The Great Climate DECEPTION!



Man-made Climate Change?

There is widespread agreement that human activity can have many adverse environmental impacts such as pollution, deforestation, habitat/biodiversity loss and finite resource depletion, and that addressing these serious problems is an urgent necessity.

However, the claim of a near-universal scientific consensus on anthropogenic global warming (AGW) is demonstrably **untrue** and **dishonestly contrived**.

Net-Zero: A Hidden Agenda?

The proposed solution to AGW is 'Net Zero'—reduction of CO₂ emissions and replacement of fossil fuels with clean, renewable energy. Solar radiation management, carbon capture, carbon taxation and emission trading schemes are being implemented, as will be personal carbon rationing and social credit scoring. New energy performance standards will require large sums to be spent upgrading older properties. We are expected to submit to increasingly drastic measures that will not only have dire socio-economic consequences but which will greatly impact our way of life, erode personal rights and freedoms, and to surrender our personal and national sovereignty to unelected, unaccountable supranational bodies such as the United Nations, World Health Organisation, World Economic Forum and the Bank for International Settlements.

Whistle-blowers Speak Out

A large number of eminent climate scientists and academics vigorously dispute the orthodox climate narrative on a variety of well-founded grounds, supported by peer-reviewed science papers:

There is no global warming or, it is not from human activity:

The primary drivers of temperature trends are cycles in solar activity and the dynamic geometry of our solar system;

Climate varies naturally over geological time;

Earth is still warming, as expected, after a 'mini-ice-age';

Past warmer periods were beneficial to life and civilisation:

Historically, CO₂ level lags global temperature by 400–800 years, suggesting that it is an effect rather than the cause; CO₂ is the 'gas of life', beneficial to plants and animals; thus

we need more of it:

Climate models do not accurately predict past trends, so why do we believe they can predict the future?

Climate models underestimate solar heating effects;

Climate models violate the Second Law of Thermodynamics, (heat flows from hot to colder bodies, not the other way);

Climate 'science' has been distorted in furtherance of a

geopolitical agenda; The claim that 97-99% of scientists support the AGW hypothesis is incorrect and unfounded.

Many authors and expert reviewers have resigned in disgust from the IPCC, at the lack of scientific integrity in that body.

What can I do?

- Visit 'climate truth' websites, starting with the link below.
- Write to your MP and local councillors.
- Tell your family, friends, neighbours & work colleagues.
- Phone into local or national radio programmes.
- Write to newspapers & comment on climate articles.
- Post about it on social media networks.
- Print more of these leaflets and distribute them locally.

#Climate #NetZero #GreatReset #Freedom

For more information, visit campaign-resources.org/climate.html

