ultimate generality? It is when everything is interconnected, when everything is one whole, when all exceptions and particularities have vanished, when zero entropy has been attained, when an ultimate holistic synthesis has been achieved.

- Your Note at location 4857 | Added on Monday, 29 May 2023 13:50:22

But what about graphical communication aids? For example, graphs of mathematical functions? I'm sure there must be countless examples of using objects of experience to exemplify or explain a concept.

- Your Highlight at location 4856-4857 | Added on Monday, 29 May 2023 13:50:22

These cannot arise in reality since there is never any need to appeal to experience in support of any conceptual definition.

- Your Highlight at location 5017-5022 | Added on Monday, 29 May 2023 14:21:33

Since language is the basis of consciousness, we absolutely need to optimize language. We imagine a future in which there are five universal languages: one optimized for the right hemisphere, one for the left hemisphere, one for the horizontal integration of the brain, and one for the vertical integration of the brain, all operating under reality's universal language of ontological mathematics, in which everyone will be fully literate. With five mind-optimized languages at their disposal, humans will be able to think in radically new ways that transform our world.

- Your Highlight at location 5742-5748 | Added on Tuesday, 30 May 2023 23:44:49

Mathematics treats sinusoids as abstractions. In fact, they are ontological, and they are traced out by a dynamic point, traveling at the speed of light. The dynamic point, by virtue of having a definite speed, has a motion-based extension, which is to say we can't state exactly where the point is because it is always in motion. As we try to locate it in one exact location, it has already moved on. The point is therefore "smeared out"—in a characteristic way defined by its speed—and can be functionally thought of as a particle, with definite properties. This is what Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle is all about, but there isn't in fact any uncertainty at all. The "flowing point" has completely definable, analytic properties. The "field" is the trajectory

the dynamic point will trace out. The "particle" is where the dynamic point is actually found.

- Your Highlight at location 5838-5841 | Added on Wednesday, 31 May 2023 00:02:04

There is no such thing as empty space. All of reality exists in a Singularity and the so-called spacetime universe of matter is a dynamic hologram unfolding within this Singularity, the illusion being created by fractional sinusoids (i.e. any sinusoids that do not complete a full cycle on their own, but only in cooperation with another complementary fractional sinusoid).

- Your Highlight at location 5898-5906 | Added on Wednesday, 31 May 2023 15:56:12

One day, it will become clear that the gravitational field is to spacetime what the electromagnetic field is to non-spacetime (the immaterial Singularity). The gravitational field is what you get when you break the electromagnetic field, thus generating matter, space and time. The gravitational field is produced at the Big Bang, and eliminated at the Big Crunch. You cannot get gravity without electromagnetism. Gravity is asymmetric electromagnetism that reunites with electromagnetism in the Singularity. The strong and weak nuclear forces are intermediate between electromagnetism and gravity. Electromagnetism is the base of the system, from which everything else is derived, through more complicated symmetries than those furnished by electromagnetism, which is the simplest possible symmetry (the Occam's razor symmetry). Higher symmetries can be constructed only from simpler symmetries, just as molecules are built up from atoms. You must always derive complex symmetries from basis symmetries.

- Your Highlight at location 5934-5936 | Added on Wednesday, 31 May 2023 16:03:08

The gravitational ether and the electromagnetic field—space and matter, as Einstein puts it—are intimately related, the former being a higher symmetry expression of the latter. First, you "break" light via asymmetry and then construct a higher (more complex) symmetry with the broken parts.

- Your Highlight at location 5956-5957 | Added on Wednesday, 31 May 2023 16:06:41

Einstein's ideas about the ether were chaotic. He got rid of it in the special theory of relativity and then reinstated it in the general theory of relativity. That's science for you!

- Your Highlight at location 6142-6146 | Added on Wednesday, 31 May 2023 16:32:12

Now is the time for the dawn of the Second and Final Enlightenment, the true Age of Reason, namely the Age of rationalist, ontological mathematics. Are you one of the Logonauts, the Logos sailors, those that travel into the heart of reason, where all explanations reside? Join us. Come on our

existential journey, the most mind-boggling of them all, the one that terminates at the Source itself. You will surely know the Mind of God.